

BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

TENNESSEE SECURITIES DIVISION,)
Petitioner,)
v.) TSD No.: 21-067
VISION FINANCIAL CONSULTING LLC and MATTHEW MCDANIEL,))
Respondents.)

CONSENT ORDER

The Securities Division of the Tennessee Department of Commerce and Insurance ("Division"), by and through undersigned counsel, and Vision Financial Consulting LLC and Matthew McDaniel (collectively "Respondents") agree to the entry and execution of this Consent Order in accordance with Tennessee Code Annotated ("Tenn. Code Ann.") § 48-1-116 of the Tennessee Securities Act of 1980 ("Act"). as amended, and Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 48-1-101 to 48-1-201, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Commerce and Insurance ("Commissioner").

I. PARTIES

- 1. The Division is the lawful agent through which the Commissioner discharges the administration of the Act pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 48-1-115.
- Vision Financial Consulting LLC ("Respondent Vision"), an investment adviser, is assigned Central Registration Depository ("CRD") number 289825 and maintains an address of record in Tennessee.

3. Matthew McDaniel ("Respondent McDaniel"), an investment adviser

representative and owner and chief compliance officer ("CCO") of Respondent Vision, is assigned

CRD number 6726877 and maintains a business address of record in Tennessee.

II. GENERAL STIPULATIONS

4. It is expressly understood that this Consent Order is subject to the Commissioner's

acceptance and has no force and effect until such acceptance is evidenced by the entry and

execution of this Consent Order by the Commissioner. Entry and execution of this Consent Order

by the Commissioner shall occur when the Commissioner signs and dates this Consent Order.

5. It is expressly understood that this Consent Order is in the public interest, necessary

for the protection of investors, and consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and

provisions of the Act.

6. This Consent Order is executed by the Commissioner, the Division, and the

Respondents to avoid further administrative action with respect to the same findings of fact

described herein. Should this Consent Order not be accepted by the Commissioner, it is agreed

that presentation to and consideration of this Consent Order by the Commissioner shall not unfairly

or illegally prejudice the Commissioner from further participation or resolution of these

proceedings.

7. The Respondents fully understand that this Consent Order will in no way preclude

additional proceedings by the Commissioner against the Respondents for acts and/or omissions

not specifically addressed in this Consent Order nor for facts and/or omissions that do not arise

from the facts or transactions herein.

8. The Respondents fully understand that this Consent Order will in no way preclude

proceedings by state government representatives, other than the Commissioner, for acts or

TSD v. Vision Financial Consulting LLC and Matthew McDaniel

omissions addressed specifically in this Consent Order, violations of law under statutes, rules, or

regulations of the State of Tennessee that arise out of the facts, acts, or omissions contained in this

Consent Order, or acts or omissions addressed specifically herein that result from the execution of

this Consent Order.

9. The Respondents waive all further procedural steps and all rights to seek judicial

review of, or otherwise challenge the validity of this Consent Order, the stipulations and imposition

of discipline contained herein, or the consideration and entry and execution of this Consent Order

by the Commissioner.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT

10. On September 8, 2017, Respondent Vision became a registered investment adviser

with the Division.

11. Respondent McDaniel is the owner and CCO of Respondent Vision.

12. The Division conducted a routine examination of Respondent Vision.

13. The examination found that Respondent Vision failed to meet the Division's net

capital requirement of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) for February, March, April, May, June.

and July of 2019.

14. The failure to meet the net capital requirements set forth in paragraph 13 above was

the result of an inadvertent banking error by Respondent McDaniel. Upon recognition of the error,

Respondent McDaniel promptly deposited the requisite funds to comply with applicable

regulations.

15. Thereafter, the Respondents demonstrated compliance with the net capital

requirement for the remainder of their 2019 balance sheets, all of their 2020 balance sheets, and

their 2021 balance sheets to date.

TSD v. Vision Financial Consulting LLC and Matthew McDaniel

16. The Respondents are currently in compliance with the Division's net capital

requirement.

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

17. Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 48-1-115(a), the responsibility for the

administration of the Act is vested in the Commissioner. The Division is the lawful agent through

which the Commissioner discharges this responsibility pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann.

§ 48-1-115(b).

