# 2023-24 Federal Accountability <br> Frequently Asked Questions 

Updated in April 2024

This document is a reference and supporting document for district and school leaders to understand the Tennessee Department of Education's (department) 2023-24 federal accountability system. For detailed business rules and methodologies, please consult the 2023-24 Federal Accountability Protocol (the protocol). Resources for the state's school letter grade system will be released at a later time. For quick reference, relevant sections from the protocol are cited accordingly throughout this FAQ.

## Achievement, Growth, and Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

## Q1. Are science and social studies included in federal accountability?

Science and social studies are excluded from the evaluation of the Achievement indicator per ESEA section 1111 (b). Therefore, only math and English language arts (ELA) subjects are included in the calculations of the following:

- Success rates,
- TCAP (Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program) participation rates,
- Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) targets for the Achievement indicator for school accountability,
- AMO targets for the Grade Band Success Rate indicators for district accountability.


## Q2. What assessments are included in the evaluation of the Achievement indicator (i.e., accountable assessments)?

As discussed in Q1, only ELA and math tests shall be included in the evaluation of the Achievement indicator and annual meaningful differentiation. USED also requires the accountable ELA and math tests to be a single, standards-aligned test administered to all students within the same cohort. Hence, for the 2023-24 school year, the accountable assessments following federal guidelines include: TCAP 3-8 ELA and math, Algebra I/Integrated Math I, English II, and alternate assessments for ELA and math (i.e., Dynamic Learning Maps [DLM] ELA and math) with few exceptions (see Q3 for more information).

## Q3. Which End-of-Course (EOC) tests are included in federal accountability?

Under ESEA section 1111 (b)(2) and ESEA section 1111(c), the department implements a $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort model using Algebra I or Integrated Math I and English II as the accountable assessments for high school students for school and district accountability purposes. The $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort will include $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are actively enrolled ${ }^{1}$ at the end of the spring testing window in 2023-24. These students' first Algebra I or Integrated Math I test and English II test obtained by the end of the spring testing window in 2023-24 will be included for accountability purposes. Exceptions may apply for accelerated students, students taking comprehensive courses, and students taking the alternative assessments or those working toward the alternate academic diploma; they are:

- For accelerated students who took English I in middle school, their first English II test taken by $10^{\text {th }}$ grade will be used for accountability.
- For accelerated students who took Algebra I or Integrated math I in middle school, their first math EOC test taken by $10^{\text {th }}$ grade will be used for accountability.
- For English Learner students who took ELD 10 in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade and took English II in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade will have their tests used during their $11^{\text {th }}$ grade year.
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- For students who are enrolled in comprehensive courses and took their first Algebra I or Integrated Math I and first English II in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2023-24, their tests are used for accountability. ${ }^{2}$
- For students who are on the AAD track and took DLM ELA and DLM math tests in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2023-24, their tests are used for accountability. ${ }^{3}$


## Q4. How is the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort defined? How is the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort model affect the TCAP participation rate and success rate calculation?

The $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort includes $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are actively enrolled in a Tennessee public school on the last day of the spring testing window in the current school year. There are several scenarios that schools may remove students from or add students in the cohort:

- $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are medically exempted during the spring testing window (remove from the cohort)
- $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are in an out-of-state residential faciality during the spring testing window (remove from the cohort; appeals required)
- 10 grade students who completed appropriate accountable assessments prior to enroll in a TN public high school by the end of $10^{\text {th }}$ grade (remove from the cohort; appeals required)
- $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are enrolled in the comprehensive or AAD courses and have no prior EOC testing history (remove from the cohort)
- Students who are enrolled in the comprehensive or AAD courses in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade and have no prior EOC testing history until $11^{\text {th }}$ grade (add to the cohort)
- EL students who were enrolled in ELD 10 in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade during the $23-24$ testing window and enrolled in English II in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2024-25 (remove from the cohort; ELA only appeals required)
- EL students who were enrolled in ELD 10 in 10th grade during the 22-23 testing window and enrolled in English II in 11th grade in 2023-24 (add to the cohort; ELA only)

Started in 2022-23, districts can review and submit appeals for the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort data files during the accountability appeals process (more guidance on the 2023-24 accountability data appeals will be released in the summer of 2024).

