TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH



DRIVE YOUR COUNTY TO THE TOP TEN:

Accelerating Action Towards Improving County Health


McNairy County






MCNAIRY COUNTY: Overview **2015 Drive Your County to the Top Ten**

ohics	Population:	26,140	<18 YOA:	22.74%	65+ YOA:	19.06%	% Rural:	85.27%
ograp	Unemployment:	11.18%	% Females:	51.04%	% Males:	48.96%	Graduation Rate:	93.00
Dem	Single parent households:	28.67%	MHI:	\$34,596				



Value
18.75%
688:1
7.77%
2178:1
13.32
93.00%
28.67%



Middle Third	
Measure	Value
Social Associations	12.22
Long Commute - Driving Alone	32.00%
Poor Mental Health Days	3.70
Physical Inactivity	33.70%
Mammography Screening	59.91%
Children in Poverty	28.70%
Poor Physical Health Days	4.90
Severe Housing Problems	13.67%
Uninsured	16.72%
Primary Care Physicians	2380:1

		Phys	sical	Inacti	vity		
Harrison, OH White, GA Tyler, WV West Region McNairy							
Good	0%	15% TN Aver HP 2020	O		30% Average US Perfor	35% mers	40%

Bottom Third	
Measure	Value
Adult Obesity	35.90%
Diabetic Monitoring	82.91%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	30.60%
Unemployment	11.18%
Income Inequality	4.94
Injury Deaths	111.49
Drinking Water Violations	1.41%
Poor or Fair Health	26.30%
Food Environment Index	6.90

YOA: Years of Age. MHI: Median Household Income. HP 2020: Healthy People 2020 Goal. Adult Smoking: Percentage of adults who are current smokers. Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; 2006-2012. Adult Obesity: Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Physical Inactivity: Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity. Source: CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas; 2011. Prepared by the Division of Policy, Planning and Assessment, Office of Performance Management, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. opm.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001.

