



RATING REDUCTIONS AND SEVERANCE OF SERVICE CONNECTION

OVERVIEW



- VA Reexaminations
- Rating Reduction Rules / Protections
- Severance of SC Rules / Protections
- VA Procedures
- Hypos
- Advocacy Advice







- Reexaminations To Determine Degree of Disability (38 C.F.R. § 3.327)
 - To ensure Vets receiving disability comp still qualify for their rating, VA can require them to be:
 - Reexamined by a VA doctor, OR
 - Observed in a VA hospital





- Reexaminations To Determine Degree of Disability (38 C.F.R. § 3.327)
 - Generally, VA will require a reexamination if there is evidence that a disability:
 - Has significantly changed since the last exam, or
 - Is likely to improve





- When VA determines that a routine future exam is needed to monitor for anticipated improvement in an SC condition, reexaminations are typically scheduled 3 years from the date of the most recent rating decision on the issue
 - Manual M21-1, III.iv.3.B.2.c (change date Dec. 19, 2017)
- In the past, this was a 5-year requirement



- Some exceptions to the 3-year re-exam rule:
 - Malignancies that require reevaluation 6
 months following cessation of treatment for
 active disease
 - Discharge from military service due to a mental disorder caused by traumatic stress under 38 C.F.R. § 4.129
 - Vet initially assigned at least a 50% rating and exam is scheduled w/in 6 months of discharge



- VA reviews the reexamination report and then decides whether to change the disability rating
- VA will probably NOT reexamine Vet if:
 - A disability from a disease is permanent and not likely to improve
 - Symptoms have not significantly changed for 5 years or longer



- VA will probably NOT reexamine Vet if (cont.):
 - Vet is over 55 years old
 - Minimum disability rating is assigned
 - Combined rating would not be affected if rating for the individual disability was reduced

• 38 C.F.R. § 3.327(b)



• If Vet in one of these situations is scheduled for a reexam, contact VA and request that it reconsider its decision to schedule the exam

 Argue that the Vet fits into one of the categories where a reexam is not appropriate



- VA must properly notify Vets about scheduled reexaminations
- VA can stop or reduce a Vet's benefits for missing a reexamination without good cause



- VA must give notice stating:
 - Benefits will be reduced or stopped unless, w/in 60 days, Vet:
 - Is willing to report for VA exam, OR
 - Provides evidence that proves continued entitlement to the rating
 - If reexamination is required for a claim for increased benefits, VA may deny the claim without considering any evidence



• If Vet is not provided advance notice by VA of a scheduled exam, Vet may have a defense to any adverse action taken by VA

• If there is not a copy of a notification letter in VBMS (or it is incorrectly addressed), ask VA to void the reduction or severance of SC



- Good cause for missing a reexamination
 - If Vet has a good reason for missing an exam or hospital observation, VA will reschedule without negative consequences
 - Vet must notify VA of inability to attend exam
 - VA determines good cause on a case-by-case basis
 - Illness of claimant,
 - Death of family member
 - Other good reason



- VA will take immediate action to stop or reduce Vet's benefits if Vet:
 - Does not provide explanation for missing reexamination
 - Misses a rescheduled reexamination, after missing the first exam without good cause

RATING REDUCTIONS AND SEVERANCE

RATING REDUCTIONS AND SEVERANCE



 VA may be able to reduce compensation payments or sever service connection in some cases

 VA must comply with certain rules guiding reduction and severance

RATING REDUCTION RULES



- VA has the burden of proof and must show that the condition has improved by the preponderance of the evidence (51% probability)
- Do not let VA put the burden on the Vet
- Some ratings or SC statuses are protected from reduction or severance

OVERVIEW OF PROTECTIONS



- Ratings in effect less than 5 years
- Ratings in effect for 5-20 years
- Ratings in effect for 20 years or more
- 100% ratings (including TDIU)
- SC for 10 years

CALCULATING TIME PERIODS FOR PROTECTIONS



- Time periods are computed from the effective date of the evaluation/grant of service connection to the effective date of actual reduction/severance
 - Brown v. Brown, 5 Vet. App. 413 (1993)
 - 38 C.F.R. §§ 3.344(c), 3.951(b), 3.957



CALCULATING TIME PERIODS FOR PROTECTIONS



- Generally, before reduction/severance becomes effective, VA must:
 - Issue a proposed rating reduction giving the Vet 60 days to submit evidence showing rating should not be reduced / severed
 - Issue a rating decision finalizing the reduced rating, which becomes effective 60 days after notice of the decision