When calculating the participation rate, students' accountable assessments are assigned to schools in which they are tested and are accounted for when students are in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. The table below are the examples of use cases for $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are actively enrolled in School A on the last day of the testing window.

| School in which the <br> accountable ELA is <br> taken | School in which the <br> accountable math is <br> taken | What will be included in <br> the participation rate for <br> School A | What will be excluded <br> from the participation <br> rate for either school |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School A | School A | Math \& ELA | None |
| School A | School B | ELA | Math |
| School B | School A | Math | ELA |
| School B | School B | None | Math \& ELA |
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When calculating success rate, students' testing records are assigned to schools in which students spent at least $50 \%$ of the school year in the year they took the test. The table below are the examples of use cases for $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are actively enrolled in School A on the last day of the testing window.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lllll|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { School in which the student } \\
\text { spent at least } 50 \% \text { of the }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { School in which the student } \\
\text { spent at least } 50 \% \text { of the } \\
\text { school year in the year they } \\
\text { school year in the year they } \\
\text { took math }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { What will be } \\
\text { included in the } \\
\text { success rate for } \\
\text { School A }\end{array}
$$ \& What will be excluded \& from the success rate <br>

for either school\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School A | School A | Math \& ELA |

## Q5. What are the off-grade testing opportunities for students and families in Tennessee?

Per the amendment to the State's ESSA plan, the department has identified the following off-grade testing opportunities for students and families in Tennessee:

- Middle school students as early as 7th grade may be enrolled and assessed in either of the two high school math course progressions offered in Tennessee (Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II; or Integrated Math I, Integrated Math II, and Integrated Math III).
- 8th grade students may be enrolled and assessed in the high school English I course or its equivalent.
- Middle school students in 6th or 7th grade may be eligible to be administered more advanced middle school assessments.

Additional information on off-grade testing can be found here. Additionally, the department submitted an off grade testing wavier to USED and received the approval which will allow Tennessee to continue such practice for the 202223 and 2023-24 school years.

## Q6. How are residential facility records treated in federal accountability?

Records of students tested in residential facilities that have a valid test score are assigned to the sending schools and districts for accountability purposes, including the calculation of the participation rate and success rates. Per ESEA section 1111(b)(2)(B)(i)(II), all residential facility records are counted as enrolled, and the records that do not have a valid score, which is identified by the SNT (Student Not Tested) code of 5, are reported as non-tested with one exception-students who are enrolled in an out-of-state residential facility are excluded from the participation rate calculation through district appeals. Districts shall submit appeals with appropriate documentation during the accountability appeals process to remove these out-of-state residential facility records from the participation rate calculation. More guidance on out-of-state residential facility record appeals is provided prior to the appeals window opening.

## Q7. Which Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System (TVAAS) composites are used in school and district accountability?

Three TVAAS composites are generated for school accountability, and one TVAAS composite is generated for district accountability:

- TVAAS school-level composites (i.e., TVAAS Numeracy Composite, TVAAS Literacy Composite, and TVAAS Combined Numeracy and Literacy Composite)
- TVAAS district-level composites (i.e., 3-5, 6-8, 9-12 TVAAS Combined Numeracy and Literacy Composites)
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The TVAAS Combined Numeracy and Literacy composites are used for evaluating the Growth indicator for schools and districts and the Safe Harbor provision while identifying CSI/Priority schools. The TVAAS Numeracy and TVAAS Literacy composites are used when evaluating CSI/Priority and ATSI exit every year.

TVAAS composites include all available TCAP ELA and math tests and EOC ELA and math tests, including TCAP 3-8 ELA, TCAP 3-8 math, Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II, Integrated Math I, Integrated Math II, Integrated Math III, English I, and English II. Consult the TVAAS Technical Report for more information regarding TVAAS data preparations and business rules.

## Q8. What are the components of school accountability? How are weights applied to various components?

School accountability for K-8 schools consists of four accountability indicators. The weights for these indicators are listed below:

- Achievement (i.e., success rate) ( $45 \%$ )
- Growth (i.e., TVAAS Composite) (35\%)
- Chronically Out of School (10\%)
- English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) (10\%)

School accountability for high schools consists of six accountability indicators. The weights for these indicators are listed below:

- Achievement (i.e., success rate) (30\%)
- Growth (i.e., TVAAS Composite) (25\%)
- Chronically Out of School (10\%)
- English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) (10\%)
- Graduation Rate (5\%)
- Ready Graduate Rate (20\%)

Any indicator that does not meet minimum number counts will not be evaluated, and the indicator weight will be redistributed in different ways depending on the missing indicator(s). More information on how the indicator weight(s) are redistributed is in Section 4.4 of the protocol.

## Q9. Where are AMOs used in the school accountability system?

K-8 schools are evaluated on four indicators, and high schools are evaluated on six indicators (see Q8). Schools earn between 0 and 4 points for each indicator for which they are eligible. The Achievement, Chronically Out of School, Graduation Rate, and Ready Graduate Rate indicators are evaluated by two types of performance goals:

- Absolute: Percent of students that meet the defined criteria
- AMO targets: Yearly targets for improving performance based on prior year results

These indicators receive a rating based on the better score between their absolute performance and AMO targets. The Growth and ELPA indicators do not have AMO targets. Instead, the Growth indicator is measured by TVAAS performance level, and the ELPA indicator is measured by the percentage of students meeting the growth standards. The figure below provides an overview of when AMOs are used in school accountability.
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## Q10. What are the components of district accountability? How are weights applied to various components?

