CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

Purpose. The purpose of this policy is to set forth the criteria and process for charter school intervention decisions and actions by the State Board of Education ("State Board").

1. Generally. The State Board will have a clear, explicit plan for monitoring schools as set forth in the charter agreement. To the extent possible, this plan will limit the administrative burden on schools. If there is reason for concern, the State Board will monitor as often and vigorously as needed to ensure the charter school remedies serious issues in a timely manner. In cases where intervention by the State Board is warranted, it will be proportionate to the identified problem, adhere to provisions of the charter agreement and respect the autonomy of the charter school.

2. Intervention.

- a. The State Board has established this intervention policy stating the general conditions that may trigger intervention and the types of actions and consequences that may ensue. The table of interventions can be found beginning of page 3 of this document.
- b. This intervention policy will be set forth in the charter agreements of the charter schools it authorizes and serves as the chartering authority.
- c. The State Board will give the charter schools in its portfolio timely notice of any charter agreement violations or performance deficiencies justifying intervention. Notices shall state the deficiency, the applicable regulatory, performance or contractual provision(s) not satisfactorily met, the expected remedy, including whether a Plan of Correction is required (as further described below), and the timeframe by which the State Board expects a deficiency to be remedied and/or a Plan of Correction to be submitted.
- d. The State Board will provide its charter schools with reasonable time and opportunity for submission of Plan of Corrections and/or remediation in non-emergency situations.
- e. Where intervention is needed, the State Board will engage in intervention strategies that preserve charter school autonomy and responsibility by identifying what the charter school must remedy without prescribing solutions.

3. Grounds for Intervention and Consequences.

a. If issues of concern or deficiencies are identified, the State Board may assign a level of intervention for the charter school.¹ This policy as incorporated into the charter agreement will outline these levels of intervention as well as the grounds that may result in certain levels of intervention. The State Board shall adhere to the provisions of the charter agreement if it determines an intervention is appropriate.

¹The approval of a level of intervention for a charter school may require official action by the State Board of Education. Additional details regarding which levels require official board action can be found in the Table of Interventions on Page 3.

CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

6.700

- b. Depending on the severity of the concern or deficiency, the State Board reserves the right to revoke or suspend the charter agreement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the charter agreement and Tenn. Code Ann. § 49-13-122. If the State Board deems that an intervention other than contract revocation or suspension is appropriate, it may begin at any level of intervention and shall be permitted to jump levels. The State Board does not need to commence interventions at Level 1 and move incrementally through the levels.
- c. The State Board staff will notify the governing board of any charter school that requires an intervention. The notice shall describe the intervention and may include additional consequences if the deficiency and/or concern(s) are not remedied within the stated timeline.
- d. A Plan of Correction shall include specific improvement objectives, responsible person(s) for each action, technical assistance requirements (if applicable), a schedule, and indicators of success. The charter school shall submit its Plan of Correction within the timelines prescribed by the State Board or its staff.
- e. State Board staff's approval of a Plan of Correction shall in no way abridge or mitigate the charter school's ultimate responsibility and accountability for remedying the deficiency and/or the State Board's authority to take additional action in response to the charter school's failure to remedy the deficiency satisfactorily including revocation or suspension of the charter agreement to operate a charter school.
- f. If there is an immediate concern for student or employee health or safety at a charter school, the State Board may revoke or suspend the charter agreement or adopt an interim reconstitution plan that may include the appointment of an interim governing board and/or a governing board chairperson.

4. Charter School Responsibilities

- a. When a charter school in the State Board's portfolio receives a deficiency notice from the State Board, it may:
 - i. Remedy noted deficiencies and provide evidence of such remedy to the State Board within the timeframe identified in the notice.
 - ii. Contest the State Board's determination that a breach of the charter agreement has occurred by providing a written response contesting such determination to the State Board within the timeframe prescribed in a deficiency notice.
 - iii. Submit a Plan of Correction, if requested, to the State Board within the timeframe identified in the notice.

CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

- b. If a charter school is not able to meet timeframes for remediation and/or submission of a Plan of Correction, it shall provide a written response to the State Board within the stated timelines, which shall include a justification for its inability to meet the timeframe together with a proposed timeframe for remedying deficiencies. The State Board shall consider the charter school's justification and either approve, approve with modifications or reject the charter school's proposed timeframe.
- c. Charter schools will be responsible for notifying the State Board when a deficiency has been remedied, if the charter school requires an extension of time to remedy a deficiency, or if the charter school requires a modification to its Plan of Correction.

