1	STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
2	BOARD OF BOILER RULES
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE
9	STATE OF TENNESSEE BOARD OF BOILER RULES
10	
11	January 19, 2023
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	ORIGINAL
20	
21	
22	
23	CASSANDRA M. BEILING, LCR# 371 STONE & GEORGE COURT REPORTING
24	2020 Fieldstone Parkway Suite 900 - PMB 234
25	Franklin, Tennessee 37069 615.268.1244

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Brian Morelock, Chairman Owner-User Representative 3 David W. Baughman 4 Owner/User Representative Allied Boiler & Supply, Inc. 5 4006 River Lane Milton, Tennessee 37118 6 Jeffery Henry, Board Member 7 Boiler Manufacturer Representative ATC-CES, Chattanooga, Tennessee 8 Micah Lashley, Board Member 9 Insurance Representative 10 Chris O'Guin, Chief Boiler Inspector 11 Philip Hickerson, Assistant Chief Boiler Inspector 12 Deniece Thomas, Commissioner Tennessee Department of Labor 1.3 Dan Bailey, Esq., Legal Counsel 14 Tennessee Department of Labor 15 Dewayne Scott, Deputy Commissioner Tennessee Department of Labor 16 Michele Irion, Boiler Board Secretary 17 Tennessee Department of Labor 18 Kenneth Nealy, Assistant Administrator Tennessee Department of Labor 19 Mia-Lyn Wiley, Boiler Admin Staff Supervisor 20 Tennessee Department of Labor 21 Tia Xixis, Chief of Staff Tennessee Department of Labor 2.2 Matthew Grove 23 Tennessee Department of Labor 24 James Neville, Neville Engineering 25 Matt Creager, Valero Memphis Refinery

1	Appearances continued:
2	Marty Toth, ECS Consulting
3	Chris Bradley, Communications
4	Brad Holloway, Mo's Mechanical
5	Mike O'Connor, Mo's Mechanical
6	Paul Moliski, Intertek
7	Tyler Milone, Sunbelt Marketing
8	Shannon Beason, Rinnai America
9	Rohan Scafe, Rinnai America
10	Branden Matue, FM Global
11	Allyson Lynch, Butler Snow
12	Ray Stephens, International Paper
13	Bryan Loder, International Paper
14	Tom Holly (via telephone) BWXT Nuclear Fuel Services
15	BWAI NUCLEAL FUEL SELVICES
16	STONE & GEORGE COURT REPORTING Cassandra M. Beiling, LCR
17	cassandia M. Derring, Der
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 A G E N D A 2 I. Call Meeting to Order 3 II. Introductions and Announcements III. 4 Adoption of Agenda 5 IV. Approval of the September 14, 2022 Meeting Minutes 6 ν. Chief Boiler Inspector's Report 7 VI. Variance Report 8 VII. Old Business 9 None 10 VIII. New Business 22-10 Valero Memphis Refinery 11 22-11 International Paper 22-12 Mersen USA 22-13 BWXT Nuclear Fuel Services 12 1.3 IX. Rule Case & Interpretations BC 22-01 Intertek 14 BC 22-04 Clearance Requirements for Wall Mounted Tankless Boilers 15 х. Open Discussion Items 16 None 17 XI. Announcement of Next Meeting March 8, 2023 18 June 21, 2023 September 13, 2023 19 December 13, 2023 20 XII. Adjournment 21 2.2 23 \*\* Reporter's Note: All names are spelled 24 phonetically unless otherwise provided to the 25 Reporter by the parties.

\* \* \* \* \* \* 1 2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Good morning, everybody. I want to welcome you to the 3 4 January Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules meeting 5 today. 6 I hope you signed in when you came 7 in. If not, please do. We're going to try to make sure we've got everybody's names and 8 9 everything. It will be good to get a record that 10 you're here, and it will also help with the court 11 reporter as we do the minutes. 12 So we'll -- here in a little bit, 13 we'll have introductions. And when you do 14 introduce yourself, do as best you can to be 15 heard, and between that and signing in, I think 16 we'll be able to get a record of your attendance 17 here today. 18 I hope you do have an agenda. 19 I'm going to call the meeting to 20 order. And I do have just a quick safety item and 21 just some information. This is a big room, so 22 like I said, please speak up. We do have 23 microphones, when I turn mine on, but -- so that 24 we can capture the conversations and the 25 questions. So please do that.