18. Tenn. Code Ann. § 48-1-116 provides that the Commissioner may make,

promulgate, amend, and rescind such orders as are necessary to carry out the provisions of the Act

upon a finding that such order is in the public interest, necessary for the protection of investors,

and consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

19. Tenn. Code Ann. § 48-1-112(d) establishes that when the Commissioner is

authorized to deny, revoke, or suspend the registration of an investment adviser or investment

adviser representative, "the [C]ommissioner may . . . impose a civil penalty in an amount not to

exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for all violations for any single transaction, or in an amount

not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per violation if an individual who is a designated

adult is a victim."

20. Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 0780-04-03-.01(6)(a) establishes that an investment

adviser "shall have and maintain a net capital of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000)."

21. Based on the Findings of Fact above, the Respondents failed to meet the net capital

requirement six (6) times in 2019.

22. The Commissioner finds the following relief appropriate, in the public interest, and

necessary for the protection of investors.

TSD v. Vision Financial Consulting LLC and Matthew McDaniel

V. ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the foregoing, including the Respondents' waiver of the right to a hearing and appeal under the Act and the Tennessee Uniform Administrative Procedures Act, Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 4-5-101 et seq., as well as the Respondents' admission to the jurisdiction of the Commissioner, the Commissioner finds that the Respondents agree to the entry and execution of this Consent Order to settle this matter as evidenced by the Respondents' signature.

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 48-1-116, that the Respondents shall:

- 1. Comply with the Act, as amended, and all rules promulgated thereunder;
- 2. Pay a civil penalty to the State of Tennessee of one thousand dollars (\$1,000). The payment of such civil penalty shall be made by check payable to the Tennessee Department of Commerce and Insurance. Page one (1) of this Consent Order must accompany the payment for reference. Payment shall be remitted within thirty (30) days after entry and execution of this Consent Order, as evidenced by the Commissioner's signature, and mailed to the attention of:

State of Tennessee
Department of Commerce and Insurance
Attn: William H. Leslie
500 James Robertson Parkway
Davy Crockett Tower
Nashville, Tennessee 37243

- 3. The Respondents' failure to comply with the terms of this Consent Order, including the manner and method of payment of the civil penalty described above, shall result in further administrative disciplinary action, which may include the assessment of additional civil penalties.
- 4. This Consent Order represents the complete and final resolution of and discharge of all administrative and civil claims, demands, actions, and causes of action by the Commissioner against the Respondents for violations of the Act with respect to the transactions involved in the above-referenced facts. However, excluded from and not covered by this paragraph, are any claims

TSD v. Vision Financial Consulting LLC and Matthew McDaniel Consent Order

by the Division arising from or relating to the enforcement of the Consent Order provisions

contained herein.

5.

This Consent Order is in the public interest and the best interests of the Parties. It

represents a settlement of the controversy between the Parties and is for settlement purposes only.

By the signatures affixed below, or in two (2) or more counterparts, the Respondents affirmatively

state the following: the Respondents freely agree to the entry and execution of this Consent Order;

the Respondents waive the right to a hearing on, or a review of, the matters, the Findings of Fact.

and the Conclusions of Law underlying this Consent Order or the enforcement of this Consent

Order; and the Respondents encountered no threats or promises of any kind by the Commissioner,

the Division, or any agent or representative thereof.

6. By signing this Consent Order, the Commissioner, the Division, and the

Respondents affirmatively state their agreement to be bound by the terms of this Consent Order

and aver that no promises or offers relating to the circumstances described herein, other than the

terms of settlement as set forth in this Consent Order, are binding upon them.

7. This Consent Order may be executed in two (2) or more counterparts, each of which

shall be deemed an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same document.

The facsimile, email, or other electronically delivered signatures of the parties shall be deemed to

constitute original signatures, and facsimile or electronic copies shall be deemed to constitute

duplicate originals.

ENTERED AND EXECUTED December 27, 2021.

Carter Lawrence, Commissioner

Department of Commerce and Insurance

APPROVED FOR ENTRY AND EXECUTION:

Matthew McDaniel

Vision Financial Consulting LLC

Respondents

Counsel for Respondents

Elizabeth Bowling

Assistant Commissioner for Securities

Department of Commerce and Insurance

William H. Leslie, BPR #036098

Associate General Counsel for Securities Department of Commerce and Insurance