District accountability evaluates six indicators, and each indicator is weighted equally:

- 3-5 Success Rate
- 6-8 Success Rate
- 9-12 Success Rate
- Graduation Rate
- K-12 Chronically Out of School
- K-12 English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA)

Any indicator that does not meet minimum number counts will not be evaluated, and the weights of the indicator will be redistributed equally across all remaining indicators. Districts' scores on these indicators are comprised of the average of their value-added performance pathway with the higher score of their absolute performance and their performance relative to annual measurable objective targets. Please reference Section 5 of the protocol for more information about each pathway for the given indicators.

## Q11. Where are AMO targets used in the district accountability system?

Districts are evaluated on six indicators (see Q10). Districts earn between 0 and 4 points for each indicator for which they are eligible. Each indicator is evaluated by three types of performance goals:

- Absolute: Percent of students that meet the defined criteria
- AMO targets: Yearly targets for improving performance based on prior year results
- Value-added: Value a district adds and how that compares to the performance of other districts in the state

The overall score for each indicator is the average of the better score between their absolute performance and AMO targets and the number of points a district receives for the value-added performance goal (i.e., relative to others).

## Q12. How will the TCAP participation rate impact the success rate calculation?

When computing success rates, the department adjusts the number of valid tests when schools and districts do not meet the $95 \%$ participation rate. That is, per ESSA § 1111 (c)(4)(E), if the number of valid tests represents less than the minimum participation rate of $95 \%$, the denominator becomes the number of expected valid tests at the minimum participation rate. For instance, if a school has a participation rate of $85 \%$, the school has 100 students and 85 had test scores. The number of valid tests used to compute percent met expectations or exceeded expectations is 95 (enrollment number $\times 0.95$ ), not 85 .
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## Q13. How are middle school students who take high school EOCs factored into school and district accountability results?

Students from grades $6-8$ who take an EOC exam ${ }^{4}$ in the courses below are included in the middle school counts that correspond to that subject.

- Middle school Algebra I, Geometry, Integrated Math I, and Integrated Math II records count as Math for school and district accountability calculations.
- Middle school English I records count as ELA for school and district accountability calculations.

If a student from grades 3-8 takes both TCAP grade-level exams and EOC exams for the same subject, the TCAP grade-level record is dropped from accountability calculations and replaced with the EOC record. Consult Section 2.4.1 of the protocol for more detailed information on data preparations.

## Q14. How are historically underserved student groups counted in school and district accountability?

All students are included in the All Students group. For school and district accountability, students are also assigned to the following historically underserved student groups as applicable:

- Black, Hispanic, and Native American students (BHN)
- English learners (transitional T1-T4 students are included for accountability) (EL)
- Economically disadvantaged students (ED)
- Students with disabilities (SWD) ${ }^{5}$

Data from the underserved student groups above is used to create an overall score for school accountability (see Section 4 of the protocol) and for district accountability (Section 5 of the protocol).

For school accountability, in addition to calculating an overall rating for each of the four historically underserved student groups, the department also calculates an overall rating for each of the six racial/ethnic groups, which are:

- Hispanic/Latino
- Black or African American
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- Asian
- White

The overall ratings from these six student groups are utilized to identify TSI and ATSI schools (see Section 4.6 of the protocol for more information on TSI and ATSI identification).

## Q15. When and how will the Super Subgroup be used in accountability?

The Super Subgroup consists of all students identified with one or more of the historically underserved student groups (i.e., BHN, EL, ED, SWD) counting each student only once regardless of how many student groups they identify with. Super Subgroup is only used for school accountability calculations. The department will only consider using Super Subgroup for school accountability when schools do not have sufficient numbers of students for any of
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the historically underserved student groups for any of the indicators but do have a sufficient number of students in the Super Subgroup (see Section 2.2.2 of the protocol for more information about Super Subgroup).

## Q16. How are performance level percentages calculated?

The formulas for each performance level percentage are presented below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Percent exceeded expectations } & =\frac{\# \text { exceeded expectations }}{\# \text { valid tests }} * 100 \\
\text { Percent met expectations } & =\frac{\# \text { met expectations }}{\# \text { valid tests }} * 100 \\
\text { Percent approaching } & =\frac{\# \text { approaching }}{\# \text { valid tests }} * 100
\end{aligned}
$$

The "percent below" performance level is calculated during the rounding process to ensure that all percentages sum to 100 . Values are rounded to the tenth place only after all calculations and comparisons have been performed.

