

**TENNESSEE BOARD OF FUNERAL DIRECTORS AND EMBALMERS**

**MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING**

**OCTOBER 13, 2009**

President David Murphy called the meeting to order at 10:02 A.M. in the Second Floor Conference Room of the Andrew Johnson Tower, Nashville, Tennessee.

Board members present were David Murphy, President, Dennis Hamilton, Vice President, Paula Bridges, Wayne Hinkle, Jill Horner, Eugene Williams and Clark McKinney.

Staff members present were Robert Gribble, Executive Director, Adrian Chick, Assistant General Counsel and Jimmy Gossett, Administrative Assistant.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA:**

A motion was made by Clark McKinney to approve the Agenda as printed.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to approve the minutes of the September 8, 2009 Board Meeting.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

**PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER REGARDING RULE 0660-06-.02:**

Assistant General Counsel Adrian Chick presented the Board with a Petition for Declaratory Order filed on behalf of Cole & Garrett Funeral Home and Cremation Services in Hendersonville, Tennessee, an establishment owned by Stewart Enterprises, Inc., seeking to invalidate Rule 0660-06-.02, prohibiting unfair or deceptive practices.

A motion was made by Clark McKinney that the Board grant a Declaratory Order Hearing and set the hearing for consideration at the Board's April 13, 2010 meeting.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

**LEGAL REPORT:  
ADRIAN CHICK, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL**

**1. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009012751**

An inspection was conducted on June 15, 2009 which revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license for the crematory used was not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-107 - A cremation file lacked a cremation authorization form;
- TCA 62-5-304 - The establishment is registered with the name " \_\_\_\_\_ Funeral Home and Memorial Park". Price lists used by the establishment reflect " \_\_\_\_\_ Funeral Home and Memorial Gardens";
- FTC Rules - Five (5) pre-need funeral service contracts contained charges that exceeded the price of the items on the General Price List;
- FTC Rules - Ten (10) statements of funeral goods and services selected contained charges that exceeded the price of the items on the General Price List;
- FTC Rules - The price range for outer burial containers on the Outer Burial Container Price List is inconsistent with the range of outer burial containers on the General Price List;
- FTC Rules - The effective date is not provided on the Outer Burial Container Price List or the Casket Price List; and
- FTC Rules - On the General Price List, the "standard funeral service" package price does not match the combined items.

**Response:** Respondent states that the crematory license was faxed prior to the inspector leaving, the cremation authorization form was in the file but the inspector simply could not quickly locate it, the price lists have been updated to show the correct establishment name, and some errors were made on the price list by the Respondent's secretary.

**Complaint History:** One closed.

**Recommendation:** Consent Order assessing civil penalty of \$1,500 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Clark McKinney to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

---

**2. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014421**

An inspection on May 6, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-313 - The funeral director license of the manager was not valid;
- TCA 62-5-107 - A cremation authorization form was not signed by funeral director; and
- TCA 62-5-107- A copy of the authorization form was not present in a cremation file.

**Response:** The Respondent was not aware that the continuing education had not been sent it, and this issue was immediately resolved, the authorization form was signed by family off site. The funeral director didn't witness the signature, so he didn't sign as witness. The wife of decedent accidentally took the funeral home's copy of the cremation authorization form.

**Complaint History:** None.

**Recommendation:** Consent Order assessing civil penalty of \$500 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Wayne Hinkle to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Dennis Hamilton

Adopted by voice vote

---

**3. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014361**

An inspection on April 8, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- FTC Rules - The price range for caskets on the General Price List is not accurate;
- FTC Rules - The price range for outer burial containers on the General Price List is not accurate;
- FTC Rules - The price range for immediate burial on the General Price List is not accurate;
- FTC Rules - The reason for embalming is not provided when required on Statements of Funeral Goods and Services (4 violations); and
- FTC Rules - Statements of Funeral Goods and Services Selected does not provide a description of merchandise as required (1 violation).

**Response:** Discrepancies noted during inspection have been corrected and new price lists have been submitted.

**Complaint History:** Numerous.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$500 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**4. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014271**

An inspection on March 12, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-306 - The current licenses of four (4) funeral directors were not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-308 - The current embalmer license of three (3) embalmers were not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the latest inspection report and copy of the current license of the crematory used was not available for inspection;
- FTC Rules - No General Price List was available at the time of inspection;
- FTC Rules - No Casket Price List was available at the time of inspection; and
- FTC Rules - No Outer Burial Container Price List was available at the time of inspection.

