
2016 DRIVE YOUR COUNTY TO THE TOP TEN

INFORMING • CONNECTING • ACCELERATING

Hardin County







Tennessee's Big 3 + 1

Why were these charts selected? The "Big 3 + 1" directly influences at least six of the top ten leading causes of death in Tennessee, and also directly influence other public health threats, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes. Overall, the Big Three plus One influences two-thirds of the factors that contribute to Tennessee's overall rank.

Comparative Counties:

Each graph provides the Tennessee county with its respective region and three non-Tennessee comparative counties from around the US based on county-level demographics. The vertical lines represent: the TN Average, the US Average, Top US Performers (top 10%) and the Healthy People 2020 (HP) Goal. For all measures a decrease (shift left) in percentage represents improvement.

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.

| T | | epartment of lealth | 201 | | | | | HA | RDIN COL | INTY, TEN | NESSEE |
|-----------------|---------|--|--|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Life | Premature Death | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | of] | | 84 | Knox | 12033.80 | 7735.80 | 4298.00 | †Bad ↓Good | 6,600.00 | Starke, IN | 11081.90 |
| | Length | Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted) | For every 100 people in the county, 1 people in the county need to live one year closer to age 75. | | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | 10659.20 |
| | Le | | Source | National C | Center for H | lealth Statistics - M | fortality files | Year(s) | 2011-2013 | Prentiss, MS | 10711.20 |
| | | Poor or Fair Health* | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | incatti | 85 | Rutherford | 25.30% | 19.60% | 5.70% | †Bad ↓Good | 18.00% | Starke, IN | 18.90% |
| S | | Percentage of adults reporting fair or poor health (age-adjusted) | Improve t | | | fair hea | lth. | n general, report b | being in poor or | Itawamba, MS | 17.40% |
| ne | | | Source | Behav | rioral Risk F | actor Surveillance | e System | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 19.60% |
| COL | | Poor Physical Health Days* | Rank | 5th Ranked | Value | 5th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| ut | | | 84 | Knox | 5.20 | 4.30 | 0.90 | †Bad ↓Good | 3.8 | Starke, IN | 4.20 |
| Health Outcomes | of Life | Average number of physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days (age-adjusted) | Engage | people in the co | • | ays to reduce nu esidents by 1 day | | physical health day | vs reported by | Itawamba, MS | 3.80 |
| cal | | | Source | Behav | rioral Risk F | factor Surveillance | e System | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 4.00 |
| He | Quality | Poor Mental Health Days* | Rank | 1st Ranked | Value | 1st Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | õ | Average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days | 76 | Williamson | 4.90 | 3.80 | 1.10 | ↑Bad ↓Good | 2.8 | Starke, IN | 4.20 |
| | | | Engage people in the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by residents by 2 days per month. | | | | | | | | 3.90 |
| | | (age-adjusted) | Source | Behav | rioral Risk F | factor Surveillance | e System | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 4.00 |
| | | Low Birthweight | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | Percentage of live births. with low birthweight (< 2500 grams) | 40 | Pickett | 8.56% | 7.24% | 1.32% | ↑Bad ↓Good | 8.00% | Starke, IN | 6.89% |
| | | | Reduce the number of low birth weight babies by 2 for every 100 live births. | | | | | | | | 9.19% |
| | | | Source | National (| Center for H | Health Statistics - I | Natality files | Year(s) | 2007-2013 | Prentiss, MS | 10.72% |
| | | Adult Smoking* | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 64 | Humphreys | 24.10% | 21.10% | 3.00% | †Bad ↓Good | 17.00% | Starke, IN | 22.50% |
| | | Percentage of adults who are current smokers | | Get 13 out of | every 100 c | urrent adult smo | okers in the cou | unty to quit smoki | ng. | Itawamba, MS | 19.30% |
| ors | s | | Source | Behav | rioral Risk F | actor Surveillance | e System | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 20.10% |
| cto | wiors | Adult Obesity | Rank | 10th Ranked | | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | |
| Fa | Behavio | Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more | 69 | Hamilton | 34.00% | 29.90% | 4.10% | †Bad ↓Good | 27.00% | Starke, IN | 35.70% |
| Health Fact | ealth B | | Get 13 out of every 100 current obese adults in the county to reduce their BMI below 30. | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | 32.90% | |
| lea | Iea | | Source | | CDC Diabe | tes Interactive At | las | Year(s) | 2012 | Prentiss, MS | 31.20% |
| H | Η | Food Environment Index | Rank | 5th Ranked | Value | 5th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 58 | Cannon | 6.80 | 7.9 | 1.1 | †Good ↓Bad | 7.20 | Starke, IN | 7.50 |
| | | Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 | | | Increase | the county's foo | od index score | by 1.1. | | Itawamba, MS | 7.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. May 2016. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-9398. The full report can be accessed at: http://tn.gov/health/topic/specialreports. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 *County Health Rankings and Roadmaps* report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. *The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. **Data should not be compared with prior years due to changes in definition/methods. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

