Table D-1a: Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through 2004\*

		Estima			
County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total	Percent	Per Capita	1999 Population**
Anderson	96	\$ 167,716,530	0.9%	\$ 2,362	71,004
Bedford	55	177,945,000	1.0%	\$ 5,098	34,905
Benton	14	6,775,164	0.0%	\$ 411	16,497
Bledsoe	27	60,695,000	0.3%	\$ 5,545	10,945
Blount	131	422,170,400	2.3%	\$ 4,107	102,785
Bradley	129	284,172,000	1.6%	\$ 3,378	84,126
Campbell	66	93,668,300	0.5%	\$ 2,435	38,466
Cannon	31	47,609,719	0.3%	\$ 3,887	12,248
Carroll	47	32,401,748	0.2%	\$ 1,100	29,450
Carter	52	59,904,036	0.3%	\$ 1,124	53,299
Cheatham	66	145,301,250	0.8%	\$ 4,022	36,128
Chester	13	9,189,000	0.1%	\$ 618	14,859
Claiborne	45	73,382,600	0.4%	\$ 2,467	29,747
Clay	19	44,643,000	0.2%	\$ 6,142	7,268
Cocke	40	107,576,113	0.6%	\$ 3,331	32,291
Coffee	68	109,508,400	0.6%	\$ 2,362	46,355
Crockett	20	16,925,000	0.1%	\$ 1,202	14,077
Cumberland	73	172,701,351	0.9%	\$ 3,810	45,326
Davidson	612	2,796,962,180	15.4%	\$ 5,277	530,050
Decatur	16	40,952,000	0.2%	\$ 3,796	10,788
DeKalb	32	89,175,025	0.5%	\$ 5,513	16,174
Dickson	53	387,237,131	2.1%	\$ 9,002	43,017
Dyer	34	66,821,558	0.4%	\$ 1,820	36,725
Fayette	26	47,838,200	0.3%	\$ 1,522	31,441
Fentress	28	108,312,000	0.6%	\$ 6,622	16,357
Franklin	51	70,119,145	0.4%	\$ 1,854	37,826
Gibson	68	117,424,350	0.6%	\$ 2,445	48,030
Giles	39	49,336,995	0.3%	\$ 1,699	29,036
Grainger	36	39,832,560	0.2%	\$ 1,970	20,219
Greene	64	127,372,200	0.7%	\$ 2,091	60,900
Grundy	34	14,193,800	0.1%	\$ 1,011	14,046
Hamblen	59	182,558,461	1.0%	\$ 3,368	54,201
Hamilton	316	1,161,602,688	6.4%	\$ 3,941	294,720

Table D-1a: (continued)

		Estima	ated Cost		
County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total	Percent	Per Capita	1999 Population**
Hancock	18	6,543,000	0.0%	\$ 967	6,767
Hardeman	23	43,464,591	0.2%	\$ 1,778	24,451
Hardin	23	72,887,050	0.4%	\$ 2,887	25,247
Hawkins	91	147,735,802	0.8%	\$ 2,948	50,109
Haywood	24	16,792,154	0.1%	\$ 865	19,416
Henderson	22	30,709,138	0.2%	\$ 1,240	24,767
Henry	26	61,412,000	0.3%	\$ 2,041	30,091
Hickman	20	150,380,000	0.8%	\$ 7,066	21,283
Houston	29	125,112,000	0.7%	\$15,861	7,888
Humphreys	46	129,971,100	0.7%	\$ 7,560	17,192
Jackson	37	22,474,800	0.1%	\$ 2,331	9,643
Jefferson	55	54,508,000	0.3%	\$ 1,208	45,104
Johnson	37	50,076,285	0.3%	\$ 2,992	16,736
Knox	268	1,256,809,470	6.9%	\$ 3,342	376,039
Lake	9	3,036,000	0.0%	\$ 373	8,131
Lauderdale	8	7,975,000	0.0%	\$ 329	24,234
Lawrence	63	46,507,900	0.3%	\$ 1,174	39,626
Lewis	12	10,750,000	0.1%	\$ 966	11,127
Lincoln	56	52,810,000	0.3%	\$ 1,774	29,773
Loudon	81	241,808,025	1.3%	\$ 6,062	39,892
McMinn	60	142,146,500	0.8%	\$ 3,064	46,395
McNairy	46	20,203,666	0.1%	\$ 831	24,312
Macon	32	79,421,558	0.4%	\$ 4,283	18,542
Madison	93	101,129,250	0.6%	\$ 1,166	86,752
Marion	56	97,869,840	0.5%	\$ 3,637	26,907
Marshall	54	50,219,771	0.3%	\$ 1,901	26,423
Maury	64	139,550,210	0.8%	\$ 1,981	70,440
Meigs	18	70,386,000	0.4%	\$ 6,946	10,134
Monroe	48	76,969,800	0.4%	\$ 2,164	35,576
Montgomery	219	423,561,981	2.3%	\$ 3,273	129,411
Moore	6	10,120,000	0.1%	\$ 1,969	5,140
Morgan	47	168,770,650	0.9%	\$ 9,030	18,689
Obion	45	50,618,042	0.3%	\$ 1,570	32,240

Table D-1a: (continued)

**Estimated Cost** 

County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total	Percent	Per Capita	1999 Population**
Overton	34	6,383,450	0.3%	\$ 2,869	19,654
Perry	19	34,881,000	0.2%	\$ 4,614	7,560
Pickett	16	16,210,000	0.1%	\$ 3,441	4,711
Polk	29	306,784,250	1.7%	\$20,325	15,094
Putnam	112	235,875,733	1.3%	\$ 3,949	59,735
Rhea	30	48,302,200	0.3%	\$ 1,718	28,116
Roane	81	115,154,625	0.6%	\$ 2,303	50,008
Robertson	53	167,277,000	0.9%	\$ 3,049	54,861
Rutherford	163	673,993,192	3.7%	\$ 3,932	171,401
Scott	41	127,235,040	0.7%	\$ 6,287	20,239
Sequatchie	19	62,637,200	0.3%	\$ 5,775	10,846
Sevier	145	216,753,338	1.2%	\$ 3,295	65,783
Shelby	539	2,012,586,556	11.1%	\$ 2,305	873,000
Smith	60	102,135,475	0.6%	\$ 6,090	16,771
Stewart	27	180,854,000	1.0%	\$15,380	11,759
Sullivan	250	311,026,315	1.7%	\$ 2,070	150,231
Sumner	148	505,499,915	2.8%	\$ 4,012	126,009
Tipton	19	6,191,416	0.0%	\$ 128	48,348
Trousdale	10	51,310,000	0.3%	\$ 7,360	6,971
Unicoi	45	46,241,550	0.3%	\$ 2,671	17,310
Union	24	91,320,000	0.5%	\$ 5,507	16,584
Van Buren	23	46,323,200	0.3%	\$ 9,250	5,008
Warren	61	193,921,645	1.1%	\$ 5,324	36,421
Washington	128	320,530,500	1.8%	\$ 3,118	102,814
Wayne	36	40,734,932	0.2%	\$ 2,482	16,413
Weakley	29	20,323,379	0.1%	\$ 617	32,952
White	28	43,090,000	0.2%	\$ 1,885	22,864
Williamson	208	366,797,957	2.0%	\$ 2,963	123,793
Wilson	71	492,844,500	2.7%	\$ 5,698	86,496
Statewide	6,644	\$18,187,970,855	100.0%	\$ 3,317	5,483,535

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\*The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-1b: Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through 2004\*

		Cond	eptual			Plann	ing & Desigi	า	Construction			
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	16	20.0%	\$ 22.0	14.1%	32	40.0%	\$ 19.9	12.7%	32	40.0%	\$ 114.4	73.2%
Bedford	14	32.6%	72.9	50.5%	23	53.5%	67.9	47.1%	6	14.0%	3.4	2.4%
Benton	1	14.3%	0.6	11.8%	4	57.1%	3.7	72.8%	2	28.6%	0.8	15.4%
Bledsoe	19	79.2%	56.8	96.1%	3	12.5%	2.0	3.3%	2	8.3%	0.3	0.6%
Blount	28	25.5%	167.9	41.4%	67	60.9%	205.3	50.6%	15	13.6%	32.6	8.0%
Bradley	23	21.7%	47.9	20.3%	69	65.1%	139.7	59.2%	14	13.2%	48.4	20.5%
Campbell	24	40.7%	43.5	49.6%	27	45.8%	34.9	39.8%	8	13.6%	9.3	10.6%
Cannon	10	41.7%	4.3	14.1%	13	54.2%	26.2	85.7%	1	4.2%	0.1	0.2%
Carroll	10	29.4%	9.6	34.8%	17	50.0%	8.1	29.7%	7	20.6%	9.7	35.5%
Carter	20	46.5%	21.4	37.3%	15	34.9%	9.4	16.3%	8	18.6%	26.6	46.4%
Cheatham	34	63.0%	73.8	51.6%	16	29.6%	54.6	38.2%	4	7.4%	14.6	10.2%
Chester	5	55.6%	3.3	38.8%	4	44.4%	5.2	61.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Claiborne	16	48.5%	52.9	73.6%	12	36.4%	9.7	13.5%	5	15.2%	9.3	12.9%
Clay	5	29.4%	1.4	3.2%	12	70.6%	42.8	96.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cocke	15	51.7%	31.0	43.1%	7	24.1%	4.5	6.2%	7	24.1%	36.5	50.7%
Coffee	20	40.0%	23.9	22.7%	21	42.0%	24.4	23.2%	9	18.0%	56.8	54.0%
Crockett	8	47.1%	12.9	78.5%	4	23.5%	1.5	9.0%	5	29.4%	2.1	12.4%
Cumberland	43	67.2%	67.2	40.5%	11	17.2%	44.6	26.9%	10	15.6%	54.2	32.7%
Davidson	122	23.5%	361.7	13.6%	93	17.9%	531.5	20.0%	305	58.7%	1,760.6	66.3%
Decatur	7	53.8%	4.8	18.4%	5	38.5%	18.0	68.8%	1	7.7%	3.4	12.8%
DeKalb	18	64.3%	36.0	41.2%	7	25.0%	45.3	51.8%	3	10.7%	6.2	7.0%
Dickson	41	91.1%	363.6	94.0%	1	2.2%	0.1	0.0%	3	6.7%	23.2	6.0%
Dyer	5	22.7%	19.7	32.6%	13	59.1%	16.0	26.5%	4	18.2%	24.7	40.9%

D-1b: (continued)

		Cond	eptual			Plann	ing & Desigr	1	Construction			
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Fayette	6	30.0%	16.0	33.7%	12	60.0%	30.9	65.1%	2	10.0%	0.6	1.2%
Fentress	19	79.2%	68.4	74.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	20.8%	23.0	25.1%
Franklin	17	43.6%	33.0	48.8%	16	41.0%	32.8	48.4%	6	15.4%	1.9	2.9%
Gibson	24	45.3%	22.3	20.8%	21	39.6%	76.6	71.5%	8	15.1%	8.3	7.7%
Giles	9	28.1%	10.4	24.2%	15	46.9%	24.0	56.1%	8	25.0%	8.4	19.6%
Grainger	5	17.2%	6.4	17.5%	14	48.3%	22.6	62.0%	10	34.5%	7.5	20.5%
Greene	19	45.2%	46.8	66.8%	16	38.1%	14.9	21.2%	7	16.7%	8.5	12.0%
Grundy	12	44.4%	4.9	37.9%	8	29.6%	4.6	35.4%	7	25.9%	3.5	26.7%
Hamblen	14	35.0%	41.2	23.8%	18	45.0%	98.6	57.0%	8	20.0%	33.3	19.2%
Hamilton	44	18.0%	117.6	10.5%	133	54.3%	716.5	63.7%	68	27.8%	290.4	25.8%
Hancock	9	56.3%	2.5	44.7%	5	31.3%	2.5	45.4%	2	12.5%	0.6	9.9%
Hardeman	12	70.6%	40.2	96.1%	5	29.4%	1.6	3.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardin	5	38.5%	2.7	10.4%	7	53.8%	22.6	86.9%	1	7.7%	0.7	2.7%
Hawkins	40	53.3%	96.9	72.1%	25	33.3%	19.3	14.4%	10	13.3%	18.1	13.5%
Haywood	5	26.3%	1.4	8.3%	9	47.4%	12.5	76.8%	5	26.3%	2.4	14.9%
Henderson	5	38.5%	8.9	48.6%	8	61.5%	9.4	51.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	6	31.6%	34.6	72.1%	6	31.6%	11.5	24.0%	7	36.8%	1.9	3.9%
Hickman	11	57.9%	135.7	90.9%	5	26.3%	5.4	3.6%	3	15.8%	8.3	5.6%
Houston	19	70.4%	109.2	87.7%	5	18.5%	3.8	3.0%	3	11.1%	11.6	9.3%
Humphreys	26	63.4%	91.0	72.5%	8	19.5%	23.9	19.1%	7	17.1%	10.7	8.5%
Jackson	20	60.6%	9.7	49.0%	8	24.2%	6.7	33.9%	5	15.2%	3.4	17.1%
Jefferson	15	27.8%	19.9	36.6%	29	53.7%	29.6	54.5%	10	18.5%	4.9	8.9%
Johnson	19	61.3%	20.0	49.8%	10	32.3%	10.4	25.9%	2	6.5%	9.7	24.2%
Knox	29	15.7%	177.2	19.2%	86	46.5%	363.7	39.4%	70	37.8%	381.2	41.3%
Lake	3	50.0%	1.0	34.2%	2	33.3%	0.6	22.7%	1	16.7%	1.2	43.2%

D-1b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Planni	ing & Desigr	า				
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Lauderdale	2	25.0%	0.4	5.0%	2	25.0%	4.0	50.2%	4	50.0%	3.6	44.8%
Lawrence	27	54.0%	14.9	59.5%	17	34.0%	6.9	27.5%	6	12.0%	3.3	13.0%
Lewis	4	33.3%	3.8	35.3%	7	58.3%	6.0	55.3%	1	8.3%	1.0	9.3%
Lincoln	33	71.7%	19.3	51.8%	3	6.5%	5.3	14.2%	10	21.7%	12.7	34.0%
Loudon	28	40.6%	47.3	20.0%	25	36.2%	157.7	66.7%	16	23.2%	31.6	13.3%
Macon	13	48.1%	47.9	62.4%	4	14.8%	8.0	10.4%	10	37.0%	20.8	27.2%
Madison	53	71.6%	55.1	60.4%	12	16.2%	10.6	11.6%	9	12.2%	25.5	28.0%
Marion	23	46.9%	32.8	38.1%	18	36.7%	11.8	13.7%	8	16.3%	41.5	48.1%
Marshall	19	41.3%	21.3	56.4%	22	47.8%	12.3	32.6%	5	10.9%	4.1	10.9%
Maury	13	26.5%	38.4	28.5%	30	61.2%	69.5	51.6%	6	12.2%	26.7	19.8%
McMinn	19	41.3%	68.4	51.8%	13	28.3%	51.7	39.2%	14	30.4%	11.9	9.0%
McNairy	17	44.7%	3.4	17.5%	13	34.2%	13.1	67.5%	8	21.1%	2.9	15.0%
Meigs	8	57.1%	20.3	30.1%	3	21.4%	40.6	60.2%	3	21.4%	6.5	9.6%
Monroe	3	8.6%	3.5	4.8%	24	68.6%	44.7	62.7%	8	22.9%	23.1	32.4%
Montgomery	45	22.2%	85.6	21.3%	25	12.3%	62.8	15.6%	133	65.5%	253.5	63.1%
Moore	4	100.0%	7.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Morgan	19	47.5%	16.6	10.4%	13	32.5%	134.2	83.6%	8	20.0%	9.8	6.1%
Obion	16	45.7%	24.1	60.6%	13	37.1%	13.7	34.4%	6	17.1%	2.0	5.0%
Overton	15	57.7%	26.6	56.9%	9	34.6%	13.6	29.1%	2	7.7%	6.5	14.0%
Perry	8	50.0%	11.2	52.7%	3	18.8%	1.4	6.6%	5	31.3%	8.7	40.7%
Pickett	9	64.3%	7.3	45.2%	4	28.6%	7.3	45.5%	1	7.1%	1.5	9.3%
Polk	11	47.8%	286.3	94.7%	9	39.1%	14.9	4.9%	3	13.0%	1.0	0.3%
Putnam	81	81.8%	206.0	92.0%	15	15.2%	15.8	7.1%	3	3.0%	2.0	0.9%
Rhea	11	45.8%	23.4	54.0%	10	41.7%	12.0	27.6%	3	12.5%	8.0	18.4%
Roane	22	35.5%	29.9	28.3%	33	53.2%	72.7	68.8%	7	11.3%	3.1	2.9%

D-1b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Plann	ing & Desig	Construction				
County	Nun	nber	Cost [in r	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Robertson	20	42.6%	120.1	78.1%	18	38.3%	18.4	12.0%	9	19.1%	15.3	9.9%
Rutherford	55	41.0%	282.9	43.7%	48	35.8%	207.1	32.0%	31	23.1%	157.9	24.4%
Scott	12	36.4%	13.4	12.8%	7	21.2%	23.7	22.6%	14	42.4%	67.6	64.5%
Sequatchie	7	43.8%	4.9	8.1%	7	43.8%	4.7	7.9%	2	12.5%	50.5	84.1%
Sevier	35	28.2%	61.9	29.6%	63	50.8%	111.6	53.4%	26	21.0%	35.6	17.0%
Shelby	27	7.8%	174.8	11.2%	217	62.7%	831.3	53.1%	102	29.5%	560.9	35.8%
Smith	34	66.7%	28.6	32.3%	13	25.5%	54.1	61.2%	4	7.8%	5.8	6.5%
Stewart	19	79.2%	137.5	87.8%	3	12.5%	2.1	1.3%	2	8.3%	17.1	10.9%
Sullivan	74	36.1%	49.2	19.1%	107	52.2%	157.6	61.1%	24	11.7%	51.0	19.8%
Sumner	54	45.8%	292.3	62.0%	48	40.7%	159.5	33.8%	16	13.6%	19.7	4.2%
Tipton	4	66.7%	3.8	78.9%	1	16.7%	0.5	10.5%	1	16.7%	0.5	10.5%
Trousdale	5	62.5%	24.4	48.1%	3	37.5%	26.3	51.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Unicoi	18	46.2%	15.8	37.6%	16	41.0%	22.9	54.5%	5	12.8%	3.3	7.9%
Union	8	36.4%	3.8	4.3%	8	36.4%	69.2	77.1%	6	27.3%	16.8	18.7%
Van Buren	14	66.7%	16.3	37.3%	4	19.0%	18.7	42.7%	3	14.3%	8.8	20.0%
Warren	19	36.5%	8.5	4.6%	31	59.6%	171.8	92.5%	2	3.8%	5.5	3.0%
Washington	28	26.7%	61.1	20.3%	58	55.2%	194.8	64.8%	19	18.1%	44.8	14.9%
Wayne	6	21.4%	9.9	29.3%	14	50.0%	8.8	26.1%	8	28.6%	15.1	44.7%
Weakley	12	63.2%	9.8	57.8%	4	21.1%	5.7	34.0%	3	15.8%	1.4	8.3%
White	16	59.3%	28.3	65.7%	5	18.5%	3.6	8.4%	6	22.2%	11.2	25.9%
Williamson	91	51.4%	95.5	26.9%	38	21.5%	131.2	37.0%	48	27.1%	128.2	36.1%
Wilson	33	62.3%	236.7	49.0%	13	24.5%	165.0	34.1%	7	13.2%	81.8	16.9%
Statewide	1,991	37.5%	\$5,397.3	0.0%	2,000	37.6%	\$ 6,039.2	0.0%	1,323	24.9%	\$4,886.5	0.0%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials.

**Table D-2a: Transportation Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Anderson	12	\$ 63,138,000	1.0%	97.7%	\$ 889
Bedford	4	46,939,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 1,345
Bledsoe	5	40,250,000	0.6%	87.0%	\$ 3,677
Blount	39	193,751,000	3.0%	59.1%	\$ 1,885
Bradley	38	78,552,000	1.2%	42.6%	\$ 934
Campbell	12	37,305,000	0.6%	2.4%	\$ 970
Cannon	4	3,650,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 298
Carroll	1	957,600	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 33
Carter	10	4,810,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 90
Cheatham	16	79,580,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 2,203
Chester	1	400,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 27
Claiborne	8	16,150,000	0.2%	9.9%	\$ 543
Clay	4	40,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 5,572
Cocke	3	6,600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 204
Coffee	10	51,055,000	0.8%	1.5%	\$ 1,101
Crockett	1	120,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 9
Cumberland	13	81,370,000	1.3%	24.6%	\$ 1,795
Davidson	127	567,170,000	8.7%	100.0%	\$ 1,070
Decatur	3	14,605,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,354
DeKalb	4	64,060,000	1.0%	39.0%	\$ 3,961
Dickson	25	287,240,000	4.4%	0.0%	\$ 6,677
Dyer	1	2,000,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 54
Fentress	6	78,600,000	1.2%	51.0%	\$ 4,805
Franklin	5	2,445,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 65
Gibson	7	67,757,000	1.0%	90.8%	\$ 1,411
Giles	5	11,090,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 382
Grainger	2	5,275,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 261
Greene	3	1,650,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 27
Grundy	4	1,685,000	0.0%	8.0%	\$ 120
Hamblen	12	73,250,000	1.1%	27.2%	\$ 1,351
Hamilton	97	384,254,976	5.9%	85.2%	\$ 1,304
Hancock	2	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 30

Table D-2a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Hardeman	4	16,350,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 669
Hawkins	15	86,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,716
Haywood	2	5,453,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 281
Henry	3	2,850,000	0.0%	12.3%	\$ 95
Hickman	3	122,000,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 5,732
Houston	6	102,050,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$12,937
Humphreys	9	100,475,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 5,844
Jackson	7	1,340,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 139
Jefferson	5	14,500,000	0.2%	69.0%	\$ 321
Johnson	5	3,280,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 196
Knox	45	183,704,336	2.8%	72.0%	\$ 489
Lauderdale	1	1,000,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 41
Lawrence	18	9,818,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 248
Lewis	3	1,150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 103
Lincoln	8	3,345,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 112
Loudon	13	120,543,685	1.9%	0.8%	\$ 3,022
Macon	6	36,560,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,972
Madison	10	10,265,222	0.2%	97.8%	\$ 118
Marion	5	15,525,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 577
Marshall	10	5,799,640	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 219
Maury	6	6,055,000	0.1%	53.3%	\$ 86
McMinn	7	101,975,000	1.6%	44.1%	\$ 2,198
McNairy	6	6,841,766	0.1%	9.5%	\$ 281
Meigs	4	56,500,000	0.9%	15.0%	\$ 5,575
Monroe	4	38,300,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,077
Montgomery	47	64,597,200	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 499
Morgan	5	120,525,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 6,449
Obion	1	426,667	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 13
Overton	7	13,450,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 684
Perry	4	6,841,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 905
Pickett	3	4,850,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1,030
Polk	1	280,000,000	4.3%	0.0%	\$18,550
Putnam	27	158,300,000	2.4%	42.5%	\$ 2,650

