





LEWIS COUNTY Summary

Demographics


Population:
Unemployment:
Single parent households:

11,906 <18 YOA: 9.00% % Females: 27.04% MHI:


YOA: 22.17% males: 51.10% MHI: \$36,255

65+ YOA: 18.73% **Males:** 48.90%


% Rural: 70.20% Graduation Rate: 92.50%



Top Third	
Measure	Value
Driving Alone to Work	76.98%
Low Birthweight	7.10%
Mammography Screening	66.00%
Children in Single-Parent Households	27.04%
Income Inequality	4.23
Sexually Transmitted Infections	210.15
Premature Death	8904.20
High School Graduation	92.50%



Middle Third									
Measure	Value								
Adult Obesity	31.80%								
Food Environment Index	7.20								
Excessive Drinking	11.20%								
Dentists	2977:1								
Preventable Hosptial Stays	69.24								
Severe Housing Problems	13.44%								
Poor or Fair Health	22.10%								
Poor Physical Health Days	4.80								
Poor Mental Health Days	4.70								
Physical Inactivity	35.80%								



Bottom Third	
Measure	Value
Diabetic Monitoring	78.65%
Uninsured	19.35%
Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	14.19
Unemployment	9.00%
Children in Poverty	31.80%
Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	37.50%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	33.10%
Some College	39.71%
Teen Births	54.08









Tennessee's Big 3 + 1

Why were these charts selected? The "Big 3 + 1" directly influences at least six of the top ten leading causes of death in Tennessee, and also directly influence other public health threats, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes. Overall, the Big Three plus One influences two-thirds of the factors that contribute to Tennessee's overall rank.

Comparative Counties:

Each graph provides the Tennessee county with its respective region and three non-Tennessee comparative counties from around the US based on county-level demographics. The vertical lines represent: the TN Average, the US Average, Top US Performers (top 10%) and the Healthy People 2020 (HP) Goal. For all measures a decrease (shift left) in percentage represents improvement.

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.