**Response:** The licenses were at the establishment on the day of inspection, but the part-time employee sent to meet the inspector could not locate them. The Respondent establishment is only a chapel, and no arrangements or merchandise is sold there, so Respondent was not aware this location needed to maintain price lists. Copies of all licenses and price lists have been submitted.

**Complaint History:** None related.

**Recommendation:** Consent Order assessing civil penalty of \$500 and authorization for hearing.

Jill Horner recused herself from this case.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

---

**5. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014241**

An inspection on March 12, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - The current license for the crematory used was not available for inspection; and
- FTC Rules - The price of three caskets listed on the Casket Price List are not accurate.

**Response:** Respondent submitted copy of crematory license and has updated price lists as required.

**Complaint History:** Several funeral complaints, none directly related.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$350 and authorization for hearing.

Jill Horner recused herself from this case.

A motion was made by Eugene Williams to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

---

**6. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014211**

An inspection on April 20, 2009 revealed the following violation:

- FTC Rules - In the selection room, the price of one casket was inconsistent with the Casket Price List.

**Response:** Respondent has corrected the Casket Price List.

**Complaint History:** None related.

**Recommendation:** Close with Letter of Warning.

A motion was made by Clark McKinney to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Paula Bridges

Adopted by voice vote

---

**7. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014201**

An inspection on March 9, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-304 - Name on signs and stationary does not match name registered with the Board; and
- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the latest inspection report and current license of the crematory used was not available for inspection.

**Response:** None received.

**Complaint History:** No recent related complaints.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Eugene Williams to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Dennis Hamilton

Adopted by voice vote

---

**8. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014191**

An inspection on March 9, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-304 - Name on signs and stationary does not match name registered with the Board; and
- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the latest inspection report and current license of the crematory used was not available for inspection.

**Response:** No response received.

**Complaint History:** No recent related complaints.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Wayne Hinkle to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**9. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014141**

An inspection on April 15, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license of the crematory used was not available at time of inspection;
- TCA 62-5-313 - A dressed and casketed body had no permanent identification device attached;
- FTC Rules - Casket Price List does not list four (4) caskets offered in selection room; and
- FTC Rules - Casket Price List is not accurate with respect to a casket.

**Response:** Respondent submitted a copy of the crematory license. Respondent states that the price for the casket on the Casket Price List is correct and the price in the selection room has been changed. The Respondent has submitted a revised Casket Price List. The manager had an identification device in his hand ready to attach prior to visitation.

**Complaint History:** Respondent paid a civil penalty of \$500 for no crematory license (and other violations) on January 8, 2008 in Complaint No. 2007071591.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$1,000 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Paula Bridges to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**10. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014061**

An inspection on May 12, 2009 revealed the following violation:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license and latest inspection report for the crematory used was not available for inspection.

**Response:** Respondent states it has corrected the above discrepancy.

**Complaint History:** None.

**Recommendation:** Close with Letter of Warning.

A motion was made by Eugene Williams to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

---

**11. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009012481**

An inspection on April 7, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A cremation authorization form was not signed by the funeral director; and
- FTC Rules - Package prices do not provide itemization as required.

**Response:** Respondent states that the missing signature on the cremation authorization form was an oversight and resulted from the fact that the family was out of state and involved numerous fax transmissions. Respondent will correct price lists as required.

**Complaint History:** Some prior complaints, all closed.

**Recommendation:** Close with Letter of Warning.

A motion was made by Wayne Hinkle to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Dennis Hamilton

Adopted by voice vote

---

**12. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014161**

An inspection on April 7, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-306 - Licenses were not available for inspection for two (2) funeral directors;
- TCA 62-5-509 - Written receipt for remains was not completed in a cremation file; and
- TCA 62-5-509 - Manner of disposition of cremated remains was not recorded as required.

**Response:** Copies of licenses and cremation logs have been submitted. Issue of missing receipt for cremation is being addressed.

**Complaint History:** Civil penalty of \$250 paid in February 2006 for violation of TCA 62-5-509 (Complaint No. 2009014161).

