## Tennessee Alarm Systems Contractors Board 04/19/2018

Jøhn Keith Harvey

MINUTES of the Tennessee Alarm Systems Contractors Board Meeting held June 28, 2018, in Nashville Tennessee.

(Melds Changes) Vuint Husin	NA
Vivian Hixson, Chair	Douglas Fraker
NIA	
Lou Richard	William Scott Cockroft
Q.Mm	

1	STATE OF TENNESSEE
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE ALARM SYSTEMS CONTRACTORS BOARD
3	
4	IN RE:
5	COMMISSION MEETING ) April 19, 2018 )
6	
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	April 19, 2018
10	<b>BEFORE:</b> Chairperson Vivian Hixson and a Board of Three Members
11	a board of fiffee Members
12	
13	APPEARANCES: Ms. Ashley Thomas Mr. Stuart Huffman
14	Assistant General Counsels TN Regulatory Boards
15	Davy Crockett Tower 500 James Robertson Parkway
16	Nashville, TN 37243
17	
18	
19	<b>WILMA O. HUTCHISON</b> Licensed Court Reporter
20	400 Rivercrest Court Nashville, TN 37214
21	(615)889-6288
22	•
23	
24	
25	

The aforementioned hearing came on to be heard on April 19, 2018, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m. in the Davy Crockett Tower, Conference Room 1-B, 500 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee, before the following: Ms. Vivian Hixon, Chairperson, and board members consisting of Mr. Scott Cockroft, Mr. Glenn Cockburn, and Mr. Keith Harvey. Also present were Ms. Cody Vest, Director, and Ms. Shauna Balaszi, Administrative Assistant. The following proceedings were had to wit: 

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Good morning,
4	everyone. We're going to call to order this
5	Thursday, April the 19th, 2018, meeting of the
6	Alarm Systems Contractors Board.
7	First of all, we want to welcome our new
8	board member, Mr. Cockburn. The other,
9	Mr. Richard, is not with us today but will be at
10	the next meeting. With that being said, Ms. Vest,
11	will you please call the roll?
12	MS. VEST: Yes. Thank you. Glenn
13	Cockburn?
14	MR. COCKBURN: Here.
15	MS. VEST: Scott Cockroft?
16	MR. COCKROFT: Here.
17	MS. VEST: Keith Harvey?
18	MR. HARVEY: Here.
19	MS. VEST: Vivian Hixson?
20	MS. HIXSON: Here.
21	MS. VEST: Lou Richard? Let the record
22	show that Lou Richard is not present, Madam Chair,
23	but we do have a quorum. But we would also like
24	to thank our previous board members, Karen Jones
25	and Ken Roberts, for their service.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. VEST: Thank you.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Have the members had
4	an opportunity to review the agenda for today's
5	meeting? And if so, a motion to adopt.
6	MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to adopt
7	the agenda as presented today.
8	MR. COCKROFT: Second.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a
10	motion by Mr. Harvey, a second by Mr. Cockroft to
11	adopt the agenda as presented. All in favor,
12	voice by saying aye? All opposed? The agenda is
13	adopted.
14	Next is the minutes from the February
15	22nd, 2018 board meeting. Have the members had an
16	opportunity to review those minutes? And if so, a
17	motion to approve.
18	MR. COCKROFT: I make a motion to
19	approve the minutes as presented.
20	MR. COCKBURN: I'll second it.
21	MS. VEST: Okay. Mr. Cockroft, please
22	make sure I'm sorry, excuse me.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: We may have to resort
24	to saying Glenn and Scott.
25	MS. VEST: Will that be okay?

1 MR. COCKBURN: That's fine. 2 MS. VEST: Okay, to make sure that you 3 are --4 MR. COCKBURN: Second. 5 Thank you, sir. MS. VEST: 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by 7 Scott and a second by Glenn to approve the minutes 8 as presented. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 9 All opposed? The minutes are approved. Mr. Huffman? 10 11 Good morning. MR. HUFFMAN: I'm now 12 going to read the legal report. 13 No. 1, Case No. 017071671. Complainant 14 noticed Respondent's vehicle and worker installing 15 CCTV. Complainant alleged that Respondent was in 16 a joint venture with another company providing 17 repair services without a license. 18 Respondent was purchased by a new owner, 19 and a new license was issued under the new EIN 20 without CCTV designation. 21 The former owner was to stay on as 22 registered agent. Registered agent had CCTV 23 certification. The new license issued did not 24 have the CCTV certification, as it was already in 25 place with the former owner/registered agent.

1 The former owner requested to retire his 2 license for two years, including the CCTV, without 3 knowledge of the new owner. This license will 4 retire on January 31st, 2019. Respondent was 5 notified of this issue when the complaint was 6 filed. 7 Respondent has ceased all CCTV work and 8 is in the process of obtaining the CCTV 9 certification. Recommendation is to send a letter 10 of warning. 11 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to concur 12 with counsel. 13 MR. COCKBURN: I second. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 15 motion by Mr. Harvey, a second by Mr. Cockburn to 16 concur with our counsel's recommendation in this 17 matter. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 18 opposed? The motion carries. 19 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 2, Case No. 2018000421. Complainant requested 20 21 cancellation of the contract and alleges 22 Respondent refused because the Complainant signed 23 a four-vear contract. 24 Complainant alleges the signature on the 25 contract is not of his own. Respondent alleges

1 that Complainant made an electronic signature 2 consenting to the contract on September 7, 2016, 3 for a four-year term. 4 Respondent further alleges that the 5 Complainant completed a pre-installation 6 telephonic survey confirming he understood the 7 terms and conditions of the contract. 8 Respondent has offered to reduce 9 Complainant's monthly fee by 40 percent, which 10 will reduce the payoff balance. There has been no 11 response from Complainant. 12 Recommendation is, this is a contract 13 dispute and close. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. Have we had 15 similar complaints against this Respondent before? 16 This is a bigger MR. HUFFMAN: Yes. 17 company. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: But of this same 19 nature, we've had similar complaints, not just 20 complaints but similar complaints about the 21 signatures? 22 I don't believe we've had MR. HUFFMAN: 23 any complaints about the electronic signatures. 24 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion that we 25 concur with counsel's recommendation but also

1 advise both parties that this is more of a civil 2 matter than a board matter. 3 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: okay. We have a 5 motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockroft 6 to concur with our counsel's recommendation, with 7 a note that this is more of a civil matter than a 8 board matter. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 9 All opposed? The motion carries. 10 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 3, Case 11 No. 2018005511. Complainant is the former 12 employee alleging that Respondent is operating 13 without a qualifying agent since June of 2017 in 14 the Chattanooga area and continues to contract. 15 Respondent states that the former 16 employee was hired to start the branch office in 17 Chattanooga and therefore was the qualifying 18 agent. 19 Respondent has an office in Nashville 20 and sent technicians to finish the minimal amount 21 of work it had in Chattanooga. Respondent did not 22 give required notice to the Board. 23 Respondent continues to look for a 24 technician to start the Chattanooga office as a

qualifying agent.

25

1 Per Tennessee Code Annotated 62-32-304, 2 paragraph (c), in the event that a QA ceases to 3 perform their duties, the business entity shall inform the Board within ten working days. 4 5 This business must obtain a substitute 6 QA within thirty days unless the Board, in its 7 discretion, extends the period for good cause for 8 a period not to exceed three months. As of 9 February 20, 2018, the Respondent has appointed a 10 QA. 11 The recommendation is to send a letter 12 of warning or, in the alternative, authorize 13 formal hearing and send a consent order with a 14 civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000 for 15 violation of T.C.A.62-32-304, paragraph (c). 16 MR. COCKROFT: So the Complainant is the 17 former qualifying agent? 18 MR. HUFFMAN: Yes. 19 But he has a MR. COCKROFT: 20 responsibility to report that he's no longer 21 there: right? So that was never done either? 22 Yes, it was. MS. VEST: But now --23 MR. COCKROFT: Timely? 24 MS. VEST: Yeah, timely. Then they 25 contacted the office to tell me they had lost

1 their qualifying agent, and they were going to try 2 to appoint someone. They had so long to do that. 3 It was my understanding they did hire someone or 4 try to appoint someone, and that didn't work out 5 either. So we have this time span that's 6 happened. MR. HARVEY: But in the interim 7 time, the Respondent did at some point file with 8 our office. They were without a QA and were 9 trying to find one. 10 Telephonic. I never received MS. VEST: 11 any correspondence from them, but they did call 12 the office. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was this before or 14 after the complaint was filed? 15 MS. VEST: Oh, no. This was before the 16 complaint was filed. 17 MR. COCKROFT: Are they actually 18 operating an office in Chattanooga at this point? 19 It sounds like they're operating out of Nashville. 20 MS. VEST: When they called and 21 explained it to me, they were closing down that 22 Chattanooga office, and all the work was coming 23 out of the Nashville office, which is licensed and 24 has a OA. 25 MR. HARVEY: So they were trying to get

1 it done correctly. 2 MS. VEST: They attempted, yes, sir. 3 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion in this 4 case, after hearing all the facts, that we follow 5 the recommendation of sending a letter of warning. 6 MR. COCKBURN: With no civil penalty or 7 with the penalty? 8 MR. HARVEY: I'd say without a penalty. 9 MR. COCKBURN: I second. 10 Okav. We have a THE CHAIRPERSON: 11 motion by Mr. Harvey, a second by Mr. Cockburn to 12 send a letter of warning to this company without 13 any civil penalty. All in favor, voice by saying 14 ave? All opposed? The motion carries. 15 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 4, Case 16 No. 2018006521. Anonymous complaint alleging 17 Respondent company is not licensed and advertising 18 to install security camera systems on a website. 19 Respondent is a manufacturer of wireless 20 self-monitoring security systems and offers the 21 product on their website. Respondent does not 22 install or monitor the system. 23 The complaint also involves an 24 individual that is offering the Respondent's 25 system through an active licensed company. The

individual is the active registered employee of that company. This individual stated that he is only selling the products of Respondent and contracts with two licensed technicians that install the systems if needed. Recommendation is to close.

MR. COCKROFT: So they are being sold by the Respondent company?

MR. HUFFMAN: The Respondent is the company that has a website where you can buy a monitoring system or have your TV fixed or computer fixed, and they sell self-monitoring cameras where you hook it up to your phone, laptop.

MR. COCKROFT: I guess I'm a little confused or lost. If they're selling it and then subcontracting it out, I would think that would be a violation. If they're just selling the part, and someone else is installing it completely separate, I wouldn't see that as a violation. But I don't entirely clearly understand how it goes.

MR. HUFFMAN: The Respondent themselves is just selling the parts. They're just the manufacturer of the parts. The individual that is buying those parts through his company is then

1	selling those to the consumer and having them
2	installed by other licensed electricians. But the
3	complaint itself is actually against the
4	Respondent website.
5	MR. HARVEY: He doesn't appear to be
6	doing anything wrong. But you've got a guy that's
7	buying a do-it-yourself system that's unlicensed
8	selling to other people who have licensed people
9	install it?
10	MR. HUFFMAN: The person buying is
11	licensed. He is just not actually installing.
12	MR. COCKROFT: So the person buying it
13	is licensed, and he's having someone else that is
14	licensed to install it?
15	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes.
16	MR. COCKROFT: And you feel comfortable
17	that the website is not the one doing any selling
18	of the installation?
19	MR. HUFFMAN: They're not doing any
20	installation or monitoring.
21	MR. COCKROFT: But are they selling the
22	installation aspect of it?
23	MR. HUFFMAN: No, just the parts.
24	MR. HARVEY: There's no violation then.
25	I make a motion to agree with counsel.

MR. COCKROFT: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockroft to concur with our counsel's recommendation to close. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The motion carries.

MR. HUFFMAN: No. 5, Case

No. 20180014291. This is also another -- it's associated with the last one. The Complainant alleges the Respondent company is not licensed and advertising to install security camera systems on a website.

Respondent is the manufacturer of wireless self-monitoring security systems and offers the product on their website. Respondent does not install or monitor the system.

The complaint also involves an individual that is offering the Respondent's system through an active licensed company. The individual is the active registered employee of that company.

This individual stated that he is only selling the products of Respondent and contracts with two licensed technicians that install the systems if needed. Recommendation is to close

1	this one also.
2	MR. COCKROFT: So is this a second
3	complaint on the same thing?
4	MR. HUFFMAN: It was. One of them was
5	anonymous, and then another one was a person that
6	saw the website on a Facebook market page.
7	MR. COCKROFT: Well, what I'm confused
8	about is, both of them say that they're
9	advertising to install cameras. And if they're
10	advertising it, that's a violation if they're
11	advertising the install, even if they don't do it.
12	MR. HUFFMAN: Right. The Respondent of
13	the website is not advertising installation.
14	They're just advertising the product.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Have you checked the
16	website?
17	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did you see anything
19	relative to installing cameras on that website?
20	MR. HUFFMAN: I did not see anything
21	about installation.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Then how could two
23	different people reach that conclusion?
24	MR. COCKROFT: Well, it may have been
25	the same person if one was anonymous and one

1	wasn't, but
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: True.
3	MR. HUFFMAN: They didn't reach any
4	conclusion. It was, "We think this is going on."
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay. It wasn't
6	concretely there.
7	MR. HUFFMAN: No. I think it's more
8	against the individual than it is the website.
9	MR. COCKROFT: But the individuals are
10	licensed?
11	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes.
12	MR. COCKROFT: For CCTV?
13	MR. HUFFMAN: Well, we don't know who
14	the individuals are. We were just told that they
15	are licensed technicians.
16	MR. COCKROFT: By who though? Who were
17	you told this, by the manufacturer?
18	MR. HUFFMAN: By the individual buying
19	the product off the website, who is licensed.
20	MR. COCKROFT: The complaint is against
21	the person selling the equipment.
22	MR. HUFFMAN: The complaint itself is
23	against the website
24	MR. COCKROFT: Right.
25	MR. HUFFMAN: the company that owns

1 the website. 2 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to close. 3 MR. COCKBURN: Second. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 5 motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockburn to close this complaint. All in favor, voice by 6 7 saying aye? All opposed? The motion carries. 8 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 6, Case No. 018009421. 9 Complainant alleges she has tried to cancel her 10 contract, but it will be \$600 per the contract, 11 and Respondent refuses to cancel. 12 Respondent in its response states the 13 Complainant was under a renewal clause of the 14 However, the account has been contract. 15 terminated, and no money is owed by the 16 Recommendation is to close. Complainant. 17 MR. COCKBURN: I move we close. 18 MR. HARVEY: Second. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 20 motion by Mr. Cockburn and a second by Mr. Harvey 21 to concur with our counsel's recommendation to 22 close. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All 23 opposed? The motion carries. 24 No. 7, Case MR. HUFFMAN: 25 No. 2018009441. Complainant was told she would

1 receive a refund of \$60 when she canceled her 2 contract with Respondent. Respondent sent the 3 refund but was returned due to incorrect address. 4 Respondent has since sent the refund back out to 5 the verified address. Recommendation is to close. 6 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to concur. 7 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 9 motion by Mr. Harvey, a second by Mr. Cockroft to 10 concur with our counsel in this matter. All in 11 favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? 12 motion carries. 13 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 8, Case 14 No. 2018010911. Complainant contracted with 15 Respondent for home security services. 16 Complainant alleges that Respondent voluntarily 17 canceled the monitoring service without her 18 knowledge until the alarm went off, and the 19 monitoring service did not call. 20 Respondent contracted with a monitoring 21 service and terminated the contract in January 22 2018 following a dispute. 23 Respondent informed all of his customers 24

25

via email and letter that they would need to find

another monitoring service. Respondent holds an

active electrical contractor's license that expires November 30, 2019.

Respondent produced an exemption from the Board dated June 4, 2003, stating that he is specifically excluded from the provisions of the Act per Tennessee Code Annotated 62-32-305, paragraph (7), deriving less than 50 percent of gross annual income from direct sales, monitoring, installation, and/or maintenance services of alarm systems.

The exemption law changed in 2009, and it is believed that the Respondent is unaware.

The recommendation is to close, with the stipulation that the Department will send a letter to the Respondent notifying them that they need to reapply for exemption.

MR. HARVEY: It sounds like he's inching his way out of the alarm industry altogether anyway. I make a motion to concur with counsel.