| | | Physical Inactivity | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
|---------|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | 35 | Shelby | 35.00% | 30.20% | 4.80% | †Bad ↓Good | 23.00% | Starke, IN | 34.10% |
| | | Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical | Get 14 out of every 100 currently physically inactive adults to exercise during leisure time. | | | | | | | | 38.10% |
| | | activity | Source | (| CDC Diabe | etes Interactive Atl | as | Year(s) | 2012 | Prentiss, MS | 37.60% |
| | | Access to Exercise Opportunities Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 67 | Loudon | 37.96% | 78.48% | 40.52% | ↑Good ↓Bad | 84.00% | Starke, IN | 54.52% |
| | | | Consider | how to remove h | parriers to | access exercise the county's p | | ch an additional 4 | 0.52 percent of | Itawamba, MS | 29.24% |
| | | | Source | Business Analy | st, Delorme | , ESRI, & US Cens | sus Tigerline Files | S Year(s) | 2010 & 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 43.95% |
| | | Excessive Drinking | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | ß | | 12 | Benton | 10.70% | 10.60% | 0.10% | †Bad ↓Good | 17.00% | Starke, IN | 15.00% |
| | ealth Behaviors | Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking | Get 1 out o | • | | • | • | cess (binge and he ks per day on aver | • / | Itawamba, MS | 15.00% |
| | Be | - | Source | Behav | ioral Risk F | actor Surveillance | System | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 14.10% |
| | alth | Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | He | 8 | 50 | Sullivan | 30.30% | 19.17% | 11.14% | †Bad ↓Good | 31.00% | Starke, IN | 20.69% |
| | | Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement | | Reduce the | e number | of alcohol related | l driving death | s by 11.14 percent. | | Itawamba, MS | 6.25% |
| rs | | | Source | Fa | itality Anal | ysis Reporting Sys | tem | Year(s) | 2010-2014 | Prentiss, MS | 40.00% |
| Factors | | Sexually Transmitted | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| Fa | | Infections | 65 | Overton | 354.53 | 153.22 | 201.31 | †Bad ↓Good | 446.60 | Starke, IN | 168.01 |
| Health | | Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population | Consider ways to educate 6 out of every 10 persons self-reporting to be involved in any sexual contact to commit to using safe sex practices to prevent the infection of Chlamydia. | | | | | | | | 359.90 |
| ea | | per 100,000 population | Sou | arce National Center for | HIV/AIDS, Vi | ral Hepatitis, STD, & TB | Prevention | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 244.19 |
| H | | Teen Births Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15: <u>12</u> | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 52 | Putnam | 50.53 | 34.87 | 15.66 | †Bad ↓Good | 35.00 | Starke, IN | 44.11 |
| | | | For every 100 teenage females (ages 15 to 19 years) in the county, consider ways to prevent 31 teen(s) from becoming pregnant. | | | | | | | | 45.25 |
| | | | Source | National (| Center for I | Health Statistics - 1 | Natality files | Year(s) | 2007-2013 | Prentiss, MS | 64.90 |
| | | Uninsured | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 72 | Cheatham | 17.96% | 14.54% | 3.42% | ↑Bad ↓Good | 17.00% | Starke, IN | 17.08% |
| | | Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance | Get | 20 out of every 1 | 100 curren | tly uninsured ad | ults ages 18-64 | to obtain health ir | isurance. | Itawamba, MS | 20.13% |
| | | | Source | Sma | ll Area Hea | lth Insurance Esti | mates | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 21.46% |
| | are | Primary Care Physicians | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | Clinical Care | | 26 | Coffee | 1860:1 | 1368:1 | 492 | †Bad ↓Good | 1320:1 | Starke, IN | 2900:1 |
| | | Ratio of population to primary care physicians | To reach a population-to-provider ratio at or exceeding that of the 10th ranking county, 24 primary care providers would need to be recruited to the county. More importantly, if the county's value is greater than 3,500, this county would be considered a Health Professional Shortage Area. | | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | 3906:1 |
| | | | Source | Area Health F | Resource Fi | le/American Med | ical Association | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 1953:1 |
| | | Dentists | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 60 To reach a | Dickson | 4312:1 | 1744:1 | 2568 | ↑Bad ↓Good | 1540:1 | Starke, IN | 11537:1 |
| | | Ratio of population to dentists | providers | would need to b | e recruited | l to the county. N | More important | Oth ranking count ly, if the county's Tessional Shortage | value is greater | Itawamba, MS | 5882:1 |
| | | | Source | | | National Provider 1 | | Year(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 2543:1 |
| | | | Source | Area Health Re | esource File/ | Inational Provider | identification file | rear(s) | 2014 | Prentiss, MS | 2543:1 |