Percent below $=100-$ (percent exceeded expectation + percent met expectation + percent approaching $)$

## Q17. When will a new school receive a designation?

New schools that have a start date between May 31 and August 31, 2023, are not eligible to earn overall accountability scores or designations since they do not have data to evaluate improvement. New schools that have a begin date between May 31 and August 31, 2024, are eligible to receive CSI/Priority designation under specific conditions as described below:

- If the school has less than $50 \%$ of the enrollment coming from a school with a CSI/Priority designation, the school will be treated as a new school for the 2024-25 school year and will not be eligible for CSI/Priority designation for the 2023-24 school year.
- If the school has at least $50 \%$ of the enrollment coming from one or more schools with a CSI/Priority designation, the school will receive the CSI/Priority status for the 2023-24 school year. For example,
- A new school opens between May 31 and August 31, 2024. Based on the 2024-25 enrollment data as of September 15, 2024, it shows that $55 \%$ of the students enrolled in the new school were served by two previously identified CSI/Priority schools that were closed in the 2023-24 school year. In this case, the new school will receive the CSI/Priority designation. The school can exit CSI/Priority status when it meets the CSI/Priority exit criteria.
- Two separate schools merged into one school, and the district decided to create a brandnew school ID for the merged school with a start date between May 31 and August 31, 2024. The new school ID has a begin date between May 31 and August 31, 2024. Based on the 2024-25 enrollment data as of September 15, 2024, it shows that $51 \%$ of the students enrolled were served by a previously identified CSI/Priority school that was closed in the 2023-24 school year. In this case, the merged school with a new school ID will carry the CSI/Priority designation in the 2023-24 school year. The school can exit CSI/Priority status when it meets the CSI/Priority exit criteria or during the next identification cycle if not being identified. Note: If the district used an existing school ID for the merged school, the designation, if any, will follow the school ID.

These rules are applied so that appropriate funds can be provided to ensure new schools receive the support needed to serve students. The department will examine enrollment data per the specified criteria to determine appropriate school designations and letter grades for new schools and merged schools. The
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department will notify the identified schools as a result of this business rule in a formal letter regarding their new designation status prior to the State Report Card release.

## Q18. How will school reconfiguration affect the AMO calculation?

Schools that continue using an existing school ID after the reconfiguration will use the AMOs calculated for the existing school ID. Schools with a new school ID will not have AMOs in Year 1.

## English Learners and English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) Data

## Q19. Are WIDA and WIDA-Alt tests included in the participation rate calculation?

No. WIDA and WIDA-Alt testing data are not included in the participation rate calculation.

## Q20. How are English learners (ELs) included in accountability?

The English learners group, including transitional T1-T4 students, is one of the historically underserved student groups evaluated in school and district accountability. In addition to taking TCAP tests, many ELs ${ }^{6}$ take the WIDA ACCESS 2.0 exam, which assesses student progress toward English proficiency. ELs with significant cognitive disabilities may take the WIDA Alternate (WIDA-AIt) ACCESS, as decided by the IEP (Individualized Education Programs) team. ELs' TCAP data are included in the participation rate and success rate calculations that are ultimately used to evaluate the Achievement indicator for school accountability. ELs' TCAP data are also used to generate TVAAS composites; however, ELs' WIDA and WIDA-Alt data are excluded from TVAAS composites calculations.

Furthermore, ELs' WIDA data are used to evaluate the ELPA indicator for school and district accountability. Schools are eligible for the ELPA indicator if at least 10 students have valid WIDA performance levels in both the current and prior year(s). Districts are eligible for the ELPA indicators if at least 30 students have valid WIDA performance levels in both the current and prior year(s).

## Q21. How are recently arrived Els included in accountability?

When an EL who has been enrolled in a U.S. school for less than 731 days has a valid test score or performance level from a TCAP exam, the test record is included in the participation rate calculation (counted as 1 for both the numerator and denominator). If the student does not have a valid score or performance level, the record is counted as not tested in the participation rate calculation (counted as 1 in the denominator and 0 for the numerator).

Recently arrived ELs' test records are not used in the success rate calculation (excluded from both numerator and denominator); their performance levels are modified to null in the student-level assessment files. See Q20 for more information about how recently arrived ELs' performance levels are presented in the accountability data files.
Recently arrived ELs also take WIDA and WIDA-Alt tests, and their WIDA data are included in the evaluation of the ELPA indicator for school and district accountability.

## Q22. When examining the student-level assessment file, why are there two different fields for performance level?

There are two fields for the performance level in the student-level assessment file-"original performance level" and "performance level." The "original performance level" is the raw performance level from the comprehensive data file (CDF). The "performance level" field is the one used for accountability calculations (i.e., success rate). The difference between the two fields is the "original performance level" field will show a performance level for recently arrived ELs, while the "performance level" field will have recently arrived EL's performance level set to null. See Q21 for more information on how recently arrived ELs are included in accountability.
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## Q23. How are various English learner groups included in accountability?

For accountability purposes, English learners can often be grouped into two major categories:

- Active English learners including English learners and recently arrived English learners (RAEL).
- Transitional English learners including students in T1 to T4.