Permature Death	Tì	N De	epartment of							MCNAIRY C	OUNTY, TE	NNESSEE
Permature Death Ranke Walter Value Difference Shift in Value Severage Peer County Peer Value Value Office County Peer Value Value Value Value Office County Peer Value Value Value Value Office County Value Value Value Office County Value Val									2015 Dr	ive Your Co	unty to the	Top Ten
		Life	Premature Death	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Process Proc		of]		61	Lewis	10501.08	7769.75	2731.33	↑Bad ↓Good	6,811.00	Tyler, WV	9696.90
Poor or Fair Health Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th		ength	lost before age 75 per	For eve								
Poor of Fair Health		Т	<u>adjusted)</u>	Source	National (Center for H	ealth Statistics - N	Iortality files	Year(s)	2010-2012	Harrison, OH	8715.41
Processing of shifts, inputing first proper. John Mills and Control of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 17/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 17/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 17/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 17/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 17/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of Source Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OII 18/10% (Account of Note Processing of			Poor or Fair Health	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Poor Physical Health Days				68	Putnam	26.30%	16.60%	9.70%	↑Bad ↓Good	12.40%	Tyler, WV	15.80%
Page	(0)		reporting fair or poor	Improve th	ne health of 10 o	ut of every		•	in general, report	being in poor or	White, GA	17.10%
Parcentage of faults who surface abilits who are current anothers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20% Adult Obesity Adult Obesity Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked	Je			Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	17.60%
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20%	con			Rank	10th Ranked	Value			Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20%	ut			46	Chester	4.90	3.40	1.50	†Bad ↓Good	3.70	Tyler, WV	NA
Parcentage of faults who surface abilits who are current anothers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20% Adult Obesity Adult Obesity Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked	alth O	f Life	physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	Engage	people in the co	•	•		hysical health day	s reported by	White, GA	4.40
Parcentage of stabils who are current smokers Parcentage of stabils who are current smokers		7 01		Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	8.80
Personage of live higher sold loss before the county on ways to reduce number of poor physical health days reported by many 10 days per month. Low Birthweight Rank 10th Ranked Value Value 10th Ranked Value Va	H	ıality		Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentage of adults who are control and the work of		Õ	Average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	39	Henderson	3.70	2.80	0.90	↑Bad ↓Good	3.50	Tyler, WV	3.00
Low Birthweight Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Value Value 0.48% fBad Good 8.10% Tyler, WV 8.43%				Engage	people in the co		•		hysical health day	s reported by	White, GA	6.20
Low Birthweight 17 Lewis 7.77% 7.29% 0.48% †Bad Good 8.10% Tyler, WV 8.43%				Source	Behav	rioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	3.10
Percentage of live births, with low birthweight (c. 2500 grams) Adult Smoking Rank 10th Ranked Value Valu			Low Birthweight	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Source National Center for Health Statistics - Natality files Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 8.40% Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value				17	Lewis	7.77%	7.29%	0.48%	†Bad ↓Good	8.10%	Tyler, WV	8.43%
Adult Smoking Rank 10th Ranked Value Valu			with low birthweight (<		Reduce the n	umber of l	ow birth weight	babies by 1 for	every 100 live birt	hs.	White, GA	7.09%
Adult Smoking Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20%				Source	National (Center for I	Health Statistics - 1	Natality files	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	8.40%
Percentage of adults who are current smokers Percentage of adults who are current smokers Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20%			Adult Smoking				Value				,	Peer Value
Source Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Year(s) 2006-2012 Harrison, OH 34.20% Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 88 Hamilton 35.90% 29.10% 6.80% ↑Bad ↓ Good 28.00% Tyler, WV 35.90% 27.50%				51	Knox	26.10%	18.50%	7.60%	↑Bad ↓Good	18.10%	Tyler, WV	29.40%
Adult Obesity Rank 10th Ranked Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more Source CDC Diabetes Interactive Atlas Year(s) 2011 Harrison, OH 28.50% Food Environment Index Rank 1st Ranked Value 1st Ranked Value Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Object Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value					Get 30 out of	every 100 c	current adult smo	okers in the cou	unty to quit smoki	ng.	White, GA	16.40%
Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)	S	(0)		Source	Behav	rioral Risk F		System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	34.20%
Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)	ctoi	wiors	Adult Obesity				Value				•	Peer Value
Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)	(g	sha		88	Hamilton	35.90%	29.10%	6.80%	↑Bad ↓Good	28.00%	Tyler, WV	35.90%
Index Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA 8.10 Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. Increase the county's food index score by 3. Increase the	1th]	lth Bo		Get	19 out of every	100 curren	t obese adults in	the county to r	educe their BMI I	pelow 30.	White, GA	27.50%
Index Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA 8.10 Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA Increase the county's food index score by 2. Increase the county's food index score by 3. Increase the	ea	lea		Source		CDC Diabe	tes Interactive Atl	as	Year(s)	2011	Harrison, OH	28.50%
Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)	H	H					Value		Shift in Value	US Average	•	Peer Value
contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) Increase the county's food index score by 2. White, GA 8.10				67	Williamson	6.90	8.9	2	↑Good ↓Bad	7.60	Tyler, WV	7.90
Source USDA Food Environment Atlas, Map the Meal Gap Year(s) 2012 Harrison. OH 7.70			contribute to a healthy food environment, 0			Increase	e the county's fo	od index score	by 2.		White, GA	8.10
, 1 1			(worst) to 10 (best)	Source	USDA Foo	od Environ	ment Atlas, Map tl	he Meal Gap	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	7.70

Prepared by the Division of Policy, Planning and Assessment, Office of Performance Management, Tennessee Department of Health. Nashville, TN. April 2015. opm.health@tn.gov or 615-741-5001. The full report can be accessed at: http://health.tn.gov/statistics/specialprojects.htm. All data is from the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's, 2015 County Health Rankings and Roadmaps report. Difference statements represent the absolute value using the following formula: ["Value" - "10th Ranked Value"]. The action statements are based on the assumption that no new additional cases occur. All rankings and action statements presented in this report must be interpreted with caution due to various limitations of each measurement. Further information with regards to the strengths and limitations of each measurement may be found by clicking the hyperlink found in the description of each measurement.

