

EDUCATOR LICENSURE AND PREPARATION SUBCOMMITTEE

SBE MEMBERS: DARRELL COBBINS, BOB EBY, MIKE EDWARDS, AND LILLIAN HARTGROVE (CHAIR)

APRIL 16, 2019



Praxis Standard Setting Study Process

Praxis and Multi-state Standard Setting Study Panels

- Example: Mathematics Content Knowledge (5161)
 - 60 items
 - Number and Quantity, Algebra, Functions, and Calculus (approximately 41 items)
 - Geometry, Probability and Statistics, and Discrete Mathematics (approximately 19 items)
- Panelists recommended by 24 state education agencies
 - Research staff from ETS
 - 2013: 35 panelists
 - Math teachers, college faculty, other expertise



Praxis and Multi-state Standard Setting Study Panels

- Panel 1: Recommended (33.1) 34 out of 50 on raw-score points (165)
- Panel 2: Recommended (29.81) 30 out of 50 on rawscore points (155)
- Final recommendation: 160



Standard Setting Study Process

- Step 1: Panelists take the assessment
- Step 2: Define a "just qualified candidate" (JQC)
 - Panelists review knowledge and skill statements and define the profile of what a JQC for this position should know; this is a ¹/₂ day process
- Step 3: Independent judgment process
 - Determine the probability that the JQC would get the question correct



Standard Setting Study Process

- Step 4: Panel judgement process
 - Repeat previous step with group discussion regarding each question; final judgments recorded
- Step 5: Raw score calculation
 - Panel judgements are included in algorithm that calculates the minimum raw score a JQC would get
- Step 6: Scale score conversion (100-200 scale)



Example

Question	Panelist #1	Panelist #2	Panelist #3	Average
1	.50	.70	.40	.53
2	.95	.95	.95	.95
3	.50	.50	.50	.50
4	.80	.95	.70	.82
5	.20	.40	.20	.27

3.07

Raw Score = 3/5





Praxis: Mathematics Content Knowledge

Mathematics: Content Knowledge Pass Rates

Pass Rate on First Attempt: Mathematics Content Knowledge Praxis





Score Distribution – First Attempt

First Attempts 2013–2017

2,022 test takers





Score Distribution – Best Attempt

Best Score 2013–2017

2,022 test takers





Potential Impact of Raising Cut Score -LOE

Tested 2013–2017 Teaching 2017-18:

- All: **362**
- Less Effective:
 27
- Highly Effective: 193

Failure Rates at Each Potential Cut Score Based on LOE: Percentages within groups 50% 45% 40% 35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 0% All Teachers (362 Less Effective (27 Highly Effective (193 teachers) teachers) teachers) ■ Scored less than 162 Scored less than 164



Potential Impact of Raising Cut Score -TVAAS

Tested 2013–2017 Teaching 2017-18:

40%

- All: **362**
- Less Effective:
 72
- Highly Effective:
 117







Math Content Knowledge Performance and TVAAS

Correlation = 0.06

Tested 2013–2017 Teaching 2017-18:

 335 Teachers who scored above 160





Math Content Knowledge Performance (first attempt) and TVAAS

Tested 2013–2017 Teaching 2017-18:

 720 secondary math teachers' first attempts on Math Content Knowledge Praxis









Educator Preparation General Updates

Educator Preparation Updates

- Literacy
 - ELA
 - Instructional Leader
 - Non-ELA, pre-K-12, and CTE academic
- Partnerships
 - Short-cycle Primary Partnership Agreements (PPAs) reviewed by the department; comprehensive feedback provided
 - 2019-20 PPAs will be submitted to the department in August.



Educator Preparation Updates

Occupational

- SSC Executive Committee Meeting held on March 26
- EPPs will submit occupational proposals in early May
- Leader Preparation
 - Convening on April 17
 - SLLA test review on April 18
 - Working Group
 - Standards
 - Clinical Practice
 - Partnerships
 - Annual Reports





Districts and schools in Tennessee will exemplify excellence and equity such that all students are equipped with the knowledge and skills to successfully embark on their chosen path in life.

Excellence | Optimism | Judgment | Courage | Teamwork