Premature Death 27 Knox 8904.20 7735.80 1168.40 ↑Bad ↓Good 6,600.00 Starke, IN	of		Health NOBANG CONNECTNG ACCELISATING U									
The state of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted) Vair of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted) Source National Center for Health Statistics - Mortality files Year(s) 2011-2013 Prentiss, M	of	Premature Death	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted) Poor or Fair Health* Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Value 10th Ranked Value Value 10th Ranked Value Val			27	Knox	8904.20	7735.80	1168.40	↑Bad ↓Good	6,600.00	Starke, IN	11081.90	
Poor or Fair Health* Rank 10th Ranked Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer Count 40 Rutherford 22.10% 19.60% 2.50% ↑Bad ↓Good 18.00% Starke, IN Percentage of adults reporting fair or poor fair health. Improve the health of 3 out of every 100 people in the county who, in general, report being in poor or fair health.	E L	before age 75 per 100,000	For eve	ry 100 people in	the county	, 1 people in the	county need to	o live one year clos	ser to age 75.	Itawamba, MS	10659.20	
Health* Augusta Composition Percentage of adults reporting fair or poor or fair health.	ĭ	population (age-adjusted)	Source	National C	enter for H	ealth Statistics - N	Iortality files	Year(s)	2011-2013	Prentiss, MS	10711.20	
40 Rutherford 22.10% 19.60% 2.50% ↑Bad ↓Good 18.00% Starke, IN Percentage of adults. reporting fair or poor fair health. Improve the health of 3 out of every 100 people in the county who, in general, report being in poor or fair health.			Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
reporting fair or poor fair health.		Treater	40	Rutherford	22.10%	19.60%	2.50%	↑Bad ↓Good	18.00%	Starke, IN	18.90%	
Poor Physical Health Days* Rank Sth Ranked Value Val	s	reporting fair or poor	Improve the	he health of 3 ou	t of every		•	n general, report b	eing in poor or	Itawamba, MS	17.40%	
Poor Physical Health Days* Rank 5th Ranked Value 5th Ranked Value Oifference Shift in Value US Average Peer Count Value 40 Knox 4.80 4.30 0.50 ↑Bad ↓Good 3.8 Starke, IN	ne		Source	Behavi	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	19.60%	
40 Knox 4.80 4.30 0.50 ↑Bad ↓Good 3.8 Starke, IN	con	•	Rank	5th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
	lt	,	40	Knox	4.80	4.30	0.50	↑Bad ↓Good	3.8	Starke, IN	4.20	
Average number of physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days (age-adjusted) Engage people in the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by residents by 1 days per month. Itawamba, M. Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Vegr(s) 2014 Prentise M.	lth O f Life	reported in past 30 days	Engage	people in the co	-	•		hysical health day	s reported by	Itawamba, MS	3.80	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	y o		Source	Behavi	ioral Risk F		System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00	
Days*	He					Value				Peer County	Peer Value	
41 Williamson 4.70 3.80 0.90 †Bad \ Good 2.8 Starke, IN	Õ	Days	41	Williamson	4.70	3.80	0.90	↑Bad ↓Good	2.8	Starke, IN	4.20	
Average number of mentally unhealthy days Engage people in the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by Itawamba, M		mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage people in the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by residents by 1 days per month.							Itawamba, MS	3.90	
reported in past 30 days		(age-adjusted)	Source	Behavi	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	4.00	
reported in past 30 days		Low Birthweight	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
Low Birthweight Rank 5th Ranked Value Sth Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Prentiss, M. Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County County Co			8	Putnam	7.10%	6.97%	0.13%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.00%	Starke, IN	6.89%	
Compared in past 30 days Cage-adjusted Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M		Percentage of live births with low birthweight (< 2500 grams)		Reduce the number of low birth weight babies by 1 for every 100 live births.							9.19%	
Compared to past 30 days Cage-adjusted Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M		2500 grains)	Source	National C	Center for H		Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	10.72%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M		Adult Smoking*	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M			57	Humphreys	23.90%	21.10%	2.80%	†Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	22.50%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M		Percentage of adults who are current smokers		Get 12 out of e	every 100 c	urrent adult smo	kers in the cou	ınty to quit smokii	ng.	Itawamba, MS	19.30%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	70		Source	Behavi	1.001.1.00							
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	67 6			Denavi	ioral Kisk F		System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	20.10%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	ctors	Adult Obesity		10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference		US Average	Peer County	Peer Value	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	Factors	Adult Obesity		10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	,		
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	.	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or	32	10th Ranked Hamilton	Value 31.80%	10th Ranked Value 29.90%	Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average 27.00%	Peer County	Peer Value	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	.	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or	32 Ge 1	10th Ranked Hamilton t 6 out of every 10	Value 31.80% 00 current	10th Ranked Value 29.90% obese adults in	Difference 1.90% the county to re	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good educe their BMI b	US Average 27.00% elow 30.	Peer County Starke, IN	Peer Value 35.70%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	.	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Food Environment	32 Get Source Rank	Hamilton t 6 out of every 10	Value 31.80% 00 current CDC Diabe Value	10th Ranked Value 29.90% obese adults in tes Interactive Atl 5th Ranked Value	Difference 1.90% the county to reas Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good educe their BMI b Year(s) Shift in Value	US Average 27.00% elow 30.	Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS	Peer Value 35.70% 32.90%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	.	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Food Environment	32 Get Source Rank	Hamilton t 6 out of every 10 5th Ranked	Value 31.80% 00 current CDC Diabe Value	10th Ranked Value 29.90% obese adults in tes Interactive Atl 5th Ranked Value	Difference 1.90% the county to reas Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good educe their BMI b Year(s) Shift in Value	US Average 27.00% elow 30. 2012 US Average	Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS	Peer Value 35.70% 32.90% 31.20%	
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2014 Prentiss, M	.	Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Food Environment Index Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0	32 Get Source Rank	Hamilton t 6 out of every 10 5th Ranked	Value 31.80% 00 current CDC Diabe Value 7.20	10th Ranked Value 29.90% obese adults in test Interactive Atl 5th Ranked Value 7.9	Difference 1.90% the county to reas Difference 0.7	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good educe their BMI b Year(s) Shift in Value ↑Good ↓Bad	US Average 27.00% elow 30. 2012 US Average	Peer County Starke, IN Itawamba, MS Prentiss, MS Peer County	Peer Value 35.70% 32.90% 31.20% Peer Value	