CALCULATING TIME PERIODS FOR PROTECTED RATINGS



- The effective date for rating reduction / severance usually can be no earlier than 120 days after VA's proposal
- In most cases, it will probably take longer:
 - Add time for hearing, if requested
 - Add time for consideration of Vet's evidence
 - Add time for VA slowness

CALCULATING TIME PERIODS FOR PROTECTED RATINGS



- Due to these delays, VA proposal and rating decision finalizing the decision may occur before a certain protection period is triggered, but the effective date of reduction/severance may be after the protection kicks in
- If so, VA may need to:
 - Revise proposed rating reduction / severance to account for proper protection
 - Apply proper protection prospectively

REDUCTION OF NON-PROTECTED RATINGS



- Ratings in effect less than 5 years / less than 100%
 - Any reduction must be based on a review of the entire history of the disability
 - VA must determine whether there has been an actual change in the disability
 - Any improvement must reflect an improvement in the Vet's ability to function under the ordinary conditions of life and work
 - VA cannot reduce benefits based on exams that are not thorough

RATINGS IN EFFECT AT LEAST 5 YEARS 38 C.F.R. § 3.344



- Ratings unchanged for at least 5 years are "stabilized"
- VA cannot reduce a stabilized rating unless all evidence in the c-file shows the disability has permanently improved
- VA cannot reduce a rating based on an exam less thorough than the one used to grant the rating
- VA cannot reduce a rating if the improvement is temporary or cannot be maintained while Vet is working or actively seeking work

SIMON V. WILKIE 30 VET. APP. 403 (2018)



ssue:

• Do the 5 year rating protection rules in 38 C.F.R. § 3.344(a) apply only to ratings that remain at precisely the same percentage for 5 years or more?



- 1/1968 PEB: Vet unable to perform duties due to PTSD
- 2/1968: Vet discharged from the military
- 3/1968: RO granted SC for PTSD with a 50% rating, effective 3/1/1968
- 7/1969: VA PTSD exam
- 10/1969: RO reduced PTSD rating to 30% because disability appeared to be improving
- 8/1974: VA PTSD exam: "functioning rather adequately"



- 1974: RO reduced PTSD rating from 30% to 10%, effective 12/1/1974, because "the current exam shows a good industrial and social adjustment."
- 2014: Vet claimed CUE in 1974 decision:
 - Had rating of at least 30% longer than 5 years
 - VA did not afford him the protections of § 3.344 when it reduced rating below 30%



- 2017: BVA found no CUE in 1974 rating decision
 - § 3.344 did not apply to PTSD rating in 1974 because rating did not continue at the same level for 5 years, so it was not stabilized
 - § 3.344 applies when a Vet has the exact same disability rating for at least a 5-year period



- Was BVA right that § 3.344 did not apply?
 - Yes, 30% rating was in effect less than 5 years
 - No, he was at least 30% for more than 5 years
 - Yes, but because it only applies when disability gets worse (30% to 50%), not improves (50% to 30%)



CAVC held:

- 5-year requirement cannot be met by combining 2 periods with different ratings, regardless of whether the ratings went up or down
- Rating gets heightened protection only when it has existed at the <u>exact same</u> <u>percentage</u> for at least 5 years

RATINGS IN EFFECT FOR 20 YEARS 38 C.F.R. § 3.951(b)



- If a disability has been continuously rated for at least 20 years, VA cannot reduce the rating below its lowest level during that period
 - Exception: VA discovers rating based on fraud

RATINGS IN EFFECT FOR 20 YEARS 38 C.F.R. § 3.951(b)



- Example: If Vet is granted comp based upon an original rating of 30% and for the next 20 years the rating varies between 30% and 100%, the rating cannot then be reduced below 30% in the absence of fraud
- 20 year protection rule applies even to rating levels assigned retroactively because a previous final decision is revised based on CUE

RATING REDUCTIONS AND STAGED RATINGS



- If Vet has staged ratings, this can lead to various protections coming into play
- Hypo #1:
 - 1997: VA granted SC for PTSD at 30%
 - 2010: VA increased rating to 50%
 - 2016: VA increased rating to 70%

RATING REDUCTIONS AND STAGED RATINGS



- Hypo #1 (cont.):
 - 2019: VA proposes to reduce PTSD rating
 - What protection rules apply?
 - 20-year and 5-year protections (and general rules for ratings in effect < 5 years)

RATING REDUCTIONS AND STAGED RATINGS



- Hypo #1 (cont.):
 - What would the VA have to show to reduce the rating below 70%?
 - No protection applies to the 70% rating.
 But, VA must show that there has been an actual change in Vet's PTSD to reduce below 70%