Again, we do have an agenda. We're 1 2 going to adopt that here in just a few minutes. 3 But, please, for the courtesy of those that are 4 presenting and just for the conversations that 5 we're going to have today, please silence your 6 cell phones. 7 And the safety item is, we had some 8 storms come through last night, and so just in the event we would have a weather event or something 9 10 here, we have security personnel that would either 11 take us to a safe place in the building or, if 12 need be, take us out to the Rosa Parks side of the 13 building for a safe assembly. So that's just a 14 little bit of a safety item. 15 So with that, I'm going to go down to 16 introductions and announcements. So with that said, Micah, I'll let 17 18 you start with the introductions. MR. LASHLEY: Micah Lashley, 19 20 insurance representative, Chubb Insurance. 21 MR. BAUGHMAN: Dave Baughman, 22 Boiler Rules board member with Allied Boiler and 23 Supply out of Murfreesboro. 24 And before it moves on, I want to 25 congratulate Brian on his first grandchild being

born. 1 2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you. I do not have any pictures or any slides, so 3 4 you're safe. I'll bore you to tears with them 5 later. I am very blessed for that, and it's a 6 great journey so far, so thank you for that. 7 I am Brian Morelock. I'm the board 8 chair, and I work for Eastman Chemical Company. 9 MR. HENRY: Jeff Henry, board 10 member with ATC Chattanooga. 11 THE REPORTER: Cassandra 12 Beiling, Stone & George Court Reporting. 1.3 MR. BAILEY: Dan Bailey, legal 14 counsel. 15 MS. THOMAS: Good morning. Ι 16 am Deniece Thomas, Commissioner of the Tennessee 17 Department of Labor & Workforce Development. 18 MR. SCOTT: Dewayne Scott, 19 Deputy Commissioner for the Department of Labor. 20 MR. O'GUIN: Chris O'Guin, 21 Chief Inspector. MR. HICKERSON: Philip 2.2 Hickerson, Assistant Chief Inspector. 23 24 MS. WILEY: Mia-Lyn Wiley. I 25 am the Boiler Admin Staff Supervisor.

1 MR. BRADLEY: Chris Bradley, 2 Communications. 3 MR. TOTH: Marty Toth, ECS 4 Consulting and the Boisco Training Group. 5 MR. CREAGER: Matt Creager, 6 Chief Inspector, Valero Memphis Refinery. 7 MR. HOLLOWAY: Brad Holloway, 8 Mo's Mechanical from Knoxville, Tennessee. 9 THE REPORTER: Remember to 10 speak up, please. 11 MR. O'CONNOR: Mike O'Connor, 12 Sr., with Mo's Mechanical Company. MR. MOLISKI: Hi. Good 1.3 14 morning. My name is Paul Moliski. I'm with 15 Intertek. I'm Vice President of Accreditation. 16 MR. NEVILLE: James Neville, 17 President of Neville Engineering. 18 MR. MILONE: Tyler Milone, 19 Sunbelt Marketing. 20 MS. BEASON: Shannon Beason, 21 Rinnai America. 2.2 MR. SCAFE: Rohan Scafe, Rinnai 23 America. 24 MR. MATUE: Branden Matue, 25 FM Global, boiler inspector.

1 MS. LYNCH: Allyson Lynch, 2 Butler Snow. 3 MR. GROVE: Matthew Grove, 4 Tennessee Department of Labor & Workforce 5 Development. 6 MR. STEPHENS: Ray Stephens, 7 International Paper Murfreesboro. 8 MR. LODER: Bryan Loder, Site 9 Manager, International Paper Murfreesboro. 10 MR. NEALY: Kenneth Nealy, 11 administrative with WRC. 12 MS. XIXIS: Tia Xixis, 13 Tennessee Department of Labor & Workforce 14 Development. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 16 Thank you, all. 17 Our next item is adoption of the 18 agenda. And so before we vote that, we have a 19 couple of changes that we need to make to this 20 agenda. 21 The first item being is that we will 22 not have a variance report at this meeting, so we 23 will move that to the March agenda. 24 And we also have a change on the 25 agenda, which is Mersen, which is Item 3. They

1 have requested to table this item and put it on 2 the March Tennessee Board Meeting agenda. 3 Are there any other changes to the 4 proposed agenda? 5 (No verbal response.) 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 7 Hearing none, do I have a motion to approve the 8 amended agenda? 9 MR. HENRY: So moved. 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. I've 11 got a motion. 12 MR. LASHLEY: Second. 1.3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I've got a 14 second. Any comments or questions? 15 (No verbal response.) 16 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 17 none, I'm going to call the question. All in 18 favor say "aye." 19 (Affirmative response.) 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 21 abstentions, not voting? 2.2 (No verbal response.) 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: We have an 24 approved agenda. 25 That takes us to the next item on the

agenda, which is approval of the meeting minutes 1 2 for the September 14, 2022 meeting. Are there any 3 questions, corrections to the September meeting 4 minutes? 5 (No verbal response.) 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 7 Hearing none, do I have a motion to approve the 8 September 14, 2022 minutes? 9 MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved. 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I've got a 11 motion. Do I have a second? 12 MR. LASHLEY: Second. 1.3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second. 14 Last call for comments or changes, corrections. 15 (No verbal response.) 16 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 17 none, I'll call the question. All in favor say 18 "ave." 19 (Affirmative response.) 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 21 abstentions, not voting? 2.2 (No verbal response.) CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: 23 We have 24 approved minutes for September 14. 25 That will take us to the Chief Boiler