Prepared by the Division of Administrative Services, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. May 2016. admin.health@tn.gov or 615-741-9398. The full report can be accessed at: http://tn.gov/health/topic/specialreports. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 *County Health Rankings and Roadmaps* report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. *The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. **Data should not be compared with prior years due to changes in definition/methods. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

		Physical Inactivity	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			45	Shelby	35.80%	30.20%	5.60%	↑Bad ↓Good	23.00%	Starke, IN	34.10%
		Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical	Get	Itawamba, MS	38.10%						
		<u>activity</u>	Source	(CDC Diabo	etes Interactive Atl	las	Year(s)	2012	Prentiss, MS	37.60%
		Access to Exercise Opportunities	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		CPP STUDIO	71	Loudon	33.10%	78.48%	45.38%	↑Good ↓Bad	84.00%	Starke, IN	54.52%
		Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical	Consider	how to remove b	parriers to	the county's p		ch an additional 4	5.38 percent of	Itawamba, MS	29.24%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	Business Analy	st, Delorme	, ESRI, & US Cens	sus Tigerline Files	Year(s)	2010 & 2014	Prentiss, MS	43.95%
		Excessive Drinking	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	rs		35	Benton	11.20%	10.60%	0.60%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	15.00%
	Health Behaviors	Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking	Get 6 out o	•		•	•	ess (binge and he ks per day on aver	• /	Itawamba, MS	15.00%
	Ве		Source	Behav	ioral Risk I	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	14.10%
	alth	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	He	Driving Deaths	73	Sullivan	37.50%	19.17%	18.33%	↑Bad ↓Good	31.00%	Starke, IN	20.69%
		Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement		Reduce the	number	of alcohol related	driving deaths	by 18.33 percent.		Itawamba, MS	6.25%
S		mvoivement	Source	Fa	itality Anal	ysis Reporting Sys	tem	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	40.00%
cto		Sexually Transmitted	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa		Infections	23	Overton	210.15	153.22	56.93	↑Bad ↓Good	446.60	Starke, IN	168.01
Health Factors		Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population		to commit to	using safe	• •	prevent the infe	be involved in any	lia.	Itawamba, MS	359.90
$\overline{1}e$			300	irce Nadonai Center for	mry/AiDs, vi	10th Ranked	Prevention	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	244.19
F		Teen Births	Rank 66	10th Ranked Putnam	Value 54.08	Value 34.87	Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average	Peer County Starke, IN	Peer Value
								nsider ways to pro			,,,,,
		Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15-	•			from becoming	g pregnant.			Itawamba, MS	45.25
			Source	National (Center for I	Health Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2007-2013	Prentiss, MS	64.90
		Uninsured	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			88	Cheatham	19.35%	14.54%	4.81%	↑Bad ↓Good	17.00%	Starke, IN	17.08%
		Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance	Get	25 out of every 1	100 curren	tly uninsured ad	ults ages 18-64 t	to obtain health in	nsurance.	Itawamba, MS	20.13%
			Source	Sma	ll Area Hea	lth Insurance Esti	mates	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	21.46%
	are	Primary Care Physicians	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	1 C		48	Coffee	2392:1	1368:1	1024	↑Bad ↓Good	1320:1	Starke, IN	2900:1
	Clinical Care	Ratio of population to primary care physicians	care prov	viders would nee ter than 3,500, th	d to be re	would be consider	unty. More imp lered a Health l	10th ranking cour portantly, if the co Professional Short	unty's value is age Area.	Itawamba, MS	3906:1
			Source	Area Health F	Resource Fi	le/American Med	ical Association	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	1953:1
		Dentists	Rank 38	10th Ranked Dickson	Value 2977:1	10th Ranked Value 1744:1	Difference	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average	Peer County Starke, IN	Peer Value
		Ratio of population to	To reach a providers	population-to-p would need to b	rovider ra e recruited	tio at or exceeding to the county. I	ng that of the 10 More important	Oth ranking count ly, if the county's	y, 29 dental care value is greater	Itawamba, MS	5882:1
		dentists	Source			Ald be considered National Provider 1		Year(s)	Area. 2014	Draptice MC	25.42.4
			source	лиеа глеаци Ке	Source File,	ranonai riovider	испинсация ше	1 ca1(8)	2014	Prentiss, MS	2543:1