		Physical Inactivity	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			43	Wilson	33.70%	28.50%	5.20%	↑Bad ↓Good	30.00%	Tyler, WV	35.70%
		Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical	Get 16 out of every 100 currently physically inactive adults to exercise during leisure time.								24.30%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	(CDC Diabe	tes Interactive Atl	as	Year(s)	2011	Harrison, OH	28.60%
		Access to Exercise Opportunities	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
		Opportunities	84	Loudon	30.60%	78.50%	47.90%	↑Good ↓Bad	77.00%	Tyler, WV	69.01%
		Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical	Consider h	ow to remove ba	arriers to a	ccess exercise lo county's pop		n an additional 47	9 percent of the	White, GA	96.20%
		<u>activity</u>	Source	Business Analys	st, Delorme	, ESRI, & US Cens	us Tigerline Files	Year(s)	2010 & 2013	Harrison, OH	54.37%
		Excessive Drinking	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	S		NA	Greene	NA	3.30%	NA	↑Bad ↓Good	15.00%	Tyler, WV	17.20%
	Health Behaviors	Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking				No information	available			White, GA	NA
	Bel	<u>unmang</u>	Source	Behav	ioral Risk F	actor Surveillance	System	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	18.40%
	alth	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	He		10	Hickman	18.75%	15.38%	3.37%	↑Bad ↓Good	32.00%	Tyler, WV	16.67%
		Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement		Reduce th	e number	of alcohol related	l driving deaths	s by 3.37 percent.		White, GA	31.82%
S			Source	Fa	itality Analy	sis Reporting Syst	em	Year(s)	2009-2013	Harrison, OH	25.00%
cto		Sexually Transmitted	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Fa		Infections	50	Scott	297.90	144.30	153.60	↑Bad ↓Good	458.00	Tyler, WV	77.50
Health Factors		Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population		to commit to	using safe	sex practices to	prevent the infe	be involved in any ection of Chlamyd	ia.	White, GA	206.90
I e			Sou	irce National Center for	HIV/AIDS, Vir		Prevention	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	152.70
1		Teen Births	Rank 52	10th Ranked Putnam	Value 51.61	10th Ranked Value 37.04	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County Tyler, WV	Peer Value
								↑Bad ↓Good		1 yier, w v	40.99
		Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15- 19	For every	100 teenage fem	ales (ages	15 to 19 years) in from becoming	•	nsider ways to pre	event 29 teen(s)	White, GA	33.71
			Source	National (Center for F	Iealth Statistics - N	Natality files	Year(s)	2006-2012	Harrison, OH	45.04
		Uninsured	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			48	Blount	16.72%	14.64%	2.08%	↑Bad ↓Good	18.00%	Tyler, WV	18.46%
		Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance	Get	13 out of every 1	100 current	ly uninsured adu	ılts ages 18-64 t	o obtain health in	surance.	White, GA	21.06%
		nemer mourance	Source	Sma	ll Area Hea	lth Insurance Esti	mates	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	13.65%
	ıre	Primary Care Physicians	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Č	,	49	Anderson	2380:1	1397:1	983	↑Bad ↓Good	1,355.00	Tyler, WV	1506:1
	Clinical Care	Ratio of population to primary care physicians	providers	would need to b	e recruited	to the county. N	Tore important	th ranking county ly, if the county's essional Shortage	value is greater	White, GA	4593:1
			Source	Area Health R	Resource Fil	e/American Medi	cal Association	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	1964:1
		Dentists	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			Z1 To reach	Maury	2178:1	1675:1	503	↑Bad ↓Good 0th ranking count	1,663.00	Tyler, WV	8995:1
		Ratio of population to dentists	providers	would need to b	e recruited	to the county. N	Tore important	oth ranking count ly, if the county's essional Shortage	value is greater	White, GA	3475:1
			Source	Area Health R	Resource Fil	e/American Medi	cal Association	Year(s)	2013	Harrison, OH	7811:1