RATING REDUCTIONS AND STAGED RATINGS



- Hypo #1 (cont.):
 - What would the VA have to show to reduce the rating below 50%?
 - 50% rating has stabilized, so VA would have to show that all evidence of record establishes that the PTSD has permanently improved to reduce below 50%

RATING REDUCTIONS AND STAGED RATINGS



- Hypo #1 (cont.):
 - What would the VA have to show to reduce the rating below 30%?
 - VA cannot reduce the rating below 30%, unless there was evidence of fraud

RATING REDUCTION RULES



These principles come from:

• Brown v. Brown, 5 Vet. App. 413 (1993)

• Faust v. West, 13 Vet. App. 342 (2000)

RATING REDUCTION RULES



- Relevant VA Regulations (38 C.F.R.):
 - § 4.1: each disability must be viewed in relation to its history
 - § 4.2: disabilities should be considered from the perspective of the Vet working or seeking work

RATING REDUCTION RULES



- Relevant VA Regulations (38 C.F.R.):
 - § 4.10: evaluation should be based on the limitations the disability imposes on the Vet's ability to function under the ordinary conditions of daily life, including employment
 - § 4.13: changes in a disability rating should be based on an actual change in Vet's condition, and not just a difference in the thoroughness of the exam that was performed

REDUCTION OF 100% RATINGS 38 C.F.R. § 3.343



• VA cannot reduce a total disability rating unless there has been a material (meaningful) improvement of the condition(s) rated 100%

 VA must compare new evidence of the severity of the condition to the evidence last used to grant (or continue) the 100% rating

REDUCTION OF 100% RATINGS 38 C.F.R. § 3.343



- VA cannot reduce the rating unless the condition improved while Vet was working or actively seeking a job
- If material improvement would allow VA to reduce Vet's rating under the VA Rating Schedule, Vet may still qualify for TDIU

REDUCTION OF 100% RATINGS38 C.F.R. § 3.343



- VA may not reduce TDIU unless there is clear and convincing evidence Vet is actually employable
- VA cannot reduce TDIU based on Vet securing and following substantially gainful occupation unless Vet maintains the occupation for I2 consecutive months

REVISION OF RATING SCHEDULE 38 U.S.C. § 1155



- VA revises its Rating Schedule from time to time
- A rating in effect at the time of a revision cannot be reduced unless the disability has actually improved
- VA must continue to use the old rating criteria until the improvement of the condition would cause a rating reduction under that criteria
- VA will then apply the new rating criteria, even if it would cause a greater reduction than the old criteria

EXAMPLE – CHANGES TO VA RATING SCHEDULE



- VA revises the rating criteria for condition Y so that it is less beneficial for Vets:
 - 30% (old criteria) = 20% (new criteria)
 - 20% (old criteria) = 10% (new criteria)
- Vet is SC for condition Y at 30% (old criteria)
- When change in rating criteria goes into effect, Vet will maintain 30% rating

EXAMPLE – CHANGES TO VA RATING SCHEDULE



- But, if Vet's condition improves to the 20% level under the old criteria:
 - VA can apply the new criteria to his condition
 - Vet's rating will be reduced to 10%

VA VIOLATIONS OF THE RULES



• If VA does not correctly apply the rules regarding rating reductions, a rating reduction is invalid and VA must reinstate the old rating, retroactive to the date of the reduction



- Generally, SC (and DIC) can be severed where the grant was:
 - Clearly and unmistakably erroneous
 - 38 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)
 - Due to fraud
 - Clearly illegal



- Severance based on CUE
 - VA's burden to show that grant was "clearly and unmistakably erroneous"
 - VA can develop and consider new evidence when making this determination



- Examples of CUE sufficient to sever SC:
 - Change in medical diagnosis, if the examining physician certifies that based on all of the accumulated evidence, the diagnosis on which SC was granted was clearly erroneous
 - Certification must include "a summary of the facts, findings, and reasons" supporting the conclusion



- Severance due to fraud
 - A person who knowingly makes a false or fraudulent statement concerning any claim for VA benefits forfeits his or her rights to VA benefits.



