### STATE OF TENNESSEE

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - DIVISION OF STRUCTURES

# HYDRAULIC REPORT

	Date:	Designer:
A. SITE DATA		
1. LOCATION		
a. Name of Stream:		Channel Mile:
b. Route Name:		P.E. No.:
c. Route No.:		Project No.:
d. County:		USGS Quad #:
e. City:		Name:
2. VICINITY		
a. See attached location	map or bridge survey.	
b. Nature of Stream Bed		
c. Bank subject to Erosi	on:	Severe = $10$ Stable = $0$
d. Should Drift be a con	sideration:	Extreme = $10$ No = $0$
3. EXISTING BRIDG a. Bridge Location No.: b. Bridge Selection No.:		
b. Drawing No.:		
c. Bridge Length:	ft.	ft.
d. Bridge Width:	ft.	ft.
e. Bridge Type:		
f. Bridge Skew:	0	0
g. Drainage Area:	sq.	misq.mi.
h. Design Discharge:	cfs	cfs
i. Design Frequency:	yea	ryear
j. Design Water Area:	ft. <sup>2</sup>	ft. <sup>2</sup>
k. Design Elevation:	ft.	ft.
1. Design Backwater:	ft.	ft.
m. Design Velocity:	fps	fps
	ft.	-

## 4. EXISTING WATER STAGES AT PROPOSED BRIDGE SITE

a.	Maximum High Water El.:		Date:	/ /	
	Frequency:		year	Source:	
b.		Year High Water El	evation:	ft.	
c.	Datum Elevation:	ft.	Ordinary	y High Water Elevation:	ft.
d.	In Reservoir (Y/N):		Reservo	ir Name:	
	Normal Pool Elevation:	ft.	Minimu	m Pool Elevation:	ft.
e.	Backwater Elevation:	ft.	From:		

# **B. HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS**

# 1. FLOOD RECORDS

a. Flo	ods in Tennessee - N	-						
h Str	Corps of Engineer eam Gage No.:							
	ne Available []		At 2					
0.110								
2.	DRAINAGE ARI	EA						
a.		sq. mi.	Calculated:		Publish	ned:		
•								
3.	DISCHARGE							
a.	Magnitude: Frequency:	2 vr	5 yr	10 yr	25 yr	50 yr	100 yr	500 yr
b.	Proposed Overtop						100 yi	500 yi
о. с.	Source		ods in Tennesse					
с.	Source		orps of Engineers	-	ie and Prequ	1995		
		CC						
			deral Insurance S	Study		C	ounty or City	
				•				
		0	her				_	
4.	STREAM SLOP	E						
a.	From U.S.G.S. Q				ft	./ft.		
b.	From Site Survey	-			ft			
с.	From Flood Flow							
С. НҮ 1.	DRAULIC ANALY							
a.	Station:			Drai	nage Area		S	a mi
a.	Design Frequency						; c	
	Design Velocity:						ft	
	Design Bridge Bad						ion:f	
	Design Waterway				ft.			
b.	Is Bridge Backwat							
	Year Bridge			C.				
			Elevation:					
	Describe Control:							
c.	Are Spur Dikes No	eded (V/N	) •					
с.	Describe Reason:							
	Deserve reason.							
d.	Is Channel Transit	ioning Invo	lved (Y/N) :	See attac	hed detail.			
e.	Is Channel Change	e Involved	(Y/N) :	_ See attac	hed detail.			
f.	Is Bank Protection	Needed	(Y/N) :	See attac	hed detail.			
g.	Final Layout: See	Drawing N	0					

#### D. SCOUR ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED BRIDGE

## 1. CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS

- a. USGS/TDOT "observed" scour ranking at existing bridge is \_\_\_\_\_\_, or at nearest bridge upstream [] /downstream [] is \_\_\_\_\_\_(Br. No. \_\_\_\_\_).
- b. USGS/TDOT "potential" scour ranking at existing bridge is \_\_\_\_\_\_, or at nearest bridge upstream []/downstream [] is \_\_\_\_\_\_ (Br. No. \_\_\_\_\_).
- c. Current stage of channel evolution : Stable [] Degrading [] Widening [] Aggrading []
- d. Streambed material type: silt/sand []; coarse gravely sand []; gravel/cobbles []; gravel and cobbles on rock []; slab rock []

## 2. COMPUTED SCOUR DEPTH

a. Design discharge (\_\_\_\_\_yr.) = \_\_\_\_\_cfs
b. Design velocity (\_\_\_\_yr.) = \_\_\_\_\_fps
c. Estimated degradation [] /aggradation [] = \_\_\_\_\_ft.
d. Estimated contraction scour = \_\_\_\_\_ft.
e. Estimated pier scour = \_\_\_\_\_ft.
f. Estimated total scour depth = \_\_\_\_\_ft.
g. Preliminary ftg. and/or pile tip elev. (based on soils report? Y/N): \_\_\_\_\_\_

h. Comments :

## E. OTHER AGENCY REVIEW and/or APPROVAL

YES	NO	
		Corps of Engineers – Individual
		Corps of Engineers - Nationwide
		Tennessee Valley Authority
		U. S. Coast Guard
		Tennessee Wildlife Resource Agency
		State Water Quality Control
		Federal Highway Administration
		Federal Emergency Management Agency
		Local Government, if participating in FEMA Program
		Individual ARAP required
		General ARAP required
		National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

Is the location governed by the National Flood Insurance Program Regulations? (Y/N):

Has the TDOT policy on selection of Design Flood Frequency been satisfied? (Y/N):

## F. REMARKS