MR. COCKBURN: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockburn to concur with our counsel's recommendation in this matter. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The motion carries.

No. 2018011621. Complainant alleges that
Respondent sent a representative to her home to
upgrade the system. The representative informed
Complainant that the company had changed names to

the Respondent and that her billing would be five

No. 9, Case

MR. HUFFMAN:

dollars less per month.

Complainant was billed \$39.95 by
Respondent but also 44.95 by the former company
for four months. Complainant disputed with her
bank and was refunded the former company's billing
amount but is still being billed \$44.95.

Respondent stated that the representative is no longer employed and would have been fired if they had known about the situation with Complainant.

Complainant wants out of the contract.

Respondent has released Complainant from the contract and scheduled to have equipment removed. Recommendation is to send letter of warning referencing Tennessee Rules and Regs. 0090-06-.03, Standards of Conduct and Ethics.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Has this company had a similar history of complaints against them of this nature?

1 I do not believe so. MR. HUFFMAN: 2 MR. COCKBURN: I move we accept 3 counsel's suggestion. 4 T second. MR. HARVEY: 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by 6 Mr. Cockburn and a second by Mr. Harvey to concur 7 with our counsel's recommendation. All in favor, 8 voice by saying aye? All opposed? The motion 9 carries. 10 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 10. Case 11 No. 2018012291. Complainant issued a lengthy 12 complaint with timelines and dates. Complainant 13 and Respondent contracted for a home alarm system 14 in June of 2017, but issues began about January 15 2018. 16 Complainant's system was showing an 17 issue with the fire detector and battery, making 18 the panel constantly ding. 19 Respondent had sent supervisors and 20 techs out to Complainant's house numerous times to 21 resolve the issue. However, Complainant alleges 22 the issue is still not fixed. 23 Complainant has since unplugged the 24 panel and removed the battery to stop the dinging. 25 Complainant is upset that she is paying for a

All in

1 service that does not work and alleges that 2 Respondent informed her that if she canceled, then 3 her monthly billing will increase due to the alarm 4 system being part of a discount package deal. 5 Recommendation is, this is a matter of 6 product failure and contract dispute and close. 7 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to concur 8 with counsel. 9 MR. COCKBURN: Second. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 11 motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockburn 12 to concur with our counsel in this matter. 13 favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? 14 motion carries. 15 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 11, Case 16 No. 2018012721. Complainant alleges that 17 Respondent knocked on her door and told her that 18 her rates would be increasing and another company 19 bought out the alarm company that complainant was 20 currently using. 21 Complainant alleges that salesman showed 22 her an article from the Internet to validate. 23 Complainant felt that she was rushed into this 24 agreement to cancel, but Respondent told her that

she had to pay the balance on the 60-month

25

contract.

2 3

Respondent alleges they have tried to reach out to Complainant without success.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respondent alleges there is a recorded call with Complainant pre-installation stating that she understood that her current company was still in business, and the reason for switching was due to the product being offered by Respondent. There is no mention of rate increases or company being bought out.

Respondent believes that the previous company is trying to win her business back and telling Complainant that she was scammed. Respondent is a legitimate company and alleges that they are trying to discuss the matter with the Complainant to ensure her worries.

Recommendation is to send a letter of warning referencing Tennessee Rules and Regulations 0090-06-.03, Standards of Conduct and Ethics.

MR. COCKROFT: How long after was she wanting to cancel? I mean, was this like right away or within three days or ...

No. This was about a MR. HUFFMAN: year.

1 MR. COCKROFT: A good bit of time later. 2 MR. HUFFMAN: Yes. It was about seven 3 or eight months, maybe nine months. It started 4 when she saw something on the local news about a 5 report of alarm system scams. 6 MR. COCKROFT: Was the other information 7 true, that the other company was going out of 8 business, or is ... 9 MR. HUFFMAN: No. That information was 10 really on the news story. It wasn't from the 11 Respondent. I think she really just got it off 12 the news. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. But it says in 14 the report that her rates would be increasing and 15 another company bought out the alarm company that 16 Complainant was currently using. So, I mean, it 17 says in the report that the person that knocked on 18 the door told her that. 19 MR. HUFFMAN: That's what she alleges, 20 but the Respondent says that's not what happened, 21 that they went through the contract that she 22 signed, and they went through the telephone survey 23 questionnaire. But the information that she put 24 into her complaint came from the news story, which

Respondent is saying was started by the former

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

company.

I would think that if it MR. COCKROFT: was a scam, she would know -- she would still be getting a bill from the -- I guess she could have canceled.

But I was thinking if she would still be getting a bill from the other company, that she could have still canceled that, because my concern is either they didn't do that, and there shouldn't even be a letter of warning, because that would be on the record, or they did it and it ought to be more severe than that.

You feel pretty confident that it wasn't true, that the -- you feel like the story was somewhat fabricated to get out of the contract or the --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Or if she mixed two stories into one.

MR. HUFFMAN: I believe a little bit of I believe that the Respondent did not say that, and I believe she's mixing it up with the story, based on what I've seen and the documents that have been provided by both parties.

MR. COCKBURN: Was there a hard copy left with her or it was just that she alleges they

24

25

1 showed it to her and it disappeared? 2 MR. HUFFMAN: No. She provided the 3 contract. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: But she doesn't have a 5 copy of the internet article that she says she was 6 shown; correct? 7 MR. HUFFMAN: No. I had to go back and 8 find it. The letter was actually sent to the 9 Attorney General stating the story, and I had to 10 go back and listen to the story. 11 MR. COCKROFT: Was that even related to 12 any of the companies in this? 13 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 14 MR. COCKROFT: And it's not her old 15 company, and it's not her new company --16 It was neither company. MR. HUFFMAN: 17 MR. COCKROFT: That didn't have anything 18 to do with that. I mean, it is a serious thing. It is a big problem where people do what's alleged 19 20 here, and that's what that whole new -- the rules 21 were about. It's just so hard to prove that or to 22 know what's going on. 23 MR. HUFFMAN: It really is. I mean, 24 now, some of them are pretty blatant. 25 sometimes stories get mixed, and you have one side

1 and then the other side saying two different 2 things, and you have to kind of figure it out. 3 But it is a problem when people come in 4 and tell customers, "You need to be with our 5 system, because this other company is going away." 6 or, "We bought that company." 7 MR. COCKROFT: Right. It's kind of a 8 mixture of the two. They didn't say that they 9 bought the company. They said that who she was 10 using got bought, and they would like to now have 11 her service. 12 So it's not really where someone got 13 slammed where they were just told, "Oh, we bought 14 your company," because they would know. If that 15 was wrong, they would know that right away. 16 They'd get a bill from two places. 17 MR. HUFFMAN: If this company that they 18 were talking about was bought out, it would be on 19 the news. It's a very big company. 20 MR. COCKROFT: So if that was said, then 21 that wasn't true is what you're saying? 22 MR. HUFFMAN: Right. 23 MR. COCKBURN: Do we have definitive 24 proof either way? 25 MR. HUFFMAN: No.

1	MR. COCKBURN: I would just hate to see
2	a company get a letter that would be in their
3	record, and there's no definitive proof.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, the letter of
5	warning is just that. It's just advising them of
6	what the law states in case there is a situation
7	in the future. We do that quite often. We send a
8	letter of warning if it's an iffy-type situation.
9	MR. HARVEY: Or a letter of instruction.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.
11	MR. HUFFMAN: We just want to make sure
12	that they're not out there telling people this
13	information
14	MR. COCKROFT: Right.
15	MR. HUFFMAN: and that's why I
16	recommend a letter of warning.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: So has this company
18	had a similar complaint in the past against him of
19	this nature?
20	MR. HUFFMAN: I don't believe so.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: I see there's a
22	previous complaint with a \$500 civil penalty, and
23	I was just wondering if it was of this same
24	nature.
25	MR. HUFFMAN: It is not of this type of

1 nature, no. 2 I make a motion to concur MR. COCKROFT: 3 with the recommendations of our counsel. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 5 motion by Mr. Cockroft. Do we have a second? 6 MR. HARVEY: I second. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: And a second by 8 Mr. Harvey. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 9 All opposed? The motion carries. 10 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 12, Case 11 No. 2018013891. Complainant is in the process of 12 selling her house. Complainant alleges that the 13 monetary provider will not terminate the contract, 14 even though Complainant has offered the 15 termination fee. 16 Complainant alleges that Respondent 17 informed her that she will need a family member or friend to resume the contract. Respondent says 18 19 that Complainant received the system at no cost or 20 labor and signed a 36-month contract in October 21 2017. 22 Respondent also states that Complainant 23 has made four payments and is still obligated on 24 the contract. Respondent has offered a discounted

However, Complainant has not yet

25

termination fee.

1 responded. 2 Recommendation is, this is a contract 3 dispute and to close. 4 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion to concur 5 with counsel. 6 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 8 motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockroft 9 to concur with our counsel's recommendation. All 10 in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The motion carries. 11 12 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 13, Case 13 No. 2018016371. Complainant alleges they switched 14 services to Respondent online. However, 15 Respondent will not show up to install the system. 16 Complainant now wants to terminate the contract. 17 Respondent has released Complainant from 18 the contract and refunded any deposit made. 19 Recommendation is to close. 20 MR. COCKBURN: I motion we agree with 21 counsel. 22 MR. HARVEY: Second. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 24 motion by Mr. Cockburn and a second by Mr. Harvey 25 to concur with our counsel's recommendation. A11

1 in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The 2 motion carries. 3 MR. HUFFMAN: No. 14, Case 4 No. 2018016501. Complainant alleges unlicensed 5 activity of the Respondent after it installed a 6 system at the local schools. Complainant was 7 concerned for the children and staff that the 8 system was not properly installed due to no 9 license. 10 Complainant happens to be a QA for 11 another alarm system company that lost the bid, and wife works at one of the schools. 12 13 Respondent states that they possess a 14 valid S-Low Voltage license and was assured that 15 they were adequately licensed since the school was 16 monitoring the system. Respondent admits that 17 they provide hardware and software, as well as the installation if requested. 18 19 Recommendation is to send a letter of 20 warning and instructions for obtaining an ASC 21 license. 22 MR. COCKROFT: What's the normal for 23 unlicensed activity? What's our normal? 24 MS. VEST: Is it a minimum of a thousand 25 dollars?

1 It is a minimum of a MR. HUFFMAN: 2 thousand. 3 MR. COCKROFT: I think unlicensed 4 activity is a big deal. 5 MS. VEST: Okay. Let me, if I can, 6 explain a little bit more about this one. We got 7 a telephone call from the school system, and they 8 said they do S-Low Voltage, which falls under the 9 Contractors Board, and so you are low voltage. 10 They were given erroneous information by 11 one of our staff members and told they did not 12 need this license. The school called me, and then 13 the individual who filed a complaint also called. 14 MR. COCKROFT: I remember discussing it 15 before. 16 MS. VEST: Just you and I, yes. 17 believe it was when I called you. 18 MR. COCKROFT: I thought we heard it at 19 a board meeting or something, maybe not. 20 That's why I called you MS. VEST: No. 21 to get advice whether they did or not, because it 22 threw the staff off when it said S-Low Voltage, 23 which meant really action, nothing to us. 24 individual was not a CE contractor, so they will 25 not fall under the exclusion. They would have to

1	have an alarm
2	MR. COCKROFT: What did they install?
3	MS. VEST: They were changing from an
4	analytical system to a different type of a system.
5	MR. COCKROFT: But a fire alarm or
6	burglar alarm or
7	MS. VEST: No. I believe it's more like
8	that face recognition.
9	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes. I believe it's more
10	face recognition software.
11	MR. COCKROFT: Part of the CCTV?
12	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes.
13	MS. VEST: Yes. So we feel like they
14	did install it with good faith, because they were
15	told they didn't need the license. Stuart and I
16	have talked about this. We need to tell them at
17	least they do need to get the license. We feel
18	now that they do have to have a license. But the
19	system is already installed.
20	MR. COCKROFT: But you feel like they
21	were given wrong information?
22	MS. VEST: Oh, I know they were, yes,
23	sir.
24	MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Well, that makes a
25	big difference to me then. And it was an honest

This

1 mistake, but ... 2 MR. HUFFMAN: And also the company has 3 reached out and asked what do they need to do to 4 make sure that there's no problems in the future. 5 MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Well, I make a 6 motion to concur with our recommendations of 7 counsel. 8 MR. COCKBURN: I second. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 10 motion by Mr. Cockroft and a second by 11 Mr. Cockburn to concur with our counsel's 12 recommendation. All in favor, voice by saying 13 aye? All opposed? The motion carries. 14 MR. HUFFMAN: These cases are being 15 re-presented. No. 15, Case No. 2017078531. 16 complaint was opened by request of the Board in 17 December of 2017 meeting after hearing the 18 previous complainant 2017057981. 19 Complainant alleged that Respondent was 20 performing an upgrade to a hospital's fire alarm 21 devices on August 28, 2017, and that Respondent 22 was unlicensed. 23 Respondent responded to the previous 24 complaint and stated they were not installing the

system, only furnishing materials for the

25

installation. An investigation was requested.

The investigator determined that a licensed company, Respondent in this case, had employed two individuals to perform the work. One of the workers is listed as an applicant, and one is not listed at all.

Respondent states that he never received any paperwork back from the State on the missing applicant. Respondent stated that apparently the paperwork must have become lost.

Both applicants have been properly registered as of January 8, 2018. Updated information is, Respondent submitted applications for the two alleged unregistered employees before the hospital project.

Additional documents were needed from Respondent, and instead of sending the requested documents, Respondent sent new applications. The new applications were submitted after the hospital project.

There was no violation at the time of the project. New recommendation is to close.

MR. COCKROFT: I make a motion to concur with our counsel's recommendation.

MR. COCKBURN: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by Mr. Cockroft and a second by Mr. Cockburn to concur with our counsel's recommendation. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The motion carries.

MR. HUFFMAN: No. 16, Case

No. 2017060071. This is an industry complaint.

Complainant alleges that Respondent advertising on facebook to install security cameras without a license.

Respondent responded to the complaint and states that he does asphalt sealing; however, installed a self-monitoring Samsung security camera from Sam's Club that required no monthly fees for monitoring for his neighbor.

Respondent states the Complainant is a competitor who is trying to create confusion about his business. Respondent states that he has a business license and mainly does asphalt sealing and pressure washing.

Updated information, Respondent states that he helped his neighbor install a wireless door monitor and thought it was fairly simple. So he added it to his business card. He has recently agreed to take off the "offering security cameras"

1	on his business card and Facebook page. He mainly
2	does asphalt sealing and landscaping jobs but is
3	sort of a "jack of all trades" guy.
4	The new recommendation is to send a
5	letter of warning and close.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm not persuaded to
7	change that.
8	MR. COCKROFT: Really? Have you
9	verified that the advertising was taken down?
10	MR. HUFFMAN: Well, this is just a
11	recent event this week. As of now I do not
12	believe it's taken down.
13	MR. HARVEY: But as far as the camera
14	goes, we have no evidence to indicate that he has
15	done any work for anyone other than just help his
16	neighbor out, put one in.
17	MR. HUFFMAN: Yes.
18	MR. HARVEY: So there's really no
19	violation other than what little advertising he
20	may have done on a business card.
21	MR. HUFFMAN: That's exactly right.
22	MR. COCKBURN: My concern is, is that he
23	had as I understand it, it's his neighbor. But
24	he put it on his business cards with the intent to
25	install cameras.

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: And on his Facebook
2	page.
3	MR. COCKBURN: And on his Facebook page.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: And it's not been
5	taken down?
6	MR. HUFFMAN: No. His business card is
7	actually the page
8	MS. THOMAS: Just the picture on his
9	Facebook page.
10	MR. HUFFMAN: Right. It's the picture
11	on his Facebook page. It's his business card that
12	has "offering security cameras." And he just goes
13	to Sam's Club or Walmart and buys the wireless
14	monitoring system, but there's no evidence that he
15	has done this except for installed it for his
16	neighbor.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: That you know of. But
18	he's still advertising, and he's still done it,
19	which is a violation of the law that he's
20	installing CCTV cameras without a license.
21	MR. HUFFMAN: We know that he is
22	advertising.
23	MR. COCKBURN: Well, he's admitted to
24	one.
25	MR. HUFFMAN: To one, yes.