Table D-2a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Rhea	2	2,800,000	0.0%	8.9%	\$ 100
Roane	5	2,813,000	0.0%	4.3%	\$ 56
Robertson	11	116,346,000	1.8%	77.9%	\$ 2,121
Rutherford	47	190,323,773	2.9%	84.2%	\$ 1,110
Scott	2	4,750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 235
Sequatchie	1	50,000,000	0.8%	64.1%	\$ 4,610
Sevier	36	54,103,075	0.8%	97.9%	\$ 822
Shelby	122	775,411,107	12.0%	10.4%	\$ 888
Smith	13	38,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 2,296
Stewart	6	117,214,000	1.8%	99.5%	\$ 9,968
Sullivan	61	96,239,000	1.5%	0.2%	\$ 641
Sumner	42	286,787,223	4.4%	0.0%	\$ 2,276
Trousdale	2	43,000,000	0.7%	8.9%	\$ 6,168
Unicoi	6	4,985,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 288
Union	5	49,720,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 2,998
Van Buren	5	16,200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 3,235
Warren	13	56,800,000	0.9%	4.3%	\$ 1,560
Washington	13	81,362,500	1.3%	99.0%	\$ 791
Wayne	6	8,582,736	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 523
Weakley	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
White	5	11,800,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 516
Williamson	47	151,528,000	2.3%	99.9%	\$ 1,224
Wilson	21	321,300,000	5.0%	0.0%	\$ 3,715
Statewide	1,256	\$ 6,487,720,506	100.0%	45.4%	\$ 1,183

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup> As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2b: Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nι	ımber	Cost [in r	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in r	millions]	N	umber	Cost [in I	millions]	
Anderson	1	8.3%	\$ 0.3	0.4%	4	33.3%	\$ 4.4	6.9%	7	58.3%	\$ 58.5	92.7%	
Bedford	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	46.5	99.1%	1	25.0%	0.4	0.9%	
Bledsoe	4	80.0%	39.0	96.9%	1	20.0%	1.3	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Blount	11	28.2%	67.5	34.8%	25	64.1%	120.9	62.4%	3	7.7%	5.4	2.8%	
Bradley	4	10.5%	5.4	6.9%	33	86.8%	73.0	92.9%	1	2.6%	0.2	0.2%	
Campbell	5	41.7%	26.0	69.7%	4	33.3%	9.7	25.9%	3	25.0%	1.6	4.4%	
Cannon	1	25.0%	1.0	27.4%	3	75.0%	2.7	72.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Carroll	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	
Carter	5	50.0%	2.2	44.7%	5	50.0%	2.7	55.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cheatham	8	50.0%	49.0	61.6%	7	43.8%	29.9	37.6%	1	6.3%	0.7	0.8%	
Chester	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Claiborne	1	12.5%	12.5	77.4%	5	62.5%	2.1	13.0%	2	25.0%	1.6	9.6%	
Clay	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	100.0%	40.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cocke	3	100.0%	6.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Coffee	4	40.0%	1.4	2.8%	3	30.0%	3.4	6.6%	3	30.0%	46.3	90.6%	
Crockett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Cumberland	6	46.2%	25.8	31.6%	5	38.5%	38.6	47.5%	2	15.4%	17.0	20.9%	
Davidson	28	22.0%	120.6	21.3%	39	30.7%	230.7	40.7%	60	47.2%	215.9	38.1%	
Decatur	1	33.3%	0.3	1.7%	1	33.3%	11.0	75.3%	1	33.3%	3.4	23.0%	
DeKalb	2	50.0%	25.1	39.1%	2	50.0%	39.0	60.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dickson	24	96.0%	287.2	100.0%	1	4.0%	0.1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-2b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design					Construction				
County	Nι	ımber	Cost [in r	nillions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in n	nillions]	N	umber	Cost [in r	millions]		
Fentress	4	66.7%	56.6	72.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	33.3%	22.0	28.0%		
Franklin	1	20.0%	0.1	2.5%	2	40.0%	2.1	84.3%	2	40.0%	0.3	13.3%		
Gibson	2	28.6%	5.2	7.7%	3	42.9%	57.6	85.0%	2	28.6%	5.0	7.3%		
Giles	2	40.0%	0.2	1.8%	2	40.0%	9.6	86.9%	1	20.0%	1.3	11.3%		
Grainger	1	50.0%	0.3	5.2%	1	50.0%	5.0	94.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Greene	2	66.7%	1.5	90.9%	1	33.3%	0.2	9.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Grundy	3	75.0%	1.6	97.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.1	3.0%		
Hamblen	2	16.7%	4.0	5.5%	7	58.3%	64.4	87.8%	3	25.0%	4.9	6.7%		
Hamilton	15	15.5%	73.7	19.2%	64	66.0%	208.0	54.1%	18	18.6%	102.6	26.7%		
Hancock	1	50.0%	0.2	75.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Hardeman	3	75.0%	16.3	99.4%	1	25.0%	0.1	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Hawkins	7	46.7%	68.9	80.2%	6	40.0%	8.7	10.1%	2	13.3%	8.4	9.8%		
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	4.0	73.4%	1	50.0%	1.5	26.6%		
Henry	1	33.3%	2.5	87.7%	1	33.3%	0.3	10.5%	1	33.3%	0.1	1.8%		
Hickman	3	100.0%	122.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Houston	6	100.0%	102.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Humphreys	6	66.7%	77.0	76.6%	2	22.2%	20.9	20.8%	1	11.1%	2.6	2.6%		
Jackson	5	71.4%	0.3	25.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1.0	74.6%		
Jefferson	2	40.0%	3.8	25.9%	3	60.0%	10.8	74.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Johnson	3	60.0%	2.7	82.9%	2	40.0%	0.6	17.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Knox	5	11.1%	13.3	7.3%	33	73.3%	136.8	74.5%	7	15.6%	33.5	18.3%		
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%		
Lawrence	10	55.6%	6.0	61.1%	5	27.8%	1.9	19.0%	3	16.7%	2.0	19.9%		
Lewis	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.2	13.0%	1	33.3%	1.0	87.0%		

Table D-2b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Νι	ımber	Cost [in r	nillions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in n	nillions]	N	umber	Cost [in r	millions]	
Lincoln	6	75.0%	2.1	64.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	25.0%	1.2	35.9%	
Loudon	5	38.5%	12.0	9.9%	7	53.8%	108.2	89.8%	1	7.7%	0.4	0.3%	
Macon	4	66.7%	29.1	79.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	33.3%	7.5	20.5%	
Madison	3	30.0%	0.5	5.1%	3	30.0%	4.3	41.7%	4	40.0%	5.5	53.2%	
Marion	3	60.0%	0.5	2.9%	1	20.0%	0.1	0.5%	1	20.0%	15.0	96.6%	
Marshall	6	60.0%	1.0	17.2%	2	20.0%	1.5	25.9%	2	20.0%	3.3	56.9%	
Maury	2	33.3%	2.6	42.5%	4	66.7%	3.5	57.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McMinn	3	42.9%	58.3	57.1%	2	28.6%	43.0	42.2%	2	28.6%	0.7	0.7%	
McNairy	1	16.7%	0.2	2.2%	3	50.0%	6.2	91.2%	2	33.3%	0.5	6.6%	
Meigs	3	75.0%	16.5	29.2%	1	25.0%	40.0	70.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	22.5	58.7%	2	50.0%	15.8	41.3%	
Montgomery	11	23.4%	18.5	28.6%	4	8.5%	7.9	12.2%	32	68.1%	38.2	59.2%	
Morgan	2	40.0%	0.3	0.2%	2	40.0%	120.0	99.6%	1	20.0%	0.2	0.2%	
Obion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Overton	4	57.1%	4.8	35.3%	3	42.9%	8.7	64.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Perry	3	75.0%	6.6	96.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.2	3.2%	
Pickett	3	100.0%	4.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Polk	1	100.0%	280.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	23	85.2%	155.2	98.1%	4	14.8%	3.1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	1	50.0%	0.3	10.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2.5	89.3%	
Roane	2	40.0%	0.6	19.6%	2	40.0%	2.1	73.3%	1	20.0%	0.2	7.1%	
Robertson	6	54.5%	106.1	91.2%	2	18.2%	0.9	0.8%	3	27.3%	9.3	8.0%	
Rutherford	15	31.9%	81.1	42.6%	20	42.6%	67.5	35.4%	12	25.5%	41.8	21.9%	
Scott	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	4.8	100.0%	

Table D-2b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	umber	Cost [in r	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in n	nillions]	N	umber	Cost [in r	millions]	
Sequatchie	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	50.0	100.0%	
Sevier	7	19.4%	19.8	36.5%	17	47.2%	21.0	38.8%	12	33.3%	13.3	24.7%	
Shelby	3	2.5%	51.4	6.6%	95	77.9%	536.4	69.2%	24	19.7%	187.7	24.2%	
Smith	9	69.2%	9.0	23.4%	3	23.1%	25.5	66.2%	1	7.7%	4.0	10.4%	
Stewart	5	83.3%	117.1	99.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0.1	0.1%	
Sullivan	20	32.8%	12.0	12.5%	36	59.0%	68.3	71.0%	5	8.2%	15.9	16.5%	
Sumner	17	40.5%	168.7	58.8%	20	47.6%	113.1	39.4%	5	11.9%	5.0	1.7%	
Trousdale	1	50.0%	18.0	41.9%	1	50.0%	25.0	58.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Unicoi	4	66.7%	0.5	9.7%	1	16.7%	3.5	70.2%	1	16.7%	1.0	20.1%	
Union	1	20.0%	0.3	0.6%	2	40.0%	35.0	70.4%	2	40.0%	14.4	29.0%	
Van Buren	3	60.0%	5.9	36.4%	2	40.0%	10.3	63.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Warren	4	30.8%	2.6	4.5%	8	61.5%	49.2	86.7%	1	7.7%	5.0	8.8%	
Washington	1	7.7%	0.3	0.3%	9	69.2%	74.1	91.0%	3	23.1%	7.1	8.7%	
Wayne	3	50.0%	8.1	94.4%	3	50.0%	0.5	5.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Weakley	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
White	3	60.0%	3.5	29.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	40.0%	8.3	70.3%	
Williamson	20	42.6%	41.5	27.4%	10	21.3%	53.9	35.6%	17	36.2%	56.1	37.0%	
Wilson	12	57.1%	148.3	46.2%	7	33.3%	146.0	45.4%	2	9.5%	27.0	8.4%	
Statewide	413	32.9%	\$2,615.8	40.3%	561	44.7%	\$2,791.1	43.0%	282	22.5%	\$ 1,080.7	16.7%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that report projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-3a: Other Utility Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent Of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per apita
Anderson	5	\$ 5,850,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 82
Bedford	5	7,700,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 221
Benton	1	817,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 50
Bledsoe	1	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 18
Blount	9	16,000,000	3.4%	99.7%	\$ 156
Carroll	2	1,571,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 53
Carter	1	5,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 94
Chester	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Claiborne	1	220,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Cocke	6	10,370,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 321
Davidson	4	324,824,000	69.6%	100.0%	\$ 613
Dyer	1	700,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 19
Fayette	2	1,900,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 60
Fentress	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 31
Franklin	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 26
Greene	4	1,975,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 32
Hamilton	1	2,603,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 9
Hancock	2	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 111
Hawkins	3	3,310,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 66
Houston	1	800,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 101
Jackson	3	2,750,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 285
Knox	2	970,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 3
Lawrence	5	1,040,700	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 26
Loudon	1	3,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 75
Marion	1	2,250,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 84
McMinn	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Meigs	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Monroe	1	1,700,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 48
Montgomery	16	48,025,000	10.3%	100.0%	\$ 371
Obion	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Putnam	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 17
Roane	1	470,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 9
Robertson	3	1,94,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 35
Rutherford	4	2,164,685	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 13
Sequatchie	1	650,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 60

Table D-3a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent Of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Sevier	3	4,316,400	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 66
Shelby	1	700,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Stewart	1	2,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 170
Sumner	2	585,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Tipton	1	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 16
Washington	5	5,292,000	1.1%	100.0%	\$ 51
Wayne	2	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Weakley	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Statewide	110	\$ 466,719,785	100.0%	89.8%	\$ 85

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3b: Other Utilities Projects by County and by Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost – Five-vear Period July 1999 to 2004\*

Conceptual **Planning and Design** Construction Cost [in millions] County Number Cost [in millions] Number Cost [in millions] Number 0.2 5.6 \$ 0.3 \$ \$ Anderson 1 16.7% 4.1% 1 16.7% 3.3% 4 66.7% 92.6% 2 80.0% 0.5 25.0% 1.0 13.3% **Bedford** 50.0% 25.0% 6.7% 6.0 0.8 0.0% 0.0% Benton 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 1 100.0% 100.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0.0% Bledsoe 1 100.0% 0.2 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 3 0.0% 0.0% Blount 33.3% 6.1 37.8% 6 66.7% 10.0 62.2% 0 0.0 0 0 Carroll 0.0% 0.00.0% 100.0% 1.6 100.0% 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.0 5.0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% Carter 100.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% Chester 1 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0 Claiborne 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 100.0% 0.2 100.0% Cocke 4 66.7% 16.7% 1.2 16.7% 11.6% 8.0 76.9% 11.6% 0 Davidson 0.0% 0.00.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 100.0% 324.8 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% Dyer 0 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 1 100.0% 0.7 100.0% 0.0 1.9 0.0 0 0.00 0.0% **Fayette** 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 0.5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 **Fentress** 1 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Franklin 1 100.0% 1.0 100.0% 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 75.0% 1.2 59.5% 25.0% 40.5% Greene 0.8 Hamilton 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 100.0% 2.6 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0 1 50.0% 53.3% 1 50.0% 0.4 46.7% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Hancock 0.2 1 33.3% 1.1 33.2% Hawkins 33.3% 2.0 60.4% 33.3% 6.3% 0.0% Houston 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 1 100.0% 0.8 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 2 66.7% 54.5% 0.0% 0.0% Jackson 1.3 45.5% 33.3% 1.5 0 0.0 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 50.0% 0.8 79.4% 50.0% 20.6% Knox 0.2 3 60.0% 40.0% 0.1 13.5% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Lawrence 0.9 86.5%

D-3b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Νι	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.3	100.0%	
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.7	100.0%	
Montgomery	2	12.5%	1.1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	14	87.5%	46.9	97.7%	
Obion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	
Robertson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	1.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	4	100.0%	2.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sequatchie	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	1	33.3%	3.0	69.5%	2	66.7%	1.3	30.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Stewart	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	1	50.0%	0.2	28.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.4	71.8%	
Tipton	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	80.0%	4.3	81.1%	1	20.0%	1.0	18.9%	
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	83.3%	1	50.0%	0.1	16.7%	
Weakley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	34	30.9%	\$ 37.7	8.1%	41	37.3%	\$36.3	7.8%	35	31.8%	\$392.7	84.1%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

### **Table D-4a: Navigation Projects by County**

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* - Five-year Period July 1999-June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	То	otal Estimated Cost		Percent Cost in CIP		st Per apita
Davidson	1	\$	800,000	0.3%	100%	\$	2
Hamilton	1		300,000,000	99.5%	100%	\$ 1	1,018
Loudon	2		750,000	0.2%	100%	\$	19
Statewide	4	\$	301,550,000	100.0%	100%	\$	55

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

Table D-4b: Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Co	ost [ir	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	
Davidson	0	0.0%	\$	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$ 0.8	100.0%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	300.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Loudon	2	100.0%		8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	2	50.0%	\$	8.0	0.2%	1	25.0%	\$300.0	99.5%	1	25.0%	\$ 0.8	0.3%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

## Table D-5a: Telecommunications Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999-June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Bedford	1	\$ 23,000,000	18.0%	0.0%	\$ 659
Blount	2	550,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 5
Bradley	1	160,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Cannon	3	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 41
Carter	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 9
Clay	2	410,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 56
Cumberland	4	1,300,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 29
Davidson	9	49,000,000	38.4%	100.0%	\$ 92
Fentress	3	750,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 46
Gibson	1	60,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Hamilton	1	2,460,000	1.9%	100.0%	\$ 8
Haywood	1	485,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 25
Jackson	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 21
Knox	1	750,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 2
Loudon	1	1,500,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 38
Macon	2	900,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 49
Marshall	1	1,200,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 45
Montgomery	3	2,370,000	1.9%	100.0%	\$ 18
Overton	2	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 8
Pickett	1	600,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 27
Putnam	5	1,500,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 25
Shelby	11	27,786,320	21.8%	100.0%	\$ 32
Smith	4	800,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 48
Sullivan	3	8,550,000	6.7%	6.4%	\$ 57
Sumner	1	265,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 2
Van Buren	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 40
Warren	4	1,100,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 30
Washington	1	160,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
White	2	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 22
Statewide	73	\$ 127,706,320	100.0%	65.5%	\$ 23

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

 Table D-5b: Telecommunication Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nι	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	N	umber	Cost [in	millions]	Νι	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	
Bedford	1	100.0%	\$ 23.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	
Blount	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cannon	2	66.7%	0.4	80.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Carter	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Clay	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cumberland	4	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	2	22.2%	3.0	6.1%	1	11.1%	2.5	5.0%	6	66.7%	43.5	88.9%	
Fentress	2	66.7%	0.6	80.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.2	20.0%	
Gibson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	
Haywood	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Loudon	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Macon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.9	100.0%	
Marshall	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	1	33.3%	1.9	80.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.5	19.8%	
Overton	2	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	5	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	1	9.1%	0.4	1.3%	3	27.3%	5.3	19.1%	7	63.6%	22.1	79.7%	
Smith	4	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-5b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Number Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in	millions]				
Sullivan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.5	5.8%	2	66.7%	8.1	94.2%		
Sumner	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Van Buren	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Warren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Washington	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
White	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%		
Statewide	35	47.9%	\$ 38.2	29.9%	17	23.3%	\$ 11.8	9.3%	21	28.8%	\$ 77.7	60.9%		

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-6a: Water and Wastewater Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-Year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Anderson	23	\$ 49,027,926	1.7%	82.1%	\$ 690
Bedford	29	54,605,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 1,564
Benton	3	2,561,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 155
Bledsoe	9	4,550,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 416
Blount	25	43,471,900	1.5%	19.9%	\$ 423
Bradley	40	33,475,500	1.2%	93.7%	\$ 398
Campbell	20	22,198,500	0.8%	16.6%	\$ 577
Cannon	2	1,500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 122
Carroll	10	4,358,000	0.2%	26.4%	\$ 148
Carter	18	36,852,246	1.3%	8.1%	\$ 691
Cheatham	9	31,222,000	1.1%	8.4%	\$ 864
Chester	3	1,950,000	0.1%	66.7%	\$ 131
Claiborne	14	24,506,000	0.9%	2.0%	\$ 824
Clay	6	1,593,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 219
Cocke	13	17,102,302	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 530
Coffee	26	23,852,200	0.8%	18.7%	\$ 515
Crockett	9	7,015,000	0.2%	0.7%	\$ 498
Cumberland	10	51,690,000	1.8%	89.1%	\$ 1,140
Davidson	71	326,242,000	11.5%	98.4%	\$ 615
Decatur	4	5,515,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 511
DeKalb	6	11,150,000	0.4%	20.2%	\$ 689
Dickson	5	35,245,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 819
Dyer	6	14,270,000	0.5%	95.8%	\$ 389
Fayette	9	14,510,000	0.5%	59.1%	\$ 461
Fentress	5	8,250,000	0.3%	38.8%	\$ 504
Franklin	16	12,210,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 323
Gibson	11	14,857,350	0.5%	20.9%	\$ 309
Giles	17	17,787,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 613
Grainger	17	21,825,560	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,079
Greene	17	23,225,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 381
Grundy	12	6,959,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 495
Hamblen	11	32,780,000	1.2%	68.9%	\$ 605

Table D-6a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Hamilton	38	80,370,000	2.8%	62.7%	\$ 273
Hancock	5	3,180,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 470
Hardeman	4	1,875,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 77
Hardin	7	8,946,000	0.3%	86.3%	\$ 354
Hawkins	30	30,869,300	1.1%	8.8%	\$ 616
Haywood	5	6,120,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 315
Henderson	10	10,725,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 433
Henry	3	11,300,000	0.4%	53.1%	\$ 376
Hickman	6	3,455,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 162
Houston	10	8,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,014
Humphreys	11	4,425,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 257
Jackson	7	5,953,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 617
Jefferson	31	30,975,000	1.1%	33.7%	\$ 687
Johnson	12	17,699,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,058
Knox	42	131,885,635	4.7%	88.7%	\$ 351
Lake	3	2,300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 283
Lauderdale	1	500,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 21
Lawrence	20	8,013,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 202
Lewis	5	6,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 539
Lincoln	24	15,636,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 525
Loudon	27	52,048,000	1.8%	68.1%	\$ 1,305
Macon	5	18,145,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 979
Madison	53	72,104,000	2.5%	98.6%	\$ 831
Marion	22	28,765,000	1.0%	10.4%	\$ 1,069
Marshall	23	12,371,000	0.4%	5.5%	\$ 468
Maury	18	33,736,000	1.2%	38.6%	\$ 479
McMinn	18	11,640,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 251
McNairy	14	6,255,400	0.2%	4.3%	\$ 257
Meigs	3	3,350,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 331
Monroe	13	16,300,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 458
Montgomery	61	152,355,000	5.4%	89.6%	\$ 1,177
Moore	3	6,650,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,294
Morgan	22	32,444,000	1.1%	26.7%	\$ 1,736

Table D-6a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Obion	12	27,223,375	1.0%	7.3%	\$ 844
Overton	3	3,280,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 167
Perry	5	2,190,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 290
Pickett	3	3,500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 743
Polk	9	7,574,250	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 502
Putnam	16	15,450,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 259
Rhea	7	4,361,200	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 155
Roane	33	61,349,125	2.2%	45.5%	\$ 1,227
Robertson	17	23,818,000	0.8%	69.8%	\$ 434
Rutherford	47	166,095,485	5.9%	84.3%	\$ 969
Scott	13	49,917,493	1.8%	52.1%	\$ 2,466
Sequatchie	7	6,350,250	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 585
Sevier	43	69,309,804	2.4%	59.5%	\$ 1,054
Shelby	22	96,868,693	3.4%	98.6%	\$ 111
Smith	12	11,630,000	0.4%	12.6%	\$ 693
Stewart	6	3,625,000	0.1%	33.1%	\$ 308
Sullivan	82	114,190,845	4.0%	76.7%	\$ 760
Sumner	27	59,793,999	2.1%	22.7%	\$ 475
Tipton	5	4,000,000	0.1%	12.5%	\$ 83
Trousdale	3	3,320,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 476
Unicoi	15	11,770,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 680
Union	7	33,950,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 2,047
Van Buren	2	15,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 3,095
Warren	13	11,843,154	0.4%	56.1%	\$ 325
Washington	29	94,960,000	3.3%	57.9%	\$ 924
Wayne	5	2,560,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 156
Weakley	5	6,773,379	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 206
White	8	24,125,000	0.9%	4.7%	\$ 1,055
Williamson	66	57,711,890	2.0%	100.0%	\$ 466
Wilson	8	51,325,000	1.8%	0.0%	\$ 593
Statewide	1,562	\$ 2,835,112,761	100.0%	54.9%	\$ 517

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-6b: Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

