



THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE

KNOXVILLE • CHATTANOOGA • MARTIN • MEMPHIS • TULLOHOOMA • PULASKI

DEPARTMENT OF CAPITAL PROJECTS

Designer Recommendation

Project: UTK IPS 1610 University Ave. Building Improvements (23/24)
UT Knoxville
SBC 540/009-03-2024

Category: Standard

Total Budget: \$4,850,000

MACC: \$3,255,000

Designer Fee: \$345,557

Project Approved: April 11, 2024

Improvements to the 2nd floor for the Institute for Public Service (IPS). Work includes reorganization of space with selective demolition and new layout. Includes all related work.

1. The Architecture Collaborative, LLC

The Architecture Collaborative has highlighted experience which covers multiple projects with the University as well as other state agencies. They have provided good service to the University on these previous projects, and the proposed team is inclusive and experienced. Their consultants include Rose Engineering, Inc. (Mechanical, Plumbing, & Fire Protection), Facility Systems Consultants, LLC (Electrical), Lauderdale Design Group, Inc. (FF&E), Prince Technologies, LLC (Audio/Visual), and Geotechnical, Environmental, and Materials Engineers (UES) (Geotechnical & Environmental). The Architecture Collaborative, LLC is located in Knoxville, TN.

2. Tinker Ma, LLC

Tinker Ma's project experience includes various renovations for UT Chattanooga and the UT Space Institute as well as Chattanooga State Community College. This work is in line with the type of services that will be needed on this project. They have provided good and responsive service to the University, and they work well with the staff and users. Project team is experienced and knowledgeable. The consultants include Wallace Design Collective, P.C. (Structural), Facility Systems Consultants, LLC (Electrical, Mechanical, Plumbing & Fire Protection), Widgets & Stone, Inc. (Branding), and Ford AV (Information Technology). Tinker Ma, LLC is located in Chattanooga, TN.

3. Community Tectonics Architects, Inc.

Community Tectonics Architects project experience includes renovation and expansion projects for The University of Tennessee as well as other State higher education institutions. This work is in line with the type of services that will be needed on this project. They have provided good service to the University and work well with staff and users. The project team is experienced and knowledgeable. The consultants include Robert G. Campbell & Associates, L.P. (Civil), Bender & Associates Structural Engineers, LLC (Structural), Mechanical Design Services (Mechanical), West, Welch, Reed Engineers, Inc. (Electrical), and S&ME, Inc. (Geotechnical & Environmental). Community Tectonics Architects, Inc. is located in Knoxville, TN.

A total of 7 firms submitted qualifications for this project. Other firms submitting are as follows:

Kaatz, Binkley, Jones, & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mount Juliet, TN
NE45 Architecture, LLC	Nashville, TN
Odle & Young Architects, Inc. dba OYsk3 architects	Knoxville, TN
Smee Busby Architects, P.C.	Knoxville, TN



Designer Evaluation Summary

Project: New Army Aviation Readiness Center and Aviation Support Facility

Agency: Department of Military

Category: Major

SBC Project No.: 361/079-01-2024

Project Approved By: SBC

Project Approval Date: 03.14.2024

Total Project Budget: \$34,500,000.00

Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC): \$34,500,000.00

Designer Fee: \$2,765,880.00

Project Description: Construction of a new Army Aviation Support Facility and all required related work.

RANKING	SCORE	FIRM NAME
1)	83.67	Mead and Hunt, Inc. – Firm has extensive experience working with the National Guard Bureau, including direct experience on similar Army Aviation facilities; proposed project team is robust and well-staffed; project approach showcases past project experience and knowledge of similar projects.
2)	73.33	Ross Witt, PLLC - Submittal showcased firm’s experience on similarly-scoped projects; proposed project team is well-qualified; provided a team-based project approach.
3)	70.33	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC – Firm has experience working with agency on military facilities; proposed project approach showcased experience on military projects.



Designers submitting a response to the Request for Qualifications:

FIRM NAME	LOCATION
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Memphis, TN
American Structurepoint, Inc.	Nashville, TN
GHP, Inc.	Nashville, TN
Goodwyn Mills Cawood, LLC	Nashville, TN
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC	Chattanooga, TN
Hurst-Rosche, Inc.	Nashville, TN
Mead and Hunt, Inc.	Nashville, TN
Ross Witt, PLLC	Bartlett, TN
Susman Tisdale Gayle Architects, Inc.	Nashville, TN
TMPartners, PLLC	Brentwood, TN

Designer Request for Qualifications
 SBC Project No. 361/079-01-2024
 New Army Aviation Support Facility

	Allen & Hoshall	American Structurepoint	GHP	Goodwyn Mills Cawood	H&K	Hurst-Rosche	Mead & Hunt	Ross Witt	STG Design	TMPartners
--	-----------------	-------------------------	-----	----------------------	-----	--------------	-------------	-----------	------------	------------

Section A - Design Firm Information
 (Pass/Fail)

DRFQ Coordinator	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Section B - Qualifications & Experience
 (maximum = 40)

Evaluator 1	29.00	30.00	27.00	29.00	30.00	29.00	34.00	31.00	29.00	31.00
Evaluator 2	28.00	21.00	20.00	21.00	29.00	22.00	36.00	33.00	25.00	11.00
Evaluator 3	22.00	23.00	18.00	22.00	25.00	16.00	29.00	24.00	22.00	25.00
Respondent Average	26.33	24.67	21.67	24.00	28.00	22.33	33.00	29.33	25.33	22.33

Section C - Technical Services
 (maximum = 60)

Evaluator 1	47.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	43.00	51.00	46.00	41.00	42.00
Evaluator 2	36.00	33.00	34.00	32.00	44.00	33.00	52.00	44.00	37.00	31.00
Evaluator 3	36.00	34.00	32.00	33.00	38.00	27.00	49.00	42.00	36.00	32.00
Respondent Average	39.67	37.33	37.00	36.67	42.33	34.33	50.67	44.00	38.00	35.00

Total Response Evaluation Score	66.00	62.00	58.67	60.67	70.33	56.67	83.67	73.33	63.33	57.33
--	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Ranking	4	6	8	7	3	10	1	2	5	9
----------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	----------