1	MR. COCKROFT: Well, in the initial
2	response he says that he installed Samsung
3	security cameras from Sam's Club. But then the
4	other response, he's now saying it was just
5	what does he say I mean, I get the impression
6	maybe it was like a video doorbell.
7	MS. VEST: Yes. He was.
8	MR. COCKROFT: But it sounds like
9	he's
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: No. A CCTV system
11	from Sam's is a CCTV system
12	MR. COCKROFT: Right.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: but that's
14	not
15	MS. VEST: It's not a doorbell.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Did anybody install
17	them? I mean, if I go there, can I
18	MR. COCKBURN: If you're the homeowner.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: If you're the
20	homeowner, yes.
21	MR. COCKBURN: If he's the homeowner,
22	yes. But he's running a business and is
23	advertising that he installs them.
24	MR. COCKROFT: At face value it sounds
25	like it started innocently maybe. He helped his

1	neighbor, and he thought that was easy. So he
2	added it to his business card. But it also sounds
3	like he did a doorbell, and then he's also saying
4	he does security cameras from Sam's. That's two
5	different things, if it's
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where is the doorbell?
7	MR. COCKBURN: That's on the
8	(Multiple people talking.)
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, a wireless
10	doorbell monitoring.
11	MR. COCKROFT: He thought it was fairly
12	simple, so he added it to his business card.
13	MR. HARVEY: But he has been advised
14	what the law is and what he is supposed to be
15	doing, assuming he did not know before.
16	MR. HUFFMAN: He has. He has been
17	advised, and he states it. His main job is
18	asphalt sealing and landscape jobs and pressure
19	washing, things like that. He admits that he
20	helped his neighbor, thought it was easy, and
21	that's why he put it on there, thinking he could
22	make some extra money.
23	MR. HARVEY: I'm fine with a letter of
24	warning. But if you all want to
25	MR. COCKBURN: My only concern is that

1	his original response was, is that he's installing
2	self-monitoring Samsung security cameras from
3	Sam's Club. I mean, he has admitted that he's
4	doing cameras. And then next is, "I just helped
5	my neighbor." That's a concern.
6	I mean, in an industry where we govern
7	installations, he did an install. I mean, this is
8	kind of expanded out. But if I get caught drunk
9	driving, "Well, I only did it once."
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: I make the motion that
11	we stick with our original decision. And if he
12	wants to file for a formal hearing or whatever,
13	then we'll go from there.
14	MR. COCKBURN: I second.
15	MR. HARVEY: Which was what?
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: We stick with our
17	original decision that was filed (someone
18	coughing).
19	MR. COCKROFT: It's a \$1,000 civil
20	penalty. We had a motion and a second.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. We had the
22	motion. I made the motion. Mr. Cockburn seconded
23	it. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All
24	those the motion carries.
25	MR. HUFFMAN: No. 17, Case

5

No. 2017057241. This complaint was presented to the Board initially in December of 2017 with Complainant alleging that Respondent fraudulently obtained her business by indicating the Respondent upgraded her system following a merger of the company.

The Board authorized a consent order and civil penalty of \$500 for violation of Tennessee Rules and Regs. 0090-06-.03, paragraph (2), subparagraph (f), Standard of Conduct and Ethics.

The Respondent submitted to the legal division a copy of the April 2017 welcome call involving Complainant. In the call, Complainant acknowledged that Respondent was an independent company not owned or affiliated with any other alarm system company.

Complainant indicated in the call that she was a customer of another company and was not billed by another alarm company.

Respondent stated that upon receipt of a telephone call by Complainant, the safeguards to avoid confusion about affiliation were explained, and Respondent indicated the company would be willing to consider buying out Complainant's contract.

25

Respondent's next communication from Complainant came in the form of a Better Business Bureau and Division of Consumer Affairs complaint. Once those complaints were received, Respondent investigated and refunded Complainant and terminated the agreement on June 7, 2017.

Additionally, Respondent terminated the employment of the sales representative involved in

Revised recommendation is to issue a letter of warning to Respondent regarding Tennessee Rules and Regs. 0090-06-.03, paragraph (2), subparagraph (f), Standard of Conduct and

THE CHAIRPERSON: You know, this is several cases we've had in this legal report that all basically are the same complaint. Is there not anything that can be done to these companies? Are these all the same companies, or are these

MR. HUFFMAN: They're different

Something needs to be THE CHAIRPERSON: done, because it seems to me they're almost committing a type of fraud or whatever where

1	they're going to people and almost scamming them
2	to where they don't understand what's happening.
3	And in this case, there must be some validity,
4	because the Respondent has terminated the sales
5	representative.
6	MR. HUFFMAN: I would agree with you.
7	MR. COCKROFT: My first thought when it
8	said they had terminated the contract, I think, or
9	they let her out of the contract, that was like
10	that might not, in and of itself, be an admission
11	of guilt, because it may be easier to do that than
12	fight it.
13	But then when you've also let the
14	employee go, that's a little bit more telling.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's true. I make a
16	motion to stick with our original decision.
17	MR. HARVEY: I second.
18	MR. COCKROFT: So what was our original?
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: \$500 in Code of
20	Conduct, Standards of Conduct and Ethics. I think
21	it's at the top of the sheet.
22	MR. HARVEY: Oh, I'm sorry, I
23	misunderstood.
24	MR. COCKROFT: Oh, I see it up there.
25	Okay.

I can't

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you withdrawing 2 your second? 3 MR. COCKROFT: So we have a motion with 4 no second? 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well I don't know. He 6 seconded it, but I don't know if he's going to 7 withdraw it or not. 8 MR. HARVEY: Yeah. I thought you were 9 speaking of the new recommendation. I'm sorry, I 10 do withdraw. 11 MS. VEST: Excuse me --12 MS. THOMAS: Of course, not to try to 13 sway the Board against their decision, I will say 14 that I personally listened to this welcome call. 15 This was sent in, and this is why it's being 16 re-presented, because I had the same reservation 17 as you did in December of 2017. 18 But I've been in contact with their 19 legal counsel, and that's where the information 20 They provided me with a copy of the welcome came. 21 call. I listened to it, and that was where the 22 complainant indicated that she knew it was a 23 separate company. She knew that they were not 24 affiliated, and she still wanted to proceed with

Respondent company as her alarm provider.

1 speak to why they terminated that sales 2 representative, of course. But that information 3 was provided to me, and so I provided it to you 4 all. 5 MR. COCKROFT: And that's what I wonder 6 on some of these. They say they have called, but 7 you actually heard it. 8 MS. THOMAS: Yes. 9 MR. COCKROFT: So you have a better 10 feeling of it than we do, because I wonder what is 11 going on. Is someone saying something beforehand 12 and saying, "Oh, well, you've got to answer all 13 these questions or I can't do it"? 14 MS. THOMAS: Right. 15 MR. COCKROFT: But then they're 16 knowledgeable of it. If they do that, it's like 17 they're answering the questions. They're agreeing 18 to it. 19 Did they knock on her THE CHAIRPERSON: 20 door as her first contact, or was it when whatever 21 this welcome call was? 22 MS. THOMAS: I believe it was a 23 door-to-door sales call. And so then right before 24 they enter into the contract, they have to contact 25 their corporate office or whomever and acknowledge

1	all these different scenarios, that it is an
2	independent company. They aren't being pressured
3	into signing this contract before they begin
4	MR. COCKBURN: So it's an attempt to
5	provide proof of non-duress?
6	MS. THOMAS: Sure. Yes, sir.
7	MR. COCKROFT: Well, and it's also an
8	effort on the company's part to make sure that
9	it's not going on. It's a double-check on their
10	salesperson, and this is against the company.
11	So even if that happened, I guess, the
12	company was making a diligent effort, it sounds
13	like, to prevent it. I mean, the salesman would
14	have had to say had to have this line
15	MS. THOMAS: They're going to ask you
16	these questions; right.
17	MR. COCKROFT: Well, why would someone
18	do that if they didn't believe it?
19	MS. THOMAS: Right. And our issue is,
20	we would have no way to prove that it did or
21	didn't happen.
22	MR. COCKROFT: Right.
23	MR. COCKBURN: So currently there's no
24	motion.
25	MS. THOMAS: Right.

1 MR. COCKBURN: I move we go with the 2 revised recommendation. It seems as though the 3 company did try to prevent anything like that 4 happening, the Complainant against the company. 5 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 7 motion by Mr. Cockburn and a second by 8 Mr. Cockroft to concur with our counsel's revised 9 recommendation. All in favor, voice by saying 10 aye? All opposed? The motion carries. 11 MR. HUFFMAN: That is the end of the 12 legal report. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. 14 We're going to take a 15-minute break. 15 (Recess taken.) 16 (BY THE CHAIRPERSON) Okay. We're going 17 to call our meeting back to order. I think the 18 next thing on the agenda are the appearances? 19 MS. VEST: Yes, ma'am. We have two 20 appearances today, and I have already asked both 21 of them to sign in. The first one is going to be 22 Erick Seedorf, if I'm pronouncing that correctly. 23 MR. SEEDORF: Yes. 24 MS. VEST: All right. If you would, you 25 need to look at Exhibit A. And, Mr. Seedorf, they

1 have not seen -- well, I believe they have. 2 information they have right now, they haven't 3 But I believe you were asked to come before seen. 4 the Board at the last meeting. They had some 5 questions about a charge or charges, so if you'll 6 give them just a minute to review it again. 7 (Pause in proceedings.) 8 (BY MS. VEST) If I'm not mistaken, 9 Scott, I believe you asked this gentleman to 10 appear. 11 MR. COCKROFT: I did. I was one of the 12 members. 13 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. Okay. 14 Mr. Seedorf, would you -- do you have a copy of what you gave me? 15 16 MR. COCKROFT: No, I don't, not on me. 17 MS. VEST: Okay. Would you mind --18 there is one charge on his record. You can go 19 ahead and speak to the Board, if you would. 20 MR. SEEDORF: Okay. Back in October 21 1992 I had broken into a moped scooter shop and 22 stole a moped. I was arrested leaving the 23 building, the building property. I pleaded down 24 or I pleaded guilty to the breaking and entering 25 and auto theft, which is due to the moped being a

1 motorized vehicle, and I served two years of 2 probation on that. 3 MR. COCKROFT: Can you explain a little 4 bit, I guess, of the circumstances of what 5 happened or what led you to that? 6 MR. SEEDORF: I was young and stupid. 7 MR. COCKROFT: I mean, our concern --8 what bothered me, I guess you felt like it was a 9 higher charge because of auto theft, and you were 10 saying that it was really a break-in. And that's 11 what we do. I mean, we put in burglar alarms. 12 that's very concerning. 13 That's not to say you couldn't redeem 14 yourself. But without more explanation and where 15 you are today, that bothers me. MR. SEEDORF: At the time I felt I 16 17 needed a mode of transportation, because I didn't 18 have a car at the time. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: How old were you? 20 MR. SEEDORF: I was 20. And I felt that 21 was a good option at the time; again, like I said, 22 young and stupid. I have stayed out of trouble 23 I have held management positions, and I 24 have worked in this business for about eight years 25 now up in Indiana and pretty much kept myself

1 I don't want to go back. So, yeah, I take 2 that very seriously. Even if friends ask me to do 3 stuff, I don't, because it's my livelihood. 4 MR. COCKROFT: I guess, I mean, can you 5 tell us a little about yourself today? I realize 6 that you were 20, but give me a little background. 7 I mean, where are you today? What's different 8 about from then and ... 9 MR. SEEDORF: Back then I had no 10 direction, and I was just working mostly retail 11 I have since gone into the restaurant 12 business, all aspects of it; serving, cooking, 13 went into management. 14 About ten years ago when my son was 15 born, I decided I needed something more concrete. 16 And I went to Lincoln Tech to do a low-voltage 17 service, and I have been doing that ever since, 18 mainly because I want to make sure that I provide 19 for him and he knows that this is what you're 20 supposed to do. 21 MR. COCKROFT: You live -- and I'm not 22 sure where. You said you were in Indiana or 23 you --24 MR. SEEDORF: I live in Greenwood, 25 Indiana. It's just south of Indianapolis,

1 Indiana. 2 MR. COCKROFT: And do some work in 3 Tennessee or would like to? 4 MR. SEEDORF: We are getting ready to. 5 We want to make sure we have all of our stuff in 6 line before we do that. MR. COCKBURN: Now, when you were on 7 8 your -- you said you served two years of 9 probation. Any violations on your probation, or 10 was this your --11 I made my meetings, MR. SEEDORF: No. 12 and I made my payments, and that was it. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: You made a statement 14 awhile ago I picked up on. You said, "I have 15 friends that still ask me to do things, but" --16 MR. SEEDORF: Well, I mean, as in they 17 ask about putting in alarm systems, or I had a 18 friend of mine that was getting ready to start a business. He asked if I would come in and wire 19 20 some fire stuff, and like I told him no, because if something goes wrong, that's upon me and I'm 21 22 So I tell them no. liable. 23 I usually refer them to my company where 24 they can call, "Hey, can you come out and do a 25 quote," instead of me going and just doing stuff.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: So you've been 2 licensed with your company in Indiana since 2013; 3 is that correct? 4 Indiana doesn't require MR. SEEDORF: 5 licensing. I am a licensed fire technician and 6 inspector in Ohio as well. 7 MR. COCKROFT: What all do you do in 8 your work? 9 MR. SEEDORF: I put in fire alarm 10 systems, nurse call systems, access control, 11 whether it be local as in mag locks and key pads 12 or a car meter for an entire building, as well as 13 wandering systems. 14 What are those? THE CHAIRPERSON: 15 MR. SEEDORF: Those are mostly like in 16 nursing facilities. A resident will wear a tag. 17 And when they get too close to it to work, it will 18 alert staff, and normally it will lock down the 19 door so that they can't get out. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: So on your application 21 it's got job title, position with an alarm system 22 contracting company as a certified technician. 23 MR. SEEDORF: Yes. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: And the date of 25 employment in your registered position is

1 September 30th, 2013. 2 MR. SEEDORF: Yes. Before that I worked 3 for three years for a company called Hughes 4 Integrated. That was based out of -- also 5 Indiana. I'm trying to remember. It was a small 6 town just north. 7 MS. VEST: I believe -- are you saying 8 that that was with the company that was in Indiana 9 when you put that date down? That wasn't when you 10 started doing any work in Tennessee, because that 11 company is not registered yet. 12 MR. SEEDORF: Yeah. I have not done 13 work in Tennessee yet. 14 MR. COCKROFT: Were you just referencing 15 the fact that it says certified technician or 16 just --17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, it just says 18 he's a certified technician, and that's what I was 19 trying to find out was where --20 MR. SEEDORF: Well, I went to Lincoln 21 Tech, and I have the certifications and graduation 22 from there. 23 MS. VEST: Actually what they should 24 say, date of employment and position is, "No, 25 because I'm not in Tennessee yet." When we say

1	registered position, we mean Tennessee.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's what I was
3	trying to figure out.
4	MR. SEEDORF: Oh, I apologize. No, I'm
5	not certified in Tennessee. I just got the
6	certifications from the Lincoln Technical College
7	that I went to.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: So you graduated from
9	Lincoln Tech in 2013?
10	MR. SEEDORF: No, 20
11	MR. COCKROFT: Well, you started to work
12	for the company in Indiana in 2013.
13	MR. SEEDORF: In 2013. I graduated from
14	Lincoln Tech in 2010.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Has the company become
16	licensed in Tennessee?
17	MS. VEST: When I looked at the report,
18	the answer was no. They may be as of today. I
19	did not look today.
20	MR. COCKROFT: How would they apply for
21	a is this for a qualifying agent or registered
22	employee?
23	MS. VEST: This is for a registered
24	employee. He wants to make sure he can qualify in
25	the state of Tennessee before they come in as a

1	registered
2	MR. COCKROFT: Oh, okay. I didn't know
3	you could do that. I know you couldn't
4	necessarily apply as an employee without a
5	licensed company.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We are licensed.
7	I'm the qualifying agent for the company.
8	MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Do you have
9	anything you'd like to add?
10	MS. VEST: You can come up here, sir, if
11	you would.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can't speak to
13	Erick's record
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Introduce yourself,
15	please.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My name is Joe.
17	I'm the qualifying agent for
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Joe. Last name?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Buckley.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
21	MR. BUCKLEY: I can't speak to Erick's
22	record. We knew that when we hired him, we
23	didn't do background checks, but Eric offered the
24	information voluntarily when we did the interview.
25	We've had nothing but good, positive things from

1	Erick. He's been a great employee for us,
2	probably one of our best technicians and
3	employees, and he's been great for us.
4	MR. COCKBURN: How long has he been with
5	you?
6	MR. BUCKLEY: He's been great for us
7	I'm sorry?
8	MR. COCKBURN: How long has he been with
9	you?
10	MR. BUCKLEY: Since was it 2013? Was
11	that what you put down? Yeah, 2013 was with us,
12	yes.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think I understood
14	you to say from the audience that your company is
15	now registered or licensed in Tennessee?
16	MR. BUCKLEY: That's correct.
17	MS. VEST: It's a fairly new licensed
18	company. I believe in '17 is when they got their
19	license.
20	MR. HARVEY: I make a motion we grant
21	Mr. Erick his registered employee.
22	MR. COCKBURN: Second.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by
24	Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockburn to grant
25	this individual his registered employee status.