	Conceptual					Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	
Anderson	5	21.7%	\$ 5.7	11.6%	7	30.4%	\$ 5.0	10.1%	11	47.8%	\$ 38.4	78.3%	
Bedford	9	31.0%	37.8	69.2%	17	58.6%	15.4	28.2%	3	10.3%	1.4	2.6%	
Benton	1	33.3%	0.6	23.4%	1	33.3%	1.6	63.7%	1	33.3%	0.3	12.9%	
Bledsoe	7	77.8%	3.9	84.6%	2	22.2%	0.7	15.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Blount	5	20.0%	16.5	37.9%	15	60.0%	23.2	53.3%	5	20.0%	3.9	8.9%	
Bradley	10	25.0%	5.9	17.7%	20	50.0%	8.8	26.2%	10	25.0%	18.8	56.2%	
Campbell	3	15.0%	1.1	4.8%	15	75.0%	18.8	84.8%	2	10.0%	2.3	10.4%	
Cannon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Carroll	3	30.0%	1.3	28.7%	6	60.0%	2.1	48.4%	1	10.0%	1.0	22.9%	
Carter	8	44.4%	16.3	44.3%	4	22.2%	4.9	13.3%	6	33.3%	15.6	42.4%	
Cheatham	4	44.4%	5.8	18.5%	3	33.3%	12.5	39.9%	2	22.2%	13.0	41.6%	
Chester	1	33.3%	0.2	7.7%	2	66.7%	1.8	92.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Claiborne	7	50.0%	10.4	42.6%	5	35.7%	6.6	26.8%	2	14.3%	7.5	30.6%	
Clay	1	16.7%	0.5	31.4%	5	83.3%	1.1	68.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cocke	5	38.5%	12.1	70.5%	4	30.8%	2.3	13.4%	4	30.8%	2.8	16.2%	
Coffee	9	34.6%	7.9	33.0%	14	53.8%	7.0	29.5%	3	11.5%	8.9	37.5%	
Crockett	5	55.6%	5.8	82.0%	2	22.2%	0.9	12.6%	2	22.2%	0.4	5.4%	
Cumberland	6	60.0%	11.6	22.4%	2	20.0%	4.5	8.7%	2	20.0%	35.6	68.9%	
Davidson	21	29.6%	74.1	22.7%	3	4.2%	15.9	4.9%	47	66.2%	236.3	72.4%	
Decatur	2	50.0%	2.0	36.3%	2	50.0%	3.5	63.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
DeKalb	2	33.3%	2.5	22.4%	1	16.7%	2.5	22.4%	3	50.0%	6.2	55.2%	
Dickson	5	100.0%	35.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dyer	3	50.0%	0.6	4.2%	1	16.7%	2.5	17.5%	2	33.3%	11.2	78.3%	

Table D-6b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nui	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Number		Cost [in	millions]
Fayette	1	11.1%	0.5	3.4%	7	77.8%	13.7	94.7%	1	11.1%	0.3	1.9%
Fentress	4	80.0%	7.5	90.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0.8	9.1%
Franklin	8	50.0%	7.0	56.9%	6	37.5%	3.9	31.9%	2	12.5%	1.4	11.1%
Gibson	1	9.1%	0.5	3.4%	10	90.9%	14.4	96.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Giles	2	11.8%	4.9	27.3%	11	64.7%	8.4	47.4%	4	23.5%	4.5	25.3%
Grainger	4	23.5%	6.1	27.9%	9	52.9%	11.1	50.9%	4	23.5%	4.6	21.1%
Greene	6	35.3%	8.4	36.0%	8	47.1%	12.0	51.6%	3	17.6%	2.9	12.5%
Grundy	4	33.3%	2.5	35.3%	6	50.0%	3.4	49.2%	2	16.7%	1.1	15.4%
Hamblen	2	18.2%	0.9	2.7%	6	54.5%	18.0	54.9%	3	27.3%	13.9	42.4%
Hamilton	9	23.7%	3.3	4.1%	10	26.3%	7.3	9.0%	19	50.0%	69.8	86.9%
Hancock	2	40.0%	1.1	33.0%	3	60.0%	2.1	67.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardeman	1	25.0%	0.5	26.7%	3	75.0%	1.4	73.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardin	4	57.1%	2.4	26.8%	2	28.6%	5.9	65.4%	1	14.3%	0.7	7.8%
Hawkins	13	43.3%	14.5	47.1%	13	43.3%	8.3	26.7%	4	13.3%	8.1	26.2%
Haywood	1	20.0%	0.1	1.1%	4	80.0%	6.1	98.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henderson	2	20.0%	1.3	12.1%	8	80.0%	9.4	87.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	1	33.3%	6.0	53.1%	1	33.3%	5.0	44.2%	1	33.3%	0.3	2.7%
Hickman	3	50.0%	0.3	9.3%	3	50.0%	3.1	90.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Houston	9	90.0%	6.5	81.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1.5	18.8%
Humphreys	7	63.6%	2.1	48.0%	3	27.3%	2.0	45.2%	1	9.1%	0.3	6.8%
Jackson	2	28.6%	1.3	21.8%	5	71.4%	4.7	78.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jefferson	8	25.8%	11.1	35.7%	16	51.6%	17.0	54.8%	7	22.6%	3.0	9.5%
Johnson	5	41.7%	10.9	61.6%	6	50.0%	6.6	37.5%	1	8.3%	0.2	1.0%
Knox	6	14.3%	12.8	9.7%	12	28.6%	22.6	17.1%	24	57.1%	96.5	73.2%

Table D-6b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Lake	1	33.3%	0.6	26.1%	1	33.3%	0.5	21.7%	1	33.3%	1.2	52.2%
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lawrence	9	45.0%	4.2	52.8%	9	45.0%	3.4	42.2%	2	10.0%	0.4	5.0%
Lewis	3	60.0%	3.5	58.3%	2	40.0%	2.5	41.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lincoln	21	87.5%	12.4	79.1%	2	8.3%	2.1	13.3%	1	4.2%	1.2	7.7%
Loudon	11	40.7%	14.9	28.6%	7	25.9%	30.7	59.0%	9	33.3%	6.5	12.4%
Macon	2	40.0%	10.1	55.5%	1	20.0%	5.0	27.6%	2	40.0%	3.1	16.9%
Madison	44	83.0%	49.1	68.0%	5	9.4%	3.1	4.3%	4	7.5%	20.0	27.7%
Marion	11	50.0%	16.6	57.6%	8	36.4%	9.2	31.8%	3	13.6%	3.1	10.6%
Marshall	4	17.4%	2.3	18.3%	17	73.9%	9.4	76.0%	2	8.7%	0.7	5.6%
Maury	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	15	83.3%	18.4	54.5%	3	16.7%	15.4	45.5%
McMinn	9	50.0%	5.2	44.4%	7	38.9%	5.7	48.7%	2	11.1%	0.8	6.9%
McNairy	7	50.0%	1.3	21.5%	5	35.7%	3.4	54.2%	2	14.3%	1.5	24.3%
Meigs	2	66.7%	2.8	82.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.6	17.9%
Monroe	2	15.4%	3.2	19.6%	7	53.8%	8.5	52.1%	4	30.8%	4.6	28.2%
Montgomery	5	8.2%	40.5	26.6%	13	21.3%	45.5	29.9%	43	70.5%	66.3	43.5%
Moore	3	100.0%	6.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Morgan	13	59.1%	13.3	41.0%	7	31.8%	11.4	35.2%	2	9.1%	7.8	23.9%
Obion	5	41.7%	15.2	55.8%	5	41.7%	11.0	40.4%	2	16.7%	1.0	3.8%
Overton	1	33.3%	0.3	8.5%	2	66.7%	3.0	91.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Perry	1	20.0%	0.5	22.8%	2	40.0%	1.1	48.9%	2	40.0%	0.6	28.3%
Pickett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	2.0	57.1%	1	33.3%	1.5	42.9%
Polk	4	44.4%	3.9	51.5%	4	44.4%	3.0	39.9%	1	11.1%	0.7	8.6%
Putnam	11	68.8%	6.0	38.5%	2	12.5%	7.5	48.5%	3	18.8%	2.0	12.9%

Table D-6b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nui	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Rhea	4	57.1%	3.0	69.0%	2	28.6%	0.9	19.5%	1	14.3%	0.5	11.5%
Roane	13	39.4%	18.2	29.7%	16	48.5%	40.9	66.7%	4	12.1%	2.3	3.7%
Robertson	5	29.4%	10.6	44.3%	7	41.2%	7.4	31.2%	5	29.4%	5.8	24.5%
Rutherford	20	42.6%	49.6	29.8%	17	36.2%	46.7	28.1%	10	21.3%	69.9	42.1%
Scott	5	38.5%	8.4	16.9%	4	30.8%	3.9	7.8%	4	30.8%	37.6	75.3%
Sequatchie	3	42.9%	2.6	41.3%	4	57.1%	3.7	58.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	19	44.2%	32.3	46.6%	16	37.2%	19.0	27.5%	8	18.6%	18.0	25.9%
Shelby	1	4.5%	0.2	0.2%	15	68.2%	41.1	42.4%	6	27.3%	55.6	57.4%
Smith	8	66.7%	9.5	81.7%	3	25.0%	1.4	11.9%	1	8.3%	0.8	6.4%
Stewart	5	83.3%	2.4	66.9%	1	16.7%	1.2	33.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sullivan	31	37.8%	30.8	26.9%	39	47.6%	68.2	59.7%	12	14.6%	15.2	13.3%
Sumner	9	33.3%	27.6	46.1%	14	51.9%	22.8	38.1%	4	14.8%	9.4	15.8%
Tipton	3	60.0%	3.0	75.0%	1	20.0%	0.5	12.5%	1	20.0%	0.5	12.5%
Trousdale	2	66.7%	2.3	69.9%	1	33.3%	1.0	30.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Unicoi	9	60.0%	6.2	52.8%	5	33.3%	4.6	38.7%	1	6.7%	1.0	8.5%
Union	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	42.9%	31.6	93.1%	4	57.1%	2.4	6.9%
Van Buren	1	50.0%	8.0	51.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	7.5	48.4%
Warren	4	30.8%	4.1	34.6%	8	61.5%	7.2	61.2%	1	7.7%	0.5	4.2%
Washington	8	27.6%	30.4	32.0%	15	51.7%	52.7	55.5%	6	20.7%	11.9	12.5%
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	60.0%	2.1	82.0%	2	40.0%	0.5	18.0%
Weakley	1	20.0%	0.5	7.4%	3	60.0%	5.7	83.8%	1	20.0%	0.6	8.9%
White	5	62.5%	23.0	95.3%	3	37.5%	1.1	4.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Williamson	48	72.7%	32.7	56.7%	11	16.7%	20.3	35.1%	7	10.6%	4.7	8.1%
Wilson	4	50.0%	20.5	39.9%	2	25.0%	3.3	6.5%	2	25.0%	27.5	53.6%
Statewide	604	38.7%	\$907.9	32.0%	602	38.5%	\$903.2	31.9%	356	22.8%	\$1,023.9	36.1%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-7a: Law Enforcement Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost		ost Per apita
Blount	1	\$ 4,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 44
Bradley	3	23,000,000	3.7%	84.8%	\$ 273
Campbell	1	8,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 208
Carroll	1	90,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Carter	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 19
Cheatham	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 14
Claiborne	1	3,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 101
Davidson	17	189,562,000	30.7%	100.0%	\$ 358
Dickson	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 12
Fayette	1	13,000,000	2.1%	100.0%	\$ 413
Fentress	1	2,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 153
Franklin	2	1,095,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 29
Gibson	4	950,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 20
Giles	1	120,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Grainger	1	5,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 247
Greene	2	4,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 74
Hamblen	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 9
Hamilton	10	28,577,096	4.6%	0.0%	\$ 97
Hardin	1	10,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 396
Hawkins	1	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Henderson	1	7,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 283
Henry	1	5,000,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 166
Hickman	1	10,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 470
Houston	1	2,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 254
Humphreys	1	2,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 116
Jackson	1	2,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 259
Jefferson	1	4,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 89
Johnson	1	3,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 179
Knox	6	116,087,330	18.8%	100.0%	\$ 309
Loudon	1	3,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 75
Macon	1	6,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 324
Madison	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 12
Marshall	2	5,400,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 204

Table D-7a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost		Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Monroe	1	192,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Montgomery	5	5,943,935	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 46
Morgan	3	1,550,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 83
Obion	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 31
Overton	1	6,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 305
Perry	2	3,350,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 443
Pickett	1	5,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,061
Polk	1	1,250,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 83
Putnam	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Rhea	2	4,950,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 176
Roane	1	10,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 200
Sevier	2	2,240,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 34
Shelby	16	72,258,243	11.7%	100.0%	\$ 83
Smith	2	2,650,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 158
Sullivan	2	11,070,000	1.8%	0.6%	\$ 74
Sumner	2	950,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 8
Union	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 15
Van Buren	1	7,900,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,577
Warren	4	7,570,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 208
Washington	2	5,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 53
Wayne	1	1,200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 73
White	1	1,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 66
Williamson	2	800,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Statewide	127	\$ 617,255,604	100.0%	69.0%	\$ 113

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown

# Table D-7b: Law Enforcement Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Blount	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$ 4.5	100.0%
Bradley	2	66.7%	16.0	69.6%	1	33.3%	7.0	30.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Campbell	1	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carroll	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carter	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Claiborne	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	5	29.4%	20.1	10.6%	3	17.6%	116.8	61.6%	9	52.9%	52.7	27.8%
Dickson	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fayette	1	100.0%	13.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fentress	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Franklin	2	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Gibson	4	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Giles	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	1	50.0%	2.0	44.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2.5	55.6%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamilton	1	10.0%	0.5	1.7%	8	80.0%	26.9	94.1%	1	10.0%	1.2	4.2%
Hardin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hawkins	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henderson	1	100.0%	7.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hickman	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-7b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Houston	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Humphreys	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	
Jackson	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Jefferson	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Johnson	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	83.3%	115.0	99.1%	1	16.7%	1.1	0.9%	
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Macon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	
Madison	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marshall	2	100.0%	5.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5.9	100.0%	
Morgan	1	33.3%	0.3	16.1%	1	33.3%	1.2	77.4%	1	33.3%	0.1	6.5%	
Obion	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Overton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	
Perry	1	50.0%	3.0	89.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.4	10.4%	
Pickett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Polk	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	1	50.0%	1.2	55.4%	1	50.0%	1.0	44.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	1	6.3%	4.8	6.7%	7	43.8%	18.0	24.9%	8	50.0%	49.4	68.4%	
Smith	2	100.0%	2.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	1	50.0%	0.1	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	11.0	99.4%	

Table D-7b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Sumner	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Union	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Van Buren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	7.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Warren	1	25.0%	0.1	0.9%	3	75.0%	7.5	99.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Washington	2	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Wayne	1	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
White	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%
Williamson	1	50.0%	0.6	68.8%	1	50.0%	0.3	31.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Statewide	48	37.8%	\$123.5	20.0%	46	36.2%	\$ 349.5	56.6%	33	26.0%	\$ 144.3	23.4%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-8a: Storm Water Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

0	Number of	Total	Percent of	Percent	st Per
<b>County</b> Anderson	Projects 2	<b>Estimated Cost</b> \$ 2,000,000	Total Cost 0.5%	Cost in CIP 50.0%	\$ apita 28
Blount	1	2,300,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 22
		, ,			\$
Bradley	1	7,150,500	1.7% 0.2%	100.0%	\$ 85 26
Campbell	-	1,000,000		0.0%	
Carroll	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Cheatham	1	600,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 17
Coffee	1	255,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Crockett	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 107
Cumberland	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Davidson	35	166,969,000	39.9%	100.0%	\$ 315
Franklin	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 26
Greene	1	10,000,000	2.4%	0.0%	\$ 164
Hamblen	1	900,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 17
Hamilton	15	66,885,000	16.0%	99.6%	\$ 227
Hardeman	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 20
Haywood	2	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Henry	1	700,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 23
Houston	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 13
Humphreys	1	1,250,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 73
Jefferson	3	730,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 16
Johnson	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Knox	6	45,210,000	10.8%	100.0%	\$ 120
Lake	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 18
Lawrence	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Madison	2	2,554,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 29
Maury	1	260,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 4
McMinn	1	1,900,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 41
Obion	2	650,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 20
Polk	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 33
Putnam	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Rhea	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Roane	1	170,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 3

Table D-8a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Robertson	2	1,160,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 21
Rutherford	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Scott	1	65,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Sevier	1	60,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Shelby	20	85,028,679	20.3%	100.0%	\$ 97
Sullivan	2	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Sumner	1	330,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 347
Unicoi	2	6,000,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 347
Washington	1	5,500,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 53
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 30
Williamson	6	2,615,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 21
Statewide	129	\$418,692,179	100.0%	92.5%	\$ 76

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-8b: Stormwater Projects by County and by Stage of Development

		Con	ceptual		Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	1	50.0%	\$ 1.0	50.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	\$ 1.0	50.0%
Blount	1	100.0%	2.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	7.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Campbell	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carroll	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%
Cheatham	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Coffee	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Crockett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%
Cumberland	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	3	8.6%	9.1	5.5%	9	25.7%	27.3	16.4%	23	65.7%	130.5	78.2%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamilton	4	26.7%	3.2	4.8%	4	26.7%	38.4	57.4%	7	46.7%	25.3	37.8%
Hardeman	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Haywood	2	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%
Houston	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%
Humphreys	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.3	100.0%
Jefferson	1	33.3%	0.6	82.2%	2	66.7%	0.1	17.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	50.0%	16.5	36.4%	3	50.0%	28.8	63.6%
Lake	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-8b: (continued)

		Cond	ceptual		Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Lawrence	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Madison	2	100.0%	2.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.9	100.0%	
Obion	1	50.0%	0.2	23.1%	1	50.0%	0.5	76.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Polk	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	
Robertson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Scott	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	14	70.0%	20.2	23.8%	6	30.0%	64.8	76.2%	
Sullivan	1	50.0%	0.1	26.7%	1	50.0%	0.2	73.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Unicoi	1	50.0%	5.0	83.3%	1	50.0%	1.0	16.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Weakley	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Williamson	3	50.0%	1.7	63.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	50.0%	1.0	36.9%	
Statewide	33	25.6%	\$ 40.6	9.7%	46	35.7%	\$121.1	28.9%	50	38.8%	\$257.0	61.4%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-9a: Housing Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost		ost Per Capita
Campbell	1	\$ 2,000,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 52
Cannon	3	1,476,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 121
Carter	2	525,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 10
Cheatham	1	1,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 28
Chester	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Clay	2	720,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 99
Crockett	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 36
Cumberland	3	2,150,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 47
Davidson	7	43,825,000	31.4%	100.0%	\$ 83
DeKalb	3	3,041,882	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 188
Dyer	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Gibson	5	2,909,000	2.1%	10.3%	\$ 61
Greene	3	1,500,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Hancock	2	1,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 148
Hardeman	2	20,500,000	14.7%	97.6%	\$ 838
Hawkins	5	2,025,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 40
Henderson	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 20
Henry	2	5,100,000	3.7%	98.0%	\$ 169
Humphreys	4	5,330,000	3.8%	0.0%	\$ 310
Jackson	4	3,080,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 319
Johnson	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 30
Lauderdale	2	1,000,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 41
Lincoln	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 17
Loudon	2	1,200,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 30
Macon	2	2,637,500	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 142
McNairy	3	1,210,500	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 50
Morgan	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 11
Obion	5	1,649,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 51
Overton	3	1,500,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 76
Perry	3	1,390,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 184
Pickett	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 106
Putnam	4	5,500,000	3.9%	0.0%	\$ 92

Table D-9a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost		ost Per Capita
Scott	1	250,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 12
Shelby	1	500,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 1
Smith	2	1,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 60
Sullivan	3	1,500,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 10
Sumner	3	3,500,000	2.5%	71.4%	\$ 28
Unicoi	4	11,024,000	7.9%	0.0%	\$ 637
Van Buren	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 100
Washington	4	2,750,000	2.0%	63.6%	\$ 27
Wayne	1	2,500,000	1.8%	0.0%	\$ 152
White	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 22
Wilson	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 6
Statewide	103	\$ 139,592,882	100.0%	54.5%	\$ 25

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table 9b: Housing Projects by County and by Stage of Development**Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004

	Conceptual			F	Planning a	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Num	ber	Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [ir	millions]	Num	ber	Cost [in	millions]
Campbell	1	100.0%	\$ 2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%
Cannon	1	33.3%	0.5	33.9%	2	66.7%	1.0	66.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Chester	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Clay	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Crockett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	2	66.7%	1.7	76.7%	1	33.3%	0.5	23.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	1	14.3%	15.0	34.2%	1	14.3%	5.0	11.4%	5	71.4%	23.8	54.4%
DeKalb	1	33.3%	1.3	44.1%	2	66.7%	1.7	55.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dyer	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Gibson	3	60.0%	2.1	70.5%	2	40.0%	0.9	29.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	1	33.3%	0.5	33.3%	2	66.7%	1.0	66.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hancock	1	50.0%	0.5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	50.0%
Hardeman	2	100.0%	20.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hawkins	1	20.0%	0.5	24.7%	3	60.0%	1.3	62.3%	1	20.0%	0.3	13.0%
Henderson	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	2	100.0%	5.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Humphreys	4	100.0%	5.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jackson	3	75.0%	2.3	75.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.8	24.4%
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	2.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.0	100.0%

Table D-9b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual		Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Num	ber	Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Num	ber	Cost [in	millions]	
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	41.7%	1	50.0%	0.7	58.3%	
Macon	1	50.0%	0.1	5.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2.5	94.8%	
McNairy	2	66.7%	0.8	66.1%	1	33.3%	0.4	33.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Morgan	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Obion	3	60.0%	0.9	57.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0.7	42.6%	
Overton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Perry	2	66.7%	1.1	75.5%	1	33.3%	0.3	24.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	4	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Scott	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	
Smith	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	
Sullivan	2	66.7%	1.0	66.7%	1	33.3%	0.5	33.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	1	33.3%	2.5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1.0	28.6%	
Unicoi	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	10.8	97.6%	1	25.0%	0.3	2.4%	
Van Buren	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1.3	45.5%	2	50.0%	1.5	54.5%	
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
White	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Wilson	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	51	49.5%	\$ 74.5	53.4%	29	28.2%	\$ 30.1	21.6%	23	22.3%	\$ 35.0	25.1%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10a: Fire Protection Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Anderson	2	\$ 2,750,000	2.4%	72.7%	\$ 39
Bedford	1	1,000,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 29
Blount	2	275,000	0.2%	45.5%	\$ 3
Bradley	1	600,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 7
Campbell	2	800,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 21
Carroll	3	509,500	0.4%	19.6%	\$ 17
Carter	1	612,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 11
Cheatham	4	4,420,000	3.8%	90.5%	\$ 122
Crockett	2	240,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 17
Cumberland	2	500,000	0.4%	60.0%	\$ 11
Davidson	21	25,425,000	21.8%	100.0%	\$ 48
Decatur	1	250,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 23
Dyer	2	900,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 25
Fayette	2	250,000	0.2%	80.0%	\$ 8
Gibson	1	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 3
Greene	2	6,000,000	5.1%	0.0%	\$ 99
Grundy	1	325,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 23
Hamblen	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 9
Hamilton	6	9,600,000	8.2%	0.0%	\$ 33
Hancock	1	160,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 24
Hawkins	3	812,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 16
Haywood	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 15
Houston	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 25
Jackson	1	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Jefferson	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
Johnson	1	500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 30
Knox	3	1,775,000	1.5%	100.0%	\$ 5
Lauderdale	1	300,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 12
Lincoln	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 10
Macon	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 16
Marion	1	350,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 13
Marshall	2	330,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 12

Table D-10a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Maury	1	275,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
McMinn	1	1,500,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 32
McNairy	2	870,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 36
Monroe	2	480,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 13
Montgomery	7	2,290,000	2.0%	100.0%	\$ 18
Obion	1	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Overton	1	400,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 20
Putnam	7	\$1,425,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 24
Roane	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Robertson	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
Rutherford	4	2,550,000	2.2%	63.7%	\$ 15
Scott	2	137,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Sevier	2	1,280,000	1.1%	100.0%	\$ 19
Shelby	11	20,913,073	17.9%	100.0%	\$ 24
Sullivan	5	2,550,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 17
Sumner	4	2,330,000	2.0%	0.0%	\$ 18
Unicoi	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Warren	5	1,275,471	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 35
Washington	8	2,684,000	2.3%	88.8%	\$ 26
Wayne	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 30
Williamson	13	11,565,000	9.9%	100.0%	\$ 93
Wilson	3	1,700,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 20
Statewide	159	\$ 116,608,044	100.0%	66.9%	\$ 21