| | | Mental Health | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
|----------------|---------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | Providers | 22 | Henry | 1176:1 | 644:1 | 532 | 1 tad 1 Good | 490:1 | Starke, IN | 7691:1 |
| | | Ratio of population to mental health providers | | | | | | | | | 5882:1 |
| | | | Source | CMS, | National I | Provider Identificat | ion file | Year(s) | 2015 | Prentiss, MS | 1695:1 |
| | | Preventable | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | Hospital Stays Number of hospital stays for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per 1,000 Medicare enrollees | 14 | Anderson | 58.83 | 50.27 | 8.56 | †Bad ↓Good | 54.00 | Starke, IN | 91.32 |
| | Care | | For e | Itawamba, MS | 57.65 | | | | | | |
| | al (| | Source | Ι | Dartmouth | Atlas of Health Ca | ire | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 82.34 |
| | Clinical Care | Diabetic Monitoring | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | Ö | Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c | 61 | Giles | 85.57% | 89.20% | 3.63% | †Good ↓Bad | 63.00% | Starke, IN | 84.79% |
| | | | For every 100 diabetic Medicare enrollees, get 4 additional Medicare enrollees to receive HbA1c screening. | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | 89.27% | |
| | | monitoring | Source | I | Dartmouth | Atlas of Health Ca | ire | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 87.22% |
| | | Mammography Screening | Rank | 5th Ranked | Value | 5th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 60 | Cumberland | 58.00% | 71.00% | 13.00% | ↑Good ↓Bad | 63.00% | Starke, IN | 42.00% |
| | | Percentage of female Medicare enrollees ages 67- <u>69 that receive</u> | For ev | 5 | | mammography | screening. | care enrollees to r | eceive their | Itawamba, MS | 58.00% |
| rs | | mammography screening | Source | I | Dartmouth | Atlas of Health Ca | ire | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 48.00% |
| lcto | | High School Graduation | Rank | 1st Ranked | Value | 1st Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| Е | | | 73 | Tipton | 87.00% | 98.00% | 11.00% | ↑Good ↓Bad | 82.00% | Starke, IN | 87.11% |
| Health Factors | | Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years | For e | Itawamba, MS | 82.00% | | | | | | |
| ï | | | Source | | | EDFacts | | Year(s) | 2012-2013 | Prentiss, MS | 83.83% |
| Ŧ | | Some College | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 58 | | | | | AC = 1 D = 1 | | | |
| | ors | | 50 | Shelby | 42.20% | 62.28% | 20.09% | †Good ↓Bad | 64.00% | Starke, IN | 50.40% |
| | tors | Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post-secondary education | | , | etween 25 | | assist 21 additi | onal adults to com | | Starke, IN Itawamba, MS | 50.40% 52.09% |
| | actors | 25-44 years with some | | , | etween 25 co | -44 years of age, | assist 21 additi ollegiate level. | onal adults to com | | , | |
| | nic Factors | 25-44 years with some | For ev Source Rank | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked | etween 25 co American Value | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value | assist 21 additio ollegiate level. y Difference | onal adults to com Year(s) Shift in Value | 2010-2014 US Average | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value |
| | nomic Factors | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education | For ev Source | ery 100 adults b | etween 25 co American | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked | assist 21 additi ollegiate level. y | onal adults to com Year(s) | 2010-2014 | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS | 52.09% 48.01% |
| | Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking | For ev Source Rank 78 | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson | etween 25 co American Value 9.04% | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% | assist 21 additional a | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good | 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% pployment. | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population. ages 16 and older | For ev Source Rank 78 | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson | etween 25 co American Value 9.04% ages 16 yo | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics | assist 21 additional a | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good | 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking | For ev Source Rank 78 For ev Source Rank | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked | etween 25 co American 9.04% ages 16 yo Bureau o Value | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value | assist 21 additional end of the second secon | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value | 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% ployment. 2014 US Average | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value |
| | Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population. ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work | For ev Source Rank 78 For ev Source | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons | American Value 9.04% ages 16 ye Bureau | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked | assist 21 additi- ollegiate level. y Difference 3.35% elp 4 persons su | Year(s) Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) | 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% aployment. 2014 | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population. ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work | For ev Source Rank 78 For ev Source Rank 65 | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson | etween 25 co American 9.04% ages 16 yr Bureau Bureau 30.30% | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% | Difference 3.35% a present sub- b present sub- 2.20% a present sub- b present sub- 0.20% a present sub- b present sub- 0.20% | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value | plete some 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% uployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children | For ev Source Rank 78 For ev Source Rank 65 | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (t | etween 25 co American <u>Value</u> 9.04% ages 16 yr Bureau o <u>Value</u> 30.30% inder 18 yr | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I | Difference 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad↓Good | plete some 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% uployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Children in Poverty Percentage of children | For ev Source Rank For ev Source Rank 65 For ever | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (to Small 10th Ranked | etween 25 co American 9.04% ages 16 yr Bureau o Value 30.30% inder 18 yr Area Incor Value | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, I povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value | Difference 9.20% bill giate level. 9 3.35% bill 4 persons su 0.20% bill 31 children y. timates Difference | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good n to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value | 2010-2014 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% aployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% et o get out of 2014 US Average | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Prentiss, MS | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population. ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work Children in Poverty Percentage of children. under age 18 in poverty | For ever Source Rank For ever Source Rank 65 For ever | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (t | etween 25 co American 9.04% ages 16 yo Bureau 30.30% under 18 yo Area Incor | -44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, l povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked | Assist 21 additional end of the second state o | Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad↓Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Bad↓Good n to find assistance Year(s) | 2010-2014 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% ployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% |
| | & Economic | 25-44 years with some post-secondary education Unemployment Percentage of population. ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking. work Children in Poverty Percentage of children. under age 18 in poverty | For events of the second secon | very 100 adults b 10th Ranked Robertson very 100 persons 10th Ranked Dickson y 100 children (u Small 10th Ranked Houston ch a household | etween 25 co American Value 9.04% ages 16 y Bureau o Value 30.30% Area Incon Value 4.90 income ra | i-44 years of age, ursework at the c Community Surve 10th Ranked Value 5.69% ears and older, he of Labor Statistics 10th Ranked Value 21.10% ears) in poverty, h povert me and Poverty Es 10th Ranked Value 4.11 | Assist 21 additional a | Year(s) Year(s) Shift in Value \uparrow Bad \downarrow Good uccessfully find en Year(s) Shift in Value \uparrow Bad \downarrow Good n to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value \uparrow Bad \downarrow Good n to find assistance Year(s) Shift in Value \uparrow Bad \downarrow Good anking county red | 2010-2014 2010-2014 US Average 6.20% aployment. 2014 US Average 22.00% e to get out of 2014 US Average 4.70 | Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Prentiss, MS | 52.09% 48.01% Peer Value 7.43% 7.43% 7.86% Peer Value 23.60% 23.80% 29.90% |

| | - | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|---|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Children in Single- Parent Households | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 53 | Lawrence | 33.00% | 23.36% | 9.64% | †Bad ↓Good | 34.00% | Starke, IN | 30.76% |
| | | Percentage of children that live in a household headed | For every 1 | Itawamba, MS | 25.91% | | | | | | |
| | | by single parent | Source | | American | Community Surve | у | Year(s) | 2010-2014 | Prentiss, MS | 35.96% |
| | Ī | Social Associations | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 17 | Johnson | 14.98 | 16.13 | 1.15 | ↑Good ↓Bad | 9.00 | Starke, IN | 11.64 |
| | Factors | Number of membership associations per 10,000 population | For every 100 people in the county, increase the number of membership associations by 1. | | | | | | | | 10.24 |
| | i ac | population | Source | | County I | Business Patterns | | Year(s) | 2013 | Prentiss, MS | 10.63 |
| | | Violent Crime | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | nor | | 73 | Carter | 492.50 | 206.33 | 286.17 | ↑Bad ↓Good | 392.00 | Starke, IN | 178.27 |
| | c Economic | Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population | For | every 100 person | ns in the co | ounty, prevent 59 | people from o | committing a viole | nt crime. | Itawamba, MS | 121.61 |
| ° | l & | | Source | τ | Jniform Cr | ime Reporting - F | BI | Year(s) | 2010-2012 | Prentiss, MS | NA |
| | Social | Injury Deaths | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | | 93 | Washington | 129.30 | 70.04 | 59.26 | ↑Bad ↓Good | 60.00 | Starke, IN | 105.75 |
| | | Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population | For every 1,000 population consider ways to prevent 459 deaths as a result of intentional and unintentional injury. | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | 111.27 | |
| ~ | | population | Source | (| CDC WON | IDER mortality da | ıta | Year(s) | 2009-2013 | Prentiss, MS | 85.87 |
| Factors | | Air Pollution - Particulate Matter | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| ac | | | 35 | Greene | 13.50 | 13.14 | 0.36 | †Bad ↓Good | 11.40 | Starke, IN | 13.21 |
| | | Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic | Reduce the average daily measure of fine particulate matter by 0.36 micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5). | | | | | | | | 12.16 |
| ealth | | <u>meter (PM2.5)</u> | Source | CD | C WONDI | ER Environmental | l data | Year(s) | 2011 | Prentiss, MS | 12.52 |
| He | | Drinking Water Violations | Rank | 1st Ranked | Value | 1st Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | Percentage of population potentially exposed to water exceeding a violation | No Rank | NA | No | No | NA | NA | NA | Starke, IN | No |
| | | | There were no health-based drinking water violations. | | | | | | | Itawamba, MS | No |
| | | limit during the past year | Source | Safe | Drinking W | ater Information S | System | Year(s) | FY2013-14 | Prentiss, MS | No |
| | | Severe Housing Problems | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| 1 | nt | | 65 | Unicoi | 14.92% | 11.70% | 3.22% | †Bad ↓Good | 19.00% | Starke, IN | 15.04% |
| - | Environment | Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing | For every 1 | 00 persons in th | e county e | xperiencing hou assistan | | , help 22 person(s) | to find housing | Itawamba, MS | 9.44% |
| þ | Î | facilities | Source | Comprehensiv | e Housing A | Affordability Strate | egy (CHAS) data | a Year(s) | 2008-2012 | Prentiss, MS | 12.92% |
| | Physical | Driving Alone to Work | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| , in the second s | hy l | | 62 | Grundy | 85.75% | 80.27% | 5.48% | ↑Bad ↓Good | 76.00% | Starke, IN | 81.49% |
| | | Percentage of the workforce that drives alone to work | | very 100 persons | | transporta | ation. | nce 7 to carpool or | | Itawamba, MS | 88.28% |
| | | more to work | Source | | American | Community Surve | У | Year(s) | 2010-2014 | Prentiss, MS | 83.56% |
| | | Long Commute - Driving Alone | Rank | 10th Ranked | Value | 10th Ranked Value | Difference | Shift in Value | US Average | Peer County | Peer Value |
| | | Among workers who | 12 For every | Hamilton | 26.20% | 25.20% drive more than | 1.00% | ↑Bad ↓Good ne to work, convir | 31.00% | Starke, IN | 41.10% |
| | | commute in their car alone, the percentage that | 1 of every | too persons mat | | or take mass-trai | | are to work, convir | ice + to carpool | Itawamba, MS | 36.50% |
| | | commute more than 30 minutes | Source | | American | Community Surve | у | Year(s) | 2010-2014 | Prentiss, MS | 34.30% |