1	All in force water by review avel All amound?
	All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed?
2	Congratulations, and thank you for coming before
3	us.
4	MR. SEEDORF: Thank you.
5	MS. VEST: And you will be hearing from
6	us.
7	MR. SEEDORF: All right. Thank you.
8	MS. VEST: Okay. I think the next one
9	on our agenda is another appearance that we have,
10	and I believe that's Stanley Hill. We've asked
11	Mr. Hill to come in to review his record as well.
12	We need to give them just a few minutes to look it
13	over, please.
14	MR. HILL: Yes, ma'am.
15	(Pause in proceedings.)
16	MS. VEST: Do you know on his
17	application you do have letters of
18	recommendation as well. I will tell you, Glenn.
19	I tried to show you everything that's in the
20	packet now except for the criminal record. That
21	is not public record. And if I shared that with
22	you, that would be secondary dissemination, and
23	I'm not allowed to do that.
24	So any information that he puts in his
25	packet with his application, you can see.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MS. VEST: Okay. Basically what I've now seen on Mr. Hill was a 1997 criminal mischief, two counts, guilty, which he had the intermediate punishment program -- I did not know what that was -- November the 3rd, '97, was theft by deception, forgery, probation 23 months; reckless endangerment, guilty, two years; agricultural vandalism, guilty; burglary, guilty; receiving stolen property. Those are the documents that you have in front of you.

MR. COCKBURN: Well, first off, my major concern is, you've been in and out of the court system all the way up to 2009. According to the documents, you failed to pay your fines all the way up to 2009.

I mean, being up front, that's my first concern when you're dealing in an industry where people are counting on the person that comes into their residence or their business. That's a concern for me.

MR. HILL: I understand.

MR. COCKBURN: I guess, tell us a little about yourself, where you got where you are and how you got where you are, et cetera.

I

1 MR. HILL: So as relates to the issue of 2 the criminal activity, that was in my youth. 3 don't --4 THE CHAIRPERSON: You need to speak into 5 the microphone. 6 I'm sorry. I'm sorry. MR. HILL: 7 That's okav. THE CHAIRPERSON: 8 MR. HILL: So as regards to that, I 9 don't generally make excuses. What I did was 10 wrong, and I paid my time. I'm trying to live a 11 better life, and I've always did that. 12 In regards to what you addressed, 13 Mr. Cockburn, I have always had -- I've had 14 Prior to recently, I would say in the trouble. 15 last maybe ten years, I always had trouble finding 16 reliable employment. 17 So, similarly, I had to make decisions 18 on what I could pay, whether it was car insurance 19 or whatnot. And I have gotten behind in fines, 20 and that is true. And I have had to go to court 21 and explain that to them, and that has always come 22 back up. 23 But I have not had any trouble since 24 I've gotten reliable employment nor have I done

anything, I guess, inappropriate to make up money,

to do anything to catch myself up on what I need to do.

As regards to where I am today, upon my release when I was younger and I got out of prison, I actually had to (inaudible) complete my probation. I went to school. I have an associate's degree in electronic technology. I've worked in hospitals. I've volunteered in hospitals, at least I did in Pennsylvania.

I moved down here December 8th. I was a Tiger scout leader for my child, so I've done that. I've done a lot of school activities that I do for my kids that involve my family. I am a family man. I have a wife, and I have a son. My son is four. My stepson is 11.

I've held this job here with Simplex, which is now JCI, for about three years. I am licensed in the state of Maryland, and also I had my low-voltage license in the state of West Virginia.

Pennsylvania doesn't require licenses.

But I've worked exclusively in Pennsylvania on

fire alarms, a little bit of access control and

minor CCTV, but I have done work on them as well.

Like I said, I don't make excuses. I

1 mean, what I did was wrong. I was a -- I had, I 2 guess failed in decision-making skills. Mainly my 3 main issue was, was accountability. I have always 4 blamed everybody else for my own mistakes. 5 took me many years to come to terms with that. 6 And then until I realized that whenever 7 I make a mistake, it's not society's fault or my 8 family's fault, it's my own fault. And I think 9 from there, I have actually grown as an 10 individual, as a person, to where I don't blame 11 anybody else for anything I do. 12 So we moved to Tennessee, and I'm trying to get here to continue on with what I do. And I 13 14 do fire alarms, security --15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Were you transferred by the company, or did you --16 17 MR. HILL: Yes, ma'am. I have actually 18 transferred. I am working for them, but I have 19 not been doing anything since I got the letter to 20 cease and desist until I heard from you guys. 21 I was prior to that letter so ... 22 MR. COCKBURN: And you're in good 23 standing with the company? 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He is. Yes. 25 MR. HILL: Yes. The letters you got are

from my previous employer in Pennsylvania. one that was on higher measure, who also was the Baltimore supervisor. The other one was my current supervisor, who is (inaudible), and then also the guy that trained me. He was a co-worker, but he was the guy that trained me, my senior technician. And this gentleman here is Ryan Trammell. He's my qualifying agent from the company. MR. TRAMMELL: 

MR. TRAMMELL: Donald Trammell.

Ryan is my middle name. I'm licensed under

Donald. I'm the qualifying agent for JCI here in

Nashville. Mr. Hill has worked for our company

for the past three years in Pennsylvania, with an

exceptional recommendation. There's no issues

with the company.

He did pass all our background checks that our company ran at the time of hiring, and he passed all the background checks. He transferred them here to Tennessee, as well as any narcotics screening. I'm not sure why it keeps cutting in and out. I apologize.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Push the button down.

MR. TRAMMELL: I'll get really close.

1 How is that? 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Keep the button 3 on. 4 He has passed all MR. TRAMMELL: 5 background checks that we've done. Ours go back ten years, so obviously it didn't pick up anything 6 7 that was that far back. He has been an exemplary 8 employee while he has been here, up until we got 9 the cease and desist. He's very thorough, very 10 knowledgeable. Our other technicians get along 11 well with him. Customers get along well with him. 12 He probably details service work tickets 13 more so than any other technician we have, which 14 does come in handy, but it can take a little while 15 to get there. But I do speak to his character and 16 his work ability and his work ethic. He is a very 17 outstanding technician actually for us. 18 Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: 19 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion on the 20 information that we've gathered here today and 21 letters of recommendation that we grant Mr. Hill 22 his registration. 23 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a 25 motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockroft

1	to grant Mr. Hill his registered employee status.
2	All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed?
3	Congratulations, and thank you both for coming in.
4	MR. HILL: Thank you very much.
5	MR. TRAMMELL: Thank you.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Mr. Hill,
7	you'll be hearing from us as well.
8	MR. HILL: Does that mean I still cannot
9	work? I'm just curious. I'm not
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's a valid question.
11	We understand.
12	MR. COCKBURN: Money is money.
13	MR. HILL: No, no. I mean, I'm still
14	getting paid, but it's more can I go on customer
15	sites.
16	MS. VEST: Yes, sir, because we'll go
17	ahead and work it this afternoon.
18	MR. TRAMMELL: Thank you, Ms. Vest.
19	MR. HILL: Thank you very much. Have a
20	great day.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is that
22	the last of our appearances?
23	MS. VEST: Yes, ma'am. There was only
24	two appearances.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want to go into

1 the administrative matters or ... 2 MS. VEST: Yeah. That would be fine, if 3 you don't mind. I believe the first thing is that 4 we're going into, that's my section there, will be 5 the monthly report, and there's not really a lot 6 to report on the monthly report. You have your 7 February and your March. 8 We'll start with the Alarm Contractors 9 Board. You can see there are still positions 10 open, and those positions have been filled, and 11 Mr. Cockroft is coming up on December of 2017. THE CHAIRPERSON: He's already expired. 12 13 MS. VEST: Oh. I apologize. I'm trying 14 to --15 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we're in 2018. I guess so. I haven't heard 16 MS. VEST: 17 anything from the Governor's office. So you 18 continue to serve, and thank you very much. 19 MR. COCKROFT: Yes. ma'am. 20 MS. VEST: Oh, and I did want to mention 21 in that respect that we did have a seminar in 22 Memphis. One day we had one in the morning and 23 one in the afternoon. We broke it out with the 24 alarms, all four professions. There was four of

us that went. We had a good turnout.

Of course, I did speak, and then we were there to help people learn how to go on board, find out what problems they were having, because we see the problems on our side, but we don't see the problems on their side.

We had several alarm companies that stayed over, and we did assist them. I also told them if they're having any particular problems, we will be glad to come to their office and assist them as well.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Were they having any problems with the filings or --

MS. VEST: There were several that did not know how to work the system. There's two steps that you have to take. You have to go on board the first time and get an account. Then you go in and do the account.

We are still experiencing -- and there's not anything I can do about it. It will time you out. You need to have all your information together when you sit down at the computer to do it. And even then sometimes it will time you out. That is a problem that we're addressing with our SPS people.

But we didn't get anything. Basically

it was very good feedback. There was some. Yes, there's problems that we are going to need to address. I do understand that. But they were very minor, and some of them were computer-related that I cannot help. I cannot change them timing you out.

The next drop that they have on our system is supposed to allow you to make multiple payments. This is a problem. Some of the larger alarm companies sit down with one credit card -- Then they have to come out and do --

However, I was told that in this drop that hopefully it's going to be fixed, and you can make multiple payments, and we are looking forward to that. That should be a very big help for some of our larger alarm companies, and other companies as well.

We will be having another seminar in Pigeon Forge, Chattanooga, and Nashville this year. So this should cover everyone. And, unfortunately, they're having particular problems, and they haven't come to the seminar. I don't know what to tell -- but like I say, we have a sit-down with management. And if you call me and you say, I'm having problems," we will come to you

1 to help you. But this is what we have to do. 2 So if you know of anybody that's having 3 any problems or anybody talks it, just call me, 4 and we'll be glad to assist them. 5 MR. COCKROFT: And everything is online 6 now; right? 7 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. MR. COCKROFT: There was one thing 8 9 earlier, somebody was talking about sending in an 10 application. And one of the complaints, I think, 11 or one of the responses, I was wondering about 12 that at the time. But everything is online? 13 MS. VEST: You will not find an application online. All of them have been 14 15 removed. I do still have some companies that have 16 some old applications that are sending 17 applications in that we have paid personal visits 18 to or made telephone calls, trying to encourage 19 I just can't beat you and make you do it. I them. 20 cannot, but --21 MR. COCKROFT: But, I mean, you could 22 return them, I guess. But you are accepting them 23 though? 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: You're saying there is 25 no longer any applications online?

1	MS. VEST: Paper applications.
2	MR. COCKROFT: Like to print a paper
3	application.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, okay, because
5	MS. VEST: Everything is electronic.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: I was thinking, I
7	thought that's what we were doing, electronic.
8	But really the online applications
9	MS. VEST: So, I mean, if you call me
10	and say, "I'm starting a new business; where do I
11	go online to get this application," I'm going to
12	send you to the website, because you're not going
13	to be sending me a paper application.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Next?
15	MS. VEST: All right. If you would, the
16	next item is going to be the budget. We'll take a
17	look at that rather quickly. All I have as of
18	January the 18th was \$26,309, is what was taken in
19	and total I'm sorry, that was your
20	expenditures. The actual amount afterwards was
21	\$18,106. You have a total of \$128,381.
22	So you're still in the positive. I
23	don't have any legislative update other than
24	the
25	MS. THOMAS: Yes, none specific to the

Alarm Board, but there were a couple of bills I wanted to bring to the Board's attention. They don't have public chapters signed just yet, but they have been passed.

The first, it's known as the Fresh Start Act. It will be effective July 1st of this year. And just to summarize, this Act requires that any application denial that is denied based on a criminal felony or misdemeanor, there has to be a connection between the license they're trying to obtain and the felony that's causing their denial or the condition that's causing their denial.

So we have to be able to make that connection and give them notice that we're denying it for whatever charge we've denied it for and be able to make the connection -- yes?

MR. HARVEY: So in the past where we've been able to be a little bit vague with their moral character, we're saying we're going to kind of shift away from that?

MS. THOMAS: Well, poor moral character is still something you can cite to. But if it's specific to they were -- we need to be able to say they were convicted of theft in 2002, which is connected to the alarm license in this way. You

1 just have to be able to say that to them. 2 But I did want to bring that to the 3 Board's attention. Like I said, that will be July 4 1st. 5 And then the second one, Cody and I -- I 6 was just updating her about it. This one goes 7 into effect January 1st, 2019. It's nothing 8 required for the Board to do, but you do have the 9 opportunity to establish an apprenticeship 10 program. And if the Board decides to go in that 11 way, there are certain rules that we have to 12 follow to do that. 13 But I wanted to bring that to the 14 Board's attention as well, should you all decide 15 to adopt an apprenticeship program, and that was 16 all T had. 17 MR. COCKROFT: What would we have to do 18 on the apprenticeship program? We would have to 19 adopt a specific -- because it seems like we had 20 something from the national association or 21 Electronic Security Association or something that 22 had a proposed --23 we did. THE CHAIRPERSON: 24 MR. COCKROFT: -- apprenticeship 25 program, I believe.

1 I think he's right. THE CHAIRPERSON: 2 MS. VEST: I'm sorry, but I don't 3 remember that. 4 It's been some time THE CHAIRPERSON: 5 back. But I think it was movement -- what is it? 6 MR. COCKROFT: PMESA. 7 It would be FAA, if not the MS. VEST: 8 national. It would be the Tennessee 9 Association --10 MR. COCKROFT: It would be both. Ιt 11 would be the ESA, which used to be the NBFAA. MS. THOMAS: Well, so this particular 12 13 law, it doesn't tell you how to establish your 14 apprenticeship program. It just says that we have 15 to grant a license to somebody that has a high 16 school education and has completed the 17 apprenticeship program, however that Board decides 18 to lay it out, whatever those requirements are. 19 But like I said, it gives kind of a 20 skeletal guideline of establishing an 21 apprenticeship program. 22 There was some talk at the very 23 beginning about the age which you had to be to be 24 in apprenticeship. But through amendments, that 25 part is gone. But it's giving the warranty option 1 to establish an apprenticeship program.

MR. COCKROFT: And my understanding is, one of the main purposes would be with prevailing wage for some companies if there was an apprenticeship program. I believe right now if it's a prevailing wage job, it goes to like the electrical contractors' rates and apprenticeship program.

MS. THOMAS: I'm not sure I understand.

MR. COCKROFT: In our industry, since there currently isn't an apprenticeship program in Tennessee, if you do a job that has to pay prevailing wage, they look at electricians' wages for the prevailing wage.

And even if there's a helper on the job, they have to be paid the electrician wage, which makes it hard to do jobs sometimes. Sometimes it's -- it's great for the employee getting the pay, which I understand, but it's hard for the company.

And then some employees don't get to work a job, because they don't make that much, and they can't afford -- the company can't afford to pay them. But I don't know what would be involved with us doing the apprenticeship program if it's a

1 lot of --

MS. THOMAS: And I will say this, that this particular amendment of the law does not speak to how we set forth the wages and all those things, if that's something we want to do, promulgating a rule or something --

MR. COCKROFT: I don't think we can set the wages. I don't think that -- I think that's something that if there's an actual apprenticeship program, that it lets you have an apprenticeship wage.