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10b: Fire Protection Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004

	Conceptual				Planning and Design					Construction			
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Number		Cos	st [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	1	50.0%	\$ 0.8	27.3%	1	50.0%	\$	2.0	72.7%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%
Bedford	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Blount	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%		0.1	45.5%	1	50.0%	0.2	54.5%
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Campbell	2	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carroll	1	33.3%	0.1	14.9%	2	66.7%		0.4	85.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	3	75.0%	0.4	9.5%	1	25.0%		4.0	90.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Crockett	1	50.0%	0.2	79.2%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	20.8%
Cumberland	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	9	42.9%	8.0	31.6%	2	9.5%		2.2	8.8%	10	47.6%	15.1	59.6%
Decatur	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%		0.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fayette	1	50.0%	0.2	80.0%	1	50.0%		0.1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Gibson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	2	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Grundy	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamilton	2	33.3%	0.8	8.3%	4	66.7%		8.8	91.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hancock	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hawkins	3	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Houston	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-10b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual		Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]	
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Jefferson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.9	52.1%	1	33.3%	0.9	47.9%	
Lauderdale	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lincoln	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Macon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	
Marion	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marshall	1	50.0%	0.2	60.6%	1	50.0%	0.1	39.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.1	8.0%	1	50.0%	0.8	92.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	1	50.0%	0.3	52.1%	1	50.0%	0.2	47.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	3	42.9%	0.6	24.9%	2	28.6%	0.8	33.6%	2	28.6%	1.0	41.5%	
Obion	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Overton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	5	71.4%	1.0	71.9%	2	28.6%	0.4	28.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Robertson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.8	30.4%	3	75.0%	1.8	69.6%	
Scott	1	50.0%	0.1	63.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	36.5%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	3.9%	1	50.0%	1.2	96.1%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	8	72.7%	15.5	74.0%	3	27.3%	5.4	26.0%	
Sullivan	3	60.0%	1.4	55.3%	2	40.0%	1.1	44.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	2	50.0%	1.0	42.1%	2	50.0%	1.4	57.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-10b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual		F	Planning a	nd Desig	n	Construction				
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in million		
Unicoi	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Warren	4	80.0%	0.9	72.6%	1	20.0%	0.4	27.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	2	25.0%	0.3	11.2%	6	75.0%	2.4	88.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Wayne	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Weakley	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Williamson	10	76.9%	6.2	53.4%	1	7.7%	1.9	16.2%	2	15.4%	3.5	30.4%	
Wilson	2	66.7%	1.2	70.6%	1	33.3%	0.5	29.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	78	49.1%	\$ 36.6	31.4%	54	34.0%	\$ 50.5	43.3%	27	17.0%	\$ 29.5	25.3%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-11a: Solid Waste Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Bledsoe	2	\$ 375,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 34
Blount	1	75,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Bradley	1	180,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 2
Campbell	2	1,050,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 27
Cannon	2	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 12
Cheatham	1	100,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 3
Clay	1	50,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Coffee	1	650,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 14
Cumberland	4	355,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 8
Davidson	10	24,290,000	25.4%	100.0%	\$ 46
Dekalb	5	425,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 26
Fentress	3	205,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 13
Gibson	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Grainger	1	900,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 45
Greene	1	50,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1
Grundy	3	1,427,400	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 102
Hamilton	9	19,923,000	20.9%	96.2%	\$ 68
Hawkins	2	350,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 7
Houston	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Jackson	1	50,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Knox	1	1,100,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 3
Lawrence	1	300,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 8
McMinn	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 3
Macon	2	135,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 7
Madison	1	750,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 9
Marshall	1	300,000	0.3%	27.8%	\$ 11
Maury	3	360,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 5
Meigs	1	250,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 25
Monroe	1	75,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Montgomery	11	1,716,000	1.8%	100.0%	\$ 13
Overton	2	1,600,000	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 81
Putnam	7	645,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 11

Table D-11a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Rhea	1	5,000,000	5.2%	0.0%	\$ 178
Robertson	2	775,000	0.8%	90.3%	\$ 14
Scott	1	500,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 25
Sequatchie	1	500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 46
Shelby	7	11,554,000	12.1%	100.0%	\$ 13
Smith	3	270,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 16
Sullivan	3	786,500	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 5
Sumner	5	9,000,000	9.4%	0.0%	\$ 71
Van Buren	1	95,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 19
Warren	3	1,115,000	1.2%	40.4%	\$ 31
Washington	3	1,375,000	1.4%	14.5%	\$ 13
White	2	590,000	0.6%	84.7%	\$ 26
Williamson	9	5,792,500	6.1%	100.0%	\$ 47
Statewide	125	\$ 95,539,400	100.0%	70.6%	\$ 17

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

 Table D-11b: Solid Waste Projects by County and by Stage of Development

		Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Bledsoe	1	50.0%	\$ 0.1	33.3%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	\$ 0.3	66.7%	
Blount	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Campbell	2	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cannon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cheatham	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Clay	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Coffee	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cumberland	4	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	1	10.0%	1.2	4.9%	1	10.0%	2.0	8.2%	8	80.0%	21.1	86.8%	
DeKalb	5	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Fentress	3	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Gibson	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.9	100.0%	
Greene	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Grundy	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.4	25.4%	2	66.7%	1.1	74.6%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	22.2%	5.4	26.9%	7	77.8%	14.6	73.1%	
Hawkins	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Houston	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Jackson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	
Lawrence	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-11b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual		Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Macon	2	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Madison	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Marshall	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Maury	2	66.7%	0.3	83.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	16.7%
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%
Meigs	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	6	54.5%	1.0	55.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	45.5%	0.8	44.3%
Overton	2	100.0%	1.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Putnam	6	85.7%	0.6	88.4%	1	14.3%	0.1	11.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Rhea	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%
Robertson	1	50.0%	0.1	9.7%	1	50.0%	0.7	90.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Scott	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%
Sequatchie	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	71.4%	11.0	95.4%	2	28.6%	0.5	4.6%
Smith	3	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sullivan	1	33.3%	0.1	11.3%	2	66.7%	0.7	88.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sumner	5	100.0%	9.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Van Buren	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Warren	1	33.3%	0.1	8.1%	2	66.7%	1.0	91.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Washington	2	66.7%	1.2	85.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.2	14.5%
White	1	50.0%	0.1	15.3%	1	50.0%	0.5	84.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Williamson	1	11.1%	1.0	17.1%	5	55.6%	2.4	41.5%	3	33.3%	2.4	41.4%
Statewide	61	48.8%	\$ 22	22.5%	28	22.4%	\$ 25	26.1%	36	28.8%	\$ 49	51.4%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

## Table D-12a: Public Health Facility Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Anderson	1	\$ 2,000,000	2.1%	0.0%	\$ 28
Bledsoe	1	1,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 91
Cannon	2	210,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 17
Carter	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 6
Cheatham	2	1,200,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 33
Clay	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 21
Cumberland	4	600,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 13
Davidson	13	45,491,000	48.6%	100.0%	\$ 86
DeKalb	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 9
Hamilton	1	10,000,000	10.7%	100.0%	\$ 34
Hardin	1	250,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 10
Jackson	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 16
Lewis	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 27
Maury	1	250,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 4
Monroe	1	650,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 18
Montgomery	1	4,346,504	4.6%	100.0%	\$ 34
Overton	2	680,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 35
Pickett	1	600,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 127
Polk	1	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 20
Putnam	4	600,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 10
Roane	1	3,000,000	3.2%	0.0%	\$ 60
Robertson	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
Shelby	11	8,384,250	9.0%	100.0%	\$ 10
Smith	3	450,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 27
Stewart	1	350,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 30
Sumner	3	6,700,000	7.2%	0.0%	\$ 53
Trousdale	1	340,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 49
Union	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 9
Van Buren	2	400,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 80
Warren	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
Wayne	1	3,000,000	3.2%	0.0%	\$ 183
White	2	300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 13
Wilson	1	1,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 12
Statewide	70	\$ 93,651,754	100.0%	74.1%	\$ 17

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials

Table D-12b: Public Health Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development

		Con	ceptual			Planning	and De	sign	Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [i	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [i	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	1	100.0%	\$ 2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%
Bledsoe	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cannon	2	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	50.0%	0.3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.9	75.0%
Clay	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	4	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	4	30.8%	17.2	37.9%	2	15.4%	1.0	2.1%	7	53.8%	27.3	60.0%
DeKalb	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamilton	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lewis	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Maury	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Overton	1	50.0%	0.2	22.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	77.9%
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Polk	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Putnam	4	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Roane	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Robertson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Shelby	2	18.2%	1.1	13.4%	4	36.4%	0.7	7.9%	5	45.5%	6.6	78.7%

Table D-12b: (continued)

		Con	ceptual			Planning	and De	sign	Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [ii	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [i	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]
Smith	3	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stewart	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sumner	3	100.0%	6.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Trousdale	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Union	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Van Buren	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Warren	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
White	2	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Wilson	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Statewide	43	61.4%	\$47.5	50.7%	13	18.6%	\$10.9	11.6%	14	20.0%	\$ 35.3	37.7%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-13: Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County**Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

County	Number of Schools with Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Per Capita
Anderson	16	\$ 11,415,404	0.6%	\$ 161
Bedford	12	33,701,000	1.8%	\$ 966
Benton	7	1,709,164	0.1%	\$ 104
Bledsoe	3	1,615,000	0.1%	\$ 148
Blount	21	16,407,300	0.9%	\$ 160
Bradley	23	48,179,500	2.6%	\$ 573
Campbell	7	6,015,000	0.3%	\$ 156
Cannon	7	17,066,684	0.9%	\$ 1,393
Carroll	13	4,980,648	0.3%	\$ 169
Carter	9	2,494,790	0.1%	\$ 47
Cheatham	12	2,429,250	0.1%	\$ 67
Chester	4	689,000	0.0%	\$ 46
Claiborne	12	1,498,600	0.1%	\$ 50
Clay	2	470,000	0.0%	\$ 65
Cocke	11	35,633,811	1.9%	\$ 1,104
Coffee	18	4,473,200	0.2%	\$ 96
Crockett	3	450,000	0.0%	\$ 32
Cumberland	9	6,782,956	0.4%	\$ 150
Davidson	92	143,122,180	7.7%	\$ 270
Decatur	3	14,762,000	0.8%	\$ 1,368
DeKalb	4	1,823,143	0.1%	\$ 113
Dickson	8	378,250	0.0%	\$ 9
Dyer	12	6,492,158	0.3%	\$ 177
Fayette	6	315,700	0.0%	\$ 10
Fentress	4	16,982,000	0.9%	\$ 1,038
Franklin	12	2,380,000	0.1%	\$ 63
Gibson	15	10,293,000	0.6%	\$ 214
Giles	7	6,529,715	0.4%	\$ 225
Grainger	7	3,412,000	0.2%	\$ 169
Greene	22	57,246,200	3.1%	\$ 940
Grundy	7	1,252,400	0.1%	\$ 89
Hamblen	19	9,463,461	0.5%	\$ 175
Hamilton	71	37,246,966	2.0%	\$ 126

Table D-13: (continued)

	Number of Schools with	Total Estimated	Percent of		
County	Projects	Cost	<b>Total Cost</b>	P	er Capita
Hancock	2	973,000	0.1%	\$	144
Hardeman	6	1,623,200	0.1%	\$	66
Hardin	10	46,891,050	2.5%	\$	1,857
Hawkins	16	13,399,502	0.7%	\$	267
Haywood	5	561,654	0.0%	\$	29
Henderson	9	12,384,138	0.7%	\$	500
Henry	7	13,441,000	0.7%	\$	447
Hickman	1	1,000,000	0.1%	\$	47
Houston	2	587,000	0.0%	\$	74
Humphreys	5	4,381,100	0.2%	\$	255
Jackson	4	2,726,800	0.1%	\$	283
Jefferson	1	150,000	0.0%	\$	3
Johnson	6	9,863,962	0.5%	\$	589
Knox	83	334,736,429	17.9%	\$	890
Lake	3	256,000	0.0%	\$	31
Lauderdale	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Lawrence	13	21,486,200	1.2%	\$	542
Lewis	0	0	0.0%	\$	0
Lincoln	10	15,619,000	0.8%	\$	525
Loudon	12	5,178,340	0.3%	\$	130
McMinn	14	10,206,500	0.5%	\$	220
McNairy	8	730,000	0.0%	\$	30
Macon	5	2,719,058	0.1%	\$	147
Madison	19	9,953,544	0.5%	\$	115
Marion	7	11,711,200	0.6%	\$	435
Marshall	8	12,534,131	0.7%	\$	474
Maury	15	4,953,210	0.3%	\$	70
Meigs	4	3,014,000	0.2%	\$	297
Monroe	13	5,715,800	0.3%	\$	161
Montgomery	16	21,614,100	1.2%	\$	167
Moore	2	2,470,000	0.1%	\$	481
Morgan	7	8,151,650	0.4%	\$	436
Obion	10	10,909,000	0.6%	\$	338
Overton	8	9,673,450	0.5%	\$	492
Perry	3	13,550,000	0.7%	\$	1,792

Table D-13: (continued)

County	Number of Schools with Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Р	er Capita
Pickett	2	160,000	0.0%	\$	34
Polk	6	4,520,000	0.2%	\$	299
Putnam	13	12,080,733	0.6%	\$	202
Rhea	6	4,941,000	0.3%	\$	176
Roane	19	9,517,500	0.5%	\$	190
Robertson	6	13,478,000	0.7%	\$	246
Rutherford	29	26,073,339	1.4%	\$	152
Scott	8	22,474,000	1.2%	\$	1,110
Sequatchie	3	2,556,500	0.1%	\$	236
Sevier	21	7,607,722	0.4%	\$	116
Shelby	193	445,551,117	23.9%	\$	510
Smith	9	13,678,775	0.7%	\$	816
Stewart	3	24,260,000	1.3%	\$	2,063
Sullivan	45	53,231,780	2.9%	\$	354
Sumner	30	33,892,108	1.8%	\$	269
Tipton	13	1,441,416	0.1%	\$	30
Trousdale	2	600,000	0.0%	\$	86
Unicoi	6	4,274,550	0.2%	\$	247
Union	2	1,550,000	0.1%	\$	93
Van Buren	2	2,528,200	0.1%	\$	505
Warren	9	8,163,020	0.4%	\$	224
Washington	23	19,895,000	1.1%	\$	194
Wayne	8	6,935,360	0.4%	\$	423
Weakley	10	3,400,000	0.2%	\$	103
White	1	25,000	0.0%	\$	1
Williamson	31	11,822,567	0.6%	\$	96
Wilson	18	9,369,500	0.5%	\$	108
Statewide	1,330	\$1,864,901,685	100.0%	\$	340

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials. No data is available related to Capital Improvement Plans or stage of development.

## Table D-14a: New Public School Construction Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	2	\$ 5,425,000	0.3%	92.2%	\$ 76
Blount	6	91,250,000	5.1%	14.5%	\$ 888
Bradley	5	58,348,000	3.3%	78.8%	\$ 694
Campbell	1	5,200,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 135
Cannon	2	20,657,035	1.2%	32.7%	\$ 1,687
Carroll	2	12,200,000	0.7%	50.8%	\$ 414
Carter	1	6,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 113
Claiborne	2	25,000,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 840
Cocke	2	32,500,000	1.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,006
Coffee	1	6,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 129
Crockett	2	7,000,000	0.4%	50.0%	\$ 497
Cumberland	2	15,603,395	0.9%	28.2%	\$ 344
Davidson	19	130,557,000	7.3%	100.0%	\$ 246
Dickson	3	50,000,000	2.8%	46.0%	\$ 1,162
Dyer	2	30,000,000	1.7%	100.0%	\$ 817
Fayette	1	15,000,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 477
Franklin	2	46,000,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,216
Gibson	1	8,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 167
Giles	1	5,889,280	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 203
Greene	1	18,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 296
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 461
Hamilton	5	38,285,447	2.1%	81.7%	\$ 130
Henry	2	21,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 698
Hickman	2	8,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 376
Houston	1	10,000,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 1,268
Jackson	1	1,500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 156
Johnson	1	9,578,323	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 572
Knox	12	146,500,000	8.2%	100.0%	\$ 390
Lincoln	1	3,200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 107
Loudon	2	11,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 276
Marion	3	33,500,000	1.9%	62.7%	\$ 1,245
Marshall	1	7,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 265
Maury	3	33,000,000	1.8%	100.0%	\$ 468
Monroe	1	6,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 169
Montgomery	3	44,407,312	2.5%	100.0%	\$ 343
Obion	1	4,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 124
Overton	1	14,500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 738
Perry	1	7,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 992
Polk	1	8,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 563
Putnam	3	27,075,000	1.5%	99.7%	\$ 453
Rhea	2	16,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 587

Table D-14a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Roane	2	7,000,000	0.4%	42.9%	\$ 140
Rutherford	14	242,666,600	13.6%	100.0%	\$ 1,416
Scott	2	17,225,000	1.0%	17.4%	\$ 851
Sequatchie	2	1,100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 101
Sevier	5	55,000,000	3.1%	45.5%	\$ 836
Shelby	17	162,313,976	9.1%	18.5%	\$ 186
Smith	3	25,781,700	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 1,537
Stewart	1	17,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 1,446
Sumner	6	68,216,585	3.8%	0.0%	\$ 541
Trousdale	1	4,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 574
Union	1	400,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 24
Wayne	2	12,481,836	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 760
White	1	2,000,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 87
Williamson	4	67,700,000	3.8%	100.0%	\$ 547
Wilson	4	40,200,000	2.2%	84.8%	\$ 465
Statewide	171	\$ 1,787,761,489	100.0%	57.6%	\$ 326

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-14b: New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development

		Con	ceptual		P	lanning a	and Desig	n	Construction			
County	Nu	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Anderson	2	100.0%	\$ 5.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%
Blount	4	66.7%	69.0	75.6%	1	16.7%	9.0	9.9%	1	16.7%	13.3	14.5%
Bradley	2	40.0%	12.3	21.2%	2	40.0%	27.0	46.3%	1	20.0%	19.0	32.6%
Campbell	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.2	100.0%
Cannon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	20.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carroll	1	50.0%	6.0	49.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	6.2	50.8%
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%
Claiborne	2	100.0%	25.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cocke	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	32.5	100.0%
Coffee	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Crockett	2	100.0%	7.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	2	100.0%	15.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	3	15.8%	19.1	14.6%	11	57.9%	76.9	58.9%	5	26.3%	34.6	26.5%
Dickson	2	66.7%	27.0	54.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	23.0	46.0%
Dyer	1	50.0%	19.0	63.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	11.0	36.7%
Fayette	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	15.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Franklin	1	50.0%	23.0	50.0%	1	50.0%	23.0	50.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Gibson	1	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Giles	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	1	100.0%	18.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	25.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamilton	1	20.0%	7.0	18.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	80.0%	31.3	81.7%
Henry	2	100.0%	21.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-14b: (continued)

		Con	ceptual		Р	lanning a	and Desig	n	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Num	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in i	millions]	
Hickman	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	
Houston	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	
Jackson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	
Johnson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	9.6	100.0%	
Knox	12	100.0%	146.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Loudon	2	100.0%	11.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marion	1	33.3%	12.5	37.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	21.0	62.7%	
Marshall	1	100.0%	7.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	1	33.3%	11.0	33.3%	1	33.3%	11.0	33.3%	1	33.3%	11.0	33.3%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	1	33.3%	7.5	16.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	36.9	83.1%	
Obion	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Overton	1	100.0%	14.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Perry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	7.5	100.0%	
Polk	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	8.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	1	33.3%	25.0	92.3%	2	66.7%	2.1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	2	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	2	100.0%	7.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	8	57.1%	133.5	55.0%	5	35.7%	78.2	32.2%	1	7.1%	31.0	12.8%	
Scott	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	14.2	82.6%	1	50.0%	3.0	17.4%	
Sequatchie	1	50.0%	0.5	45.5%	1	50.0%	0.6	54.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	100.0%	55.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	9	52.9%	100.0	61.6%	4	23.5%	31.5	19.4%	4	23.5%	30.9	19.0%	
Smith	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	25.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-14b: (continued)

		Con	ceptual		Р	lanning a	and Desig	n	Construction				
County	Number Cost [in		millions]	Num	Number		Cost [in millions]		nber	Cost [in r	millions]		
Stewart	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	17.0	100.0%	
Sumner	6	100.0%	68.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Trousdale	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Union	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	12.5	100.0%	
White	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Williamson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	24.7	36.5%	3	75.0%	43.0	63.5%	
Wilson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	15.2	37.8%	1	25.0%	25.0	62.2%	
Statewide	78	45.6%	\$ 876.2	49.0%	51	29.8%	\$ 461.7	25.8%	42	24.6%	\$ 449.9	25.2%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials.

