# Meeting Takeaways and Recommendations Higher Education and Post Secondary

1. GENERAL INFORMATION				
Date:	January 19, 2022	Time:	9:00 am – 10:00 am	
Location:	Microsoft TEAMS			
Chair:	Youlanda Jones			
Members in Attendance:	List names here			

### 2. DIRECTIONS

#### Торіс

Please list specific supports and services that you would like to see incorporated into the funding formula for each category. (In other words, what supports and services do you think are most important so that the cost of those supports and services can be included. It does not mean a district MUST spend money in a certain way, only that they would be funded to do so). Please prioritize each item as a:

- **Must Have:** Those supports and services required as a result of federal and/or state law, for safety, or similar.
- **Should Have:** Those supports and services that may not be mandatory but are essential to ensure the student or student group receives access to a quality education.
- **Nice to Have:** Those supports and services that are not mandatory and not essential, but (1) may provide a clear and added benefit to students and (2) have a clear return on the investment related to student achievement and future success.
- Long Shot: All other supports and services ideas.



tn.gov/education/tnedufunding | Email: tnedu.funding@tn.gov Page 1

### **Subcommittee Supports and Services Prioritization**

	SUBCOMMITTEE FEEDBACK AND REFLECTIONS	
BASE	*This is not meant to be an exhaustive list: Not the only things that	
	should be supported in base	
	Must Have: At a minimum	
	Ratio for school counselors should be no less than national average of	
	1:250 with a goal of 1-100	Commented [CP1]: Should be no less than the
	Students should have more time building basic skill sets. Example: tutoring	national average of 1:250 with a goal of 1:100
	<ul> <li>Remediation challenges addressed prior to post secondary,</li> <li>Social workers should be included in base</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Social workers should be included in base</li> <li>Students at all levels have access to High Quality Material(curriculum)</li> </ul>	<b>Commented [le2]:</b> The national recommendation for school social workers is also 1:250. We may want to
	<ul> <li>High risk counties should have a reduced ratio of counselors to</li> </ul>	specify a ratio. Currently the TN BEP funds school
	students(1-100)	social workers at a ratio of <b>1:2000</b> . School social
	<ul> <li>Adequate training for all school counselors(including career counselors)</li> </ul>	workers would fulfill the "case manger" role that I keep hearing in the feedback.
	to ensure that every high school has a highly qualified counselor	Commented [CP3]: I thought the career counselors
	Middle school career exploration opportunities for all students	were different from the school counselors(?) if so,
	Base should reflect an overall increase in recurring funding in the	do we want to add a target ratio here as well?
	formula	
	Base should be high enough to result in an overall increase for all	
	districts and students with greater needs	
	• Recurring per pupil education funding through the formula should put	
	Tennessee at or above the national average for financially supporting	
	education	
	Should Have: provide additional exposure and opportunities for EPSO	
	and CTE	
	• Should be pegged to inflation;	
	Technology support for all students to increase learning capacity	
	Nice to Have:	
	<ul> <li>High school student should have the opportunity for work based experiences and to have this count as part of their curriculum</li> </ul>	
	experiences and to have this count as part of their culliculum	
	• Long Shot: remove limits on number of opportunities for EPSO and CTE	
	exposure	
	• Students should be able to get TCAT diplomas while still in high school	Commented [RD4]: The more I think about what

**Commented [RD4]:** The more I think about what Claude suggested here, the more I like it. The barriers seems to be financial rather than philosophical, and if there were ever a time to go on record with this 'long shot,' it is now.