MR. COCKBURN: I can tell you, Davis
Bacon governs federal government. They do not
differentiate. There's a job that my company is
working on now that the electrician we work with
has somebody who is still in school, he has to
leave by a certain time to make classes, and he
still has to get paid the prevailing wage for the
occupation.

For them, their labor, as their definition is, is basically gophers. They admitted if somebody picks up a screwdriver and turns it, they're now an electrician.

MR. COCKROFT: Right. Well, and that's what I was told. This was a long time ago that I

did the job somewhere that was prevailing wage.

And we were told that -- I mean, because I had a helper that I then couldn't have work on the job.

I was told he could sweep up. But if he picked up a screwdriver or touched a piece of wire, he couldn't work the job.

But I was told that if there was an apprenticeship program, he could have been paid a different wage. But --

MR. COCKBURN: Well, as far as State prevailing wage, I'm not as -- we do a lot of government jobs, so I can probably quote inside and out Davis Bacon.

MR. COCKROFT: And I think that's what it was referring to. This was like a state university. But their rules, I guess, could have been different.

MS. THOMAS: And I think the purpose of this is just another pass to licensure. So it says if the Board establishes such a program, the person shall be granted a license if they have a high school education, have completed the apprenticeship program, have passed the test and have paid the fee, and then we will grant them a license. So in time it's another path to

1 licensure. 2 MR. COCKROFT: As far as the qualifying 3 agent or just the registered employee? Because 4 they have to be a registered employee even to be 5 the apprentice. 6 MS. THOMAS: Any --7 Well, my --MR. COCKBURN: 8 MS. THOMAS: I'm sorry. Go ahead. 9 MR. COCKBURN: My concern was is that, I 10 mean, if we go by just those rules, you know, we 11 have these juveniles that are bad history. Can we 12 set the precedence of mandatory criminal 13 background checks? The problem you run into is 14 that juvenile records are sealed. 15 Right. And so just to make MS. THOMAS: it clear, like I said, this is not specific to the 16 17 Alarm Board. This goes to all. This is a state 18 law that's being implemented. The parameters 19 around an apprenticeship program, should the Board 20 decide to establish it, is something that we have 21 to decide. 22 So whatever those parameters are for the 23 apprenticeship program would be set by the Board. 24 This is just on the Board. A license would be

granted to an apprentice once they complete it to

25

25

be forth set, and that apprenticeship completion would be determined on what the Board decides an

MS. VEST: Well, my question is, why would an apprenticeship be needed if you have the registered employee who just comes in, makes application, there's been a background check,

I don't think it's registry in this licensing. And for this Board, I don't think an apprenticeship program is necessary. I thought that one of the only reasons was the prevailing wage, but maybe that's not even

But our industry is different, I guess, than some of the others, maybe an electrician, where they don't have to get a registered employee license to start with. But that helps them get to the master -- I guess the electrician or master electrician. But there's not that hurdle in our

Right. And that's why I said I just wanted to bring it to the Board's attention. Again, it's not specific to the Alarm

1 Board. But should that be something the Board 2 wanted to discuss, I wanted to tell you about the 3 law that's going into effect in January. 4 MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Thank you. 5 MS. THOMAS: Uh-huh. 6 THE CHATRPERSON: Next? 7 I believe next on the MS. VEST: Yes. 8 agenda is an applications review. I don't have 9 any actual applications to bring before you. 10 There are criminal records, and that's down below. 11 The next one is going to be discussion, 12 if you would look at that. The first one is from 13 Tim Reid. He sent me the email that you are 14 looking at, asking me questions. 15 He says the following scenario would be 16 if we were accrediting a brand new company not 17 associated with any current contractor's license 18 or QA, if our company never steps foot inside a 19 home or a business or a property. The consumer 20 orders a DIY security system from a mall or 21 website call. There will not be a physical 22 location for them to visit and purchase. 23 No. 3 is the consumer owned the 24 equipment purchased as a sale, and they returned 25

it by mail, it's under warranty, or they discard

it and order more. A tech will never come to their home for any reason. When the consumer receives its security equipment by mail, it will be activated and be monitored by a central station. If they cancel service, they can still use the system as a standalone without monitoring.

Our company doesn't actually do the monitoring. The consumer would pay us for the service, but we would sub it out to a central stationed company that is licensed in Tennessee for monitoring. So this is --

THE CHAIRPERSON: This goes back to the same thing where they're involved. If they were using a third party and not in any way involved in the monitoring, then that would take care of that.

MR. COCKBURN: They're collecting fees.

THE CHAIRPERSON: They're collecting fees, which puts them into the monitoring loop. So, yeah, they don't have to be monitored by us.

MR. COCKROFT: And their last point makes it clear that the customer will pay them. So the consumer will pay us for the service, but we would sub it out. That requires licensure.

MS. VEST: Right, for them and for the person they're subbing it out to --

1	MR. COCKROFT: Correct.
2	MS. VEST: because there are parties
3	that have to be licensed.
4	MR. COCKROFT: Correct.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Correct. And not only
6	that, one other thing, it says, are they going to
7	have like customer service technicians available
8	to advise the person on the type of system they
9	need, the sensors, monitor, whatever the system
10	might need?
11	MS. VEST: I think they're saying
12	it's all by mail, isn't it, or
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's okay. I can
14	say it's by mail. I can mail it out to you. But
15	if I'm offering technical support on it
16	MS. VEST: Oh, I don't know. It just
17	says to check whatever
18	MR. COCKBURN: Right. It doesn't
19	MS. VEST: It doesn't mean you can't
20	call them.
21	(Multiple people talking.)
22	MR. COCKBURN: We're not providing
23	technical support by telephone.
24	MS. VEST: All right.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Or by any other means.

MS. VEST: But then it says, "Would any of these items describe a qualifying agent," which is telling me he has to be licensed. And the answer is, "Yes, the company needs to be licensed, and they have to have a qualifying agent." "If so, which items would cause that?"

Well, he mentioned it in his email pretty much is what we're saying. So I don't think the next one -- if this can be done without a QA, we would still need -- well, it cannot be done without a QA. But you have to have a qualifying agent to have an alarm company. It says, please cite applicable rules and regulations, laws, et cetera.

So I believe all that I'm going to do is, at the time I present it to the Board, if that's what you tell me to do, that they do need a license, and I'm just going to quote the Alarm Systems Contractor License laws and rules. They can read for themselves.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, especially with the two points we brought up, the technical assistance or advising and the fact that puts them directly in the monitoring loop. All of those goes away. They have to be licensed.

MR. COCKROFT: They can clearly sell a do-it-yourself system over the internet or even in a store. Where it becomes confusing or a little muddy is if they're selling it in a store, and they give advice on what they should put in to secure the house. But if they just have stuff on a shelf, they pick it up, walk out with it, all of that is fine.

Personally, I feel like they could even give tech support over the phone; not counseling where to put the stuff, but if they can't get the sensor to work. That's my opinion, but you may differ with that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I mean, if they are going to place an order over the Internet, and they've got that "live chat" box that comes up that says they've got X number of doors, windows, and floors, and then that live chat person starts telling them, well, you need this, this, and that --

MR. COCKROFT: Right. If they do that, yes, you're -- if they're advising on how to secure the property, and if they are doing -- and if they are charging for monitoring, they're involved in the monitoring, that, to me, are the

1 items that ... 2 MR. COCKBURN: I still think if you're 3 providing technical support, you are providing 4 installation assistance. We charge for telephone 5 support. 6 MR. COCKROFT: Well, would you consider 7 another manufacturer or Honeywell or someone, 8 Interlogix, that's selling products, that they 9 would need to be licensed if they're giving tech 10 support to a --11 MR. COCKBURN: But they're giving to a 12 dealer, not the end user. If you call Honeywell 13 tech support and tell them you're an end user, 14 they'll hang up on you. MR. COCKROFT: Well, let me try it 15 16 different then. Do you think a CCTV company or a 17 company that's just selling cameras over the 18 internet, if they help someone set up a DVD, is 19 that in violation, an end user, someone who bought 20 the equipment themselves and ... 21 That becomes more of a MR. COCKBURN: 22 programming issue than an installation issue. 23 MR. COCKROFT: Right. 24 But if you're lending MR. COCKBURN: 25 tech support in an installation issue, I think

1	that becomes a licensing issue. And how do you
2	prove where you draw that line?
3	MR. COCKROFT: Right. I think there's
4	enough that's clearly that needs to be licensed
5	that's there aside from that.
6	MS. VEST: I think we're just going to
7	get more and more of this. Every meeting we have,
8	we have something that's bringing this to you.
9	MR. COCKBURN: Well, there's 50 or 60 on
10	Facebook alone in a day.
11	MR. HARVEY: It's going to get worse.
12	MS. VEST: Okay. So what is the Board
13	telling me to tell this individual?
14	MR. COCKROFT: It requires licensure.
15	MR. COCKBURN: Yeah. It requires
16	licensure, and I'd send them a copy.
17	MS. VEST: Of the laws and the rules,
18	okay.
19	MR. COCKBURN: Of the laws and the
20	rules.
21	MS. VEST: Okay.
22	MR. COCKROFT: And we actually asked
23	them to come in, did we not, or even I think I
24	had suggested
25	MS. VEST: No. This is not

1	MR. COCKROFT: Oh, this is not the same
2	one.
3	MS. VEST: the same one. No, nuh-uh.
4	MR. COCKROFT: Oh, it does sound I
5	thought this was just
6	MS. VEST: It does sound familiar.
7	That's what I said. Every meeting we're having
8	one of these. I can tell them that over the
9	telephone, "In my opinion as the director, I feel
10	you need to have this license." And then they go,
11	"Well, let me send this to you so you can take it
12	to the Board." Then that's what I need to do.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's okay.
14	MS. VEST: Or we can just ask the
15	gentleman, I do have it's a 615 number, which
16	is local. If you want to see him in person, he
17	could come to the board meeting if he disputes
18	that he needs a license. Offer him that.
19	MR. COCKROFT: Our answer wouldn't be
20	any different. But that's what we could ask them,
21	the other. We could ask them to come in.
22	MR. COCKBURN: I mean, he has the right
23	to be heard so
24	MS. VEST: Right.
25	MR. COCKROFT: This is different. This

1 is more clear, that this particular person is 2 doing the monitoring. I mean, they say they're 3 subcontracting it out, but they are selling the 4 monitoring service. The other was more vague. 5 They were saying they were just referring them to 6 I thought this was the response to that. someone. 7 There's a coupon in a THE CHAIRPERSON: 8 box --9 MR. COCKROFT: Right. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- for monitoring or 11 something like that. 12 So the point of it, MS. VEST: 13 they're getting paid for the monitoring service --14 I'm getting paid for the monitoring service. 15 Okay, I may not be doing it, but I'm giving it to 16 her. But I accept the payment. 17 MR. COCKROFT: Right. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

Isn't this the same thing as with Lowe's? If you all will remember, Lowe's came in about a year or so ago, and that was their question. They wanted the monitoring fees paid directly to them on some system that they were selling in the store, and we told them the exact same thing. If you're involved in the process, then you have to be licensed. Because

they were trying to figure out in general if

Lowe's needs to get their license to sell alarm

systems --

MR. COCKBURN: And there's a chance that the confidential information of the customer is going to be stored by the person who's selling the DIY system --

MR. COCKROFT: Right.

MR. COCKBURN: -- which falls into all the other criteria.

MR. COCKROFT: It's to protect the consumer from the standpoint, even though they're subcontracting it out, they have access to all that information. I mean, we've had people say that they didn't. But if it's your account, and you decide you want to move it to another central station, you've got to be able to get the information to give it to another subcontractor.

But that's the concern, is that to protect the consumer and all of their -- you know, their passwords, their call lists, those sorts of things, and what the alarm system covers, whether it's just doors or it's doors and windows, and those sorts of things, that there's people that haven't gone through the same process with their

background check and everything that have access
to all their information.

MS. VEST: All right. So then I will be
emailing this gentleman back and telling him, yes,

he does need a license.

MR. COCKROFT: Yes, ma'am.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Criminal history --

MS. VEST: We have another one that's not on here. Well, actually it does say criminal history. It's different from the other one, so that's why I wanted to separate this. You don't have this information in front of you, but I will tell you what it is. It says, application to be a registered employee.

I have been notified -- or in his application, I should say, of a charge. It is domestic assault, but it's not going to court until 2020. It's not a conviction yet. So the gentleman may be given diversion.

Well, I said, well, I'm not able to sit here and hold an application open for a couple of years. I need to know what needs to be done, whether it is to deny the individual or try to assist them by doing a litigation monitoring, and that's why I'm bringing it to you today so I could

25

get you to vote on my doing the litigation monitoring, turning it over to Ashley, putting verbiage in there that you can be licensed now.

But if you get diversion, and you violate probation or you get convicted, it would be an automatic revocation order. We would have to go to a formal hearing and take their license.

This person is saying that their trial is not coming up until 2020?

They've entered into a retirement order, and it's due to be retired in It's due to be retired in 2019. So rather than hold his application for something that could possibly be dismissed in 2019, we would -- if everything else checks out, we would grant the license now with the litigation monitoring order.

If he fails to comply in time with his retirement order, and that conviction ends up on his record, then at that point we have grounds to

THE CHAIRPERSON: Define retirement

MS. THOMAS: It's akin to a Davidson County diversion. So we're going to set this conviction aside if you meet criteria A, B, and C,

1	whether that be attend the treatment, stay out of
2	trouble, whatever terms the Court sets out, if
3	they meet all of that, they'll come back to court
4	in February of 2019 and dismiss the case. So it's
5	not a full conviction yet that we can hang our
6	denial on, but it's also not a dismissed case yet
7	either.
8	MR. COCKROFT: And this is an applicant
9	for a registered employee?
10	MS. THOMAS: Yes.
11	MR. COCKROFT: And there's nothing else
12	on their record?
13	MS. VEST: No.
14	MR. COCKBURN: I mean, it comes in to
15	"you're innocent until proven guilty."
16	MR. COCKROFT: Right.
17	MR. COCKBURN: He hasn't been convicted.
18	I mean, he can be watched. I really don't see how
19	we could deny him, because technically he does not
20	have a conviction yet.
21	MS. THOMAS: Right.
22	MR. COCKROFT: But we do have the option
23	to, as far as monitor
24	MS. THOMAS: We can approve the
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: And that's what he is

1 proposing --2 Right. MS. THOMAS: THE CHAIRPERSON: -- that we do a 3 4 monitoring on it until the case is retired. 5 MS. THOMAS: And then that way, if it's 6 dismissed early, he can let us know that. 7 essentially a probationary period. If he violates 8 on the terms of the retirement order, and it turns into an actual conviction on that charge, he 9 already knows that we're going to automatically 10 11 revoke the license for that charge. 12 But like Mr. Cockburn said, we can't really deny him on a charge at this point. 13 14 think from my conversation with Cody, I think that 15 might be the only thing that caused pause in his 16 application. 17 MS. VEST: The litigation monitoring, 18 it's putting actually the ball back in their 19 They have to notify us instead of me 20 trying to go out there every week to see what's 21 happened on the court system. 22 What if he doesn't? MR. COCKBURN: 23 MS. VEST: I beg your pardon? 24 What if he doesn't? MR. COCKBURN: 25 Well, that's why we have the MS. VEST:

1	order.
2	MR. COCKBURN: Right.
3	MS. THOMAS: And if he doesn't and we
4	find out, we will revoke his license.
5	MS. VEST: We won't have to do a formal
6	hearing. We'll have to come back. It will just
7	be an automatic. So I'm asking your permission to
8	use litigation monitoring.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: So he's not been
10	convicted is what you're saying?
11	MS. THOMAS: Right.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: They're delaying any
13	type of conviction. If he meets the following
14	qualifications, then it will be dismissed. It's
15	not going to trial.
16	MS. THOMAS: Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. VEST: I'll need a vote on that.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. We need a
19	motion, please.
20	MR. COCKBURN: I motion we go forward
21	with the litigation monitoring.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by
23	Mr. Cockburn to proceed with litigation monitoring
24	on this applicant. Do we have a second?
25	MR. COCKROFT: Second.