### Table D-15a: Non-K12 Education Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Benton	1	\$ 750,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 45
Bradley	1	1,800,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 21
Campbell	1	2,500,000	2.1%	0.0%	\$ 65
Cheatham	1	1,500,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 42
Hickman	1	1,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 47
Lincoln	1	4,000,000	3.3%	0.0%	\$ 134
Marion	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 7
Marshall	1	125,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Overton	1	1,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 51
Scott	1	500,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 25
Shelby	1	4,600,000	3.9%	100.0%	\$ 5
Sullivan	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 0
Warren	2	101,400,000	84.9%	1.4%	\$ 2,784
Statewide	14	\$ 119,425,000	100.0%	6.1%	\$ 22

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-15b: Non K-12 Public Education Projects by County and by Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

	Conceptual				F	Planning a	nd Desig	jn	Construction				
County	Nui	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Benton	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$ 0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	
Bradley	1	100.0%	1.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Campbell	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cheatham	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hickman	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%	
Marion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marshall	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Overton	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Scott	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Warren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	101.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	5	35.7%	\$ 5.8	4.9%	7	50.0%	\$109.5	91.7%	2	14.3%	\$ 4.1	3.5%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-16a: Public School System-wide Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost		Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Blount	1	\$ 250,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 2
Cocke	1	170,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 5
Davidson	7	57,463,000	77.3%	98.3%	\$ 108
Dickson	1	123,881	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 3
Dyer	1	150,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 4
Gibson	3	780,000	1.0%	35.9%	\$ 16
Hamblen	1	300,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 6
Hamilton	1	2,809,603	3.8%	100.0%	\$ 10
Hardeman	1	166,391	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 7
Henry	2	500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 17
Johnson	1	225,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 13
Loudon	1	50,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1
Macon	1	500,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 27
Madison	1	520,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 6
Maury	1	2,000,000	2.7%	0.0%	\$ 28
McMinn	2	350,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 8
Meigs	1	85,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 8
Morgan	1	200,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 11
Polk	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 10
Roane	1	500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 10
Scott	2	5,100,000	6.9%	98.0%	\$ 252
Sevier	1	1,000,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 15
Washington	1	350,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 3
Weakley	1	600,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 18
Statewide	35	\$ 74,342,875	100.0%	90.0%	\$ 14

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16b: Public School System-wide Projects by County and by Stage of Development

		Con	ceptual		F	Planning a	ınd Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	ımber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	
Blount	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$ 0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	
Cocke	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	7	100.0%	57.5	100.0%	
Dickson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Gibson	2	66.7%	0.7	87.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	12.8%	
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.8	100.0%	
Hardeman	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Macon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Madison	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McMinn	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Morgan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	
Polk	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Scott	1	50.0%	0.1	2.0%	1	50.0%	5.0	98.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	
Weakley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	
Statewide	10	28.6%	\$ 4.2	5.7%	11	31.4%	\$ 8.4	11.3%	14	40.0%	\$ 61.7	83.0%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-17a: Recreation Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2000\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Anderson	22	\$ 10,012,000	1.3%	98.9%	\$ 141
Bedford	2	700,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 20
Benton	2	938,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 57
Bledsoe	3	10,155,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 928
Blount	10	3,940,000	0.5%	93.7%	\$ 38
Bradley	3	470,000	0.1%	16.0%	\$ 6
Campbell	9	3,819,800	0.5%	57.2%	\$ 99
Cannon	2	125,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 10
Carroll	8	2,510,000	0.3%	75.7%	\$ 85
Carter	4	980,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Cheatham	4	2,950,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 82
Claiborne	4	1,408,000	0.2%	53.3%	\$ 47
Coffee	5	7,971,000	1.1%	16.3%	\$ 172
Crockett	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Cumberland	5	725,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Davidson	91	143,334,000	19.2%	99.3%	\$ 270
Decatur	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 23
DeKalb	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Dickson	4	3,500,000	0.5%	4.3%	\$ 81
Dyer	1	240,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 7
Fayette	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Fentress	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Franklin	6	2,309,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 61
Gibson	8	5,568,000	0.7%	47.6%	\$ 116
Giles	5	621,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 21
Grainger	4	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 37
Greene	3	2,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 38
Grundy	4	480,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 34
Hamblen	4	4,065,000	0.5%	26.2%	\$ 75
Hamilton	38	13,887,600	1.9%	24.1%	\$ 47
Hancock	3	230,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 34
Hardin	1	5,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 198

Table D-17a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per apita
Hawkins	7	1,740,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 35
Haywood	3	347,500	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 18
Henderson	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Henry	2	350,000	0.0%	85.7%	\$ 12
Hickman	3	675,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 32
Houston	1	175,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 22
Humphreys	3	410,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 24
Jefferson	6	829,000	0.1%	50.5%	\$ 18
Johnson	3	3,430,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 205
Knox	35	59,438,120	8.0%	100.0%	\$ 158
Lake	1	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 25
Lauderdale	1	3,500,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 144
Lawrence	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Lewis	1	1,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 135
Lincoln	4	1,950,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 65
Loudon	6	16,340,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 410
McMinn	4	975,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 21
McNairy	7	1,248,000	0.2%	69.7%	\$ 51
Macon	2	6,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 351
Madison	2	242,484	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Marion	5	921,040	0.1%	98.2%	\$ 34
Marshall	4	3,160,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 120
Maury	6	34,305,000	4.6%	8.0%	\$ 487
Meigs	2	6,200,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 612
Monroe	3	1,250,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 35
Montgomery	30	26,289,000	3.5%	100.0%	\$ 203
Morgan	3	1,550,000	0.2%	80.6%	\$ 83
Obion	4	1,160,000	0.2%	77.6%	\$ 36
Overton	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 8
Perry	1	60,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 8
Polk	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Putnam	5	450,000	0.1%	44.4%	\$ 8
Rhea	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 9

Table D-17a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Roane	9	4,325,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 86
Robertson	5	7,449,000	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 136
Rutherford	12	26,719,310	3.6%	99.7%	\$ 156
Scott	3	7,800,000	1.0%	6.4%	\$ 385
Sequatchie	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 14
Sevier	13	6,314,200	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 96
Shelby	62	155,227,949	20.8%	100.0%	\$ 178
Smith	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 3
Stewart	5	13,455,000	1.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,144
Sullivan	20	8,333,190	1.1%	94.0%	\$ 55
Sumner	11	22,700,000	3.0%	0.0%	\$ 180
Unicoi	6	3,588,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 207
Union	3	1,300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 78
Van Buren	2	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 30
Warren	4	2,510,000	0.3%	9.2%	\$ 69
Washington	20	13,481,000	1.8%	98.5%	\$ 131
Wayne	3	2,050,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 125
Weakley	4	1,300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 39
White	2	600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 26
Williamson	21	37,968,000	5.1%	99.4%	\$ 307
Wilson	7	25,650,000	3.4%	0.0%	\$ 297
Statewide	633	\$ 746,879,193	100.0%	76.0%	\$ 136

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

# **Table D-17b:** Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Cond	eptual		Planning and Design				Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nι	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	
Anderson	2	9.1%	\$ 1.2	11.8%	16	72.7%	\$ 5.6	55.5%	4	18.2%	\$ 3.3	32.7%	
Bedford	1	50.0%	0.1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.6	85.7%	
Benton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	52.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	48.0%	
Bledsoe	2	66.7%	10.1	99.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	0.9%	
Blount	1	10.0%	2.0	50.8%	8	80.0%	1.9	48.0%	1	10.0%	0.1	1.3%	
Bradley	2	66.7%	0.4	84.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	16.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Campbell	4	44.4%	1.4	37.3%	3	33.3%	2.2	58.5%	2	22.2%	0.2	4.2%	
Cannon	1	50.0%	0.1	60.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	40.0%	
Carroll	1	12.5%	0.3	12.0%	4	50.0%	0.7	29.3%	3	37.5%	1.5	58.8%	
Carter	3	75.0%	0.8	81.6%	1	25.0%	0.2	18.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cheatham	4	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Claiborne	3	75.0%	0.9	63.9%	1	25.0%	0.5	36.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Coffee	1	20.0%	5.5	69.0%	2	40.0%	1.0	12.2%	2	40.0%	1.5	18.8%	
Crockett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cumberland	5	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	34	37.4%	43.4	30.3%	13	14.3%	28.4	19.8%	44	48.4%	71.5	49.9%	
Decatur	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
DeKalb	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dickson	3	75.0%	3.4	97.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.1	2.9%	
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Fayette	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Fentress	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	
Franklin	2	33.3%	0.4	15.2%	2	33.3%	1.7	73.6%	2	33.3%	0.3	11.2%	

Table D-17b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nι	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	ımber	Cost [in	millions]	
Gibson	1	12.5%	1.5	26.9%	3	37.5%	2.9	52.4%	4	50.0%	1.1	20.6%	
Giles	2	40.0%	0.2	33.8%	1	20.0%	0.1	8.1%	2	40.0%	0.4	58.1%	
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.1	13.3%	3	75.0%	0.7	86.7%	
Greene	2	66.7%	0.3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2.0	87.0%	
Grundy	4	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamblen	4	100.0%	4.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamilton	3	7.9%	1.5	10.4%	30	78.9%	7.9	57.2%	5	13.2%	4.5	32.4%	
Hancock	2	66.7%	0.2	78.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	21.7%	
Hardin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hawkins	5	71.4%	1.5	86.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0.2	13.8%	
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	38.1%	2	66.7%	0.2	61.9%	
Henderson	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	
Hickman	1	33.3%	0.2	22.2%	1	33.3%	0.2	33.3%	1	33.3%	0.3	44.4%	
Houston	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Humphreys	3	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	83.3%	0.5	63.8%	1	16.7%	0.3	36.2%	
Johnson	2	66.7%	0.6	17.5%	1	33.3%	2.8	82.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	4	11.4%	1.8	2.9%	18	51.4%	34.8	58.5%	13	37.1%	22.9	38.5%	
Lake	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lawrence	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lewis	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lincoln	2	50.0%	1.3	64.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0.7	35.9%	
Loudon	3	50.0%	2.6	15.9%	2	33.3%	3.8	22.9%	1	16.7%	10.0	61.2%	

Table D-17b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	Number Cost		millions]	Nu	ımber	Cost [in	millions]
Macon	1	50.0%	6.0	92.3%	1	50.0%	0.5	7.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Madison	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	69.7%	1	50.0%	0.1	30.3%
Marion	1	20.0%	0.1	10.9%	4	80.0%	0.8	89.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Marshall	3	75.0%	3.1	98.1%	1	25.0%	0.1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Maury	3	50.0%	19.7	57.4%	3	50.0%	14.6	42.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
McMinn	1	25.0%	0.1	10.3%	1	25.0%	0.3	25.6%	2	50.0%	0.6	64.1%
McNairy	4	57.1%	0.7	55.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0.6	44.1%
Meigs	1	50.0%	0.7	11.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	5.5	88.7%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	13	43.3%	14.0	53.3%	3	10.0%	3.5	13.1%	14	46.7%	8.8	33.5%
Morgan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.3	19.4%	2	66.7%	1.3	80.6%
Obion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0.9	77.6%	2	50.0%	0.3	22.4%
Overton	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Perry	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Polk	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Putnam	4	80.0%	0.4	88.9%	1	20.0%	0.1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Rhea	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Roane	1	11.1%	0.1	1.2%	8	88.9%	4.3	98.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Robertson	2	40.0%	1.3	17.5%	2	40.0%	6.0	80.5%	1	20.0%	0.1	2.0%
Rutherford	4	33.3%	9.9	37.0%	4	33.3%	4.1	15.2%	4	33.3%	12.8	47.8%
Scott	1	33.3%	0.5	6.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	7.3	93.6%
Sequatchie	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	2	15.4%	1.0	15.8%	7	53.8%	3.3	51.9%	4	30.8%	2.0	32.3%
Shelby	8	12.9%	6.9	4.5%	37	59.7%	103.1	66.4%	17	27.4%	45.2	29.1%
Smith	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-17b: (continued)

		Conceptual				Planning	and Desig	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Stewart	5	100.0%	13.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	10	50.0%	2.8	33.4%	10	50.0%	5.6	66.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	1	9.1%	1.0	4.4%	6	54.5%	17.8	78.4%	4	36.4%	3.9	17.2%	
Unicoi	1	16.7%	0.2	5.6%	4	66.7%	2.4	66.6%	1	16.7%	1.0	27.9%	
Union	2	66.7%	0.6	46.2%	1	33.3%	0.7	53.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Van Buren	2	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Warren	3	75.0%	0.5	20.3%	1	25.0%	2.0	79.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	5	25.0%	3.0	21.9%	14	70.0%	9.4	70.0%	1	5.0%	1.1	8.2%	
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	2.4%	2	66.7%	2.0	97.6%	
Weakley	3	75.0%	1.1	84.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.2	15.4%	
White	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	
Williamson	6	28.6%	10.9	28.7%	4	19.0%	10.0	26.3%	11	52.4%	17.1	45.1%	
Wilson	6	85.7%	24.4	95.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1.3	4.9%	
Statewide	209	33.0%	\$ 214.2	28.7%	244	38.5%	\$ 297.7	39.9%	180	28.4%	\$ 235.0	31.5%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-18a: Library and Museum Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

0	Number of	Total	Percent of	Percent	st Per
County	Projects	<b>Estimated Cost</b>			apita
Bedford	1	\$ 4,500,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 129
Bradley	1	3,500,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 42
Cannon	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Cheatham	1	1,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 28
Cumberland	3	2,200,000	0.7%	90.9%	\$ 49
Davidson	16	198,545,000	63.6%	100.0%	\$ 375
DeKalb	1	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 46
Dickson	1	500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 12
Fentress	2	225,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 14
Greene	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 4
Grundy	1	85,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Hamblen	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Hamilton	3	2,250,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 8
Hardeman	2	875,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 36
Hardin	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 40
Hickman	1	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 35
Humphreys	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 23
Jackson	2	800,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 83
Johnson	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 12
Knox	8	27,553,145	8.8%	100.0%	\$ 73
Loudon	1	750,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 19
Macon	2	450,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 24
Madison	2	1,170,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 13
Marion	2	550,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 20
Monroe	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Montgomery	1	242,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Overton	1	2,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 102
Pickett	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 64
Putnam	2	650,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 11
Roane	2	460,000	0.1%	13.0%	\$ 9
Rutherford	1	3,000,000	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 18
Sevier	2	2,900,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 44

Table D-18a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Shelby	11	44,388,645	14.2%	100.0%	\$ 51
Smith	2	350,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 21
Stewart	1	500,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 43
Sullivan	2	211,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Sumner	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Van Buren	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 40
Warren	1	1,400,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 38
Washington	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 4
Wayne	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
White	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Williamson	1	5,500,000	1.8%	100.0%	\$ 44
Statewide	90	\$ 312,079,790	100.0%	92.2%	\$ 57

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-18b: Libraries and Museums Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

Construction Conceptual Planning and Design Number Cost [in millions] Cost [in millions] Cost [in millions] County Number Number 0.0% 0.0% \$ 100.0% \$ 4.5 100.0% 0.0% \$ 0.0 **Bedford** 0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 100.0% 3.5 100.0% Bradlev 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% Cannon 1 100.0% 0.1 100.0% 0.0 0.0 0.0 Cheatham 0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 1.0 0.0 Cumberland 2 66.7% 2.1 95.5% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 1 33.3% 0.1 4.5% Davidson 2 12.5% 11.2 5.6% 2 12.5% 0.5 0.3% 12 75.0% 186.8 94.1% DeKalb 1 100.0% 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 8.0 0.0 0.0 Dickson 100.0% 0.5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% **Fentress** 2 100.0% 0.2 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0.0 Greene 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 0.0 1 100.0% 0.3 100.0% Grundy 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0.1 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 0 0.0% Hamblen 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0% 2 66.7% 2.2 97.8% 0 0.0% 0.0% 1 33.3% 2.2% Hamilton 0.0 0.1 Hardeman 100.0% 0.9 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0.0 Hardin 0 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 1.0 0.0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% Hickman 1 100.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 8.0 0.0 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 1 100.0% 0.4 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Humphreys 100.0% 0 0 Jackson 8.0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1 0 Johnson 100.0% 0.2 100.0% 0.0 0.0 2.1 7.7% Knox 1 12.5% 62.5% 21.4 77.6% 2 25.0% 4.0 14.7% 0 0 Loudon 1 100.0% 8.0 100.0% 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Macon 2 100.0% 0.5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% 100.0% 0.0% 0.0% Madison 100.0% 1.2 0 0.0 0 0.0% 0.0 0.0%

Table D-18b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual			Planning	and Desig	gn	Construction				
County	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Marion	1	50.0%	0.3	54.5%	1	50.0%	0.3	45.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	
Overton	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Pickett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	1	50.0%	0.1	13.0%	1	50.0%	0.4	87.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2.9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	45.5%	16.2	36.5%	6	54.5%	28.2	63.5%	
Smith	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Stewart	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	1	50.0%	0.1	31.8%	1	50.0%	0.1	68.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sumner	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Van Buren	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Warren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
White	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Williamson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	5.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	36	40.0%	\$ 31.8	10.2%	28	31.1%	\$ 57.0	18.3%	26	28.9%	\$223.3	71.5%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

## **Table D-19a: Community Development Projects by County**

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost		Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per apita
Carroll	1	\$ 2,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 68
Davidson	14	125,925,000	81.5%	100.0%	\$ 238
Greene	1	51,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Hamilton	5	6,250,000	4.0%	0.0%	\$ 21
Hardin	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 12
Hawkins	1	70,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Knox	1	4,800,000	3.1%	100.0%	\$ 13
Maury	1	2,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 28
Meigs	1	337,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 33
Sevier	2	2,980,000	1.9%	100.0%	\$ 45
Shelby	4	9,674,010	6.3%	100.0%	\$ 11
Sullivan	1	126,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Statewide I	33	\$ 154,513,010	100.0%	92.9%	\$ 28

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19b: Community Development Projects by County and Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conce	eptual		Р	lanning a	nd E	Design		Construction				
County	Nun	nber	Cost [in	millions]	Nun	nber	Cost [in millions]		millions]	Number		Cost [in I	millions]	
Carroll	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	1	7.1%	1.5	1.2%	1	7.1%		2.6	2.1%	12	85.7%	121.8	96.7%	
Greene	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamilton	1	20.0%	0.1	2.0%	3	60.0%		2.6	42.0%	1	20.0%	3.5	56.0%	
Hardin	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hawkins	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.8	100.0%	
Maury	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Meigs	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	2	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%		5.4	56.1%	2	50.0%	4.2	43.9%	
Sullivan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%		0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	8	24.2%	\$ 7.0	4.5%	9	27.3%	\$	13.1	8.5%	16	48.5%	\$ 134.4	87.0%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-20a: Public Building Projects by County**Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Anderson	4	\$ 1,898,200	0.3%	73.7%	\$ 27
Bledsoe	1	250,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 23
Blount	8	37,700,200	5.2%	42.4%	\$ 367
Bradley	6	14,650,000	2.0%	0.0%	\$ 174
Campbell	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Cannon	2	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 16
Carroll	4	2,125,000	0.3%	64.7%	\$ 72
Carter	1	180,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Cheatham	8	11,600,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 321
Chester	2	5,000,000	0.7%	60.0%	\$ 336
Claiborne	2	600,000	0.1%	83.3%	\$ 20
Cocke	2	1,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 31
Coffee	2	3,800,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 82
Cumberland	10	4,125,000	0.6%	10.1%	\$ 91
Davidson	32	95,337,000	13.2%	100.0%	\$ 180
Decatur	2	2,070,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 192
DeKalb	5	5,725,000	0.8%	34.9%	\$ 354
Dickson	3	8,750,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 203
Dyer	5	11,819,400	1.6%	96.2%	\$ 322
Fayette	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Fentress	2	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 15
Franklin	3	570,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Gibson	6	4,000,000	0.6%	65.0%	\$ 83
Giles	1	3,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 103
Grainger	2	1,370,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 68
Greene	2	425,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Hamblen	4	22,500,000	3.1%	33.3%	\$ 415
Hamilton	9	118,100,000	16.4%	0.0%	\$ 401
Hancock	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Hawkins	5	7,150,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 143
Haywood	1	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 39
Henry	1	321,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 11

Table D-20a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Hickman	1	1,500,000	0.2%	63.2%	\$ 70
Houston	3	1,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 127
Humphreys	3	950,000	0.1%	7.8%	\$ 55
Jackson	3	1,025,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 106
Jefferson	5	1,924,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 43
Johnson	3	750,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 45
Knox	14	168,625,475	23.4%	63.2%	\$ 448
Lauderdale	2	1,675,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 69
Lewis	1	800,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 72
Lincoln	4	5,260,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 177
Loudon	6	10,430,000	1.4%	57.8%	\$ 261
Macon	1	2,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 108
Madison	1	70,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Marion	3	1,725,000	0.2%	43.5%	\$ 64
Maury	2	156,000	0.0%	67.9%	\$ 2
McMinn	6	5,200,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 112
McNairy	2	1,300,000	0.2%	23.1%	\$ 53
Monroe	2	1,107,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 31
Montgomery	7	3,060,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 24
Morgan	2	350,000	0.0%	71.4%	\$ 19
Obion	5	2,400,000	0.3%	10.4%	\$ 74
Overton	1	2,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 102
Pickett	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 11
Polk	2	1,400,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 93
Putnam	12	8,475,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 142
Rhea	2	2,600,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 92
Roane	4	2,450,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 49
Robertson	3	910,000	0.1%	54.9%	\$ 17
Rutherford	2	1,150,000	0.2%	65.2%	\$ 7
Scott	1	600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 30
Sevier	10	8,217,137	1.1%	87.0%	\$ 125
Shelby	18	46,723,692	6.5%	100.0%	\$ 54

Table D-20a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Smith	5	5,975,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 356
Stewart	2	450,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 38
Sullivan	10	5,471,000	0.8%	92.2%	\$ 36
Sumner	7	8,950,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 71
Trousdale	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Unicoi	2	650,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 38
Union	2	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 30
Van Buren	3	1,350,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 270
Warren	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Washington	8	23,195,000	3.2%	100.0%	\$ 226
Wayne	2	575,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 35
Weakley	3	5,550,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 168
White	2	850,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 37
Williamson	4	11,265,000	1.6%	100.0%	\$ 91
Wilson	3	4,300,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 50
Statewide	326	\$ 721,455,104	100.0%	58.0%	\$ 132

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

**Table D-20b: Public Building Projects by County and by Stage of Development**Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conceptual			!	Planning a	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Anderson	1	25.0%	\$ 0.5	26.3%	1	25.0%	\$ 0.5	26.3%	2	50.0%	\$ 0.9	47.3%	
Bledsoe	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Blount	1	12.5%	0.5	1.3%	6	75.0%	35.0	92.8%	1	12.5%	2.2	5.8%	
Bradley	2	33.3%	6.0	41.0%	4	66.7%	8.7	59.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Campbell	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cannon	1	50.0%	0.1	25.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	75.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Carroll	3	75.0%	0.9	43.5%	1	25.0%	1.2	56.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cheatham	6	75.0%	5.1	44.0%	2	25.0%	6.5	56.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Chester	1	50.0%	2.0	40.0%	1	50.0%	3.0	60.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Claiborne	1	50.0%	0.1	16.7%	1	50.0%	0.5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cocke	1	50.0%	0.2	20.0%	1	50.0%	0.8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Coffee	2	100.0%	3.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Cumberland	3	30.0%	2.2	53.3%	2	20.0%	0.4	10.8%	5	50.0%	1.5	35.9%	
Davidson	5	15.6%	11.5	12.0%	2	6.3%	3.1	3.3%	25	78.1%	80.8	84.7%	
Decatur	2	100.0%	2.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
DeKalb	4	80.0%	3.7	65.1%	1	20.0%	2.0	34.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dickson	3	100.0%	8.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	80.0%	9.3	78.8%	1	20.0%	2.5	21.2%	
Fayette	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	41.8%	1	50.0%	0.3	58.2%	
Fentress	2	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Franklin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Gibson	5	83.3%	1.9	47.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2.1	52.5%	

Table D-20b: (continued)

		Conceptual			ļ	Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Giles	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Greene	1	50.0%	0.1	17.6%	1	50.0%	0.4	82.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamblen	1	25.0%	5.0	22.2%	2	50.0%	15.0	66.7%	1	25.0%	2.5	11.1%	
Hamilton	4	44.4%	12.3	10.4%	4	44.4%	105.5	89.3%	1	11.1%	0.3	0.3%	
Hancock	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hawkins	4	80.0%	6.5	90.2%	1	20.0%	0.7	9.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	
Hickman	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Houston	1	33.3%	0.2	20.0%	2	66.7%	0.8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Humphreys	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.7	68.4%	1	33.3%	0.3	31.6%	
Jackson	1	33.3%	0.4	39.0%	1	33.3%	0.5	48.8%	1	33.3%	0.1	12.2%	
Jefferson	1	20.0%	0.1	4.2%	2	40.0%	0.2	12.0%	2	40.0%	1.6	83.9%	
Johnson	2	66.7%	0.4	46.7%	1	33.3%	0.4	53.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	21.4%	9.2	5.5%	11	78.6%	159.4	94.5%	
Lauderdale	1	50.0%	0.1	6.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1.6	94.0%	
Lewis	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Lincoln	1	25.0%	0.2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	5.1	96.2%	
Loudon	3	50.0%	3.8	36.7%	2	33.3%	3.2	30.7%	1	16.7%	3.4	32.6%	
Macon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Madison	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marion	3	100.0%	1.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	1	50.0%	0.1	32.1%	1	50.0%	0.1	67.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
McMinn	4	66.7%	4.5	86.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0.7	13.5%	

Table D-20b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Planning a	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.3	23.1%	1	50.0%	1.0	76.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Montgomery	2	28.6%	0.6	18.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	71.4%	2.5	82.0%	
Morgan	1	50.0%	0.1	28.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	71.4%	
Obion	3	60.0%	2.0	84.4%	2	40.0%	0.4	15.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Overton	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Polk	2	100.0%	1.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	9	75.0%	5.8	68.7%	3	25.0%	2.7	31.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	1	50.0%	2.0	76.9%	1	50.0%	0.6	23.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	1	25.0%	0.5	20.4%	3	75.0%	2.0	79.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Robertson	2	66.7%	0.7	71.4%	1	33.3%	0.3	28.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	1	50.0%	0.4	34.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.8	65.2%	
Scott	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	3	30.0%	1.7	20.3%	6	60.0%	5.6	67.6%	1	10.0%	1.0	12.2%	
Shelby	1	5.6%	2.3	4.9%	9	50.0%	7.6	16.3%	8	44.4%	36.8	78.7%	
Smith	3	60.0%	5.6	93.3%	2	40.0%	0.4	6.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Stewart	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	1	10.0%	0.5	8.3%	6	60.0%	4.6	84.4%	3	30.0%	0.4	7.3%	
Sumner	4	57.1%	5.7	63.7%	3	42.9%	3.3	36.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Trousdale	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Unicoi	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Union	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Van Buren	1	33.3%	0.1	7.4%	1	33.3%	0.5	37.0%	1	33.3%	0.8	55.6%	
Warren	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-20b: (continued)