		Mental Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Providers	49	Henry	2381:1	Value 644:1	1737	↑Bad ↓Good	490:1	Starke, IN	7691:1
		Ratio of population to mental health providers	To reach health pro	a population-to oviders would ne ter than 30,000, t	nty, 139 mental ounty's value is	Itawamba, MS	5882:1				
			Source			Provider Identificat		Year(s)	2015	Prentiss, MS	1695:1
		Preventable	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Hospital Stays	38	Anderson	69.24	50.27	18.97	↑Bad ↓Good	54.00	Starke, IN	91.32
	Care	Number of hospital stays for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per	For e	very 100 Medica	Itawamba, MS	57.65					
	al (1,000 Medicare enrollees	Source	Ι	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	are	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	82.34
	Clinical	Diabetic Monitoring	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	C	Monitoring	94	Giles	78.65%	89.20%	10.56%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	84.79%
		Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c	For every 100 diabetic Medicare enrollees, get 11 additional Medicare enrollees to receive HbA1c							Itawamba, MS	89.27%
		monitoring	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ire	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	87.22%
		Mammography Screening	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			8	Cumberland	66.00%	71.00%	5.00%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Starke, IN	42.00%
		Percentage of female Medicare enrollees ages 67- 69 that receive	For ev	very 100 female I	Medicare (enrollees, get 5 ac mammography		care enrollees to re	eceive their	Itawamba, MS	58.00%
rs		mammography screening	Source	Ι	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	are	Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	48.00%
cto		High School Graduation	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
\mathbb{F}_{a}			30	Tipton	92.50%	98.00%	5.50%	↑Good ↓Bad	82.00%	Starke, IN	87.11%
Health Factors		Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years		very 100 ninth g	raders, as		ninth graders to	graduate within		Itawamba, MS	82.00%
$\overline{1}e$			Source			EDFacts		Year(s)	2012-2013	Prentiss, MS	83.83%
I		Some College	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			68	Shelby	39.71%	62.28%	22.58%	↑Good ↓Bad	64.00%	Starke, IN	50.40%
	tors	Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post-secondary education	For ev	ery 100 adults b		-44 years of age, a ursework at the c		onal adults to com	plete some	Itawamba, MS	52.09%
	ac		Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	48.01%
	onomic Factors	Unemployment	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	10I		75	Robertson	9.00%	5.69%	3.31%	↑Bad ↓Good	6.20%	Starke, IN	7.43%
	Ec	Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking	For e	For every 100 persons ages 16 years and older, help 4 persons successfully find employment.							7.43%
	1 &	<u>work</u>	Source		Bureau	of Labor Statistics		Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	7.86%
	Social	Children in Poverty	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	S		75	Dickson	31.80%	21.10%	10.70%	↑Bad ↓Good	22.00%	Starke, IN	23.60%
		Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty	For ever			povert	y. ⁻	n to find assistance	e to get out of	Itawamba, MS	23.80%
			Source	Small	Area Inco	me and Poverty Es	timates	Year(s)	2014	Prentiss, MS	29.90%
		Income Inequality	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			16	Houston	4.23	4.11	0.12	↑Bad ↓Good	4.70	Starke, IN	3.81
		Ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th	To rea			tio at or below the		anking county red 1 household(s).	uce the gap	Itawamba, MS	4.56
		percentile									