1 MR. HARVEY: Second. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And both of the guys 3 seconded. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All 4 Honestly, I don't know. I -opposed? 5 Okay. I put Glenn down and MS. VEST: 6 Is that okay? Keith as a second. 7 MR. COCKROFT: That's fine. MS. VEST: Did we all vote? 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. 10 MS. VEST: I'm sorry, I did not hear 11 Then I will notify him, and I'll get in the that. 12 office and go ahead and get him approved, and Ashley will get the order together. All right. 13 14 Thank you. Now we can scratch through that one, 15 and we have some criminal history. We have seven 16 of them. We actually have eight of them. There's 17 one that you have not -- it's not on your pad. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: So are we starting 19 with Exhibit A first? 20 MS. VEST: We're going to start with A. So since we only meet every other month, every now 21 22 and then we'll have extra things on the agenda, 23 because I try to put as much as possible --24 She gets all out of us THE CHAIRPERSON: 25 that she can in terms of --

1 MS. VEST: Yes, we do. We try anyway. 2 All right. The first one you have is Exhibit A. 3 It took a little bit to work this one up, but I 4 believe what I saw, he got 70 months reduced down 5 to 51 months, with 3 years of probation, 6 conspiracy to distribute 50 grams of meth and 500 7 grams of some type of mixture. He is making 8 application to be a registered employee. 9 You do have a letter of explanation about charges in 2006 in 11 and 12. And it's 10 11 actually the -- it starts back in '06 and works all the way up to '12. And he was incarcerated in 12 13 the Federal Prison Camp at Maxwell Air Force Base. 14 MR. COCKROFT: The 2013 -- I'm just 15 looking at the application currently. The 2013 16 conviction of the 41 months, there's not a 17 probation that he complete the 41 months. 18 there have been probation that's not completed? 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: You don't have 20 probation in the federal system. 21 MR. COCKROFT: Oh, okay. So he would have served the 41 months so ... 22 23 I think it was like 90 THE CHAIRPERSON: to 95 percent they have to serve. They don't have 24 25 probation.

1	MR. COCKBURN: I'm noticing a
2	discrepancy in his letter. If you look at the
3	dates, the last one he listed was March 20th,
4	2012, but on his application he has it as '13.
5	I'm sorry, I have a wife who is OCD. I'm
6	learning.
7	MS. VEST: Actually what it is, he has
8	actually the wrong year. 3/20/12 is what's on the
9	record. He does say on his application 3/20/2013.
10	But on the record it is '12, and that's the one
11	where he was the 17 months with 3 years
12	supervision, one that got reduced down.
13	MR. COCKBURN: Down to 41.
14	MS. VEST: Yes.
15	MR. COCKBURN: And he didn't fill in on
16	his app. that he's on probation, but there is an
17	explanation he has that he's on three years
18	probation.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Supervised for three
20	years is usually what they call it.
21	MR. COCKBURN: Okay.
22	MR. COCKROFT: He says he's been clean
23	for seven years, but the last conviction was in
24	'12?
25	MS. VEST: Yes. I think he was

1	perhaps what it's saying is selling it or
2	manufacturing it. It doesn't necessarily mean
3	he's used it, because it doesn't use the
4	terminology "used". It says manufactured.
5	MR. COCKBURN: Some of his wording is a
6	concern to me too. Having previous members who
7	have had addictions in my family, "Drugs are no
8	longer an influence or a desire." They're always
9	an influence and a desire.
10	MS. VEST: In your package you also have
11	a letter from the qualifying agent.
12	MR. COCKBURN: Am I allowed to make like
13	an opinionated comment?
14	MR. COCKROFT: Yes.
15	MR. COCKBURN: Okay.
16	MS. VEST: Don't we need a motion for
17	discussion?
18	MR. COCKBURN: I make a motion we
19	discuss this.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we can discuss
21	any type of motion.
22	MR. COCKROFT: I never ask. I just
23	start talking.
24	MR. COCKBURN: It's implied. I'm
25	just I'm not real comfortable with this.

1	MR. COCKROFT: I agree. I mean, I do
2	think people can redeem themselves, and I hope
3	that he has, and I hope he does. But this may not
4	be the industry for him. I mean, there's rules
5	set up, and he clearly violates those. Because of
6	his background, I hope that he does has
7	changed. I don't feel comfortable approving it.
8	MR. COCKBURN: I tend to make decisions
9	on would I let this person in my mother's house.
10	It's a no for me.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Then we can put it in
12	the form of a motion and go forward.
13	MR. COCKBURN: Okay. I just wanted to
14	make sure others had a chance to discuss it.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.
16	MR. COCKBURN: I make a motion we deny
17	this application.
18	MR. COCKROFT: Second.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: What's your basis?
20	MR. COCKBURN: A basis on recurring
21	MS. VEST: No. We have to according
22	to the statute
23	MR. COCKBURN: Okay. Moral character.
24	How did that read?
25	MS. VEST: All right. We will put it

	r-
1	on
2	MR. COCKBURN: She agrees with it, so
3	we'll
4	MR. COCKROFT: Do we still have to cite
5	the statute number or
6	MR. COCKBURN: That's what they do.
7	MR. COCKROFT: Well, Ken knew all these
8	numbers. Ken would rattle them off when he made a
9	motion.
10	MS. THOMAS: Tennessee Code Annotated
11	62-32-312, subpart (e), subpart (2).
12	MR. COCKBURN: Do I have to add that to
13	my motion?
14	MS. VEST: Yes.
15	MR. COCKBURN: Then I would like to add
16	62-32-312
17	MS. THOMAS: (e)(2).
18	MR. COCKBURN: (e)(2).
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: And, Scott, you
20	seconded it?
21	MR. COCKROFT: Yes. I second.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by
23	Mr. Cockburn and a second by Mr. Cockroft to deny
24	this application based on 62-32-312(e)(2). All in
25	favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed?

1 Application is denied. 2 MS. VEST: All right. We'll move on to Exhibit B. This individual is also making an 3 4 application to be a registered employee. I have a 2013 failure-to-appear, which is guilty, 2015 6 marijuana possession. He gave me his court 7 documents and his written explanation. 8 MR. COCKBURN: Does it say in your 9 records whether it's simple possession or ... 10 MS. VEST: No, it doesn't say. It just 11 says, marijuana possession-PON 2, date of offense 12 3/16/2015. Okay. We do have a document. 13 see it says 5/6/15 he pled guilty, got a 30-day 14 suspended sentence, and one year unsupervised 15 So that does make it a misdemeanor. probation. 16 MR. COCKBURN: We don't have anything 17 from the OA on this deal at all? 18 I do not believe so. We just MS. VEST: 19 have two of his written explanations. Well, as 20 you can see from his application, it's a rather 21 large company that he works with. 22 They don't normally THE CHAIRPERSON: 23 send letters in. 24 MS. VEST: Correct, or a QA. But that 25 doesn't mean they don't do a drug screen.

1	MR. COCKBURN: They do drug screen.
2	MR. COCKROFT: I've read his responses.
3	Can you tell from the police record, does it match
4	what he's saying?
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, as far as the
6	one where he explains the marijuana it does.
7	MS. VEST: Well, actually I believe
8	that's one I brought before you, because it does
9	talk about the marijuana what is that, when
10	you're in a car, and the police stop you, and the
11	driver doesn't claim the drugs, and everybody in
12	the car gets arrested.
13	MR. COCKROFT: Well, I think he's
14	admitting some of it was his. Half the time we
15	hear, "It wasn't me." Nobody takes
16	responsibility.
17	MR. COCKBURN: Heck, in Nashville now
18	they don't even bother you for a certain amount.
19	I mean, he's pretty straightforward.
20	MR. COCKROFT: I agree.
21	MR. COCKBURN: And did he miss his court
22	date because he forgot? If it was me, probably.
23	MR. COCKROFT: Well, and that court date
24	was on a suspended license. That was before;
25	right?

1 MR. COCKBURN: Right. 2 MS. VEST: And that was the driving. 3 MR. COCKBURN: Right. He got suspended 4 in 2013, and then he didn't remember his court 5 date. So they zapped him, and he didn't know the 6 failure-to-appear existed. 7 MR. COCKROFT: That's been some time. 8 MR. COCKBURN: And there's a good chance 9 he didn't know about the failure-to-appear, 10 because if you look at his residence, although it 11 was the same apartment complex, he changed 12 apartments. And if they sent him a certified 13 letter, the postman is not going to change it to 14 the apartment that he moved to, no matter what. 15 He may have never gotten the information; 16 speculation only. 17 MR. COCKROFT: I make a motion to 18 approve the application. 19 MR. COCKBURN: I second. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 21 motion by Mr. Cockroft and a second by 22 Mr. Cockburn to approve this employee registration 23 application. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 24 All opposed? The motion carries. 25 MS. VEST: All right. Thank you. Now

1	we're going to go to Exhibit C. What I had down
2	for this one was in 2009 it was shoplifting,
3	guilty. We have a written explanation, and we do
4	have a letter from the company. And so Glenn will
5	know, on the application it does say a 12/2/2008
6	driving without a license insufficient. That is
7	one of the deniable offenses.
8	MR. COCKROFT: And that doesn't mean
9	it doesn't really have any bearing, but that
10	doesn't mean she didn't have a license. It just
11	means she just didn't have it with her; right?
12	MS. THOMAS: Yes.
13	MR. COCKBURN: I believe so. She didn't
14	have it in her possession.
15	MR. COCKROFT: Like I said, not that it
16	matters.
17	MR. COCKBURN: It's only a verification
18	of one.
19	MS. VEST: That's the point. Sometimes
20	we get letters, and sometimes we don't.
21	MR. HARVEY: I make a motion, in light
22	of all the information that we have here, we
23	approve Ms. Tate for an employee registration.
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We have a
25	motion by Mr. Harvey to approve Exhibit C. Do we

1	have a second?
2	MR. COCKROFT: Second.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: And a second by
4	Mr. Cockroft. All in favor, voice by saying aye?
5	All opposed? The motion carries.
6	MS. VEST: Thank you. And then, Scott,
7	so the Board has granted me approval to approve a
8	file that's ten years old or older. This is right
9	on that borderline, but this would have been one
10	that I would approve simply because of the
11	timeframe, and it's the only thing that is on the
12	record.
13	The woman did make a very clear
14	explanation of what it was, owned up to it, and
15	then of course we did have a letter from the
16	company. I meant Glenn. Did I say Scott?
17	MR. COCKROFT: Yes. But I figured
18	you
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: I know. I looked at
20	you and
21	MS. VEST: I was looking at Glenn and
22	calling him Scott.
23	MR. COCKROFT: We look so much alike.
24	MR. COCKBURN: I looked over at you to
25	see if you were I was watching you.

1	MR. COCKROFT: Because I was going to
2	say the same thing. But she can
3	MR. COCKBURN: I don't have a problem
4	with it anyway.
5	MS. VEST: Thank you. All right.
6	The next one is D. This gentleman here I have a
7	charge back in '13, guilty of 50 grams of
8	marijuana
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Hold on. What about
10	the woman we were just talking about?
11	MS. VEST: You approved it. Didn't you
12	vote?
13	MR. COCKBURN: Yeah. We voted.
14	MS. VEST: Okay. It's not just me.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, you're talking
16	about the cake lady vote.
17	MS. VEST: Yeah. We approved her.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Because she was
19	talking about somebody in your line, and then all
20	of a sudden we moved
21	MS. VEST: No. I was just making a
22	statement for Glenn to know
23	MR. COCKBURN: She was just making a
24	statement to let me know that she
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, because I

1	thought, wait a minute. We're still on D here,
2	and it was a man, and then it was a woman and
3	MS. VEST: These are interesting
4	meetings, Glenn.
5	MR. COCKROFT: A footnote for the last
6	one.
7	MS. VEST: Okay. So this one here is
8	Exhibit D. All right.
9	MR. HARVEY: You started saying?
10	MS. VEST: Thank you. I said, pled
11	guilty in '13 of 50 grams of marijuana and five
12	grams of hashish. Is that how you pronounce it?
13	MR. COCKBURN: Hashish.
14	MS. VEST: Hashish?
15	MR. HARVEY: I'm not a drug guy, so is
16	that a lot or a little?
17	MR. COCKBURN: How much?
18	MS. VEST: Five grams is not very much.
19	It's a very small amount.
20	MR. HARVEY: But 50 is a
21	MS. VEST: Fifty might be a little bit
22	more than just a small amount.
23	MR. COCKROFT: Well, I don't know, but
24	the small amount is the
25	MR. COCKBURN: Well, hash is so much

1 more powerful. 2 MR. COCKROFT: Yeah. 3 MS. VEST: Whatever, less than fifty, 4 less than five. These are actually kind of hard to read. This is in New Jersey. Let's see if 6 this is the one that has the -- we have the letter 7 from the company. Actually it just says submitted 8 the application, is basically what they're saying 9 there. You do have a letter from the individual 10 11 explaining the circumstances. They just left some 12 residue in his pocket, from what I could 13 understand. And that could be less than 50 grams. 14 Then you have the sheet from New Jersey that 15 explains their code. Their code for a plea is 16 nine, and it says no plea. But he was found 17 guilty. Just for a little 18 MR. COCKBURN: 19 background, from my friend Google, an average hash 20 joint is .5 grams. 21 MS. VEST: So five grams itself would be 22 a lot. 23 MR. COCKBURN: A lot. 24 MS. VEST: Okay. Thank you. 25 MR. COCKBURN: You're welcome. Google

1	is my friend. And I looked up 50 grams of
2	marijuana. That's 6.25 ounces.
3	MS. VEST: So that's a small amount.
4	MR. COCKBURN: Six ounces? A gallon
5	Ziploc bag is an ounce and a half.
6	MS. VEST: Oh, okay.
7	MR. COCKBURN: I was a cop. Okay?
8	MS. VEST: I was getting ready to say,
9	Glenn
10	MR. COCKBURN: That's how I know all
11	this other stuff.
12	MR. COCKROFT: It's very light.
13	MS. VEST: Oh, okay.
14	MR. COCKBURN: But usually if you
15	have an ounce, it's about a gallon Ziploc bag.
16	MS. VEST: A what?
17	MR. COCKBURN: A gallon Ziploc bag.
18	MS. VEST: Is how much now?
19	MR. COCKBURN: It's an ounce, ounce and
20	a half.
21	MS. VEST: Oh.
22	MR. COCKBURN: It says, "A small amount
23	was left in my travel bag mixed with my clothes."
24	MR. COCKROFT: That was the other time,
25	was it not? That was not the larger amount was

1	in the car. I think the 2011 is the larger amount
2	when he was with friends in a car, and then the
3	2013 was when he was stopped on the way home from
4	work and had a smaller amount.
5	MR. COCKBURN: That was the hash?
6	MR. COCKROFT: No. I think the
7	marijuana and hash was the 2011. It's still
8	troubling, but just to clarify when they were,
9	because I was having a hard time following which
10	was which.
11	MR. HARVEY: I think if this guy was
12	closer, he would be somebody I would want to come
13	in and talk to. But this is a big company way
14	off.
15	MS. VEST: Yes. It's a monitoring
16	company.
17	MR. COCKROFT: And we're not supposed to
18	necessarily consider what their position, because
19	they could be a central station operator one day,
20	and they could be an installer the next. But I
21	think we've
22	MR. COCKBURN: I mean, this is always an
23	option, but does the State of Tennessee allow
24	electronic attendance?
25	MS. VEST: Yes. I don't believe we've

1	ever had someone by telephone.
2	MR. COCKBURN: Or telephone, video chat?
3	MS. VEST: Oh, yes. If you're asking me
4	if that's an option, yes.
5	MR. COCKROFT: We've done that for a
6	hearing
7	MS. VEST: Yes, we have.
8	MR. COCKROFT: and we talked about
9	doing that for something at the last meeting, the
10	DLY. I asked if that was possible. I don't think
11	we've ever had anyone that was an applicant.
12	MS. VEST: No, not that I'm aware of.
13	MR. HARVEY: I personally, without some
14	type of positive reinforcement on this guy from QA
15	or something, I'm not real comfortable with him.
16	MS. VEST: Well, do you want me to go
17	back and ask him to give us letters of
18	recommendation, including one from the company?
19	MR. COCKBURN: At minimum, yes.
20	MR. HARVEY: I think so.
21	MR. COCKBURN: Is it out of our bounds
22	to request a copy of a drug test?
23	MR. COCKROFT: We've done something like
24	that in the past where we have
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: We've had the company