		Conc	eptual		I	Planning	and Desig	gn	Construction				
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Nu	Number		Cost [in millions]		mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Washington	3	37.5%	3.7	16.1%	2	25.0%	0.2	0.9%	3	37.5%	19.3	83.0%	
Wayne	1	50.0%	0.5	87.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	13.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Weakley	3	100.0%	5.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
White	1	50.0%	0.1	11.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.8	88.2%	
Williamson	1	25.0%	0.9	7.5%	2	50.0%	10.1	89.5%	1	25.0%	0.3	2.9%	
Wilson	3	100.0%	4.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Statewide	131	40.2%	\$137.3	19.0%	109	33.4%	\$255.8	35.5%	86	26.4%	\$328.3	45.5%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21a: Other Facility Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Blount	2	\$ 700,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 7
Bradley	2	11,850,000	14.0%	40.9%	\$ 141
Campbell	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 5
Cheatham	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 3
Coffee	1	72,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Davidson	12	41,795,000	49.5%	100.0%	\$ 79
Franklin	1	500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 13
Greene	1	200,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 3
Henry	1	150,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 5
Knox	1	500,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 1
Lawrence	1	900,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 23
Madison	1	2,500,000	3.0%	100.0%	\$ 29
McMinn	2	2,000,000	2.4%	0.0%	\$ 43
Morgan	1	300,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 16
Putnam	1	75,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1
Rhea	2	3,300,000	3.9%	0.0%	\$ 117
Scott	1	3,500,000	4.1%	100.0%	\$ 173
Sevier	1	75,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Shelby	7	12,602,802	14.9%	100.0%	\$ 14
Sullivan	4	750,000	0.9%	46.7%	\$ 5
Unicoi	1	150,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 9
Washington	1	235,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 2
Williamson	1	2,000,000	2.4%	100.0%	\$ 16
Statewide	47	\$ 84,454,802	100.0%	82.0%	\$ 15

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

# Table D-21b: Other Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

	Conceptual				F	Planning a	nd Desig	gn	Construction			
County	Nui	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nui	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Number		Cost [in millions	
Blount	0	0.0%	\$ 0.5	0.0%	1	50.0%	\$ 0.0	64.3%	1	50.0%	\$ 0.3	35.7%
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	40.9%	1	50.0%	7.0	59.1%
Campbell	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Coffee	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%
Davidson	1	8.3%	0.0	0.4%	1	8.3%	2.5	3.6%	10	83.3%	40.1	96.0%
Franklin	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	1.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henry	0	0.0%	0.1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.3	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%
Lawrence	0	0.0%	3.5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.9	100.0%
Madison	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
McMinn	0	0.0%	7.7	0.0%	1	50.0%	4.5	50.0%	1	50.0%	1.0	50.0%
Morgan	0	0.0%	0.5	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Putnam	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Rhea	0	0.0%	0.2	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Scott	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	2.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.5	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Shelby	1	14.3%	0.0	61.2%	5	71.4%	0.2	35.3%	1	14.3%	0.4	3.5%
Sullivan	3	75.0%	0.0	66.7%	1	25.0%	0.0	33.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Unicoi	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Washington	1	100.0%	0.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Williamson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Statewide	12	25.5%	\$ 13.2	15.6%	17	36.2%	\$ 20.9	24.7%	18	38.3%	\$ 50.4	59.7%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

## **Table D-22a: Property Acquisition Projects by County**

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost		Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 1,800,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 25
Campbell	1	300,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 8
Davidson	6	45,056,000	53.9%	100.0%	\$ 85
Knox	1	2,400,000	2.9%	100.0%	\$ 6
Loudon	1	3,118,000	3.7%	0.0%	\$ 78
Montgomery	8	29,137,130	34.8%	100.0%	\$ 225
Sequatchie	1	325,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 30
Sevier	1	1,000,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 15
Williamson	3	530,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 4
Statewide	23	\$ 83,666,130	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 15

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-22b: Property Acquisition Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 to 2004\*

		Conc	eptual			Planning a	and Desig	gn	Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in millions]		Nu	Number		Cost [in millions]		nber	Cost [in millions	
Anderson	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	\$ 1.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%
Campbell	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	2	33.3%	6.5	14.4%	2	33.3%	15.2	33.6%	2	33.3%	23.4	51.9%
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.1	100.0%
Montgomery	1	12.5%	0.1	0.2%	1	12.5%	0.3	0.9%	6	75.0%	28.8	99.0%
Sequatchie	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Williamson	1	33.3%	0.2	37.7%	1	33.3%	0.3	52.8%	1	33.3%	0.1	9.4%
Statewide	6	26.1%	\$ 7.4	8.8%	7	30.4%	\$ 20.9	25.0%	10	43.5%	\$ 55.4	66.2%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-23a: Industrial Site and Park Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Anderson	5	\$ 12,200,000	3.3%	54.9%	\$ 172
Bedford	1	6,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 172
Bledsoe	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 137
Blount	3	11,000,000	3.0%	63.6%	\$ 107
Bradley	3	2,256,500	0.6%	5.5%	\$ 27
Campbell	6	2,780,000	0.7%	7.2%	\$ 72
Cannon	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 163
Carroll	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 34
Carter	2	650,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 12
Cheatham	4	7,100,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 197
Clay	1	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 103
Cocke	2	4,200,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 130
Coffee	3	11,380,000	3.1%	0.0%	\$ 245
Cumberland	3	5,000,000	1.3%	90.0%	\$ 110
Decatur	2	3,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 324
DeKalb	2	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 124
Dickson	2	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 23
Dyer	1	150,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 4
Fayette	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 48
Franklin	2	610,145	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 16
Gibson	5	2,000,000	0.5%	62.5%	\$ 42
Giles	2	4,300,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 148
Grainger	2	1,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 64
Grundy	2	1,980,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 141
Hamblen	2	12,500,000	3.4%	0.0%	\$ 231
Hamilton	4	36,600,000	9.8%	95.6%	\$ 124
Hardeman	2	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 61
Hardin	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 20
Hawkins	2	1,260,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 25
Haywood	2	1,725,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 89
Henry	1	700,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 23
Hickman	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 94

Table D-23a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	st Per apita
Humphreys	8	10,350,000	2.8%	0.0%	\$ 602
Jackson	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 26
Jefferson	2	1,200,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 27
Johnson	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 60
Knox	1	800,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 2
Lake	1	130,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 16
Lawrence	3	\$ 4,800,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 121
Lewis	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 90
Lincoln	2	3,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 101
Loudon	4	12,600,000	3.4%	0.0%	\$ 316
Macon	2	2,575,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 139
Marion	5	1,872,600	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 70
Marshall	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 76
Maury	5	21,900,000	5.9%	0.0%	\$ 311
McMinn	3	6,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 129
McNairy	2	820,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 34
Meigs	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 39
Monroe	5	5,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 141
Montgomery	3	17,168,800	4.6%	100.0%	\$ 133
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 195
Morgan	2	3,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 187
Obion	1	400,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 12
Pickett	2	650,000	0.2%	46.2%	\$ 138
Polk	5	2,515,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 167
Putnam	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 33
Rhea	3	2,850,000	0.8%	26.3%	\$ 101
Roane	1	13,000,000	3.5%	0.0%	\$ 260
Robertson	2	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 18
Rutherford	1	10,000,000	2.7%	0.0%	\$ 58
Scott	3	14,416,547	3.9%	72.3%	\$ 712
Sequatchie	2	1,005,450	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 93
Shelby	2	18,900,000	5.1%	100.0%	\$ 22
Smith	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 60

Table D-23a: (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Stewart	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 170
Sullivan	4	7,467,000	2.0%	91.4%	\$ 50
Sumner	3	1,200,000	0.3%	41.7%	\$ 10
Unicoi	2	3,750,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 217
Union	2	3,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 211
Van Buren	2	1,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 260
Warren	1	520,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 14
Washington	2	6,000,000	1.6%	100.0%	\$ 58
Wayne	2	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Weakley	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Wilson	5	37,500,000	10.1%	0.0%	\$ 434
Statewide	176	\$372,132,042	100.0%	31.6%	\$ 68

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

# Table D23b: Industrial Site and Park Projects by County and of Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004

	Conceptual				Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nui	mber	Cost [in million	
Anderson	1	20.0%	\$ 5.0	41.0%	1	20.0%	\$ 0.5	4.1%	3	60.0%	\$ 6.7	54.9%
Bedford	1	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Bledsoe	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Blount	1	33.3%	4.0	36.4%	1	33.3%	4.0	36.4%	1	33.3%	3.0	27.3%
Bradley	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	2.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Campbell	3	50.0%	1.7	59.4%	3	50.0%	1.1	40.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cannon	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carroll	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Carter	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cheatham	4	100.0%	7.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Clay	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cocke	2	100.0%	4.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Coffee	2	66.7%	4.4	38.5%	1	33.3%	7.0	61.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	2	66.7%	4.5	90.0%	1	33.3%	0.5	10.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Decatur	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
DeKalb	2	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dickson	2	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fayette	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Franklin	1	50.0%	0.1	14.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	86.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Gibson	3	60.0%	1.3	62.5%	2	40.0%	0.8	37.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Giles	1	50.0%	2.0	46.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2.3	53.5%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.3	100.0%

Table D23b: (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in millions	
Grundy	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.8	40.4%	1	50.0%	1.2	59.6%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	4.0%	1	50.0%	12.0	96.0%
Hamilton	1	25.0%	3.0	8.2%	1	25.0%	1.6	4.4%	2	50.0%	32.0	87.4%
Hardeman	2	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hawkins	1	50.0%	1.0	79.4%	1	50.0%	0.3	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1.2	71.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	29.0%
Henry	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hickman	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Humphreys	6	75.0%	6.2	59.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	25.0%	4.2	40.6%
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jefferson	1	50.0%	0.2	16.7%	1	50.0%	1.0	83.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Johnson	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lawrence	2	66.7%	3.3	68.8%	1	33.3%	1.5	31.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lewis	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lincoln	2	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	5.1	40.5%	1	25.0%	7.5	59.5%
Macon	1	50.0%	2.0	77.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.6	22.3%
Marion	1	20.0%	0.4	18.7%	3	60.0%	1.3	71.7%	1	20.0%	0.2	9.6%
Marshall	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Maury	1	20.0%	0.5	2.3%	4	80.0%	21.4	97.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	6.0	100.0%
McNairy	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.4	51.2%	1	50.0%	0.4	48.8%

Table D23b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nur	nber	Cost [in	millions]	
Meigs	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	
Monroe	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	80.0%	4.0	80.0%	1	20.0%	1.0	20.0%	
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.6	3.5%	2	66.7%	16.6	96.5%	
Moore	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Morgan	1	50.0%	2.5	71.4%	1	50.0%	1.0	28.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Obion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Pickett	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Polk	2	40.0%	0.6	24.9%	1	20.0%	1.5	59.6%	2	40.0%	0.4	15.5%	
Putnam	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	1	33.3%	0.9	29.8%	2	66.7%	2.0	70.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	13.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Robertson	2	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	10.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Scott	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	14.4	100.0%	
Sequatchie	1	50.0%	0.8	74.6%	1	50.0%	0.3	25.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	6.1	32.3%	1	50.0%	12.8	67.7%	
Smith	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Stewart	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sullivan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	7.0	94.1%	1	25.0%	0.4	5.9%	
Sumner	3	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Unicoi	2	100.0%	3.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Union	1	50.0%	2.0	57.1%	1	50.0%	1.5	42.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Van Buren	1	50.0%	0.8	61.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.5	38.5%	
Warren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Washington	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	6.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D23b: (continued)

	Conceptual					Planning	and Desi	gn	Construction				
County	Number Cost [in mil		millions]	Number		Cost [in millions]		Nur	nber	Cost [in million			
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	66.7%	1	50.0%	0.1	33.3%	
Weakley	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Wilson	4	80.0%	36.5	97.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1.0	2.7%	
Statewide	77	43.8%	\$ 130.2	35.0%	64	36.4%	\$ 116.4	31.3%	35	19.9%	\$125.5	33.7%	

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

## **Table D-24a: Business District Development Projects by County**

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP\* – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*\*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	ost Per Capita
Bledsoe	1	\$ 800,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 73
Chester	1	1,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 67
Claiborne	1	1,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 34
Davidson	8	52,230,000	31.4%	100.0%	\$ 99
Dyer	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Fayette	1	312,500	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 10
Hamblen	1	500,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 9
Hamilton	1	1,500,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 5
Hardeman	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Hawkins	1	550,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 11
Haywood	2	750,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 39
Houston	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Knox	6	29,974,000	18.0%	100.0%	\$ 0
Loudon	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 8
Marion	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 19
Maury	1	300,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 4
McNairy	2	928,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 38
Obion	1	600,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 19
Putnam	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Rhea	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 18
Rutherford	1	3,000,000	1.8%	100.0%	\$ 18
Sevier	2	350,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 5
Shelby	2	13,200,000	7.9%	100.0%	\$ 15
Sullivan	2	200,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Washington	6	57,391,000	34.5%	100.0%	\$ 558
Wayne	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Statewide	48	\$ 166,210,500	100.0%	95.4%	\$ 30

<sup>\*</sup> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

<sup>\*\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-24b: Business District Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development Number and Estimated Cost – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

		Conc	eptual			Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [ir	n millions]	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	
Bledsoe	1	100.0%	\$ 0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$ 0.0	0.0%	
Chester	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Claiborne	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Davidson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	8	100.0%	52.2	100.0%	
Dyer	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Fayette	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hardeman	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Hawkins	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Haywood	1	50.0%	0.5	66.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	33.3%	
Houston	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Knox	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	33.3%	2.5	8.3%	4	66.7%	27.5	91.7%	
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Marion	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Maury	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.1	5.4%	1	50.0%	0.9	94.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Obion	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Putnam	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rhea	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Rutherford	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	

Table D-24-b: (continued)

		Conc	ceptual Planning and Design Construction					Planning and Design				
County	Nu	mber	Cost [in	millions]	Nui	mber	Cost [ir	n millions]	Nui	nber	Cost [in	millions]
Shelby	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	3.5	26.5%	1	50.0%	9.7	73.5%
Sullivan	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Washington	2	33.3%	16.0	27.9%	3	50.0%	39.0	67.9%	1	16.7%	2.4	4.3%
Wayne	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Statewide	17	35.4%	\$ 25.5	15.4%	15	31.3%	\$ 48.3	29.0%	16	33.3%	\$ 92.4	55.6%

<sup>\*</sup>As reported by local government officials. Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-25a: Infrastructure Improvement Needs by County Ranked by Total Cost
- Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

County	Total Estimated			Percent	Cost Per
County	Cost	of Total	Population	of Total	Capita
Davidson	\$ 2,796,962,180	15.4%	530,050	9.7%	\$ 5,277
Shelby	2,012,586,556	11.1%	873,000	15.9%	\$ 2,305
Knox	1,256,809,470	6.9%	376,039	6.9%	\$ 3,342
Hamilton	1,161,602,688	6.4%	294,720	5.4%	\$ 3,941
Rutherford	673,993,192	3.7%	171,401	3.1%	\$ 3,932
Sumner	505,499,915	2.8%	126,009	2.3%	\$ 4,012
Wilson	492,844,500	2.7%	86,496	1.6%	\$ ,698
Montgomery	423,561,981	2.3%	129,411	2.4%	\$ 3273
Blount	422,170,400	2.3%	102,785	1.9%	\$ 4,07
Dickson	387,237,131	2.1%	43,017	0.8%	\$ 9,02
Williamson	366,797,957	2.0%	123,793	2.3%	\$ 2,963
Washington	320,530,500	1.8%	102,814	1.9%	\$ 3,118
Sullivan	311,026,315	1.7%	150,231	2.7%	\$ 2,070
Polk	306,784,250	1.7%	15,094	0.3%	\$ 0,325
Bradley	284,172,000	1.6%	84,126	1.5%	\$ 3,378
Loudon	241,808,025	1.3%	39,892	0.7%	\$ 6,062
Putnam	235,875,733	1.3%	59,735	1.1%	\$ 3,949
Sevier	216,753,338	1.2%	65,783	1.2%	\$ 3,295
Warren	193,921,645	1.1%	36,421	0.7%	\$ 5,324
Hamblen	182,558,461	1.0%	54,201	1.0%	\$ 3,368
Stewart	180,854,000	1.0%	11,759	0.2%	\$ 15,380
Bedford	177,945,000	1.0%	34,905	0.6%	\$ 5,098
Cumberland	172,701,351	0.9%	45,326	0.8%	\$ 3,810
Morgan	168,770,650	0.9%	18,689	0.3%	\$ 9,030
Anderson	167,716,530	0.9%	71,004	1.3%	\$ 2,362
Robertson	167,277,000	0.9%	54,861	1.0%	\$ 3,049
Hickman	150,380,000	0.8%	21,283	0.4%	\$ 7,066
Hawkins	147,735,802	0.8%	50,109	0.9%	\$ 2,948
Cheatham	145,301,250	0.8%	36,128	0.7%	\$ 4,022
McMinn	142,146,500	0.8%	46,395	0.8%	\$ 3,064
Maury	139,550,210	0.8%	70,440	1.3%	\$ 1,981
Humphreys	129,971,100	0.7%	17,192	0.3%	\$ 7,560

Table D-25a: (continued)

	Total Estimated	Percent	1999**	Percent	Cost Per
County	Cost	of Total	Population		Capita
Greene	127,372,200	0.7%	60,900	1.1%	\$ 2,091
Scott	127,235,040	0.7%	20,239	0.4%	\$ 6,287
Houston	125,112,000	0.7%	7,888	0.1%	\$ 15,861
Gibson	117,424,350	0.6%	48,030	0.9%	\$ 2,445
Roane	115,154,625	0.6%	50,008	0.9%	\$ 2,303
Coffee	109,508,400	0.6%	46,355	0.8%	\$ 2,362
Fentress	108,312,000	0.6%	16,357	0.3%	\$ 6,622
Cocke	107,576,113	0.6%	32,291	0.6%	\$ 3,331
Smith	102,135,475	0.6%	16,771	0.3%	\$ 6,090
Madison	101,129,250	0.6%	86,752	1.6%	\$ 1,166
Marion	97,869,840	0.5%	26,907	0.5%	\$ 3,637
Campbell	93,668,300	0.5%	38,466	0.7%	\$ 2,435
Union	91,320,000	0.5%	16,584	0.3%	\$ 6,287
DeKalb	89,175,025	0.5%	16,174	0.3%	\$ 15,861
Macon	79,421,558	0.4%	18,542	0.3%	\$ 4,283
Monroe	76,969,800	0.4%	35,576	0.6%	\$ 2,303
Claiborne	73,382,600	0.4%	29,747	0.5%	\$ 2,362
Hardin	72,887,050	0.4%	25,247	0.5%	\$ 6,622
Meigs	70,386,000	0.4%	10,134	0.2%	\$ 3,331
Franklin	70,119,145	0.4%	37,826	0.7%	\$ 6,090
Dyer	66,821,558	0.4%	36,725	0.7%	\$ 1,166
Sequatchie	62,637,200	0.3%	10,846	0.2%	\$ 3,637
Henry	61,412,000	0.3%	30,091	0.5%	\$ 2,435
Bledsoe	60,695,000	0.3%	10,945	0.2%	\$ 6,287
Carter	59,904,036	0.3%	53,299	1.0%	\$ 15,861
Overton	56,383,450	0.3%	19,654	0.4%	\$ 2,445
Jefferson	54,508,000	0.3%	45,104	0.8%	\$ 2,303
Lincoln	52,810,000	0.3%	29,773	0.5%	\$ 2,362
Trousdale	51,310,000	0.3%	6,971	0.1%	\$ 6,622
Obion	50,618,042	0.3%	32,240	0.6%	\$ 3,331
Marshall	50,219,771	0.3%	26,423	0.5%	\$ 1,901
Johnson	50,076,285	0.3%	16,736	0.3%	\$ 1,166
Giles	49,336,995	0.3%	29,036	0.5%	\$ 3,637

Table D-25a: (continued

County	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	1999** Population	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita
Rhea	48,302,200	0.3%	28,116	0.5%	\$ 2,435
Fayette	47,838,200	0.3%	31,441	0.6%	\$ 1,522
Cannon	47,609,719	0.3%	12,248	0.2%	\$ 3,887
Lawrence	46,507,900	0.3%	39,626	0.7%	\$ 1,174
Van Buren	46,323,200	0.3%	5,008	0.1%	\$ 9,250
Unicoi	46,241,550	0.3%	17,310	0.3%	\$ 2,671
Clay	44,643,000	0.2%	7,268	0.1%	\$ 6,142
Hardeman	43,464,591	0.2%	24,451	0.4%	\$ 1,778
White	43,090,000	0.2%	22,864	0.4%	\$ 1,885
Decatur	40,952,000	0.2%	10,788	0.2%	\$ 3,796
Wayne	40,734,932	0.2%	16,413	0.3%	\$ 2,482
Grainger	39,832,560	0.2%	20,219	0.4%	\$ 1,970
Perry	34,881,000	0.2%	7,560	0.1%	\$ 4,614
Carroll	32,401,748	0.2%	29,450	0.5%	\$ 1,100
Henderson	30,709,138	0.2%	24,767	0.5%	\$ 1,240
Jackson	22,474,800	0.1%	9,643	0.2%	\$ 2,331
Weakley	20,323,379	0.1%	32,952	0.6%	\$ 617
McNairy	20,203,666	0.1%	24,312	0.4%	\$ 831
Crockett	16,925,000	0.1%	14,077	0.3%	\$ 1,202
Haywood	16,792,154	0.1%	19,416	0.4%	\$ 865
Pickett	16,210,000	0.1%	4,711	0.1%	\$ 3,441
Grundy	14,193,800	0.1%	14,046	0.3%	\$ 1,011
Lewis	10,750,000	0.1%	11,127	0.2%	\$ 966
Moore	10,120,000	0.1%	5,140	0.1%	\$ 1,969
Chester	9,189,000	0.1%	14,859	0.3%	\$ 618
Lauderdale	7,975,000	0.0%	24,234	0.4%	\$ 329
Benton	6,775,164	0.0%	16,497	0.3%	\$ 411
Hancock	6,543,000	0.0%	6,767	0.1%	\$ 967
Tipton	6,191,416	0.0%	48,348	0.9%	\$ 128
Lake	3,036,000	0.0%	8,131	0.1%	\$ 373
Statewide	\$18,187,970,855	100.0%	5,483,535	100.0%	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-25b: Infrastructure Improvement Needs by County Ranked by Population
- Five-year Period July 1999 Through June 2004\*