The table below provides a quick reference regarding which EL groups are included across all indicators.

| Indicator | All Students Group | EL Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ELPA | Active ELs | Active ELs |
| Achievement | All enrolled students <br> (RAEL excluded in Y1 and Y2) | Active EL + T1-T4 <br> (RAEL excluded in Y1 and Y2) |
| Growth | All enrolled students <br> (RAEL excluded in Y1; RAEL included in Y2) | Active EL + T1-T4 <br> (RAEL excluded in Y1; RAEL included <br> in Y2) |
| Other indicators | All enrolled students | Active EL + T1-T4 |

## Chronically Out of School

## Q24. What attendance codes are used in the Chronically Out of School calculation?

The department uses attendance data to evaluate the Chronically Out of School indicator. In 2022-23, the following attendance codes are considered absent for accountability purposes:

- A (Excused Absence);
- U (Unexcused Absence);
- X (Unexcused Absence, but Present for Transportation);
- T (Excused Absence, but Present for Transportation); or
- I (Homebound Absent)


## Q25. Why is the denominator of total days not $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ on the Chronically Out of School files?

Instructional days are calculated based on the district calendar in EIS which may vary from district to district. Additionally, stockpiled days are not included in total instructional days. The exclusion of stockpiled days is a business rule that maintains proportionality with present days and total days.

Q26. When examining the student-level chronic absenteeism file, why is there a discrepancy in the students included when compared to the district-level file?

All students are included in the student-level file, whereas district- and school-level files only include students enrolled for at least 50 percent of a given school year. Also, a district-level file considers students who may re-enroll in the district or change schools within it, creating a sum of their enrolled days.

## Graduation and Ready Graduate Rate

## Q27. How does the department calculate the graduation rates for federal accountability?

For federal reporting, the four-year graduation rate counts a student who completes all required coursework in all subject areas and graduates with a regular diploma or an alternate academic diploma (AAD) within four years and a summer as a high school graduate in his or her original cohort. The department calculates, reports, and publishes two graduation rates for accountability purposes. First, the federal graduation rate is calculated following federal
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guidelines ${ }^{7}$ as described above. Second, the Tennessee graduation rate is calculated following SBE's graduation policy. Specifically,

- When calculating the federal graduation rate, only students who complete all required coursework in all subject areas per federal guidelines are included in the numerator of the federal graduation rate calculation. It is essential to note that the federal requirement on graduation rate calculation only affects federal reporting; it does not supersede SBE's graduation policy governing the awarding of regular diplomas to students with disabilities. This adjustment shall not change what students experience in the classroom.
- When calculating the Tennessee graduation rate, students with disabilities who complete course requirements per SBE's graduation policy will continue to receive a regular diploma and be included in the numerator of the Tennessee graduation rate calculation.


## Q28. How is the federal graduation rate used in school and district accountability?

The federal graduation rate is used to evaluate the Graduation Rate indicator for school accountability (see protocol Section 4.4.4) and is used to evaluate the absolute performance and AMO target of the district Graduation Rate indicator for district accountability (see section 5.3.4 of the protocol). It is also used to identify CSI/Priority and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) schools. That is,

- Any school with a federal graduation rate less than $67 \%$ receives the CSI/Priority status during the identification year.
- Any school with a federal graduation rate less than $67 \%$ for a given student group receives the ATSI designation during the identification year.

More information on school designations is available in the protocol Section 4.5 (CSI/Priority identification) and Section 4.6.2 (ATSI identification).

## Q29. How is the Tennessee graduation rate used in school and district accountability?

The Tennessee graduation rate is used to calculate the Ready Graduate rate (see protocol Section 3.5) and the ACT/SAT participation rate (see protocol Section 3.6) for schools and districts. The school Ready Graduate rate is used to evaluate the Ready Graduate indicator for schools (section 4.4.5 of protocol), and the district Ready Graduate rate is used to evaluate the value-added pathway of the district Graduation Rate indicator (section 5.3.4 of protocol).

## Q30. How are students who received a regular diploma per the Tennessee State Board of Education graduation policy but did not receive credit for Algebra II/Integrated Math III and/or Chemistry/Physics reported in accountability?

As stated in Q27, when calculating the federal graduation rate, only students who completed all required coursework in all subject areas are included in the numerator of the federal graduation rate calculation. The State Board of Education Graduation Requirements Rules 0520-01-03-.06 provides an alternative pathway for students with disabilities to earn a traditional high school diploma without completing Algebra II (or integrated Math III) and/or Chemistry or Physics. Per federal guidelines, students taking the alternative pathway shall not be counted as graduates in the federal graduation rate calculation. The federal requirement on graduation rate calculation only affects federal reporting; it does not supersede SBE's graduation policy governing the awarding of regular diplomas to students with disabilities. This adjustment shall not change what students experience in the classroom. Q28 and Q29 provide more information regarding how the federal and Tennessee graduation rates are used in school and district accountability.
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## Q31. What year's graduation rate and Ready Graduate rate are used in 2022-23 accountability?

Graduation rate and Ready Graduate rate data lag for one year. The 2023 graduation cohort's graduation rates and Ready Graduate rates are used in 2023-24 accountability. The 2023-24 Ready Graduate process applied to the 2023 graduation cohort is described in detail in the 2023-24 Ready Graduate Appeals Guide.