1 do quarterly drug monitoring, 2 Yes. When you approve them, MS. VEST: 3 then we do all of that. Yes, we do the drug test. 4 I think it's like once every three months. We can 5 ask for it. But it's usually after we've already 6 approved someone to keep them honest with what 7 they're doing. 8 MR. COCKROFT: Since I've been here, we 9 did actually have one person that we approved that 10 way, and they failed later. 11 MS. VEST: Yeah, uh-huh. 12 MR. COCKROFT: We've had others that 13 that did not happen on, but --14 Right. And we've done --MS. VEST: 15 MR. COCKBURN: Does she hang out with 16 the wrong people? That's not for us to judge. 17 But is there a possibility that there may be 18 something there that would affect it, the approval? I mean, if we're allowed to ask for a 19 20 drug test, I don't think that's -- now, it's a 21 larger company. They probably already do it. 22 Right. So this is my MS. VEST: 23 question. Are we going to approve the application 24 based on a drug screen, or are you saying you want 25 to have a drug test, the results, before we do

1	that?
2	MR. COCKROFT: In the past I think
3	typically we've only done that when we saw them in
4	person, because partially the qualifying agent has
5	to also agree to that, to do that.
6	MR. COCKBURN: Right. But sometimes in
7	larger
8	MR. COCKROFT: They might have drug
9	testing anyway.
10	MR. COCKBURN: Yeah. They probably
11	MS. VEST: So are you saying, for
12	instance, I can do you want me to contact the
13	company and find out if they do mandatory drug
14	screens and get her last drug test? Can I do that
15	legally?
16	MS. THOMAS: You can ask for whatever
17	you want. The question becomes, what do you do if
18	they decide to say no, and you haven't issued a
19	decision on the application?
20	Do you deny it at that point, or where
21	do you go from asking for the drug screen? I
22	think that's why we've always conditioned it on
23	the approval of the registration.
24	MR. COCKBURN: I mean, legally can you
25	request a copy of drug screening pending

1 authorization? 2 MS. THOMAS: Again, yes, you can ask for 3 that, uh-huh. 4 MR. COCKROFT: In the past it was 5 scheduled or it was required, because most 6 companies are going to have a random drug test. Maybe they drug test when the person was hired, 7 8 and then maybe they pull up a particular person to 9 drug test randomly. 10 Whereas, this particular one, what we've 11 done in the past, I think, have been a specific 12 every 30 days, 90 days. I don't know what it 13 was --14 MS. THOMAS: Yes. It had a specific -- it 15 MR. COCKROFT: wasn't a random thing, and it was specific that 16 17 they had to report to us if it was a positive finding or something, or do they have to report 18 19 either way? 20 MS. VEST: Well, they have to report 21 either way. 22 MR. COCKROFT: Okay. 23 MS. THOMAS: I guess, Mr. Cockburn, if I 24 can ask you, if you ask for those results, and 25 they come back saying it's a clean drug screen, at

1 that point would you, I guess, be more comfortable 2 with approving the application? 3 MR. COCKBURN: Yes, because from what 4 I've read from her comments, and of course without 5 directly talking to her --6 MR. COCKROFT: And I'm not sure she's --7 MR. COCKBURN: -- it seems that she was 8 in the wrong place at the wrong time with the wrong friends. So is she someone who uses it or 9 10 If she comes back clean, I don't have a 11 problem with it, because we all have situations where we make bad decisions, or at least we did 12 13 when we were young. 14 MS. THOMAS: So, again, it would be a 15 conditional approval. We approve the condition 16 upon a drug screen. So it would be just the one? 17 Is that what the suggestion is, the result of a 18 clean drug screen, or do you want quarterly drug 19 testing for the first three cycles, or whatever it 20 is? 21 MR. COCKROFT: Personally I'm more 22 inclined to just deny the application. And if 23 they want to come and appeal, they have the right 24 to appeal that or they can request a 25 teleconference or whatever. That's my personal

1 inclination. I think we should be clear. 2 doesn't matter, but it is a he, I think. I don't 3 want someone to see this later and say we're 4 talking about the wrong person. Let's make sure we're talking about the right person. We could have referred to them as the applicant, but --6 7 MS. VEST: Yes. Exhibit D. 8 MR. COCKBURN: Exhibit D. 9 MR. COCKROFT: But we are talking about 10 the same person. 11 MR. COCKBURN: Yes, sorry. 12 MR. COCKROFT: I make a motion to deny 13 the application. 14 MR. COCKBURN: Second. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by 16 Mr. Cockroft and a second by Mr. Cockburn to deny 17 this registration application. All in favor, 18 voice by saying aye? All opposed? And that would 19 be all (inaudible) for the record. 20 MS. VEST: All right. I believe we 21 can go to Exhibit E. This one also was a little 22 hard to follow. I had it down as a 1998 receiving 23 stolen property and second and third degree but 24 did not get sentenced until 2001 with three years 25 probation.

1 I think probably what she MR. COCKBURN: 2 did was her summons date versus her conviction 3 On this next one is there anything that 4 stands out on that New Jersey report? 5 it's really hard to read. 6 MS. VEST: Oh, okay. On the actual 7 criminal record itself, is what I was just talking 8 about, it said arrest date 7/29/1998, count one, 9 receiving stolen property, knowingly received 10 stolen property, indictment accusation, 11 disposition guilty, felony conviction. 12 Then it says, the second one is 13 receiving stolen property, felony conviction. The 14 third one -- that was guilty. The third one 15 guilty, degree third, receiving stolen property. 16 And according to the record, she only had -- or it 17 could be he, had two felony convictions, even 18 though it shows three. 19 MS. VEST: It may be real hard to read, 20 that State of New Jersey. If you'd like to look 21 at the original, I have the original. 22 MR. HARVEY: I'd like to make a motion 23 to grant -- I can't read the thing. 24 MS. VEST: Yeah. Exhibit E? 25 MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Exhibit E, employee

1 registration. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 3 motion by Mr. Harvey to grant Exhibit E, employee registration. Do we have a second? 4 5 MR. HARVEY: My motion is based on the 6 age and the severity of the crimes. 7 It is a long time ago. MR. COCKROFT: 8 guess I was curious as to why she was convicted. was there not proof of where it was stolen from 9 10 and that her --THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 11 Those details 12 are lacking. 13 MR. COCKBURN: Yeah. There is some 14 inconsistencies that, previously being a police 15 officer, she took herself to the pawn shop, and 16 that night they came and arrested her? Other than 17 visual ID, you have to go get a warrant for 18 something like that, et cetera. Usually there's detectives involved, and 19 20 several hours later knocking on the door to arrest 21 I can't dispute what she says. It just 22 sounds fishy. 23 MR. COCKROFT: I'll second the motion to 24 approve. 25 Okay. We have a THE CHAIRPERSON:

1	motion by Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockroft
2	to approve the application. Is it E we're on now?
3	MS. VEST: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Application E or File
5	E. All in favor, voice by saying aye? All
6	opposed? The motion carries.
7	MS. VEST: I'm sorry, Glenn, I didn't
8	see you vote.
9	MR. COCKBURN: One way or the other?
10	MS. VEST: Or abstain, yes.
11	MR. COCKBURN: Abstain.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: The motion carries,
13	three of us.
14	MS. VEST: That's three in favor and one
15	to abstain.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
17	MS. VEST: All right. I just want you
18	to know I do pay attention.
19	MR. COCKBURN: Sorry.
20	MS. VEST: That's fine.
21	MR. COCKBURN: I was still reading.
22	MS. VEST: Okay. If it's all right,
23	we'll move to Exhibit F. This one is a little
24	hard to follow also. I have a 2009 fraudulent use
25	of credit card under \$500, probation 11/29, and

1 there was three counts of theft under 500, and it 2 looks like it might actually have been eight 3 counts. But we'll take a look at it together. 4 So if you look at the court document, it 5 looks like it's saying quilty 7/3 of 2009 of 6 fraudulent use of credit card under 500. 7 The first one I've got is counts one and 8 three, 11/29, and then the next one says count two 9 and three, 11/29. The one for theft under five, 10 I'm trying to see, the indictment date is 11 different, and it's for counts one and two is 12 11/29. 13 What it is, some of THE CHAIRPERSON: 14 them are theft, and some of them are fraudulent 15 use of a credit card. So they're different 16 criminal charges. 17 But it's still the same. MS. VEST: Ι mean, you're still looking -- okay. 18 It got a 19 little confusing to me. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: One is theft, and one is fraudulent use of a credit card. 21 22 MR. COCKBURN: Do you have a copy of his 23 TBI report? Did that come back different than what he provided from Wilson County? 24 25 MS. VEST: That's where I got -- the

1	first one was 4/17/2010 fraud, forged
2	prescription. That's the one that got dismissed.
3	Then the other is on 10/15/2009 theft, forgery of
4	a credit card, 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8. It looks like
5	there were eight counts. The documents just show
6	1, 2, and 3.
7	MR. COCKBURN: There's a good chance
8	that they may have combined them.
9	MS. VEST: That's all I have on it.
10	MR. COCKROFT: Does he have a response
11	in here anywhere? I see the one small page.
12	MS. VEST: He mentioned two things, if
13	I'm not mistaken. Let me look. Yeah, here it is,
14	2012 pled guilty to a simple possession, unable to
15	get court docs. And then the other one, as I
16	said, gives three misdemeanor theft charges in
17	2010 for purchases made with a credit card.
18	That's what it is.
19	MR. COCKBURN: Now, you counted eight on
20	the TBI report. Does it say that he
21	MS. VEST: It doesn't say anything on it
22	other than what the charges are.
23	MR. COCKROFT: And nothing from the
24	qualifying agent either?
25	MS. VEST: No, sir. You got everything

1 that was sent to me. This is a local company, a 2 Tennessee company. 3 MR. COCKBURN: I think on this one I'd 4 like him to appear. 5 MR. COCKROFT: Do you want to make that 6 a motion? 7 MR. COCKBURN: I was just seeing if 8 anybody had any other thoughts. I make a motion 9 that we request him to appear before the Board. 10 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by 12 Mr. Cockburn and a second by Mr. Cockroft to ask 13 the applicant to appear before the Board. All in 14 favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? The 15 motion carries. 16 MS. VEST: All right. We will certainly 17 do that. We'll extend an invitation. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Exhibit G is the next 19 one, I believe. 20 MS. VEST: Yes. We're going to move to 21 Exhibit G, please. I had a 2015 manufacturing or 22 delivery of a possession with intent. You would 23 need to move down on the record that you've got 24 there. That first one was dismissed, vehicle 25 insurance or lack of, the second one for the

1 controlled substance, manufacture and deliver it. 2 That one was also dismissed. So you're looking, I 3 believe, at a Count 3. It says, quilty of 4 controlled substance, manufacture and deliver. 5 And I'll go ahead and explain for Scott 6 also -- I mean, Glenn also. It does show some 7 other charges there; for instance, a fugitive from 8 justice, but we did not take a look at that. 9 Whenever we have a hit, the TBI and the 10 FBI will pull it, and they'll go ahead and run it 11 for us. If the individual was still wanted, I 12 would already know about that. So that's not to 13 be considered. 14 On page 13 of 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: 15 there's a list or there's entries. I'm not sure 16 what they are. 17 MR. COCKROFT: What, this list? 18 Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: 19 MS. THOMAS: If you look at the bottom 20 of page 12, I think that's part of the address, 21 slash, identity history information. So it looks 22 like it's listing all of his addresses. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: So we have no 24 explanation for him; correct? 25 I don't believe I have MS. VEST: No.

Ι

1 one in here. No. I don't have one. 2 MR. COCKBURN: On page 11 of 16, his 3 NCIC report, now, NCIC may have changed the last 4 time I've looked at them. But doesn't he have 5 like an extensive history in the state of Iowa 6 and -- I mean, he's got 11/24/15 and 1/16 before 7 it gets into the addresses? 8 MS. VEST: We don't have any of that on 9 his TBI or FBI record. So whether he's been 10 convicted of it or not. I'm sure if he's been 11 convicted of this, I would have a record. 12 would be on the record, but that's not on his TBI 13 and FBI. It shows that he has one felony. 14 MR. COCKBURN: IS NCIC admissible? 15 mean, that's the National Crime Information 16 Center. 17 MS. VEST: Yes. That's over there, and 18 this -- I've already got both of them. And, no, 19 sir, I don't have this information here on the FBI 20 report. Why, I don't know. 21 So it looks like we've got Okay. 22 information that he's provided to us that is not 23 on the TBI and the FBI record that we need to 24 probably find out why, or I can contact them since

this is a Utah company. I can contact them or the

1	individual and ask him for his written explanation
2	to find out what's going on.
3	MR. COCKBURN: I'd like to see him
4	before us. I mean, there's a lot of conflicting
5	information.
6	MS. VEST: No. He's in Memphis. I
7	misspoke. The company is in Utah, but his address
8	is actually Memphis. So if you want me to ask him
9	to make an appearance like the other gentleman, I
10	can.
11	MR. COCKBURN: I make the motion.
12	MR. HARVEY: Second.
13	MS. VEST: Well, the only problem like
14	that is, or it could have been diversion that got
15	taken off or there's a problem with his FBI
16	record.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we need to
18	deny it. If it's all wrong, then it's
19	MS. VEST: Then it's up to him to clean
20	it up.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. And if that's
22	important to him, he should have gotten it
23	straight to begin with. Do you want to make a
24	motion, Keith?
25	MR. HARVEY: Yes. I will make a motion

1 we deny Mr. Watson his employee registration. 2 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: 6232312E, and this 4 will be seconded by Mr. Cockroft. All in favor, 5 voice by saying aye? All opposed? The 6 application is denied for F -- or, excuse me, G. 7 MS. VEST: All right. The next one is 8 not on your iPad. That's the one that I handed 9 out to you. 10 MR. COCKROFT: Are you still getting a 11 lot of paper apps? Aren't all of these on your 12 paper? 13 MS. VEST: Thank you for noticing that. 14 We will be talking to these people. 15 Unfortunately, I'm not in the position 16 where I have to say at this particular time that 17 they -- I have to return their checks. The State 18 doesn't return the check. No matter who it's made 19 payable to, it doesn't get returned. 20 As you know, we're moving towards 21 everything being electronic, so we are strongly 22 encouraging. But I still have a few companies 23 that are resistant to that. 24 But some of these are quite big 25 companies, and I contact usually the corporate

office instead of the qualifying agent. I seem to get better response that way. People who are higher up know that we're not getting it, or a lot of them also are other offices. I might have three specific -- they've got three offices that do it electronic, one office that doesn't. That's why we have offered our services to them to try and help.

So I'm going to try and help you here with his sheet. He said, I pled guilty to a 1995 forgery. I pled guilty, got one year of probation to possession of crack cocaine. I pled guilty to possession of CDS marijuana. Traffic, I didn't worry with that one.

I pled guilty to prowl in public places, 2002. 2005, it was dismissed. 2005, I pled guilty to possession of drug paraphernalia. 2006, I pled guilty to prowl in public places. I pled guilty to a DUI in 2012. I pled guilty to wandering or prowling in 2016 to obtain or sell CDS, which would be marijuana, and in 2016 I pled guilty to possession of drug paraphernalia. And you've got his documents there.

MR. COCKBURN: Just as a point, the 2016, according to the report, was crack.