County	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of	1999**	Percent	Cost Per
County	I	Total	Population	of Total	Capita
Shelby	\$ 2,012,586,556	11.1%	873,000	15.9%	\$ 2,305
Davidson	2,796,962,180	15.4%	530,050	9.7%	\$ 5,277
Knox	1,256,809,470	6.9%	376,039	6.9%	\$ 3,342
Hamilton	1,161,602,688	6.4%	294,720	5.4%	\$ 3,941
Rutherford	673,993,192	3.7%	171,401	3.1%	\$ 3,932
Sullivan	311,026,315	1.7%	150,231	2.7%	\$ 2,070
Montgomery	423,561,981	2.3%	129,411	2.4%	\$ 3,273
Sumner	505,499,915	2.8%	126,009	2.3%	\$ 4,012
Williamson	366,797,957	2.0%	123,793	2.3%	\$ 2,963
Washington	320,530,500	1.8%	102,814	1.9%	\$ 3,118
Blount	422,170,400	2.3%	102,785	1.9%	\$ 4,107
Madison	101,129,250	0.6%	86,752	1.6%	\$ 1,166
Wilson	492,844,500	2.7%	86,496	1.6%	\$ 5,698
Bradley	284,172,000	1.6%	84,126	1.5%	\$ 3,378
Anderson	167,716,530	0.9%	71,004	1.3%	\$ 2,362
Maury	139,550,210	0.8%	70,440	1.3%	\$ 1,981
Sevier	216,753,338	1.2%	65,783	1.2%	\$ 3,295
Greene	127,372,200	0.7%	60,900	1.1%	\$ 2,091
Putnam	235,875,733	1.3%	59,735	1.1%	\$ 3,949
Robertson	167,277,000	0.9%	54,861	1.0%	\$ 3,049
Hamblen	182,558,461	1.0%	54,201	1.0%	\$ 3,368
Carter	59,904,036	0.3%	53,299	1.0%	\$ 1,124
Hawkins	147,735,802	0.8%	50,109	0.9%	\$ 2,948
Roane	115,154,625	0.6%	50,008	0.9%	\$ 2,303
Tipton	6,191,416	0.0%	48,348	0.9%	\$ 128
Gibson	117,424,350	0.6%	48,030	0.9%	\$ 2,445
McMinn	142,146,500	0.8%	46,395	0.8%	\$ 3,064
Coffee	109,508,400	0.6%	46,355	0.8%	\$ 2,362
Cumberland	172,701,351	0.9%	45,326	0.8%	\$ 3,810
Jefferson	54,508,000	0.3%	45,104	0.8%	\$ 1,208
Dickson	387,237,131	2.1%	43,017	0.8%	\$ 9,002
Loudon	241,808,025	1.3%	39,892	0.7%	\$ 6,062
Lawrence	46,507,900	0.3%	39,626	0.7%	\$ 1,174

Table D-25b: (continued)

County	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	1999** Population	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita
Campbell	93,668,300	0.5%	38,466	0.7%	\$ 2,435
Franklin	70,119,145	0.4%	37,826	0.7%	\$ 1,854
Dyer	66,821,558	0.4%	36,725	0.7%	\$ 1,820
Warren	193,921,645	1.1%	36,421	0.7%	\$ 5,324
Cheatham	145,301,250	0.8%	36,128	0.7%	\$ 4,022
Monroe	76,969,800	0.4%	35,576	0.6%	\$ 2,164
Bedford	177,945,000	1.0%	34,905	0.6%	\$ 5,098
Weakley	20,323,379	0.1%	32,952	0.6%	\$ 617
Cocke	107,576,113	0.6%	32,291	0.6%	\$ 3,331
Obion	50,618,042	0.3%	32,240	0.6%	\$ 1,570
Fayette	47,838,200	0.3%	31,441	0.6%	\$ 1,522
Henry	61,412,000	0.3%	30,091	0.5%	\$ 2,041
Lincoln	52,810,000	0.3%	29,773	0.5%	\$ 1,774
Claiborne	73,382,600	0.4%	29,747	0.5%	\$ 2,467
Carroll	32,401,748	0.2%	29,450	0.5%	\$ 1,100
Giles	49,336,995	0.3%	29,036	0.5%	\$ 1,699
Rhea	48,302,200	0.3%	28,116	0.5%	\$ 1,718
Marion	97,869,840	0.5%	26,907	0.5%	\$ 3,637
Marshall	50,219,771	0.3%	26,423	0.5%	\$ 1,901
Hardin	72,887,050	0.4%	25,247	0.5%	\$ 2,887
Henderson	30,709,138	0.2%	24,767	0.5%	\$ 1,240
Hardeman	43,464,591	0.2%	24,451	0.4%	\$ 1,778
McNairy	20,203,666	0.1%	24,312	0.4%	\$ 831
Lauderdale	7,975,000	0.0%	24,234	0.4%	\$ 329
White	43,090,000	0.2%	22,864	0.4%	\$ 1,885
Hickman	150,380,000	0.8%	21,283	0.4%	\$ 7,066
Scott	127,235,040	0.7%	20,239	0.4%	\$ 6,287
Grainger	39,832,560	0.2%	20,219	0.4%	\$ 1,970
Overton	56,383,450	0.3%	19,654	0.4%	\$ 2,869
Haywood	16,792,154	0.1%	19,416	0.4%	\$ 865
Morgan	168,770,650	0.9%	18,689	0.3%	\$ 9,030
Macon	79,421,558	0.4%	18,542	0.3%	\$ 4,283
Unicoi	46,241,550	0.3%	17,310	0.3%	\$ 2,671

Table D-25b: (continued)

County	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	1999** Population	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita
Humphreys	129,971,100	0.7%	17,192	0.3%	\$ 7,560
Smith	102,135,475	0.6%	16,771	0.3%	\$ 6,090
Johnson	50,076,285	0.3%	16,736	0.3%	\$ 2,992
Union	91,320,000	0.5%	16,584	0.3%	\$ 5,507
Benton	6,775,164	0.0%	16,497	0.3%	\$ 411
Wayne	40,734,932	0.2%	16,413	0.3%	\$ 2,482
Fentress	108,312,000	0.6%	16,357	0.3%	\$ 6,622
DeKalb	89,175,025	0.5%	16,174	0.3%	\$ 5,513
Polk	306,784,250	1.7%	15,094	0.3%	\$20,325
Chester	9,189,000	0.1%	14,859	0.3%	\$ 618
Crockett	16,925,000	0.1%	14,077	0.3%	\$ 1,202
Grundy	14,193,800	0.1%	14,046	0.3%	\$ 1,011
Cannon	47,609,719	0.3%	12,248	0.2%	\$ 3,887
Stewart	180,854,000	1.0%	11,759	0.2%	\$15,380
Lewis	10,750,000	0.1%	11,127	0.2%	\$ 966
Bledsoe	60,695,000	0.3%	10,945	0.2%	\$ 5,545
Sequatchie	62,637,200	0.3%	10,846	0.2%	\$ 5,775
Decatur	40,952,000	0.2%	10,788	0.2%	\$ 3,796
Meigs	70,386,000	0.4%	10,134	0.2%	\$ 6,946
Jackson	22,474,800	0.1%	9,643	0.2%	\$ 2,331
Lake	3,036,000	0.0%	8,131	0.1%	\$ 373
Houston	125,112,000	0.7%	7,888	0.1%	\$15,861
Perry	34,881,000	0.2%	7,560	0.1%	\$ 4,614
Clay	44,643,000	0.2%	7,268	0.1%	\$ 6,142
Trousdale	51,310,000	0.3%	6,971	0.1%	\$ 7,360
Hancock	6,543,000	0.0%	6,767	0.1%	\$ 967
Moore	10,120,000	0.1%	5,140	0.1%	\$ 1,969
Van Buren	46,323,200	0.3%	5,008	0.1%	\$ 9,250
Pickett	16,210,000	0.1%	4,711	0.1%	\$ 3,441
Statewide	\$ 18,187,970,855	100.0%	5,483,535	100.0%	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-26: Infrastructure Improvement Needs by County Ranked by Population Density
- Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	1999**	Land Area	Population Per	Total Estimated	Cost Per
County	Population	[sq. mi.]	Square Mile	Cost	Capita
Shelby	873,000	755	1,156	\$ 2,012,586,556	\$ 2,305
Davidson	530,050	502	1,055	2,796,962,180	\$ 5,277
Knox	376,039	509	740	1,256,809,470	\$ 3,342
Hamilton	294,720	543	543	1,161,602,688	\$ 3,941
Sullivan	150,231	413	364	311,026,315	\$ 2,070
Hamblen	54,201	161	337	182,558,461	\$ 3,368
Washington	102,814	326	315	320,530,500	\$ 3,118
Rutherford	171,401	619	277	673,993,192	\$ 3,932
Bradley	84,126	329	256	284,172,000	\$ 3,378
Montgomery	129,411	539	240	423,561,981	\$ 3,273
Sumner	126,009	529	238	505,499,915	\$ 4,012
Williamson	123,793	583	212	366,797,957	\$ 2,963
Anderson	71,004	338	210	167,716,530	\$ 2,362
Blount	102,785	559	184	422,170,400	\$ 4,107
Loudon	39,892	229	175	241,808,025	\$ 6,062
Jefferson	45,104	274	165	54,508,000	\$ 1,208
Carter	53,299	341	156	59,904,036	\$ 1,124
Madison	86,752	557	156	101,129,250	\$ 1,166
Wilson	86,496	571	152	492,844,500	\$ 5,698
Putnam	59,735	401	149	235,875,733	\$ 3,949
Roane	50,008	361	139	115,154,625	\$ 2,303
Cheatham	36,128	303	119	145,301,250	\$ 4,022
Robertson	54,861	477	115	167,277,000	\$ 3,049
Maury	70,440	613	115	139,550,210	\$ 1,981
Sevier	65,783	592	111	216,753,338	\$ 3,295
Coffee	46,355	429	108	109,508,400	\$ 2,362
McMinn	46,395	430	108	142,146,500	\$ 3,064
Tipton	48,348	459	105	6,191,416	\$ 128
Hawkins	50,109	487	103	147,735,802	\$ 2,948
Greene	60,900	622	98	127,372,200	\$ 2,091
Unicoi	17,310	186	93	46,241,550	\$ 2,671
Rhea	28,116	316	89	48,302,200	\$ 1,718
Dickson	43,017	490	88	387,237,131	\$ 9,002

Table D-26: (continued)

County	1999** Population		Population Per Square Mile	Total Estimated Cost	ost Per Capita
Warren	36,421	433	84	193,921,645	\$ 5,324
Campbell	38,466	480	80	93,668,300	\$ 2,435
Gibson	48,030	603	80	117,424,350	\$ 2,445
Cocke	32,291	434	74	107,576,113	\$ 3,331
Union	16,584	224	74	91,320,000	\$ 5,507
Bedford	34,905	474	74	177,945,000	\$ 5,098
Grainger	20,219	280	72	39,832,560	\$ 1,970
Dyer	36,725	511	72	66,821,558	\$ 1,820
Marshall	26,423	375	70	50,219,771	\$ 1,901
Claiborne	29,747	434	68	73,382,600	\$ 2,467
Franklin	37,826	553	68	70,119,145	\$ 1,854
Cumberland	45,326	682	66	172,701,351	\$ 3,810
Lawrence	39,626	617	64	46,507,900	\$ 1,174
Trousdale	6,971	114	61	51,310,000	\$ 7,360
White	22,864	377	61	43,090,000	\$ 1,885
Macon	18,542	307	60	79,421,558	\$ 4,283
Obion	32,240	545	59	50,618,042	\$ 1,570
Weakley	32,952	580	57	20,323,379	\$ 617
Johnson	16,736	299	56	50,076,285	\$ 2,992
Monroe	35,576	635	56	76,969,800	\$ 2,164
Marion	26,907	500	54	97,869,840	\$ 3,637
Henry	30,091	562	54	61,412,000	\$ 2,041
Smith	16,771	314	53	102,135,475	\$ 6,090
DeKalb	16,174	305	53	89,175,025	\$ 5,513
Crockett	14,077	265	53	16,925,000	\$ 1,202
Lincoln	29,773	570	52	52,810,000	\$ 1,774
Meigs	10,134	195	52	70,386,000	\$ 6,946
Lauderdale	24,234	471	52	7,975,000	\$ 329
Chester	14,859	289	52	9,189,000	\$ 618
Lake	8,131	163	50	3,036,000	\$ 373
Carroll	29,450	599	49	32,401,748	\$ 1,100
Henderson	24,767	520	48	30,709,138	\$ 1,240
Giles	29,036	611	48	49,336,995	\$ 1,699
Cannon	12,248	266	46	47,609,719	\$ 3,887

Table D-26: (continued)

County	1999** Population		Population Per Square Mile	Total Estimated Cost	Cost Per Capita
Overton	19,654	433	45	56,383,450	\$ 2,869
Fayette	31,441	705	45	47,838,200	\$ 1,522
Hardin	25,247	578	44	72,887,050	\$ 2,887
McNairy	24,312	560	43	20,203,666	\$ 831
Benton	16,497	395	42	6,775,164	\$ 411
Sequatchie	10,846	266	41	62,637,200	\$ 5,775
Moore	5,140	129	40	10,120,000	\$ 1,969
Lewis	11,127	282	39	10,750,000	\$ 966
Houston	7,888	200	39	125,112,000	\$ 15,861
Grundy	14,046	361	39	14,193,800	\$ 1,011
Scott	20,239	532	38	127,235,040	\$ 6,287
Hardeman	24,451	668	37	43,464,591	\$ 1,778
Haywood	19,416	533	36	16,792,154	\$ 865
Morgan	18,689	522	36	168,770,650	\$ 9,030
Hickman	21,283	613	35	150,380,000	\$ 7,066
Polk	15,094	435	35	306,784,250	\$ 20,325
Fentress	16,357	499	33	108,312,000	\$ 6,622
Decatur	10,788	334	32	40,952,000	\$ 3,796
Humphreys	17,192	532	32	129,971,100	\$ 7,560
Jackson	9,643	309	31	22,474,800	\$ 2,331
Clay	7,268	236	31	44,643,000	\$ 6,142
Hancock	6,767	222	30	6,543,000	\$ 967
Pickett	4,711	163	29	16,210,000	\$ 3,441
Bledsoe	10,945	406	27	60,695,000	\$ 5,545
Stewart	11,759	458	26	180,854,000	\$ 15,380
Wayne	16,413	734	22	40,734,932	\$ 2,482
Van Buren	5,008	274	18	46,323,200	\$ 9,250
Perry	7,560	415	18	34,881,000	\$ 4,614
	5,483,535	41,219	133	\$ 18,187,970,855	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-27: Infrastructure Improvement Needs by County Ranked by Growth Rate
- Five-year Period July 1999 through 2004\*

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Growth Rate	Total Estimated Cost	ost Per Capita
Williamson	81,807	123,793	51.3%	\$ 366,797,957	\$ 2,963
Rutherford	119,727	171,401	43.2%	673,993,192	\$ 3,932
Jefferson	33,123	45,104	36.2%	54,508,000	\$ 1,208
Cheatham	27,325	36,128	32.2%	145,301,250	\$ 4,022
Robertson	41,692	54,861	31.6%	167,277,000	\$ 3,049
Cumberland	34,979	45,326	29.6%	172,701,351	\$ 3,810
Sevier	51,381	65,783	28.0%	216,753,338	\$ 3,295
Montgomery	101,612	129,411	27.4%	423,561,981	\$ 3,273
Tipton	37,863	48,348	27.7%	6,191,416	\$ 128
Maury	55,289	70,440	27.4%	139,550,210	\$ 1,981
Wilson	68,002	86,496	27.2%	492,844,500	\$ 5,698
Loudon	31,413	39,892	27.0%	241,808,025	\$ 6,062
Hickman	16,853	21,283	26.3%	150,380,000	\$ 7,066
Meigs	8,092	10,134	25.2%	70,386,000	\$ 6,946
Stewart	9,489	11,759	23.9%	180,854,000	\$ 15,380
Fayette	25,628	31,441	22.7%	47,838,200	\$ 1,522
Dickson	35,264	43,017	22.0%	387,237,131	\$ 9,002
Marshall	21,741	26,423	21.5%	50,219,771	\$ 1,901
Sequatchie	8,890	10,846	22.0%	62,637,200	\$ 5,775
Sumner	103,702	126,009	21.5%	505,499,915	\$ 4,012
Johnson	13,805	16,736	21.2%	50,076,285	\$ 2,992
Union	13,738	16,584	20.7%	91,320,000	\$ 5,507
Lewis	9,295	11,127	19.7%	10,750,000	\$ 966
Blount	86,276	102,785	19.1%	422,170,400	\$ 4,107
Smith	14,172	16,771	18.3%	102,135,475	\$ 6,090
Grainger	17,146	20,219	17.9%	39,832,560	\$ 1,970
Wayne	13,967	16,413	17.5%	40,734,932	\$ 2,482
Trousdale	5,935	6,971	17.5%	51,310,000	\$ 7,360
Cannon	10,512	12,248	16.5%	47,609,719	\$ 3,887
Macon	15,934	18,542	16.4%	79,421,558	\$ 4,283
Monroe	30,595	35,576	16.3%	76,969,800	\$ 2,164
Putnam	51,574	59,735	15.8%	235,875,733	\$ 3,949

Table D-27: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Growth Rate	Total Estimated Cost	ost Per Capita
Chester	12,834	14,859	15.8%	9,189,000	\$ 618
Rhea	24,373	28,116	15.4%	48,302,200	\$ 1,718
Coffee	40,481	46,355	14.5%	109,508,400	\$ 2,362
Bedford	30,536	34,905	14.3%	177,945,000	\$ 5,098
Perry	6,628	7,560	14.1%	34,881,000	\$ 4,614
Bradley	73,915	84,126	13.8%	284,172,000	\$ 3,378
Lake	7,114	8,131	14.3%	3,036,000	\$ 373
Claiborne	26,185	29,747	13.6%	73,382,600	\$ 2,467
White	20,143	22,864	13.5%	43,090,000	\$ 1,885
Benton	14,578	16,497	13.2%	6,775,164	\$ 411
Henderson	21,893	24,767	13.1%	30,709,138	\$ 1,240
Bledsoe	9,683	10,945	13.0%	60,695,000	\$ 5,545
Giles	25,798	29,036	12.6%	49,336,995	\$ 1,699
DeKalb	14,409	16,174	12.2%	89,175,025	\$ 5,513
Hawkins	44,617	50,109	12.3%	147,735,802	\$ 2,948
Houston	7,001	7,888	12.7%	125,112,000	\$ 15,861
Lawrence	35,387	39,626	12.0%	46,507,900	\$ 1,174
Knox	336,591	376,039	11.7%	1,256,809,470	\$ 3,342
Hardin	22,691	25,247	11.3%	72,887,050	\$ 2,887
Fentress	14,633	16,357	11.8%	108,312,000	\$ 6,622
Overton	17,668	19,654	11.2%	56,383,450	\$ 2,869
Washington	92,651	102,814	11.0%	320,530,500	\$ 3,118
Madison	78,238	86,752	10.9%	101,129,250	\$ 1,166
Cocke	29,181	32,291	10.7%	107,576,113	\$ 3,331
Polk	13,674	15,094	10.4%	306,784,250	\$ 20,325
Warren	33,062	36,421	10.2%	193,921,645	\$ 5,324
Scott	18,376	20,239	10.1%	127,235,040	\$ 6,287
Campbell	35,151	38,466	9.4%	93,668,300	\$ 2,435
McMinn	42,435	46,395	9.3%	142,146,500	\$ 3,064
Moore	4,688	5,140	9.6%	10,120,000	\$ 1,969
Greene	55,958	60,900	8.8%	127,372,200	\$ 2,091
Marion	24,696	26,907	9.0%	97,869,840	\$ 3,637

Table D-27: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Growth Rate	Total Estimated Cost	ost Per Capita
Humphreys	15,798	17,192	Rate 8.8%	129,971,100	\$ 7,560
McNairy	22,480	24,312	8.1%	20,203,666	\$ 5,740
Franklin	34,997	37,826	8.1%	70,119,145	\$ 1,854
Morgan	17,336	18,689	7.8%	168,770,650	\$ 9,030
Henry	27,926	30,091	7.8%	61,412,000	\$ 2,041
Hamblen	50,608	54,201	7.1%	182,558,461	\$ 3,368
Carroll	27,569	29,450	6.8%	32,401,748	\$ 1,100
Roane	47,227	50,008	5.9%	115,154,625	\$ 2,303
Lincoln	28,176	29,773	5.7%	52,810,000	\$ 1,774
Shelby	827,912	873,000	5.4%	2,012,586,556	\$ 2,305
Dyer	34,935	36,725	5.1%	66,821,558	\$ 1,820
Crockett	13,382	14,077	5.2%	16,925,000	\$ 1,202
Grundy	13,396	14,046	4.9%	14,193,800	\$ 1,011
Sullivan	143,816	150,231	4.5%	311,026,315	\$ 2,070
Unicoi	16,536	17,310	4.7%	46,241,550	\$ 2,671
Hardeman	23,372	24,451	4.6%	43,464,591	\$ 1,778
Anderson	68,392	71,004	3.8%	167,716,530	\$ 2,362
Davidson	511,191	530,050	3.7%	2,796,962,180	\$ 5,277
Jackson	9,359	9,643	3.0%	22,474,800	\$ 2,331
Gibson	46,398	48,030	3.5%	117,424,350	\$ 2,445
Pickett	4,562	4,711	3.3%	16,210,000	\$ 3,441
Carter	51,593	53,299	3.3%	59,904,036	\$ 1,124
Van Buren	4,852	5,008	3.2%	46,323,200	\$ 9,250
Hamilton	285,596	294,720	3.2%	1,161,602,688	\$ 3,941
Lauderdale	23,500	24,234	3.1%	7,975,000	\$ 329
Weakley	32,027	32,952	2.9%	20,323,379	\$ 617
Decatur	10,460	10,788	3.1%	40,952,000	\$ 3,796
Obion	31,758	32,240	1.5%	50,618,042	\$ 1,570
Hancock	6,741	6,767	0.4%	6,543,000	\$ 967
Clay	7,228	7,268	0.6%	44,643,000	\$ 6,142
Haywood	19,414	19,416	0.0%	16,792,154	\$ 865
Grand totals	,	5,483,535	12.1%	\$18,187,970,855	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-28: Infrastructure Improvement Needs by County Ranked by Population Change
- Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

County	1990	1999**	Population	Total Estimated	st Per
Ť	Population	Population	Change	Cost	apita
Rutherford	119,727	171,401	51,674	\$ 673,993,192	\$ 3,932
Shelby	827,912	873,000	45,088	2,012,586,556	\$ 2,305
Williamson	81,807	123,793	41,986	366,797,957	\$ 2,963
Knox	336,591	376,039	39,448	1,256,809,470	\$ 3,342
Montgomery	101,612	129,411	27,799	423,561,981	\$ 3,273
Sumner	103,702	126,009	22,307	505,499,915	\$ 4,012
Davidson	511,191	530,050	18,859	2,796,962,180	\$ 5,277
Wilson	68,002	86,496	18,494	492,844,500	\$ 5,698
Blount	86,276	102,785	16,509	422,170,400	\$ 4,107
Maury	55,289	70,440	15,151	139,550,210	\$ 1,981
Sevier	51,381	65,783	14,402	216,753,338	\$ 3,295
Robertson	41,692	54,861	13,169	167,277,000	\$ 3,049
Jefferson	33,123	45,104	11,981	54,508,000	\$ 1,208
Tipton	37,863	48,348	10,485	6,191,416	\$ 128
Cumberland	34,979	45,326	10,347	172,701,351	\$ 3,810
Bradley	73,915	84,126	10,211	284,172,000	\$ 3,378
Washington	92,651	102,814	10,163	320,530,500	\$ 3,118
Hamilton	285,596	294,720	9,124	1,161,602,688	\$ 1,166
Cheatham	27,325	36,128	8,803	145,301,250	\$ 4,022
Madison	78,238	86,752	8,514	101,129,250	\$ 3,827
Loudon	31,413	39,892	8,479	241,808,025	\$ 6,062
Putnam	51,574	59,735	8,161	235,875,733	\$ 3,949
Dickson	35,264	43,017	7,753	387,237,131	\$ 9,002
Sullivan	143,816	150,231	6,415	311,026,315	\$ 2,070
Coffee	40,481	46,355	5,874	109,508,400	\$ 2,362
Fayette	25,628	31,441	5,813	47,838,200	\$ 1,522
Hawkins	44,617	50,109	5,492	147,735,802	\$ 2,948
Monroe	30,595	35,576	4,981	76,969,800	\$ 2,164
Greene	55,958	60,900	4,942	127,372,200	\$ 2,091
Marshall	21,741	26,423	4,682	50,219,771	\$ 1,901
Hickman	16,853	21,283	4,430	150,380,000	\$ 7,066
Bedford	30,536	34,905	4,369	177,945,000	\$ 5,098
Lawrence	35,387	39,626	4,239	46,507,900	\$ 1,174