		Children in Single- Parent Households	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Parent Households	15	Lawrence	27.04%	23.36%	3.67%	↑Bad ↓Good	34.00%	Starke, IN	30.76%
		Percentage of children that live in a household headed by single parent	For every	100 single paren	4 households to	Itawamba, MS	25.91%				
		by single patent	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	35.96%
		Social Associations	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			50	Johnson	10.87	16.13	5.26	↑Good ↓Bad	9.00	Starke, IN	11.64
	tors	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population	For e	every 100 people	in the cou	unty, increase the	number of me	embership associa	tions by 1.	Itawamba, MS	10.24
	acı	population	Source		County	Business Patterns		Year(s)	2013	Prentiss, MS	10.63
	Economic Factors	Violent Crime	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	nor		59	Carter	389.92	206.33	183.59	↑Bad ↓Good	392.00	Starke, IN	178.27
		Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population	For	every 100 perso	Itawamba, MS	121.61					
	al &		Source	1	Uniform C	rime Reporting - F	BI	Year(s)	2010-2012	Prentiss, MS	NA
	Social	Injury Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Š	Injury Deaths	52	Washington	94.62	70.04	24.59	†Bad ↓Good	60.00	Starke, IN	105.75
		Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population	For ev	very 1,000 popul	ation cons	sider ways to prev unintentiona		as a result of inte	ntional and	Itawamba, MS	111.27
·		population	Source	(CDC WON	NDER mortality da	ıta	Year(s)	2009-2013	Prentiss, MS	85.87
tors		Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
3C			76	Greene	14.19	13.14	1.05	†Bad ↓Good	11.40	Starke, IN	13.21
Health Factors		Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic	Reduce	the average dai	Itawamba, MS	12.16					
[a]		meter (PM2.5)	Source	· ·						Prentiss, MS	12.52
H		Drinking Water Violations	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Violations	No Rank	NA	No	No	NA	NA	NA	Starke, IN	No
		Percentage of population potentially exposed to water exceeding a violation	There were no health-based drinking water violations.							Itawamba, MS	No
		limit during the past year	Source	Safe	Drinking V	Vater Information	System	Year(s)	FY2013-14	Prentiss, MS	No
		Severe Housing Problems	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	nt		38	Unicoi	13.44%	11.70%	1.74%	↑Bad ↓Good	19.00%	Starke, IN	15.04%
	Physical Environment	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing	For every 1	00 persons in th	e county	sing problems	, help 13 person(s)	to find housing	Itawamba, MS	9.44%	
	Er	<u>facilities</u>	Source	Comprehensiv	e Housing	Affordability Strate	egy (CHAS) data	Year(s)	2008-2012	Prentiss, MS	12.92%
	ical	Driving Alone to	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	hys	Work	4	Perry	76.98%	75.39%	1.59%	↑Bad ↓Good	76.00%	Starke, IN	81.49%
	Pl	Percentage of the workforce that drives	For ev	very 100 persons	that curre	ently drive alone t transporta		nce 3 to carpool or	take mass-	Itawamba, MS	88.28%
		alone to work	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2010-2014	Prentiss, MS	83.56%
		Long Commute - Driving Alone	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
					27 100/	25.20%	11.90%	↑Bad ↓Good	31.00%	Starke, IN	41.10%
		Ü	49 E 4	Hamilton	37.10%					ourne, ii v	1111070
		Among workers who commute in their car alone, the percentage that					30 minutes alor	ne to work, convin		Itawamba, MS	36.50%