DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST FORM

TO: Choose One

FROM: Choose One

DATE: <u>Click here to enter a date.</u>

This form is to be used on projects requesting a Design Exception where roadway projects do not meet the 10 controlling elements of the geometric design criteria.

Design Exception:

Type I Exception to Controlling Criteria

- Design Speed
- Design Loading Structural Capacity

For exceptions based on Type I Criteria, all roadways on the **NHS** may require FHWA's review. The Regional Project Development Director (PDD) provides final approval. Exceptions to Type I criteria are rare and additional information shall be provided.

Type II Exception to Controlling Criteria

- Lane Width
- Horizontal Curve Radius
- Stopping Sight Distance
- Shoulder Width

- Cross Slopes
- Vertical Clearance
- Superelevation Rate
- Maximum Grade

For exceptions based on Type II Criteria, all roadways on the **NHS** with design speeds ≥ 50 mph may require FHWA's review. The regional PDD provides final approval.

All other roadways (non-NHS) exceptions to controlling criteria do not require FHWA's review; the regional PDD provides final approval.

Note:

Roadways on the Appalachian Development Highway System, or FHWA Projects of Division Interest (PODI) may require FHWA's review for design exceptions regardless of the controlling criteria.

DOCUMENTATION

A design **exception** is a variance based on one or more of the controlling criteria (either Type I or Type II). All requests shall be documented on this form. Plan sheets, location map, and supplemental information (i.e. Google maps) must be enclosed for a timely review by the Department. All design exception requests must be justified based on the objective and context demonstrating compliance with accepted transportation engineering principles and reasons for the decisions. The proposed variation shall not

Department of Transportation

diminish the existing operation and safety of the facility. Historical in-service performance or a traffic engineering study (on site or simulation) may be required.

Type I Exception to Controlling Criteria requires additional documentation:

- Design Speed exceptions. Length of section with reduced design speed compared to overall length of project. Measures used in transitions to adjacent sections with higher or lower design or operating speeds.
- Design Loading Structural Capacity exceptions. Verification of safe load-carrying capacity (load rating) for all State unrestricted legal loads or routine permit loads, and in the case of bridges and tunnels on the Interstate, all Federal legal loads.

Type II Exception to Controlling Criteria requires additional documentation:

- Specific design criteria that will not be met.
- Existing roadway characteristics.
- Alternatives considered.
- Comparison of the safety and operational performance of the roadway and other impacts such as right-of-way, community, environmental, cost, and usability by all modes of transportation.
- Proposed mitigation measures.
- Compatibility with adjacent sections of roadway.

Additional guidance can be found in the Highway Capacity Manual, Highway Safety Manual, Performance Based Practical Design, and Flexibility in Design. Design Exception Requests located within the city limits require a letter from the local agency approving the request.

All other geometric design variances on facilities outside the category I and II criteria shall be documented on a Design Waiver Request form.

	PROJECT DATA			
Current Project Phase	Context/Scoping ☐ PS&E ☐	Footprint Established	Plan-in-Hand □	
County/ City				
PIN				
Federal Project No.				
State Project No.				
Project Limits				
Local Program Project	Yes□ No			
9	If yes, then			
State Let	Yes□ No			
Local Let	Yes□ No			
US Route/NHS State Route	Reconstruction ☐ Resurfacing ☐		Evaluation form may	
Appalachian Development Highway System	Yes□ No			
FHWA PODI Project	Yes□ No			
Project Scope (Briefly describe the objective of project) Project Commitments				
Project Commitments				

ROADWAY GEOMETRIC DESIGN DATA				
Highway Functional Classification: (See Green Book 2011	Freeway □ Arterial □ Collector □			
Section 1.3)	Local Road/Street □			
Rural or Urban Context	Rural Rural Tanan (situ lisaita) Rural Tanan (situ lisaita)			
	Rural Town (city limits) \square Suburban (initially designed as rural but currently in city limits) \square			
	Urban (city limits)			
	Urban Core (in the metropolitan government jurisdiction) □			
Roadway Typical Section				
Standard Drawing: Existing Design Speed:	Contact the Plane Color Office to find original plane stating the			
Existing Design Speed:	Contact the Plans Sales Office to find original plans stating the design speed or use existing design elements to reverse engineer the design speed.			
Existing Posted Speed:	doorgin op ood.			
Proposed Design Speed:				
Proposed Posted Speed:				
Type of Terrain:	Level □ Rolling □ Mountainous □			
Traffic Data:	ADT (20 <u>XX):</u> D: _/_ ADT (20 <u>XX)</u> : T:% DHV:			
Access Control	None□ Partial □ Full□			
Multimodal Design	Pedestrian □			
Elements Included in the	Curb Ramps □			
scope of the Project	Pedestrian Signals □			
	Shared-Use Path □			
	New sidewalks □			
	Non-motorized Enhancement □			
	Bicycle (including bike route/lane, tract addition to existing roadway facility)			
Bus Route	Yes □ No □			

GEOMETRIC DESIGN CONTROLLING CRITERIA Design Exception Requests

Controlling elements must be completed for items where an exception is requested.