1 MS. VEST: Oh, okay. 2 MR. COCKBURN: Having in his control or 3 possession a clear orange concealed baggy 4 containing a white rock substance believed to be 5 crack cocaine. 6 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion we deny 7 Mr. Murray his employee registration. 8 MR. COCKBURN: I second. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion by 10 Mr. Harvey and a second by Mr. Cockburn to deny 11 application H, employee registration application. 12 All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed? 13 The application is denied. 14 MS. VEST: All right. Thank you, and 15 then that does conclude the criminal history 16 review. We can go right on to education, if you 17 want to. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's take a break. We'll take a break now. Thank you. 19 20 (Recess taken.) 21 (BY THE CHAIRPERSON) Okay. We're going 22 to call our meeting back to order. The next one 23 is the Jade Learning. Is it just they've had a 24 name change? 25 MS. VEST: Yes. I wanted you to see

1 that, and I wanted to get it into the record. 2 Jade Learning, J-a-d-e, L-e-a-r-n-i-n-g. "We are 3 writing to inform you as of March the 30th, 2018, 4 Jade Learning, LLC, has been purchased by TPC 5 MidCo Corporation, LLC. We are no longer owned by 6 TestWell, T-e-s-t-W-e-l-l, Holdings, LLC." 7 Now, you know, Jade Learning, we just 8 accept -- they're automatic, so I'm just bringing 9 it to you that (a) they do have a name change, (b) 10 are we still going to honor that, as they send 11 them in, they are automatically approved? 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: I would think so since 13 it's just a name change. 14 MR. HARVEY: They just have a holdings 15 change; right? They're still Jade Learning? 16 MR. COCKROFT: I didn't know they were 17 automatically approved. So we don't need to look 18 at the --19 MS. VEST: Yes. They've been for a long 20 time, uh-huh. 21 MR. COCKROFT: What qualified them for 22 that? 23 MS. VEST: That was a long time ago 24 whenever the Board voted them in for that, just 25 like Electronic Security Association. There's a

1 lot of them that we automatically --2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, as long as the 3 course -- I think as the course or the 4 classification doesn't change, it's been accepted. 5 But if they make a change to the classification 6 from training to CEU or whatever, then the Board 7 has to approve it. 8 MS. VEST: All right. Did we decide 9 that that was okay? Do you need me to present 10 them again? Is it okay to keep it on the course 11 list is what I'm asking. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want a motion 13 to make it all good? 14 MS. VEST: Yes. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Motion? 16 MR. HARVEY: I make a motion that we 17 accept the new name for Jade Learning as TPC MidCo 18 Corp. and continue accepting the courses that they 19 submit. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Now we need a 21 second. 22 MR. COCKBURN: Second. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 24 motion and a second to approve or to acknowledge 25 the name change from Jade Learning Centers Holding

1 Company from -- I think you read it out, from TPC 2 to MidCo Corp. Is that it? 3 MS. VEST: Right. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor, voice by 5 saying aye? All opposed? 6 MS. VEST: Thank you. Then we've 7 broken this down. This is continuing education as 8 well. Okay. So you should have already got some 9 of this correspondence from ESA, who wanted the 10 continuing education. And it comes down to 11 Inovonics. It's Charity Net as well. There's 12 several pages of that. Does anybody have any 13 discussion about those two on the course list? 14 MR. HARVEY: I have no negative 15 discussion. 16 MS. VEST: I beg your pardon? 17 MR. HARVEY: I have no negative 18 discussion. I thought all those that was asked 19 for an hour or hour and a half of continuing ed 20 was fine. 21 MS. VEST: Okay. 22 MR. HARVEY: And I did read through 23 every single one of them. 24 MS. VEST: Thank you. I appreciate 25 that. You're the expert. Thank you. I'm sorry,

1 I did -- is there any other discussion on this, 2 any other board members? 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: These are all CEUs; 4 correct? MS. VEST: These are all CEUS for ESA. 5 6 Like I said, Century Net and the other -- and if 7 you would look on the back, we have approved 8 CMOOR, C-M-O-O-R, Group, and they have come up for 9 expiration. So they have resubmitted their 10 courses for us. 11 I think it's every four years they have 12 to renew their courses, and that's what was 13 attached on the back. But, yes, ma'am, it is 14 continuing education. So I believe Scott said 15 yes -- I mean Keith, I'm sorry. Scott, did you 16 review them? 17 MR. COCKROFT: Yes. I looked through --18 I mean, it's one big document of all of them; 19 right? 20 MS. VEST: Yes, sir, just the one piece, 21 yes, just for that section. But we are only doing 22 the ESA down to, to begin with, the re-approval of 23 the 56 online continuing education courses for 24 CMOOR Group. 25 MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Including the

1 Century Net? 2 MS. VEST: Yes. 3 MR. COCKROFT: The Century Net ones, I 4 mean, it looked like there was a lot of them cut 5 and paste, as far as the description and stuff. 6 But it still did look like it met the hours. 7 of it is a lot of discussion, what it says in the 8 class. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Are we going 10 to -- how do you all want to vote on this? 11 want to vote on ESA and -- I've got one Inovonics 12 Century Net. 13 MS. VEST: That's all we -- yes. Is 14 that what they're looking on the -- well, okay, we 15 can do that. It's something very simple. I sent 16 it all out to everybody. Did -- not Vivian. 17 Did everybody take a look at the 18 courses? Was there any discussion on any of the 19 information that we sent out, or can we go ahead 20 and do a blanket vote for continuing education 21 that was sent out? That might be a whole lot 22 easier. 23 Nothing that stood out MR. COCKBURN: 24 with me. MR. COCKROFT: That's fine with me. 25

1 MR. COCKBURN: Do we need to make a 2 motion and --3 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: To approve all courses 5 presented for continuing education. 6 MR. COCKBURN: I make a motion that we 7 accept all courses as presented for continuing 8 education. 9 MR. COCKROFT: Second. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a 11 motion by Mr. Cockburn and a second by 12 Mr. Cockroft to approve all of the courses 13 presented by different companies for continuing 14 education. All in favor, voice by saying aye? 15 All opposed? The motion passes. 16 MS. VEST: All right. So I think we've 17 got a question -- well, probably two. Do we need 18 to -- Vivian, do we need to start sending them to 19 Since you're the public member, I did not 20 send them to you to review the courses. 21 one. 22 Second, do you like it that way, or I 23 can just send them all out to you all, and you can 24 look at all of them, and then we just come back

in, and the ones that we need to discuss are the

ones we'll discuss, and the other ones will be approved?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, because in the past what you've run into, when you send the courses to one person, and they don't have an opportunity to review them, then the other board members are scrambling during the meeting to try to determine are they okay or not.

And you've got a four-to-one shot versus a one-to-one shot that somebody is going to say, "Yes, I've looked at them and I agree," and then you can vote on them without having to read up on them real quickly.

MS. VEST: Okay. I think what we'll do, because I will just get a list of them with what the name of the course is, or whatever the company that is providing it, and then I'll just do it like that. So everybody gets theirs. We'll say, "Is there any discussion, or are we going to approve this," and just read the list out, and that will be continuing education.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

MR. HARVEY: I mean, it's a lot to look at. This was like 400 and something pages.

MS. VEST: Well, this is odd, because

1 CMOOR Group happened to be the new --2 MR. COCKROFT: Because in the past, if I 3 was sent just some, I knew I needed to look at 4 them, and I looked at them good. I can't -- I 5 mean, I looked at this, but I did not look as 6 thoroughly since it was so much. So it's kind of 7 harder -- if we do send it to all, we may all sort 8 of look at it. 9 MR. HARVEY: I kind of agree with that. 10 MS. VEST: Okay. So do you want me to 11 send it all out and then send it --12 (Multiple people talking.) 13 (BY MS. VEST) If we just send it all 14 out, then I'll say: Well, Keith, would you take 15 the first five pages; Scott, you take; Glenn, you 16 But you still need to be aware of what each take. 17 person has so we can make --18 MR. COCKROFT: That would probably be 19 better. 20 Would that be okay? MS. VEST: 21 MR. COCKBURN: Yeah, that's fine, 22 because you may see something that I don't. 23 MR. COCKROFT: It's good to see all of 24 it, but it still would be nice if we focused on a 25 certain part or something.

1 We can do that. MS. VEST: Okav. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think in general the 3 Board has always been accepting of what the 4 companies have asked for on CEUs. I think where 5 the controversy comes up is when they want to give 6 too many training hours or they want it in 7 different classifications, and you all don't think 8 they should be --9 MR. HARVEY: The initial --10 MS. VEST: Well, there's been a few with 11 continuing education, whether they've asked for 12 four hours, and you've reduced it to two hours, 13 something like that, is why we need to review it. 14 But I can do that. So I think what we'll do is, we'll make 15 16 it a policy that we'll send all the courses out to 17 you, but we'll ask each person to look at a 18 section. 19 But all of you need to be prepared in 20 case that -- maybe the individual might not be 21 I don't want to stop the continuing here. 22 education. 23 And if somebody THE CHAIRPERSON: 24 doesn't have an opportunity to review this

section, let others know so that somebody else can

1 kind of pick up the gap then. Okay. Does that 2 take care of that? 3 MS. VEST: Yes. That's okay, because we 4 had to vote. Yes, ma'am, it sure did. We'll take 5 care of that. So that was the continuing 6 education course approval. I didn't have any 7 unfinished business. But under new business, you 8 do have your 2018 board schedule. We had to move 9 a meeting. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. I think we 11 changed it from --12 MS. VEST: We changed it now to June --13 it was the 21st of June. Now it's the 28th of 14 June. And I think Stuart wanted something else 15 changed. Okav. That's all I had under new 16 business, Madam Chair. I just wanted to make sure 17 everybody had a new schedule. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Anything else? 19 MS. VEST: I didn't have anything. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Our meeting is 21 adjourned. Thank you for coming. 22 MS. VEST: All right. 23 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 24 approximately 12:45 p.m.) 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE )
3	COUNTY OF DAVIDSON )
4	
5	I, <b>WILMA O. HUTCHISON</b> , Court Reporter and
6	Notary Public at Large, do hereby certify that the
7	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the
8	best of my ability and belief, of the proceedings as
9	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,
10	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
11	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
12	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any
13	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a
14	relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
15	that I am not financially interested in the action.
16	WITNESS my hand this 28th day of June 2018
17	at Nashville, Tennessee.
18	
19	Wilma O. Hutchison, LCR #337
20	Court Reporter &  Notary Public at Large
21	Notally Fubility at Large
22	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
23	May 5, 2020.
24	
25	

1

7

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

132

6

7 8 9

10

11

17

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24 25

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

lot of them that we automatically --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, as long as the course -- I think as the course or the classification doesn't change, it's been accepted. But if they make a change to the classification from training to CEU or whatever, then the Board has to approve it.

MS. VEST: All right. Did we decide that that was okay? Do you need me to present them again? Is it okay to keep it on the course list is what I'm asking.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you want a motion to make it all good?

MS. VEST: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Motion?

MR. HARVEY: I make a motion that we accept the new name for Jade Learning as TPC MidCo Corp. and continue accepting the courses that they submit.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. Now we need a second.

MR. COCKBURN: Second,

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okav. We have a motion and a second to approve or to acknowledge the name change from Jade Learning Centers Holding

I did -- is there any other discussion on this, any other board members?

THE CHAIRPERSON: These are all CEUs; correct?

MS. VEST; These are all CEUS for ESA. Like I said, Century Net and the other -- and if you would look on the back, we have approved CMOOR, C-M-O-O-R, Group, and they have come up for expiration. So they have resubmitted their courses for us.

I think it's every four years they have to renew their courses, and that's what was attached on the back. But, yes, ma'am, it is continuing education. So I believe Scott said yes -- I mean Keith, I'm sorry. Scott, did you review them?

MR. COCKROFT: Yes. I looked through --I mean, it's one big document of all of them; right?

MS. VEST: Yes, sir, just the one piece, yes, just for that section. But we are only doing the ESA down to, to begin with, the re-approval of the 56 online continuing education courses for CMOOR Group.

MR. COCKROFT: Okay. Including the

Company from -- I think you read it out, from TPC to MidCo Corp Is that it?

MS. VEST: Right.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor, voice by saying aye? All opposed?

MS. VEST: Thank you. Then we've broken this down. This is continuing education as well. Okay. So you should have already got some of this correspondence from ESA, who wanted the continuing education. ,And it comes down to Inovonics. It's chill Net as well. There's several pages of that. Does anybody have any discussion about those two on the course list?

MR, HARVEY: I have no negative discussion.

MS. VEST: I beg your pardon?

MR. HARVEY: I have no negative discussion. I thought all those that was asked for an hour or hour and a half of continuing ed was fine.

MS. VEST: Okay.

MR. HARVEY: And I did read through every single one of them.

MS. VEST: Thank you. I appreciate that. You're the expert. Thank you. I'm sorry,

Century Net?

MS. VEST: Yes. Sentry
MR. COCKROFT: The Cockry Net ones, I

mean, it looked like there was a lot of them cut and paste, as far as the description and stuff. But it still did look like it met the hours. Some of it is a lot of discussion, what it says in the

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Are we going to -- how do you all want to vote on this? Do you want to vote on ESA and -- I've got one Inovonics Ceptury Net.

Senty MS. VEST: That's all we -- yes. IS that what they're looking on the -- well, okay, we can do that. It's something very simple. I sent it all out to everybody. Did -- not Vivian.

pid everybody take a look at the courses? Was there any discussion on any of the information that we sent out, or can we go ahead and do a blanket vote for continuing education that was sent out? That might be a whole lot easier.

MR. COCKBURN: Nothing that stood out with me.

MR. COCKROFT: That's fine with me.

8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

10 11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

72

23

74

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 Q

10

11 12 13

15 16 17

14

18 19 20

21 22 23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remember that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's been some time back. But I think it was movement what is it?

MR. COCKROFT: PMESA. ESA

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think he's right.

MS. VEST: I'm sorry, but I don't

MS. VEST: It would be FAX, if not the national. It would be the Tennessee Association --

MR. COCKROET: It would be both. It would be the ESA, which used to be the NBFAA.

MS. THOMAS: Well, so this particular law, it doesn't tell you how to establish your apprenticeship program. It just says that we have to grant a license to somebody that has a high school education and has completed the apprenticeship program, however that Board decides to lay it out, whatever those requirements are.

But like I said, it gives kind of a skeletal guideline of establishing an apprenticeship program.

There was some talk at the very beginning about the age which you had to be to be in apprenticeship. But through amendments, that part is gone. But it's giving the warranty option to establish an apprenticeship program.

MR. COCKROFT: And my understanding is, one of the main purposes would be with prevailing wage for some companies if there was an apprenticeship program. I believe right now if it's a prevailing wage job, it goes to like the electrical contractors' rates and apprenticeship program.

MS. THOMAS: I'm not sure I understand. MR. COCKROFT: In our industry, since there currently isn't an apprenticeship program in Tennessee, if you do a job that has to pay prevailing wage, they look at electricians' wages for the prevailing wage.

And even if there's a helper on the job, they have to be paid the electrician wage, which makes it hard to do jobs sometimes. Sometimes it's -- it's great for the employee getting the pay, which I understand, but it's hard for the company.

And then some employees don't get to work a job, because they don't make that much, and they can't afford -- the company can't afford to pay them. But I don't know what would be involved with us doing the apprenticeship program if it's a

75

lot of --

MS. THOMAS: And I will say this, that this particular amendment of the law does not speak to how we set forth the wages and all those things, if that's something we want to do. promulgating a rule or something --

MR. COCKROFT: I don't think we can set the wages. I don't think that -- I think that's something that if there's an actual apprenticeship program, that it lets you have an apprenticeship wage.

MR. COCKBURN: I can tell you, Davis Bacon governs federal government. They do not differentiate. There's a job that my company is working on now that the electrician we work with has somebody who is still in school, he has to leave by a certain time to make classes, and he still has to get paid the prevailing wage for the occupation.

For them, their labor, as their definition is, is basically gophers. They admitted if somebody picks up a screwdriver and turns it, they're now an electrician.

MR. COCKROFT: Right. Well, and that's what I was told. This was a long time ago that I did the job somewhere that was prevailing wage. And we were told that -- I mean, because I had a helper that I then couldn't have work on the job. I was told he could sweep up. But if he picked up a screwdriver or touched a piece of wire, he couldn't work the job.

But I was told that if there was an apprenticeship program, he could have been paid a different wage. But --

MR. COCKBURN: Well, as far as State prevailing wage, I'm not as -- we do a lot of government jobs, so I can probably quote inside and out Davis Bacon.

MR. COCKROFT: And I think that's what it was referring to. This was like a state university. But their rules, I guess, could have been different.

MS. THOMAS: And I think the purpose of this is just another pass to licensure. So it says if the Board establishes such a program, the person shall be granted a license if they have a high school education, have completed the apprenticeship program, have passed the test and have paid the fee, and then we will grant them a license. So in time it's another path to