Provider 10 Mary 15 4501 238 Flaid Groud 753 Tylex, WV 4498- Tyles 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1			Mental Health	Rank	5th Ranked	Value	5th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentable			Providers	10	Maury	688:1		238	↑Bad ↓Good	753	Tyler, WV	4498:1
Preventable Hospital Stays 57 Mershall 88.89 58.47 30.42 18hd Josed 65.00 Tyler, WV 92.77				health providers would need to be recruited to the county. More importantly, if the county's value is								1544:1
Preventials lays For every 100 Medicare enrollees, prevent 1 Medicare enrollees from being hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions.			mental health providers	Source	CMS.	National I	Provider Identificat	ion file	Year(s)	2014	Harrison, OH	3124:1
Same College Part				Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Participation of the control of th			Hospital Stays	57	Marshall	88.89	58.47	30.42	↑Bad ↓Good	65.00	Tyler, WV	92.72
Diabetic Monitoring Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Secreting. For every 100 diabetic Medicare enrollees, get 7 additional Medicare enrollees to receive HbAlc servening. Mammography Servening 45 Knox 59.91% 65.71% 5.80% 1Good [Bad 63.00% 17the, WV 63.49] Percentage of firm discussion of the global and servening. For every 100 female Medicare enrollees, get 6 additional Medicare enrollees to receive HbAlc white, GA 87.65 Mammography Servening 45 Knox 59.91% 65.71% 5.80% 1Good [Bad 63.00% 17the, WV 63.49] Percentage of firm discussion of the global and		Care	for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per	For every 1	00 Medicare en	ollees, pro			being hospitalized	d for ambulatory-	White, GA	48.74
Percentage of diables (Modern completes up to 6 (Modern completes up t		ગ (1,000 Medicare enrollees	Source	1	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ıre	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	98.94
Percentage of dasheric Medicare consoline, use 15. 2 Source Darmoouth Atlas of Health Care Year(s) 2012 Harrison, Oll 74.37 Mammography Serecining 45 Knox 59.91% 65.71% 5.80% [Good] Bad 63.00% Tyler, WV 63.49 Mammography Serecining 45 Knox 59.91% 65.71% 5.80% [Good] Bad 63.00% Tyler, WV 63.49 Macket provided and		linica		Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Modester considers ages 56: 2 Source Dartmouth Alas of Health Care Year(s) 2012 Harrison, OH 74.37		C		85	Crockett	82.91%	88.92%	6.02%	↑Good ↓Bad	84.00%	Tyler, WV	84.68%
Mammography Screening 45 Knox 59.91% 63.71% 5.80% ↑Good Bad 63.00% Tyler, W 63.49			Medicare enrollees ages 65- 75 that receive HbA1c	For eve	ry 100 diabetic M	Medicare e			care enrollees to re	ceive HbA1c	White, GA	87.65%
Nammography Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Sercening Servery 100 female Medicare enrollees, get 6 additional Medicare enrollees to receive their mammography screening. White, GA 66.05			monitoring	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ıre	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	74.37%
Percentage of finale, McGrave conditions are act. Percentage of finale, McGrave conditions are act.							Value		Shift in Value		·	Peer Value
Molficer emailes ages 67: 60-05 60-0				45	Knox	59.91%	65.71%	5.80%	↑Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Tyler, WV	63.49%
High School Graduation Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value 2.32% 1			Medicare enrollees ages 67- 69 that receive	For e	very 100 female l	Medicare			care enrollees to re	eceive their	White, GA	66.05%
Some College Rank 10th Ranked Value V	ည		mammography screening	Source	I	Dartmouth	Atlas of Health Ca	ıre	Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	41.22%
Some College Rank 10th Ranked Value V	cto						Value			9	· ·	Peer Value
Some College Rank 10th Ranked Value V	五			25	Carroll	93.00%	95.32%	2.32%	↑Good ↓Bad	80.00%	Tyler, WV	92.50%
Some College Rank 10th Ranked Value V	alth		cohort that graduates in	For	every 100 ninth g	raders, as	sist 3 additional r	ninth graders to	graduate within	four years.	White, GA	90.00%
Some College Rank 10th Ranked Value V	1			Source	data.gov, suppler	nented w/ N		Education Statistic	s Year(s)	2011-2012	Harrison, OH	87.50%