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$500 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**13. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013201**

An inspection on March 11, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-304 - Sign in front of establishment reflects a name other than the name as registered and approved by the Board;
- TCA 62-5-306 - Four (4) funeral director licenses were not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-304 - Four (4) embalmer licenses were not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-313 - Establishment license not available for inspection;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The price of two (2) caskets in the selection room were different than the price listed on the Casket Price List;
- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license and latest inspection report of the crematory used was not available for inspection.

**Response:** The establishment's name on the sign has been corrected. The funeral director licenses, embalmer licenses, establishment license, and copy of the crematory license and inspection report were present, but the administrative assistant could not find them. The funeral directors were busy with visitations and funerals at other locations at the time of inspection. The casket price discrepancy has been corrected.

**Complaint History:** One closed from 2005.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for a hearing.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**14. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013191**

An inspection on June 3, 2009 revealed the following violation:

- The funeral establishment license expired on April 30, 2009 and was not renewed until May 21, 2009. No funerals were conducted during this time.

**Response:** The respondent states failure to timely renew was an oversight and points out that the necessary application and fees were immediately sent via overnight mail.

**Complaint History:** None.

**Recommendation:** Close with Letter of Warning.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**15. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013171**

An inspection on June 3, 2009 revealed the following violation:

- The funeral establishment license expired on April 30, 2009 and was not renewed until May 21, 2009. Two (2) funerals were conducted during the period the license was invalid.

**Response:** The respondent states failure to timely renew was an oversight and points out that the necessary application and fees were immediately sent via overnight mail whenever notified by the Board Office.

**Complaint History:** None.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Eugene Williams to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

---

**16. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013111**

An inspection on March 10, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license of the crematory used was not present at the beginning of inspection, but had to be faxed;
- TCA 62-5-306 - Funeral director license not available for inspection;
- TCA 62-5-308 - Embalmer license not available for inspection;

- Rule 0660-06-.02 - "Shelter of remains" is listed as an additional charge on the General Price List. This charge is already included in the basic services charge;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - "Specialized supplies/plastic sleeves/apparel" is listed on the General Price List without a corresponding price;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - On the General Price List, the charge for direct cremation exceeds sum of itemizations; and
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - General Price List does not include disclosure language as required regarding Casket Price List and Outer Burial Container Price List.

**Response:** No response received.

**Complaint History:** One unrelated complaint (closed).

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$350 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Wayne Hinkle to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

---

**17. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013091**

An inspection on March 10, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - A copy of the current license of the crematory used was not available for inspection;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - On the General Price List, the low end range for caskets is not accurate;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - On the General Price List, the price range for direct cremations is not correct;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The General Price List lacks a price range for immediate burials;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The Casket Price List does not provide for an alternative container;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The selection room contains a casket offered to consumers that is not listed on the Casket Price List;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Description of merchandise is missing from Statements of Funeral Goods and Services Selected.

**Response:** No response received.

**Complaint History:** One complaint for FTC violations from 2005 (closed).

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$350 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Paula Bridges

Adopted by voice vote

---

**18. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013081**

An inspection on March 2, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-107 - Copy of a cremation authorization form was not provided to an authorizing agent;
- TCA 62-5-107 - Copy of license and inspection report for crematory used had to be faxed during inspection;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Two of the sixteen required items on the General Price List were not present;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Casket Price List does not provide for an alternative container;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Price range for caskets on the General Price List is not accurate;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Price range for outer burial containers on the General Price List is not accurate; and
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - A Statement of Funeral Goods and Services Selected lacks reason for embalming.

**Response:** Price lists will be corrected. The funeral home has only handled two cremations since 1970.

**Complaint History:** Letter of Warning was issued in July 2008 regarding incorrect price ranges.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Dennis Hamilton to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Eugene Williams

Adopted by voice vote

---

**19. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009013051**

An inspection on March 23, 2009 revealed the following violation:

- TCA 62-5-316 - The establishment license expired February 28, 2009 and was not renewed until March 11, 2009. No services were conducted during this period.