- Severance due to fraud
 - VARO must first send claimant written notice:
 - I. statement of the specific charges
 - 2. detailed statement of evidence supporting the charges
 - 3. notice of the right to submit evidence or a statement in rebuttal or explanation w/in 60 days
 - 4. citation and discussion of the applicable statute
 - 5. notice of the right to a hearing and representation by counsel



- Severance when grant clearly illegal
 - If evidence establishes that grant was clearly illegal, VA can sever
 - Ex: National Guardsmen were not legally entitled to SC for disabilities that resulted from being called into the service of the state of New Mexico, rather than the federal gov't

PROTECTION: 10 YEARS OF SC 38 C.F.R. § 3.957



- If SC for a disability (or DIC) has been in effect for at least 10 years, VA cannot change the SC status of that disability (or sever DIC), unless
 - SC (or DIC) was obtained by fraud
 - VA discovers Vet lacks the required length or character of service
- Evaluation may be reduced, but SC can't be severed

VA PROCEDURES TO REDUCE OR SEVER BENEFITS

VA PROCEDURES TO REDUCE OR STOP BENEFITS 38 C.F.R. §§ 3.103, 3.105



- VA must give Vet prior notice of its intent to stop or reduce benefits
- Vet has 60 days to submit evidence to convince VA to continue the current rating
- Vet has right to a hearing
 - Must request hearing w/in 30 days of VA notice
 - VA can't finalize its decision until after hearing

VA PROCEDURES TO REDUCE OR STOP BENEFITS 38 C.F.R. §§ 3.103, 3.105



 If Vet does not submit evidence, VA will finalize its decision

 Reduction or termination will go into effect 60 days after notice of final decision

VA PROCEDURES TO REDUCE OR STOP BENEFITS 38 C.F.R. §§ 3.103, 3.105



- VA does not need to give advance notice of termination or reduction if:
 - Overall amount of compensation would not change w/ rating reduction
 - Vet gives VA factual info about income, net worth, dependency or marital status, knowing it will be used to calculate benefits
 - There is reliable evidence Vet has died

INCRE HYPOS





- Vet had 20% ratings for arthritis in both knees for two years
- VA conducted an exam which showed improved ROM from earlier exam; mentioned pain on ROM and flare-ups of pain, but did not specify where pain began or whether it caused functional loss
- VA reduced rating to 10% in each knee based on the exam

OUTCOME #2



- Exam was inadequate because it failed to properly address flare-ups under DeLuca v. Brown and Mitchell v. Shinseki
- Because exam was inadequate, reduction was invalid
- Vet's 20 percent ratings in both knees were retroactively reinstated



- For the last 6 years, Vet SC for a mental disorder at 50%
- VA scheduled reexamination
- VA examiner concluded that mental disorder caused occupational and social impairment with occasional decrease in work efficiency with the following symptoms: depressed mood; chronic sleep impairment; mild memory loss; impaired judgment; and impaired abstract thinking



- To combat the proposed rating reduction, Vet examined by a private psychiatrist
- Private examiner concluded the mental disorder caused occupational and social impairment with reduced reliability and productivity with the following symptoms: panic attacks more than once a week; impaired judgment; impaired abstract thinking; depressed mood; and mild memory loss



- How should you frame your argument?
 - The evidence shows that the Vet is entitled to a 50% rating, or at the very least, the evidence is equally balanced and the Vet should be given the benefit of the doubt

OR

 All of the evidence in the claims file does not support the conclusion that the Vet's mental condition has permanently improved; thus, his rating should not be reduced

ANSWER #3



- The burden is not on the Vet, it is on VA
- Frame the argument around the fact that not all of the evidence supports the conclusion that the mental condition has permanently improved
- Address the private exam and the symptoms that support a 50% rating.
 - But remember, Vet does not have to prove entitlement to the current rating





- Determine what type of rating it is and whether special protection rules apply
 - Over 20 years?
 - Over 5 years?
 - 100%?
 - TDIU?





 When proposed reduction/severance rating decision or rating decision finalizing reduction/severance occurs before protective period, but reduction/severance is effective on or after protective period, ensure VA considered correct protective rules



- Check exams very closely
 - Proper tests: Depending on the disability, make sure all the required tests were performed. Check DBQs and VA Clinicians' Guide
 - <u>Rationale</u>: Make sure the examiner provides a sufficient rationale



- Check exams very closely (cont.)
 - <u>DeLuca/Mitchell Compliance</u>: Make sure exam adequately addresses functional loss, flare-ups, repeated use
 - Explanation of "material improvement" in light of entire history of disability
 - Explanation of how improvement can be maintained under ordinary conditions of life and work



- Check exams very closely (cont.)
 - Compare exams used to assign original or most recent rating and exam upon which VA is basing its proposal to reduce
 - Try to find any ways in which new exam is not as thorough as original exam



- If exam is not sufficient, and VA already implemented reduction, insist on reversal of the decision and reinstatement of the higher rating retroactive to the date of reduction
- Remember: burden is on VA, NOT the Vet

QUESTIONS?