Controlling elements in	Endador:				
	Existing	Proposed	Exce	eption	l
Design Speed:					
Design Loading structural					
capacity:					
Lane width:					
Shoulder width					
(inside/outside):					
Cross Slope:					
Superelevation Rate:					
Horizontal Curve Radius:					
Stopping Sight Distance:					
Maximum Grade:					
Vertical Clearance:					
Navigational					
Waterway:					
Grade separation:					
Railroad crossing:					
	BRIDGE DESIG	N EEATUDES			
Complete if the bridge feature va			Design Co	ntrolli	na
Criteria Section.		c listed in the Occinetie	Design Oc)	rig
	Existing	Proposed Ex	ception		REQ
Traffic Lane Widths:	Existing	Proposed Ex	cception		REQ □
Traffic Lane Widths: Outside Shoulder Widths:	Existing	Proposed Ex	ception		REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths:	Existing	Proposed Ex	cception		REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths:	Existing	Proposed Ex	cception		REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths:	Existing	Proposed Ex	cception		REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating:		•	cception		REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating:	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total		MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating:	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total	RASH HISTORY SU	MMARY REPORT			REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes	RASH HISTORY SUI Fatal Crashes	MMARY REPORT	nes	ST	REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes	RASH HISTORY SUI Fatal Crashes	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash	nes	ST	REQ
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes	RASH HISTORY SUI Fatal Crashes	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash	nes N REQUE		
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes TDOT DIRECTIVES SAFETY Crash history data has been revi	Fatal Crashes TO BE CONSIDERE	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash ED FOR THE EXCEPTIO	N REQUE		
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes TDOT DIRECTIVES SAFETY Crash history data has been revial roadway and roadside safety	Fatal Crashes TO BE CONSIDERE	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash ED FOR THE EXCEPTIO	N REQUE	NO	
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes TDOT DIRECTIVES SAFETY Crash history data has been reviewed. All roadway and roadside safety provided.	Fatal Crashes TO BE CONSIDERE iewed and is enclose mitigation measures	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash ED FOR THE EXCEPTIO ed. have been considered a	N REQUE	NO	
Outside Shoulder Widths: Inside Shoulder Widths: Sufficiency Rating: CF Years Total Reviewed Crashes TDOT DIRECTIVES SAFETY Crash history data has been revial roadway and roadside safety	TO BE CONSIDERE iewed and is enclose mitigation measures minimum roadway d	MMARY REPORT Injury Crash ED FOR THE EXCEPTIO ed. have been considered a	N REQUE	NO	

Design Exception - Page 5 of 7

The Highway Safety Manual was used to justify the design exception.

OPERATIONS			
The operation of the proposed typical cross-section is comparable with			
operation of the adjacent cross-sections.			Ц
The proposed design does not cause a reduction in capacity or adversely affect traffic flow of the facility.			
The proposed design does not adversely affect long-term operations.			
The proposed design does not impact the existing access control.			
Travel demand management solutions have been evaluated.			
ROADWAY DESIGN			
It is not feasible to meet the minimum roadway design standards due to right-of-			
way restrictions, environmental impacts, etc.			
The proposed design maintains the same level of service compared to the			
design based on minimum roadway design standards.			
The proposed design results in a significant cost savings compared to the			
design based on minimum roadway design standards.			
ENVIRONMENTAL (Consult TDOT Environmental Division, if needed)			
Does the request affect the NEPA environmental boundary?			
Does the request affect environmental permit requirements?			
(TDEC/TVA/CORPs/TWRA, etc.)			
Does the request affect Historical Section 106?			
WORK ZONE			
Will the proposed variation affect the TMP?			

DESCRIBE THE REASONING AND JUSTIFICATION OF THE DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST:

(Address project needs, with consideration of all transportation modes, community engagement, safety, and with consistency towards long term planning and vision. Provide an explanation of the requested design exception and describe other nationally recognized guidance that is met and that the design is based upon. Attach documentation of the specific design guidance met.)

DESIGN EXCEPTION REQUEST – JUSTIFIED BASED ON GUIDANCE FROM THE FOLLOWING:					
	Design Guidance Met			n Guidance Met	
Design Guidance Source	YES	NO	N/A	Do Not Know	Source Reference if answered "Yes" (page, section, drawing, etc.)
AASHTO Publication					
Highway Safety Manual					
Highway Capacity Manual					
FHWA Publication					
NCHRP Publication					
TRB Publication					
TDOT Design Guidelines					
TDOT Standard Drawings					
Guidance from other					
states					
Other					

DESCRIBE THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED (Provide an explanation of proposed mitigation measures to offset impact such as cost, ROW, environmental, multimodal, safety and operation, community and usability, or compatibility with adjacent section of the roadway) **DESIGN EXCEPTION APPROVED BY:** Click here to enter a date. **Regional Project Development Director**

☐ Reviewer Comments Attached

☐ Additional Design Exception Information Attached

Date