## Q32. How does the $\mathbf{6 0}$ days enrollment rule affect graduation rate calculation?

When calculating graduation rates, students in general count in the district and school in which they were most recently enrolled. However, ICA. § 49-1-601(b) allows students to be assigned to the school in which the student was enrolled for the greatest proportion of days if that student did not attend the same high school for at least 60 days of the most recent school year. If a school or district submits an appeal and documents that a student was enrolled in their school or district for less than 60 days of the most recent school year, that student would be reassigned to the school or district in which they spent the majority of their time in high school. ${ }^{8}$
Each year, the department offers the opportunity for schools and districts to appeal their graduation cohort calculations and this scenario is specified in the 2023-24 Graduation Cohort Protocol. Schools and districts can only file appeals during a specified appeals window.

## Q33. How is student group membership change managed when calculating graduation and Ready Graduate rates?

The department recognizes that student membership in certain student groups may change over time (e.g., economically disadvantaged [ED], English learner [EL]). When reporting on school and district accountability by student group, students' most current membership in student groups during the reporting year is used. For graduation and Ready Graduate rate, once a student is identified in the historically underserved student group (i.e., Black, Hispanic, and Native American students [BHN], EL, ED, Students with Disabilities [SWD]) during any of the high school years, the student will be assigned to that underserved student group for graduation and Ready Graduate rates reporting. For instance, say a student is assigned to ED in grade 10 but not in grade 9, 11, or 12. The student's graduation and Ready Graduate data are included in the calculation for the All Students group and the ED group.

## Q34. Does the department use ACT superscores?

No. The department does not use "superscores." The department uses the highest ACT/SAT composite scores from a single test administration for accountability. ${ }^{9}$

## Q35. Will the WorkKeys National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) count in 2022-23 Ready Graduate reporting?

No. The department continues to explore options with Tennessee postsecondary institutions to develop a statewide articulation policy for workforce readiness exams.

## Q36. How will ACT participation rates be applied in school and district accountability?

Schools that do not meet the 95\% ACT participation rate will automatically receive a 0 for the Ready Graduate indicator. Districts that do not meet the $95 \%$ ACT participation rate will automatically receive a 0 for the Graduation Rate indicator.
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## Q37. May students enrolled in Advanced Placement, Dual Credit, or International Baccalaureate (IB) take the end-of-course test?

No. Consistent with Tennessee State Board of Education's High School Policy, 2.103, only students enrolled in a course with an associated end-of-course examination may take the end-of-course examination.

## Q38. Will students receiving an alternate academic diploma (AAD) be included in the ACT participation rate calculation?

No. Started with the 2022-23 accountability cycle, students who earned an alternate academic diploma on time will be excluded from the ACT/SAT participation rate calculation. The ACT/SAT participation rate is the percentage of ontime regular diploma graduates with a valid ACT/SAT score. Additionally, the graduates defined by the Tennessee graduation rate will be used to calculate the ACT/SAT participation rate. The updated ACT participation rate formula is below:

$$
\text { ACT/SAT Participation Rate }=\frac{\# \text { Regular diploma graduates with a valid ACT/SAT score }}{\text { \# Regular dipoma graduates }} * 100
$$

Additionally, SBE updated its Graduation Requirements Rule in October 2022 indicating that ACT or SAT participation is not a graduation requirement among medically exempted students. Given this update, medically exempted students will be excluded from the ACT/SAT participation rate calculation starting with the 2023 graduating cohort.

## School and District Designations

## Q39. What accountability designations can a school receive?

Schools can receive one of the following designations based on their performance:

- Reward School
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)/Priority School
- Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) School
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) School

More information regarding CSI/Priority identification and exit criteria is in Section 4.5 of the protocol, TSI, and ATSI identification and exit criteria are in Section 4.6 of the protocol. School accountability determinations are made public in the fall and posted on the State Report Card every year.

## Q40. How are Reward schools identified?

Schools earn Reward status based on the most recent year of data. Schools earn Reward status if they earn an overall school rating of 3.1 or higher and are not identified as Priority or Focus schools. A school with an overall rating of 3.1 or higher identified as a Focus school will be removed from the Reward designation. More information about Reward School identification is in Section 4.7 of the protocol.