**Response:** The delay was unintentional and corrected immediately.

**Complaint History:** None related.

**Recommendation:** Close with Letter of Warning.

A motion was made by Paula Bridges to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

---

**20. Case No.: L09-FUN-RBS-2009014401**

An inspection on May 28, 2009 revealed the following violations:

- TCA 62-5-304 - The sign in front of the establishment contains a name different than the name registered and approved by the Board;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Reason for embalming was not provided as required on two (2) Statements of Funeral Goods and Services Selected;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The Casket Price List does not list a casket being offered to the consumer in the selection room;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - Caption on Casket Price List missing;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The Casket Price List lacks an effective date;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The Casket Price List does not provide for alternative containers;
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The price range for caskets on the General Price List is not accurate; and
- Rule 0660-06-.02 - The price range for immediate burials on the General Price List is not accurate.

**Response:** On one of the Statements of Funeral Goods and Services, there was no overcharge, although the statement was "structurally incorrect". On the other Statement of Funeral Goods and Services noted, an adjustment has been made and a reimbursement has been issued to the family. The Casket Price List has been updated, and other price list issues have been addressed. An order has been placed to have the sign corrected.

**Complaint History:** No recent related complaints.

**Recommendation:** Consent order assessing civil penalty of \$250 and authorization for hearing.

A motion was made by Paula Bridges to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

**DOCKET NO. 12.21-101826A:**

Assistant General Counsel Chick recommended the Board accept an Agreed Order for Docket No. 12.21-101826A.

A motion was made by Eugene Williams to accept Counsel's recommendation.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

**DISPOSITION BY ALKALINE HYDROLYSIS PROCESS:**

Dennis Hamilton presented information to the Board regarding the "green movement" of "disposition by an alkaline hydrolysis process." This is breakthrough technology of Water Resolution that delivers an environmentally responsible alternative to cremation. Mr. Hamilton shared his research "in case we as a board may be faced with the prospect of educating ourselves to find our way through regulating this process."

**ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS:  
ROBERT B. GRIBBLE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

**LICENSEE REPORT:**

**REPORT OF LICENSES ISSUED SEPTEMBER 8, 2009 – OCTOBER 12, 2009 BY  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AS PER BOARD AUTHORITY**

**Establishments**

Middle Tennessee Cremation Center Mount Juliet, TN	New Establishment
Woodlawn-Roesch-Patton Funeral Home & Memorial Park Nashville, TN	Name Change
Forest Lawn Funeral Home & Memorial Gardens	Name Change

Goodlettsville, TN

Hermitage Funeral Home & Memorial Gardens  
Old Hickory, TN Name Change

New Generation Funeral Home  
Antioch, TN Name Change

Nashville Funeral and Cremation Service  
Nashville, TN Name Change

**Individuals**

Janet Montgomery Poindexter  
Nashville, TN Funeral Director

Samuel Wayne Ware  
Knoxville, TN Funeral Director

Cheryl H. Oakes  
Columbia, TN Funeral Director

Dennis Nathaniel Wood  
Walling, TN Funeral Director

Benjamin Todd Ward  
Loudon, TN Funeral Director

Charles Fulton Ramsay  
Chattanooga, TN Funeral Director  
Reapplication

Trevor Dale Wilson  
Etowah, TN Embalmer  
Reapplication

Ralph Marlowe Wood  
Memphis, TN Embalmer  
Reciprocity

Lori Jean Gregory  
Springfield, TN Funeral Director & Embalmer  
Reapplication

Kurt Gilbert Saine  
Greeneville, TN Funeral Director & Embalmer  
Reciprocity

Melissa Lynn Yeary  
Middlesboro, KY Funeral Director & Embalmer  
Reciprocity

Oliver Sheridan Ewing Funeral Director & Embalmer

Memphis, TN

Reciprocity

**CLOSED ESTABLISHMENT REPORT:**

Two (2) establishments have reported closing since the last board meeting:

- **Community Funeral Home, 1488 Tayner Street, Memphis, TN**
- **Curry Funeral Home, 324 Church Street, Tiptonville, TN**

**DISCIPLINARY ACTION REPORT:**

**The Board has previously authorized each Consent Order and Civil Penalty. The Consent Orders have been signed and unless otherwise noted, the Civil Penalty has been paid by the Respondent. The Executive Director requests the Board's acceptance of the following Consent Orders:**

Complaint No. 2009012771

Violation: General Price List lacks listing for alternative containers, reason for embalming not provided where required, description of merchandise and services not provided, price range of caskets not consistent with casket prices in selection room and copy of the latest inspection report of crematory that the funeral home uses was not present for inspection