Percentage of adults ages 23-44 years with some post secondary education Percentage of adults ages 23-44 years with some post secondary education	I		Some College				Value				· ·	Peer Value
Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Percentage of Children In Poverty Percentage of Children In Poverty Percentage of Children (under 18 years) in poverty, help 26 children to find assistance to get out of poverty. Source Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Year(s) Source Small Area Income				49	Shelby	44.3/%	62.09%	17.72%	†Good ↓Bad	63.00%	Tyler, WV	42.25%
Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Percentage of children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty		tors	25-44 years with some post-	For eve	ery 100 adults be					mplete some	White, GA	50.88%
Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Percentage of children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty		acı	,	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2009-2013	Harrison, OH	49.53%
Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work Percentage of children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty		nic F	Unemployment				Value				·	Peer Value
Source Bureau of Labor Statistics Year(s) 2013 Harrison, OH 7.649 Children in Poverty Children in Poverty Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Income Inequality Rank Source Bureau of Labor Statistics Year(s) 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Va		ion		76	Blount	11.18%	6.89%	4.29%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.10%	Tyler, WV	7.97%
Children in Poverty Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 45 Montgomery 28.70% 21.40% 7.30% ↑Bad ↓ Good 23.00% Tyler, WV 26.10% Percentage of children County Peer Value Percentage of children Under 18 years) in poverty, help 26 children to find assistance to get out of poverty. Source Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Year(s) 2013 Harrison, OH 26.10% Peer County Peer Value Toth Ranked Value Toth Ranked Value Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value Toth Ranked Value Value			ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking	For e	very 100 persons	ages 16 y	ears and older, he	elp 5 persons su	accessfully find en	nployment.	White, GA	7.75%
Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Source Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Year(s) Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked		8	<u>work</u>	Source		Bureau	of Labor Statistics		Year(s)	2013	Harrison, OH	7.64%
Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Source Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Year(s) Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked		ocia	Children in Poverty	Rank	10th Ranked	Value		Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty Source Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Year(s) 2013 Harrison, OH 26.10 Income Inequality Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 75 Williamson 4.94 4.11 0.83 ↑Bad ↓Good NA Tyler, WV 4.49		9,		45	Montgomery	28.70%	21.40%	7.30%	†Bad ↓Good	23.00%	Tyler, WV	26.10%
Income Inequality Rank 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value 10th Ranked Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Va 75 Williamson 4.94 4.11 0.83 ↑Bad ↓Good NA Tyler, WV 4.49				For ever	ry 100 children (ı	ınder 18 ye	, .	-	n to find assistance	e to get out of	White, GA	30.10%
Income Inequality Rank 10th Ranked Value Value Value Difference Shift in Value US Average Peer County Peer Value 75 Williamson 4.94 4.11 0.83 ↑Bad ↓Good NA Tyler, WV 4.49 Tyler, WV Tyler, WV				Source	Small	Area Inco	me and Poverty Es	timates	Year(s)	2013	Harrison, OH	26.10%
			Income Inequality				Value			9	,	Peer Value
Ratio of household income. To reach a household income ratio at or below that of the 10th ranking county reduce the gap between				75	Williamson	4.94	4.11	0.83	↑Bad ↓Good	NA	Tyler, WV	4.49
at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th the 80th percentile and 20th percentile by 1 household(s). White, GA 4.20			at the 80th percentile to	To reach a						the gap between	White, GA	4.20
Source American Community Survey Year(s) 2009-2013 Harrison, OH 4.32			percentile	Source		American	Community Surve	у	Year(s)	2009-2013	Harrison, OH	4.32