## Q41. How are CSI/Priority schools identified?

Schools can be identified as CSI/Priority schools through one of the three identification criteria. The first criteria include schools that are the lowest-performing five percent of schools based on multi-year success rates (up to three years) in each school pool. The Safe Harbor provision will be applied to remove schools from CSI/Priority designation. That is, schools with a TVAAS Composite Level of a 4 or 5 in the two most recent years for all
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accountability subjects ${ }^{10} \boldsymbol{O R}$ have a score of 3 or 4 on all other non－Achievement，non－growth accountability indicators（i．e．，chronically out of school，graduation rate，Ready Graduate，ELPA）will not receive CSI／Priority status （i．e．，Safe Harbor provision）．Additional schools will be identified to replace schools that are removed due to Safe Harbor to ensure at least five percent of schools are identified for CSI／Priority designation．

The second identification criterion is based on federal graduation rate－high schools with a federal graduation rate less than 67 percent will receive the CSI／Priority designation．The third criterion is the ATSI to CSI pathway．Per USED requirements，ESEA section 1111 （d）（3）（A）（i）（II），an ATSI school that fails to exit and is identified as ATSI again for the same student group in the next identification cycle will receive the CSI／Priority designation（i．e．，CSI－Not Exiting）．ATSI schools are not eligible for ATSI to CSI／Priority pathway if they exited the status between the identification cycles． The timeline for the ATSI to Priority pathway started in 2022－23，and the next identification cycle is 2024－25．ATSI schools identified in 2022－23 will become CSI in 2024－25 if they do not exist AND if they are identified as ATSI again for the same student group in 2024－25．

## Q42．How are TSI schools identified？

The department identifies TSI schools each year．Schools are eligible ${ }^{11}$ for TSI identification if they have one or more student groups whose overall accountability score includes data from all indicators．［⿴囗十⺝刂］Schools whose overall accountability scores for a given student group are in the bottom five percent for that student group will be identified as TSI schools．Federal law and Tennessee＇s approved ESSA plan require the department to identify TSI schools for 10 student groups as listed in Q14．

## Q43．How are ATSI schools identified？

The last ATSI identification was in 2022－23，and the next ATSI identification will be in 2024－25．ESSA defines ATSI schools as those in which any student group on its own would lead to identification as a CSI school．Only schools identified as TSI based on the most recent TSI list will be eligible for ATSI identification．TSI schools whose student group success rates ${ }^{12}$ are less than or equal to the maximum success rate of any CSI school in their pool ${ }^{13}$ will be identified as ATSI．TSI schools that have a score of 3 or 4 on all other non－Achievement accountability indicators（i．e．， chronically out of school，graduation rate，Ready Graduate，ELPA）for a given student group will not receive ATSI status．Additionally，TSI schools whose student group federal graduation rates are less than 67 percent will be identified as ATSI．Federal law and Tennessee＇s approved ESSA plan require the department to identify ATSI schools for 10 student groups as listed in Q14．

## Q44．What are the exit criteria for CSI／Priority？

CSI／Priority schools are eligible for being evaluated for exit in the following years as long as they meet the minimum n count of 10 for the specified exit criteria as described below．For a school to exit CSI／Priority status，it must satisfy at least one corresponding exit criterion as discussed below to exit．
－CSI／Priority schools identified based on multi－year success rates may exit if they meet one of the exit criteria below：
－The school＇s one－year success rate（i．e．，cohort success rate）for the All Students group exceeds the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile in the state in both of the two most recent years with success rate data；

[^6]
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- The school's one-year success rate (i.e., cohort success rate) for the All Students group exceeds the $15^{\text {th }}$ percentile in the state in the most recent year;
- The school earns a TVAAS composite level of 4 or 5 in TVAAS Numeracy composite AND TVAAS Literacy composite for both of the two most recent years with TVAAS data;
- CSI/Priority schools identified based on low federal graduation rates may exit if they meet the exit criterion below:
- If the school was identified for graduating less than 67 percent of its students per the federal graduation rate, the school can exit by graduating at least 67 percent of its students in both of the two most recent years;
- CSI/Priority schools identified as a result of ATSI to CSI pathway may exit if they meet the exit criterion below:
- If the school was identified for consistently underperforming student groups (i.e., ATSI to CSI pathway), the school can exit by meeting or exceeding success rate AMO targets for each student group for which the school was identified. A school identified for multiple student groups may exit for individual student groups by meeting AMO targets for that given group. A school need not meet AMO targets for all student groups in all years to exit. However, a school must meet targets for all identified student groups in at least one of the years between identification.


## Q45. What are the exit criteria for TSI and ATSI?

TSI schools are identified annually and may only exit if they are not identified as TSI schools in the following year. If no new TSI school list is generated for a given year, schools will retain the TSI designation from the previous year until a new TSI list is generated.

ATSI schools are eligible to be evaluated for exit in the following years as long as they meet the minimum count of 10 for the specified exit criteria as described below. For a school to exit ATSI status, it must satisfy at least one corresponding exit criterion as discussed below to exit.

- ATSI schools identified based on multi-year success rates may exit if they meet one of the exit criteria below:
- The school's one-year success rate (i.e., cohort success rate) for each student group for which it was identified exceeds the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile in the state for each student group in both of the two most recent years;
- The school's one-year success rate (i.e., cohort success rate) for each student group for which it was identified exceeds the 15th percentile in the state for each student group in the most recent year;
- The school earns a TVAAS composite level of 4 or 5 in TVAAS Numeracy composite AND TVAAS Literacy composite for both of the two most recent years with TVAAS data for each student group for which they were identified.
- ATSI schools identified based on low federal graduation rates may exit if they meet the exit criterion below:
- If it was identified for graduating less than 67 percent of its students per the federal graduation rate, the school can exit by graduating at least 67 percent of its students for each student group for which it was identified in both of the two most recent years.