5. Table of Interventions

The Table of Interventions for the State Board of Education lays out the general conditions that may trigger interventions by the State Board including types of actions and consequences. The outlined procedures are not a step by step process. The State Board of Education reserves the right to place a charter school on any status without going through the preceding steps if more immediate actions are warranted.

| Status ² | Possible Triggers | Possible Action/Consequence ³ |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>Level 1</u> Notice of Concern | Signs of weak performance identified through routine monitoring; through implementation, compliance, or performance reviews, or through any other means identified by the State Board of Education ("SBE"). Signs of financial weakness identified through an annual financial audit. Achievement of "falls far below standard" in one area of the performance frameworks or achievement of "does not meet standard" in multiple areas of the performance frameworks. Repeated failure to submit required documents on a timely basis. | Letter to the school's governing board detailing areas of concern. |
| Level 2 Notice of Deficiency | Achievement of "falls far below standard" in multiple areas of the performance frameworks or achievement of "does not meet | Letters to the school's governing board detailing areas of deficiency with a requirement that a Plan of |

² Levels 3 (Notice of Probationary Status), Level 4 (Charter Review), and Level 5 (Charter Revocation) require official action by the State Board of Education.

³ The State Board of Education reserves the right to impose additional actions/consequences to those listed in each category if such additional actions are deemed appropriate by SBE staff.

CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

| Status ² | Possible Triggers | Possible Action/Consequence ³ |
|--|---|---|
| | standard" in a significant number of areas of the performance frameworks. Signs of significant financial weakness identified through an annual financial audit. Failure to comply with applicable state laws, State Board rules/policies, or other regulations. Failure to comply with terms of charter agreement with SBE. | Correction be developed and implemented (with specific improvements, objectives, timelines, and measures). The Plan of Correction must be approved by SBE staff. |
| <u>Level 3</u> Notice of Probationary Status | Continued failure to meet performance targets (state accountability, charter contract, or performance frameworks). Failure to meet objectives set forth in the Plan of Correction. Continued signs of significant financial weakness identified through annual financial audits. Continued failure to comply with applicable state laws, State Board rules/policies, or other regulations. Continued failure to comply with conditions of the charter agreement. | Letter to school's governing board to serve as notice of probationary status and outlining terms of probation which includes the creation of a Plan of Correction in consultation with SBE staff to address the deficits and has measurable outcomes, a timeline, and very specific improvement expectations. The Plan of Correction must be approved by SBE staff. |
| Level 4 Charter Review | Pattern of failure to comply with or meet performance targets (state accountability, charter contract, or performance frameworks). Three consecutive years of achieving "falls far below standard" on the performance frameworks in the same category. Failure to successfully address the terms of the probationary status, including the Plan of Correction. Flagrant disregard of the charter agreement (T.C.A. § 49-13-122); fraud, misappropriation of funds (T.C.A. § 49-13-122); extended pattern of failure to comply with the terms of the charter; failure to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management. Inclusion on the TDOE's Priority School List of the bottom 5% of schools in the state (T.C.A. § 49-13-122). | Recommendation to revoke the charter contract or to impose lesser sanctions including but limited to a requirement to adopt an interim reconstitution plan that may include the appointment of an interim governing board and/or a governing board chairperson. Decision by the State Board of Education to commence revocation proceedings. |

CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

6.700

| Status ² | Possible Triggers | Possible Action/Consequence ³ |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Performed any of the acts that are conditions for nonapproval of a charter school under T.C.A. § 49-13-108. | |
| Level 5 Charter Revocation | Charter Review results in recommendation to revoke. The school has done any of the following: Pattern of failure to comply with or meet performance targets (state accountability, charter contract, or performance frameworks). Three consecutive years of achieving "falls far below standard" on the performance frameworks in the same category. Failure to successfully address the terms of the probationary status, including the Plan of Correction. Flagrant disregard of the charter agreement (T.C.A. § 49-13-122); fraud, misappropriation of funds (T.C.A. § 49-13-122); extended pattern of failure to comply with the terms of the charter; failure to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management. Inclusion on the TDOE's Priority School List of the bottom 5% of schools in the state (T.C.A. § 49-13-122). Performed any of the acts that are conditions for nonapproval of a charter school under T.C.A. § 49-13-108. | Letter stating reasons for proposed revocation to governing board. Charter closure timeline goes into effect immediately. |

CHARTER SCHOOL INTERVENTION

6.700

| Status ² | Possible Triggers | Possible Action/Consequence ³ |
|---------------------|---|--|
| | • Except in the cases of fraud, misappropriation of funds, flagrant disregard of the charter agreement, or similar misconduct, a decision to revoke shall become effective at the close of the academic year (T.C.A. § 49-13-122). | |