		Children in Single- Parent Households	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			29	Cheatham	28.67%	25.43%	3.24%	↑Bad ↓Good	21.00%	Tyler, WV	26.82%
		Percentage of children that live in a household headed by single parent	For every	White, GA	39.19%						
		by single parent	Source		American (Community Survey	У	Year(s)	2009-2013	Harrison, OH	28.61%
		Social Associations	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			32	Henry	12.22	16.70	4.47	↑Good ↓Bad	33.00%	Tyler, WV	14.39
	ctors	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population		every 100 people			number of men	mbership associat		White, GA	10.16
	Fac	_	Source		County I	Business Patterns		Year(s)	2012	Harrison, OH	18.45
	Economic Factors	Violent Crime	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	noı		60	Carter	399.01	206.33	192.68	↑Bad ↓Good	387.00	Tyler, WV	174.23
		Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population	For	White, GA	220.17						
	ıl &		Source	Ţ	Jniform Cr	ime Reporting - FI	BI	Year(s)	2010-2012	Harrison, OH	79.45
	Social	Injury Deaths	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			75	Fayette	111.49	68.81	42.68	↑Bad ↓Good	59.00	Tyler, WV	91.35
		Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population	For e	very 1,000 popul	ation consi	der ways to prev unintentiona		as a result of inter	ntional and	White, GA	76.73
			Source	(CDC WON	DER mortality da	ta	Year(s)	2008-2012	Harrison, OH	74.55
tors		Air Pollution - Particulate Matter	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
ac			21	Greene	13.32	13.14	0.18	↑Bad ↓Good	11.10	Tyler, WV	13.5
Health Factors		Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5)	Reduce	Reduce the average daily measure of fine particulate matter by 0.18 micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5).							13.38
[3]		meter (PM2.5)	Source	CD	C WONDI	ER Environmental	data	Year(s)	2011	Harrison, OH	13.93
He		Drinking Water Violations	Rank	1st Ranked	Value	1st Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
			71	Anderson	1.41%	0.00%	1.41%	↑Bad ↓Good	8.00%	Tyler, WV	0.00%
		Percentage of population potentially exposed to water exceeding a violation	For every	100 persons in t	•	exposed to water sed violations in p		sist 1 person(s) fro stems.	m exposure to	White, GA	0.32%
		limit during the past year	Source	Safe	Drinking W	ater Information S	System	Year(s)	FY2013-14	Harrison, OH	19.10%
		Severe Housing Problems	Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	ㅂ		47	Lewis	13.67%	10.96%	2.72%	↑Bad ↓Good	19.00%	Tyler, WV	9.07%
	ıvironmer	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing	For every 1	100 persons in th	e county e	xperiencing hous assistan		help 20 person(s)	to find housing	White, GA	15.97%
	₩.										45.050/
	l Er	facilities	Source	Comprehensiv	e Housing A	Affordability Strate	egy (CHAS) data	Year(s)	2007-2011	Harrison, OH	15.95%
	ysical Er		Rank	10th Ranked	Value	10th Ranked Value	Difference	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Physical Er	facilities Driving Alone to	Rank 59	10th Ranked Davidson	Value 85.44%	10th Ranked Value 80.03%	Difference 5.41%	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average	,	
	Physical Environment	Driving Alone to Work Percentage of the workforce that drives alone	Rank 59	10th Ranked Davidson	Value 85.44%	10th Ranked Value 80.03%	Difference 5.41% o work, convince	Shift in Value	US Average	Peer County	Peer Value
	Physical Er	Driving Alone to Work Percentage of the	Rank 59	10th Ranked Davidson	Value 85.44% that curre	10th Ranked Value 80.03% ntly drive alone t	Difference 5.41% o work, convinction.	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good	US Average	Peer County Tyler, WV	Peer Value
	Physical Er	Driving Alone to Work Percentage of the workforce that drives alone to work Long Commute -	Rank 59 For e	10th Ranked Davidson	Value 85.44% that curre	10th Ranked Value 80.03% ntly drive alone t transporta	Difference 5.41% o work, convinction.	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good ce 7 to carpool or	US Average 76.00% take mass-	Peer County Tyler, WV White, GA	Peer Value 79.82% 82.99%
	Physical Er	Driving Alone to Work Percentage of the workforce that drives alone to work	Rank 59 For e	10th Ranked Davidson very 100 persons	Value 85.44% that curre	10th Ranked Value 80.03% Intly drive alone t transporta Community Survey 10th Ranked	Difference 5.41% o work, convinction.	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good ce 7 to carpool or Year(s)	US Average 76.00% take mass- 2009-2013	Peer County Tyler, WV White, GA Harrison, OH	Peer Value 79.82% 82.99% 85.82%
	Physical Er	Driving Alone to Work Percentage of the workforce that drives alone to work Long Commute -	Rank 59 For e Source Rank 35	10th Ranked Davidson wery 100 persons 10th Ranked Hamilton	Value 85.44% that curred American G Value 32.00% currently G	10th Ranked Value 80.03% Intly drive alone t transporta Community Survey 10th Ranked Value 25.10%	Difference 5.41% o work, convinction. Difference 6.90% O minutes alon	Shift in Value ↑Bad ↓Good ce 7 to carpool or Year(s) Shift in Value	US Average 76.00% take mass- 2009-2013 US Average 34.00%	Peer County Tyler, WV White, GA Harrison, OH Peer County	Peer Value 79.82% 82.99% 85.82% Peer Value