tn.gov/education/tnedufunding | Email: tnedu.funding@tn.gov Page 2

WEIGHT	Must Have:	
	<u>Economically disadvantaged</u>	
	English Learner	
	Tiered Special Education weight (which includes gifted students per	
	Tennessee policy)	
	• Sparsity	<b>Commented [DM5]:</b> As a note, a sparsity weight,
	<u>Concentrated Poverty</u>	defined as the number of students per square mile
	• <u>Charter</u>	in a district, would account for the needs of rural
	Concentration of Poverty Students with Disabilities and Gifted Students	communities that experience geographic spread
	(to include tiers based on the cost to provide services) English Learners	and/or isolation and many associated challenges.
	(to include tiers based on English proficiency) Dyslexia, Rural	<b>Commented [CP6]:</b> Were we going to add something
	Should Have:	about persons of color?
	Nice to Have:	
	Long Shot:	
DIRECT	Must Have:	
FUNDING	<ul> <li><u>High dosage</u> low ratio <u>tutoring</u> K-12(emphasis on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> grade)</li> </ul>	
	Example: TN ALL Corps	
	Innovative High School models	
	<u>Career and technical education</u>	
	<ul> <li><u>Consolidated health</u></li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Early Postsecondary Opportunity (EPSO) Completion</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Earning Industry Certificates or Certifications</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Work-based Learning Completion Metric</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Reading proficiency (multiple ideas on measurement)</li> </ul>	Commented II-71: Within the sifted student
	<ul> <li>Reading proficiency (multiple ideas on measurement)</li> </ul>	<b>Commented [le7]:</b> Within the gifted student identification, the state needs to increase
	Should Have:	efforts/training re. identifying students of color, ED
	AP Course Completion and/or Passing	students, and ELL students. These groups are
	<ul> <li>Differentiated compensation support</li> </ul>	typically underrepresented.
	<ul> <li>Nice to Have: Funds to address dyslexia and gifted student</li> </ul>	<b>Commented [le8R7]:</b> This may be an outcome based
	identification	measure but training and support for gifted/DEC
	Long Shot	teachers is a funding concern.
OUTCOMES	Must Have:	Commented [RD9R7]: Agreed, many districts do not
OUTCOIVIES		have the resources to support gifted students, and
	<ul> <li><u>Transparency: Improved school and district-level spending information</u> that separate state, local, and federal funding to understand more</li> </ul>	any formula should provide for and recognize that need.
	about how funding reaches students. Reporting that connects spending	<b>Commented [DM10]:</b> Just a note on this section -
	to student needs and what works – specifically, publicly available	considering outcomes and transparent reporting of expenditures go hand in-hand. Transparent
	information on student demographic data, student achievement data,	reporting allows us to understand how dollars
	and data on how schools are investing in key resources such as college	actually reach districts and schools to support
	and career preparation coursework, high-quality teachers, etc to	students.
	understand how resources are serving students. These data should be	Commented [RD11R10]: I concur, transparency is
	available side-by-side, and comparable across similar schools and	imperative.
	districts. Additionally, an annual analysis of spending patterns to	Formatted: Font: Bold
	to any (advection thread thread in the disc in the disc of the disc	
Dopartment of	<u>tn.gov/education/tnedufunding</u>   Email: <u>tnedu.funding@tn.gov</u>	

Department of .Education

Page 3

understand how the formula is targeting funding towards high-need
districts and schools. The state should support and coordinate district
capacity to do additional analytical and reporting that better connects
spending trends with their impact on student learning.
Performance Funding; If including performance funding, the Ready
Graduate measure should be used which incorporates many of the
indicators on the slide from the previous meeting and is an established
state indicator of college and career readiness. The performance
funding should incentivize closing achievement gaps, funding should be
awarded based on growth over time, and there should be an annual
review of the impact of performance funding.
<ul> <li>Post-secondary credential Milestones: In addition to closing</li> </ul>
achievement gaps, a focus on post-secondary milestones to provide
every student the opportunity to earn a post-secondary credential is
critical (e.g. FAFSA completion).
•
Should Have:
Nice to Have:
a long Shott

Long Shot:

## Subcommittee Policy Reflections and Feedback

Policy Idea	Subcommittee Feedback
<u>Reporting</u>	Investments should be reported at multiple levels – including the district and school level - so that all stakeholders know what resources students are receiving. The state should also provide training and support to districts to review, assess, and report data on the connection between education investments and improvements in learning. There should be regular review of how the new formula is resulting in more resources for students with higher needs.
<u>Local</u> contribution	1) Move to an absolute fiscal capacity approach so that local communities know exactly what they should support; 2) Move to a single, district-level local capacity calculation
Policy	Content
Policy	Content



tn.gov/education/tnedufunding | Email: tnedu.funding@tn.gov Page 4

#### Formatted: Font: Bold

**Commented [DM12]:** As a note, a single, clearer measure may better focus potential performance funding and be more transparent. This measure has also been listed numerous times in public comment that we reviewed.

**Commented [RD13R12]:** David, I agree and if this metric already has resonance with districts, the public, and policymakers, then it makes sense to focus on it rather than trying to develop new metrics.

**Commented [KD14]:** Thoughts on this addition to outcomes?

Policy	Content



tn.gov/education/tnedufunding | Email: tnedu.funding@tn.gov Page 5