Action: \$750 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2008013701

Violation: Failure to maintain a copy of the cremation authorization in the deceased's file and unable to produce an Outer Burial Container Price List for inspection

Action: \$350 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009005431

Violation: Statements of Funeral Goods and Services Selected failed to provide a description of merchandise selected and reason for embalming where required and the license of a funeral director was not available for inspection

Action: \$350 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009012621

Violation: The lower end range on the Casket Price List was incorrect, the upper price range for immediate burial was incorrect and the price range for direct cremation was incorrect

Action: \$350 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009012601

Violation: An embalmer license was not available for inspection, a copy of the license and latest inspection report of the crematory that the funeral home uses was not available for inspection, incorrectly charging for use of equipment and staff for graveside service in addition to charging for funeral ceremony and the immediate burial charge exceeds the total of itemization of the components

Action: \$350 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009005291

Violation: The family of a deceased was charged for basic services of funeral director and staff in addition to being charged for a direct cremation, resulting in an overcharge

Action: \$250 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009008441

Violation: Failure to affix a permanent identification device to a dressed and casketed deceased human remains

Action: \$250 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009012791

Violation: Two cremation authorization forms lacked a signature of the funeral director

Action: \$250 Civil Penalty

Complaint No. 2009010001

Violation: Required disclosure language for basic services of funeral director and staff is incorrect, the price for direct cremation is incorrect, "embalming" and "other preparation of the body" are combined rather than being separately itemized as required, the alternative container description on the General Price List does not match the description on the Casket Price List, the Outer Burial Container Price List lacked proper name of the establishment and effective date and the required disclosure language is not present on the GPL nor the CPL

Action: \$750 Civil Penalty

A motion was made by Clark McKinney to approve the Executive Director's request for the Board's acceptance of the above listed Consent Orders.

Seconded by Paula Bridges

Adopted by voice vote

**OPEN COMPLAINT REPORT:**

As of October 9, 2009, there were 100 open complaints.

**APPROVAL OF ESTABLISHMENT LICENSE:**

**HUX-LIPFORD-TEAGUE FUNERAL HOME AND CREMATION SERVICES  
300 WEST MAIN STREET  
MOUNTAIN CITY, TN**

**Changes of Name and Ownership**

**Ownership: Limited Liability Company**

**New Owner(s): Johnson County Family Funeral Services, LLC of Mountain City, TN**

Upon motion by Wayne Hinkle and seconded by Paula Bridges, based upon application record, the establishment was approved for licensure:

Adopted by voice vote

**TEAGUE FUNERAL HOME AND CREMATION SERVICES  
1141 COLD SPRINGS ROAD  
MOUNTAIN CITY, TN**

**Changes of Name and Ownership**

**Ownership: Limited Liability Company**

**New Owner(s): Johnson County Family Funeral Services, LLC of Mountain City, TN**

Upon motion by Dennis Hamilton and seconded by Clark McKinney, based upon application record and the appointment of a manager at this location, the establishment was approved for licensure:

Adopted by voice vote

**LEA & SIMMONS FUNERAL HOME  
1280 SOUTH DUPREE STREET  
BROWNSVILLE, TN**

**New Establishment**

**Ownership: Limited Liability Company**

**New Owner(s): Jody T. Lea Enterprises, LLC of Brownsville, TN**

Upon motion by Dennis Hamilton and seconded by Wayne Hinkle, based upon application record, the establishment was approved for licensure:

Adopted by voice vote

**ADJOURN:**

Before adjourning, President Murphy asked if anyone else had anything to bring before the Board. Audience member Al Tacker asked if he could address the Board regarding a couple of items.

Paula Bridges made a motion to allow him to speak.

Seconded by Clark McKinney

Adopted by voice vote

Member(s) noted as voting to the contrary of the voice vote conclusion:  
Dennis Hamilton

Mr. Tacker presented two issues to the Board, 1) When is a building considered ready for inspection to be considered an establishment? and 2) Can a complaint submitted to the Board Office be verbal or anonymous.

After discussion, no action was taken by the Board on Mr. Tacker's presentation. Mr. Tacker thanked the Board for allowing him to speak.

A motion was made by Paula Bridges to adjourn.

Seconded by Wayne Hinkle

Adopted by voice vote

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned by President David Murphy at 12:07 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

*Robert B. Gribble*

Robert B. Gribble, CFSP  
Executive Director