## Q46. When an ATSI school no longer meets the minimum required number of students for the given student group after the identification year, how will that impact the school's ATSI status?

ATSI schools that meet the minimum required number of students (minimum $n$ count of 30 ) for the given student group in the year of identification but not in subsequent years (minimum n count of 10) will retain their ATSI status. For a school to exit ATSI status, it must satisfy a qualifying exit criterion (see Section 4.6.3 of the protocol).
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## Q47. What accountability designations can a district receive?

Districts can obtain one of five accountability determinations: Exemplary, Advancing, Satisfactory, Marginal, or In Need of Improvement (see Section 5 of the protocol for more information about district designations). The table below is a summary of district designations and designation frequency. District accountability determinations are made public in the fall and posted on the State Report Card every year.

| Type | Designation | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| State | District Ratings: Exemplary, Advancing, Satisfactory, Marginal, \& In Need of Improvement | Each year |
|  | In Need of Improvement: Districts in the bottom 5\% for overall ratings earn this rating | Each year |

## Q48. What are other key differences between school and district designations?

The table below is a summary of the key differences between school and district designations.

| Components | Schools | Districts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| How are the weights applied? | Various weights applied | Weights equally <br> distributed |
| How are the ratings from the All Students group and historically <br> underserved student groups combined to generate the overall <br> score? | Each indicator score ${ }^{14}$ | Overall score ${ }^{15}$ |
| Are additional racial/ethnic student groups used for designations? | Yes, for TSI \& ATSI | No |
| Is there a separate system for assigning the lowest scores (e.g., F <br> scores)? | Yes | No |
| How often are designations assigned? | Priority/CSI, ATSI: at least every 3 <br> years; | Annual |

## Q49. What business rules may affect school and district accountability calculation and designations?

The table below shows a list of business rules that may affect school and district accountability calculations and designations.

| Scenario | Effect on Overall Score and Designation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not enough ELPA students for schools | The 10\% weight would be equally distributed between Achievement and <br> Growth |
| Not enough students for any other non-ELPA <br> school indicator | Missing indicator weight would go proportionately to other indicators |
| Not enough students for any district indicator | Missing indicator weight would be equally distributed to the remaining <br> indicators |

Please reach out to TNED.Accountability@tn.gov for questions not covered in this document.

[^7]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the cohort method, the enrollment is based on the school enrollment rather than course enrollment.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The department uses course enrollment data to identify students who are enrolled in the comprehensive courses. For students who are in $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students enrolled in the comprehensive courses $\boldsymbol{A N D}$ do not have any prior EOC testing history by the end of $10^{\text {th }}$ grade are removed from the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade accountable cohort. Instead, students who are enrolled in the comprehensive courses in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2023-24, they are included in the accountable cohort.
    ${ }^{3}$ The department uses course enrollment data to identify students who are enrolled in the AAD courses. $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students enrolled in the AAD courses AND do not have any prior EOC testing history by the end of 10 grade are removed from the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade accountable cohort. Instead, students who are enrolled in the AAD courses in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2023-24, they are included in the accountable cohort.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Tennessee has identified three types of off-grade testing opportunities for students and families. First, middle school students as early as 7th grade may be enrolled and assessed in either of the two high school math course progressions offered in Tennessee (Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II; or Integrated Math I, Integrated Math II, and Integrated Math III). Second, 8th grade students are eligible to be enrolled and assessed in the high school English I course or its equivalent. Third, middle school students in 6th or 7th grade are eligible to be administered more advanced middle school assessments.
    ${ }^{5}$ Students identified with a primary disability of Functionally Delayed or Gifted are not included in the SWD student group.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ T1-T4 ELs do not take WIDA tests.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ ESSA § 8101(25)

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ The department will send notification letters to any districts affected by such changes as a result of the appeals process.
    ${ }^{9}$ A student's highest ACT composite score includes all records in the three years including and up to June of the student's selfreported graduation year.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ Per USED guideline，the accountable subjects include ELA and math．Therefore，to be removed from the CSI identification under the Safe Harbor provision，schools must have a TVAAS Combined Literacy and Numeracy Composite level of 4 or 5 in the most two recent years．
    ${ }^{11}$ A school that is identified for CSI may not also be identified as Targeted Support and Improvement
    ${ }^{12}$ These success rates will include the same subjects and multiple years of data that are included in the CSI school success rates to which they are compared．
    ${ }^{13}$ Based on the CSI list identified that same year（i．e．，2023－24）．

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ See Section 4.3 of the protocol.
    ${ }^{15}$ See Section 5.3.1 of the protocol.