Table D-28: (continued)

County	1990	1999**		Total Estimated	ost Per
McMinn	Population	Population 46,395	<b>Change</b> 3,960	Cost 142,146,500	\$ <b>3</b> ,064
Rhea	42,435 24,373	28,116	3,743	48,302,200	\$ 1,718
Hamblen	50,608	54,201	3,593	182,558,461	\$ 3,368
Claiborne	26,185		3,562		\$ •
Warren	,	29,747		73,382,600 193,921,645	\$ 2,467 5.334
	33,062	36,421	3,359		 5,324
Campbell	35,151	38,466	3,315	93,668,300	\$ 2,435
Giles	25,798	29,036	3,238	49,336,995	\$ 1,699
Cocke	29,181	32,291	3,110	107,576,113	\$ 3,331
Grainger	17,146	20,219	3,073	39,832,560	\$ 1,970
Johnson	13,805	16,736	2,931	50,076,285	\$ 2,992
Henderson	21,893	24,767	2,874	30,709,138	\$ 1,240
Union	13,738	16,584	2,846	91,320,000	\$ 5,507
Franklin	34,997	37,826	2,829	70,119,145	\$ 1,854
Roane	47,227	50,008	2,781	115,154,625	\$ 2,303
White	20,143	22,864	2,721	43,090,000	\$ 1,885
Anderson	68,392	71,004	2,612	167,716,530	\$ 2,362
Macon	15,934	18,542	2,608	79,421,558	\$ 4,283
Smith	14,172	16,771	2,599	102,135,475	\$ 6,090
Hardin	22,691	25,247	2,556	72,887,050	\$ 2,887
Wayne	13,967	16,413	2,446	40,734,932	\$ 2,482
Stewart	9,489	11,759	2,270	180,854,000	\$ 15,380
Marion	24,696	26,907	2,211	97,869,840	\$ 3,637
Henry	27,926	30,091	2,165	61,412,000	\$ 2,041
Meigs	8,092	10,134	2,042	70,386,000	\$ 6,946
Chester	12,834	14,859	2,025	9,189,000	\$ 618
Overton	17,668	19,654	1,986	56,383,450	\$ 2,869
Sequatchie	8,890	10,846	1,956	62,637,200	\$ 5,775
Benton	14,578	16,497	1,919	6,775,164	\$ 411
Carroll	27,569	29,450	1,881	32,401,748	\$ 1,100
Scott	18,376	20,239	1,863	127,235,040	\$ 6,287
Lewis	9,295	11,127	1,832	10,750,000	\$ 966
McNairy	22,480	24,312	1,832	20,203,666	\$ 831
Dyer	34,935	36,725	1,790	66,821,558	\$ 1,820

Table D-28: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Change	Total Estimated Cost	ost Per Capita
DeKalb	14,409	16,174	1,765	89,175,025	\$ 5,513
Cannon	10,512	12,248	1,736	47,609,719	\$ 3,887
Fentress	14,633	16,357	1,724	108,312,000	\$ 6,622
Carter	51,593	53,299	1,706	59,904,036	\$ 1,124
Gibson	46,398	48,030	1,632	117,424,350	\$ 2,445
Lincoln	28,176	29,773	1,597	52,810,000	\$ 1,774
Polk	13,674	15,094	1,420	306,784,250	\$ 20,325
Humphreys	15,798	17,192	1,394	129,971,100	\$ 7,560
Morgan	17,336	18,689	1,353	168,770,650	\$ 9,030
Bledsoe	9,683	10,945	1,262	60,695,000	\$ 5,545
Hardeman	23,372	24,451	1,079	43,464,591	\$ 1,778
Trousdale	5,935	6,971	1,036	51,310,000	\$ 7,360
Lake	7,114	8,131	1,017	3,036,000	\$ 373
Perry	6,628	7,560	932	34,881,000	\$ 4,614
Weakley	32,027	32,952	925	20,323,379	\$ 617
Houston	7,001	7,888	887	125,112,000	\$ 15,861
Unicoi	16,536	17,310	774	46,241,550	\$ 2,671
Lauderdale	23,500	24,234	734	7,975,000	\$ 329
Crockett	13,382	14,077	695	16,925,000	\$ 1,202
Grundy	13,396	14,046	650	14,193,800	\$ 1,011
Obion	31,758	32,240	482	50,618,042	\$ 1,570
Moore	4,688	5,140	452	10,120,000	\$ 1,969
Decatur	10,460	10,788	328	40,952,000	\$ 3,796
Jackson	9,359	9,643	284	22,474,800	\$ 2,331
Van Buren	4,852	5,008	156	46,323,200	\$ 9,250
Pickett	4,562	4,711	149	16,210,000	\$ 3,441
Clay	7,228	7,268	40	44,643,000	\$ 6,142
Hancock	6,741	6,767	26	6,543,000	\$ 967
Haywood	19,414	19,416	2	16,792,154	\$ 865
Statewide	4,890,626	5,483,535	592,909	\$18,187,970,855	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table D-29: Infrastructure Improvement Needs and Population Data by County Ranked by Cost Per Capita

- Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Change	Growth Rate	Land Area [square miles]	Population Density	Total Reported Cost	Cost Per Capita
Polk	13,674	15,094	1,420	10.4%	435	35	\$ 306,784,250	\$ 20,325
Houston	7,001	7,888	887	12.7%	200	39	125,112,000	\$ 15,861
Stewart	9,489	11,759	2,270	23.9%	458	26	180,854,000	\$ 15,380
Van Buren	4,852	5,008	156	3.2%	274	18	46,323,200	\$ 9,250
Morgan	17,336	18,689	1,353	7.8%	522	36	168,770,650	\$ 9,030
Dickson	35,264	43,017	7,753	22.0%	490	88	387,237,131	\$ 9,002
Humphreys	15,798	17,192	1,394	8.8%	532	32	129,971,100	\$ 7,560
Trousdale	5,935	6,971	1,036	17.5%	114	61	51,310,000	\$ 7,360
Hickman	16,853	21,283	4,430	26.3%	613	35	150,380,000	\$ 7,066
Meigs	8,092	10,134	2,042	25.2%	195	52	70,386,000	\$ 6,946
Fentress	14,633	16,357	1,724	11.8%	499	33	108,312,000	\$ 6,622
Scott	18,376	20,239	1,863	10.1%	532	38	127,235,040	\$ 6,287
Clay	7,228	7,268	40	0.6%	236	31	44,643,000	\$ 6,142
Smith	14,172	16,771	2,599	18.3%	314	53	102,135,475	\$ 6,090
Loudon	31,413	39,892	8,479	27.0%	229	175	241,808,025	\$ 6,062
Sequatchie	8,890	10,846	1,956	22.0%	266	41	62,637,200	\$ 5,775
Wilson	68,002	86,496	18,494	27.2%	571	152	492,844,500	\$ 5,698
Bledsoe	9,683	10,945	1,262	13.0%	406	27	60,695,000	\$ 5,545
DeKalb	14,409	16,174	1,765	12.2%	305	53	89,175,025	\$ 5,513
Union	13,738	16,584	2,846	20.7%	224	74	91,320,000	\$ 5,507

Table D-29: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Change	Growth Rate	Land Area [square miles]	Population Density	Total Reported Cost	ost Per Capita
Warren	33,062	36,421	3,359	10.2%	433	84	193,921,645	\$ 5,324
Davidson	511,191	530,050	18,859	3.7%	502	1,055	2,796,962,180	\$ 5,277
Bedford	30,536	34,905	4,369	14.3%	474	74	177,945,000	\$ 5,098
Perry	6,628	7,560	932	14.1%	415	18	34,881,000	\$ 4,614
Macon	15,934	18,542	2,608	16.4%	500	37	79,421,558	\$ 4,283
Blount	86,276	102,785	16,509	19.1%	559	184	422,170,400	\$ 4,107
Cheatham	27,325	36,128	8,803	32.2%	303	119	145,301,250	\$ 4,022
Sumner	103,702	126,009	22,307	21.5%	529	238	505,499,915	\$ 4,012
Putnam	51,574	59,735	8,161	15.8%	401	149	235,875,733	\$ 3,949
Hamilton	285,596	294,720	9,124	3.2%	543	543	1,161,602,688	\$ 3,941
Rutherford	119,727	171,401	51,674	43.2%	619	277	673,993,192	\$ 3,932
Cannon	10,512	12,248	1,736	16.5%	266	46	47,609,719	\$ 3,887
Cumberland	34,979	45,326	10,347	29.6%	682	66	172,701,351	\$ 3,810
Decatur	10,460	10,788	328	3.1%	334	32	40,952,000	\$ 3,796
Marion	24,696	26,907	2,211	9.0%	613	44	97,869,840	\$ 3,637
Pickett	4,562	4,711	149	3.3%	163	29	16,210,000	\$ 3,441
Bradley	73,915	84,126	10,211	13.8%	329	256	284,172,000	\$ 3,378
Hamblen	50,608	54,201	3,593	7.1%	161	337	182,558,461	\$ 3,368
Knox	336,591	376,039	39,448	11.7%	509	740	1,256,809,470	\$ 3,342
Cocke	29,181	32,291	3,110	10.7%	434	74	107,576,113	\$ 3,331
Sevier	51,381	65,783	14,402	28.0%	592	111	216,753,338	\$ 3,295
Montgomery	101,612	129,411	27,799	27.4%	539	240	423,561,981	\$ 3,273

Table D-29: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Change	Growth Rate	Land Area [square miles]	Population Density	Total Reported Cost	ost Per Capita
Washington	92,651	102,814	10,163	11.0%	326	315	320,530,500	\$ 3,118
McMinn	42,435	46,395	3,960	9.3%	307	151	142,146,500	\$ 3,064
Robertson	41,692	54,861	13,169	31.6%	477	115	167,277,000	\$ 3,049
Johnson	13,805	16,736	2,931	21.2%	299	56	50,076,285	\$ 2,992
Williamson	81,807	123,793	41,986	51.3%	583	212	366,797,957	\$ 2,963
Hawkins	44,617	50,109	5,492	12.3%	487	103	147,735,802	\$ 2,948
Hardin	22,691	25,247	2,556	11.3%	578	44	72,887,050	\$ 2,887
Overton	17,668	19,654	1,986	11.2%	433	45	56,383,450	\$ 2,869
Unicoi	16,536	17,310	774	4.7%	186	93	46,241,550	\$ 2,671
Wayne	13,967	16,413	2,446	17.5%	734	22	40,734,932	\$ 2,482
Claiborne	26,185	29,747	3,562	13.6%	434	68	73,382,600	\$ 2,467
Gibson	46,398	48,030	1,632	3.5%	603	80	117,424,350	\$ 2,445
Campbell	35,151	38,466	3,315	9.4%	480	80	93,668,300	\$ 2,435
Coffee	40,481	46,355	5,874	14.5%	429	108	109,508,400	\$ 2,362
Anderson	68,392	71,004	2,612	3.8%	338	210	167,716,530	\$ 2,362
Jackson	9,359	9,643	284	3.0%	309	31	22,474,800	\$ 2,331
Shelby	827,912	873,000	45,088	5.4%	755	1,156	2,012,586,556	\$ 2,305
Roane	47,227	50,008	2,781	5.9%	361	139	115,154,625	\$ 2,303
Monroe	30,595	35,576	4,981	16.3%	635	56	76,969,800	\$ 2,164
Greene	55,958	60,900	4,942	8.8%	622	98	127,372,200	\$ 2,091
Sullivan	143,816	150,231	6,415	4.5%	413	364	311,026,315	\$ 2,070
Henry	27,926	30,091	2,165	7.8%	562	54	61,412,000	\$ 2,041

Table D-29: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population	Population Change	Growth Rate	Land Area [square miles]	Population Density	Total Reported Cost	ost Per Capita
Maury	55,289	70,440	15,151	27.4%	560	126	139,550,210	\$ 1,981
Grainger	17,146	20,219	3,073	17.9%	280	72	39,832,560	\$ 1,970
Moore	4,688	5,140	452	9.6%	129	40	10,120,000	\$ 1,969
Marshall	21,741	26,423	4,682	21.5%	430	61	50,219,771	\$ 1,901
White	20,143	22,864	2,721	13.5%	377	61	43,090,000	\$ 1,885
Franklin	34,997	37,826	2,829	8.1%	553	68	70,119,145	\$ 1,854
Dyer	34,935	36,725	1,790	5.1%	511	72	66,821,558	\$ 1,820
Hardeman	23,372	24,451	1,079	4.6%	668	37	43,464,591	\$ 1,778
Lincoln	28,176	29,773	1,597	5.7%	570	52	52,810,000	\$ 1,774
Rhea	24,373	28,116	3,743	15.4%	316	89	48,302,200	\$ 1,718
Giles	25,798	29,036	3,238	12.6%	611	48	49,336,995	\$ 1,699
Obion	31,758	32,240	482	1.5%	545	59	50,618,042	\$ 1,570
Fayette	25,628	31,441	5,813	22.7%	705	45	47,838,200	\$ 1,522
Henderson	21,893	24,767	2,874	13.1%	520	48	30,709,138	\$ 1,240
Jefferson	33,123	45,104	11,981	36.2%	274	165	54,508,000	\$ 1,208
Crockett	13,382	14,077	695	5.2%	265	53	16,925,000	\$ 1,202
Lawrence	35,387	39,626	4,239	12.0%	617	64	46,507,900	\$ 1,174
Madison	78,238	86,752	8,514	10.9%	375	231	101,129,250	\$ 1,166
Carter	51,593	53,299	1,706	3.3%	341	156	59,904,036	\$ 1,124
Carroll	27,569	29,450	1,881	6.8%	599	49	32,401,748	\$ 1,100
Grundy	13,396	14,046	650	4.9%	361	39	14,193,800	\$ 1,011
Hancock	6,741	6,767	26	0.4%	222	30	6,543,000	\$ 967

Table D-29: (continued)

County	1990 Population	1999** Population		Growth Rate	Land Area [square miles]	Population Density	Total Reported Cost	ost Per Capita
Lewis	9,295	11,127	1,832	19.7%	282	39	10,750,000	\$ 966
Haywood	19,414	19,416	2	0.0%	533	36	16,792,154	\$ 865
McNairy	22,480	24,312	1,832	8.1%	557	44	20,203,666	\$ 831
Chester	12,834	14,859	2,025	15.8%	289	52	9,189,000	\$ 618
Weakley	32,027	32,952	925	2.9%	580	57	20,323,379	\$ 617
Benton	14,578	16,497	1,919	13.2%	395	42	6,775,164	\$ 411
Lake	7,114	8,131	1,017	14.3%	163	50	3,036,000	\$ 373
Lauderdale	23,500	24,234	734	3.1%	471	52	7,975,000	\$ 329
Tipton	37,863	48,348	10,485	27.7%	459	105	6,191,416	\$ 128
Statewide	4,890,626	5,483,535	592,909	12.1%	41,219	133	\$18,187,970,855	\$ 3,317

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.
\*\* The 1999 population is an estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

**Table D-30: Transportation & Utilities Projects Reported to Involve Mandates**Number and Percent – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Type of Project**								
	Transp	ortation	Other I	<b>Jtilities</b>	Telecom	munications			
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Bedford	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%			
Carter	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Coffee	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Franklin	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Giles	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Greene	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Hamblen	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Hickman	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Johnson	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Lawrence	2	11.1%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%			
Lewis	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Lincoln	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Maury	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
McMinn	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Monroe	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Montgomery	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%			
Perry	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Shelby	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Unicoi	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Wayne	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Statewide	23	1.8%	4	3.6%	1	1.4%			

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Transportation & Utilities Category includes Transportation, Other Utilities, Navigation, and Telecommunication projects. This table shows only those categories that included mandate related projects.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Only those counties reporting mandate related projects are included.

Table D-31: Health, Safety & Welfare Projects Reported to Involve Mandates

Number and Percent – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Type of Project**									
	Water and Wastewater		Law Enforcement		Stormwater		Housing		Solid Waste	
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Anderson	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bedford	8	27.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bledsoe	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Blount	1	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bradley	3	7.5%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Campbell	4	20.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Carroll	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cheatham	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Claiborne	2	14.3%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coffee	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Crockett	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fayette	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	6	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gibson	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Giles	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grainger	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Greene	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grundy	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%
Hamblen	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	10	26.3%	0	0.0%	10	66.7%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%

Table D-31: (continued)

	Type of Project**									
		r and water	Law Enfo	orcement	Storm	water	Hou	sing	Solid	Waste
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Hancock	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hardeman	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hawkins	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henderson	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henry	1	33.3%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Humphreys	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	6	14.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Lewis	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loudon	4	14.8%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Maury	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
McMinn	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
McNairy	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meigs	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Monroe	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Morgan	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Obion	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table D-31: (continued)

	Type of Project**									
		r and water	Law Enfo	orcement	Storm	water	Hou	sing	Solid	Waste
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Polk	1	11.1%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rhea	2	28.6%	1	50.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Roane	4	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sevier	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Smith	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sullivan	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sumner	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Weakley	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Williamson	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%
Statewide	116	7.4%	9	7.1%	16	12.4%	1	1.0%	21	16.8%

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Health, Safety and Welfare Category includes Water and Wastewater, Law Enforcement, Storm Water, housing, Fire Protection, Solid Waste, and Public Health Facilities. This table shows only those categories that included mandate related projects.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Only those counties reporting mandate related projects are included.

**Table D-32: Education Projects Reported to Involve Mandates**Number and Percent – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Type of Project**						
		ements at	New Public School Construction				
County***	Existing School Number Percent		Number	Percent			
Anderson	11	608.8%	0	0.0%			
Bedford	8	66.7%	0	0.0%			
Benton	3	42.9%	0	0.0%			
Bledsoe	2	66.7%	0	0.0%			
Blount	10	47.6%	0	0.0%			
Bradley	17	73.9%	3	60.0%			
Campbell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Cannon	7	100.0%	2	100.0%			
Carroll	4	30.8%	1	50.0%			
Carter	2	22.2%	1	100.0%			
Cheatham	7	58.3%	0	0.0%			
Chester	1	25.0%	0	0.0%			
Claiborne	3	25.0%	2	100.0%			
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Cocke	11	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Coffee	2	11.1%	0	0.0%			
Crockett	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Cumberland	7	77.8%	0	0.0%			
Davidson	88	95.7%	0	0.0%			
Decatur	3	100.0%	0	0.0%			
DeKalb	4	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Dickson	1	12.5%	1	33.3%			
Dyer	4	33.3%	2	100.0%			
Fayette	3	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Fentress	4	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Franklin	2	16.7%	1	50.0%			
Gibson	1	6.7%	0	0.0%			
Giles	5	71.4%	1	100.0%			
Grainger	1	14.3%	0	0.0%			
Greene	5	22.7%	0	0.0%			
Grundy	3	42.9%	0	0.0%			
Hamblen	1	5.3%	0	0.0%			
Hamilton	43	60.6%	5	100.0%			

Table D-32: (continued)

	Type of Project**						
		ements at g School		lic School ruction			
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Hancock	2	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Hardeman	4	66.7%	0	0.0%			
Hardin	5	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Hawkins	14	87.5%	0	0.0%			
Haywood	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Henderson	2	22.2%	0	0.0%			
Henry	5	71.4%	0	0.0%			
Hickman	1	100.0%	2	100.0%			
Houston	1	50.0%	1	100.0%			
Humphreys	1	20.0%	0	0.0%			
Jackson	3	75.0%	0	0.0%			
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Johnson	3	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Knox	83	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Lawrence	5	38.5%	0	0.0%			
Lewis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Lincoln	7	70.0%	0	0.0%			
Loudon	3	25.0%	0	0.0%			
McMinn	3	60.0%	0	0.0%			
McNairy	17	89.5%	0	0.0%			
Macon	1	14.3%	0	0.0%			
Madison	3	37.5%	0	0.0%			
Marion	8	53.3%	1	33.3%			
Marshall	9	64.3%	1	100.0%			
Maury	1	12.5%	3	100.0%			
Meigs	4	100.0%	0	0.0%			
Monroe	11	84.6%	0	0.0%			
Montgomery	4	25.0%	0	0.0%			
Moore	1	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Morgan	5	71.4%	0	0.0%			
Obion	2	20.0%	0	0.0%			
Overton	6	75.0%	0	0.0%			
Perry	3	100.0%	1	100.0%			

Table D-32: (continued)

	Type of Project**						
		ements at		lic School			
County***	Existing School Number Percent		Number	ruction Percent			
Pickett	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Polk	1	16.7%	1	100.0%			
Putnam	7	53.8%	1	33.3%			
Rhea	4	66.7%	2	100.0%			
Roane	7	36.8%	1	50.0%			
Robertson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Rutherford	21	72.4%	6	42.9%			
Scott	4	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Sequatchie	1	33.3%	0	0.0%			
Sevier	8	38.1%	0	0.0%			
Shelby	151	78.2%	4	23.5%			
Smith	4	44.4%	1	33.3%			
Stewart	2	66.7%	1	100.0%			
Sullivan	33	73.3%	0	0.0%			
Sumner	12	40.0%	3	50.0%			
Tipton	6	46.2%	0	0.0%			
Trousdale	1	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Unicoi	4	66.7%	0	0.0%			
Union	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Van Buren	1	50.0%	0	0.0%			
Warren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Washington	7	30.4%	0	0.0%			
Wayne	5	62.5%	2	100.0%			
Weakley	3	30.0%	0	0.0%			
White	0	0.0%	1	100.0%			
Williamson	2	6.5%	0	0.0%			
Wilson	1	5.6%	1	25.0%			
Statewide	765	57.5%	52	30.4%			

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Education Category includes Existing School Improvements, New Public School Construction, Non K-12 Education and School System-wide Needs. This table shows only those categories that included mandate related projects.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Only those counties reporting mandate related projects are included.

Table D-33: Recreation & Culture Projects Reported to Involve Mandates

Number and Percent – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Type of Project**					
	Recre	ation		ries & eums		
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Gibson	1	12.5%	0	0.0%		
Hamilton	0	0.0%	1	33.3%		
McMinn	1	25.0%	0	0.0%		
Statewide	2	0.3%	1	1.1%		

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.

**Table D-34: General Government Projects Reported to Involve Mandates** 

Number and Percent – Five-year Period July 1999 through June 2004\*

	Type of Project**					
	Public B	uildings	Other F	acilities		
County***	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Anderson	1	25.0%	0	0.0%		
Bradley	3	50.0%	0	0.0%		
Coffee	1	50.0%	0	0.0%		
Davidson	0	0.0%	1	8.3%		
Henry	0	0.0%	1	100.0%		
Houston	1	33.3%	0	0.0%		
Knox	0	0.0%	1	100.0%		
McMinn	0	0.0%	1	50.0%		
Statewide	6	1.8%	4	8.5%		

<sup>\*</sup> As reported by local government officials.

Link to **Appendix E** 

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Recreation & Culture Category includes Recreation, Community Development, Library and Museum projects. This table shows only those categories that included mandate related projects.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Only those counties reporting mandate related projects are included.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The General Government Category includes Public Buildings, Other Facilities, and Property Acquisition projects. This table shows only those categories that included mandate related projects.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Only those counties reporting mandate related projects are included.