1 Inspector's Report. I'll turn that over to Chief O'Guin. 2 3 MR. O'GUIN: Thank you, 4 Chairman. 5 I would like to start off with, we've 6 been fortunate enough to hire two additional 7 inspectors in Shelby County, so that brings us to a total of four. That's the first time since I've 8 9 been with the Department, in six years, that we've 10 been fully staffed in Shelby County. So that's 11 showing a good path we're headed in the western 12 area. 1.3 We've hired two additional inspectors 14 for Davidson County. Both of those inspectors 15 have passed the National Board exam. So 16 mid-February we should have four inspectors in the 17 Davidson County area. 18 We have hired one apprenticeship 19 program, so they're starting off as a boiler 20 assistant. They'll be on probation for a year, 21 going through the 800-hour training program 22 through the National Board. Once done, they'll 23 become a Boiler Inspector 1. 24 We're in the process of hiring a 25 second boiler apprentice, so we've got a good path

going forward, getting inspectors and starting the 1 2 training program. 3 For the BEAD system we're currently 4 on, we have been having some issues that we're 5 trying to work through. But we're looking at a 6 June date for JRS, which is the National Board 7 system, with the possibility of going live in 8 March. So I kind of want to get that out so the 9 owner-operators and insurance companies can be 10 looking for a path with the JRS system. 11 If anybody has any questions, they're 12 welcome to come to me after the meeting. We'll 1.3 discuss it. 14 That's all for the Chief's report. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you. 16 Any questions of Chief O'Guin? 17 (No verbal response.) 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 19 Thank you. 20 That will take us to old business, 21 which we have no old business. 2.2 Moving on to the next item, which is 23 new business, the first item we have is 24 Item 22-10, Valero Memphis Refinery, located in 25 Memphis, Tennessee. They will present their

1	annual Risk Based Inspection Program update.
2	It's okay. You can come up to the
3	public podium here and introduce yourself and
4	present your report.
5	MR. CREAGER: Good morning.
6	I'm Matt Creager. I'm the Chief Inspector with
7	Valero Memphis Refinery. I'm here this morning to
8	present our annual RBI Program review for our
9	plant. You should have a packet here in front of
10	you. I'll go ahead and go through that.
11	The Risk Based Inspection Program
12	continues to be active at the Valero Memphis
13	Refinery. The refinery continues to maintain
14	scheduled damage mechanisms; specific inspections
15	planned and executed on-stream during routine
16	maintenance and major maintenance outages.
17	Key activities related to the Memphis
18	site and RBI Program in 2022 are as follows: The
19	refinery executed maintenance outages on equipment
20	and several process units. There were damage
21	mechanisms, specific inspection activities, and
22	preventative maintenance workscopes conducted on
23	all affected pressure vessels. All work scope was
24	assessed using risk-based work selection process.
25	Major maintenance activities for 2022

included unit outages on the CCR naphtha 1 2 hydrotreater, west crude and sulphur recovery 3 number one units. Planning efforts are ongoing 4 for our scheduled 2023, 2024, and 2025 maintenance 5 outages. 6 The site underwent a corporate 7 hydrochloric acid unit network assessment. This 8 evaluation is completed on a three-year interval. This comprehensive survey is conducted by a team 9 10 of Valero corporate subject matter experts on all 11 aspects of hydrochloric acid unit safety, 12 corrosion, operation, and inspection. 1.3 The Memphis site showed measurable 14 improvement from previous assessments and scored 15 the highest in the Valero circuit. Gap closure 16 items have been input into a corrective action 17 plan for further improvements. 18 The Memphis refinery has been a TOSHA VPP Star site since 2017 and achieved milestones 19 20 for 1 million man hours' work without a reportable 21 injury by both site contractors and Valero 2.2 employees in 2022. 23 Table A at the top of the second page 24 outlines the inspections and types of inspections 25 performed in 2022 and planned for 2023.

Evergreen activities for the RBI 1 2 Program include reviewing the assigned damage 3 mechanisms and executing proper inspection 4 techniques, recording inspection results and 5 grading them per respective effectiveness tables, 6 scheduling the next inspection per RBI 7 methodology. 8 Nonintrusive inspection techniques 9 are executed during external inspections. Routine 10 corrosion monitoring and specialty nondestructive 11 testing are performed when required. 12 Revalidation of fluid properties and 13 operating conditions are ongoing and completed on 14 a five-year interval. Jurisdictional inspection 15 activities on registered equipment are maintained 16 with zero delinquencies. Process equipment is 17 circuitized and risk-ranked in the RBI Program. 18 Our data management software 19 integrates design data, visual inspection history, 20 thickness monitoring data, assigned damage 21 mechanism, inspection results, and inspection 2.2 scheduling within the RBI module. 23 The risk data and distribution is 24 outlined below with a comparison from the 2021 25 report to the current report and the net change in

1 each risk level. 2 Key inspection results from 2022 3 included an overall 185 internal, 318 external, 4 and 5 corrosion under insulation inspections 5 performed this year in accordance with the RBI 6 Program. 7 Jurisdictional inspections are 8 scheduled and current, were handled separately 9 from the RBI Program. We executed insulation and 10 fireproofing repairs based on CUI and external 11 visual inspection recommendations. 12 A full summary of our RBI Program for 1.3 the plant is outlined below with the total number 14 of pressure vessel equipments in the system, along 15 with the number of circuits applicable to those 16 assets. 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any 18 questions for Mr. Creager on the Valero RBI 19 report? 20 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes. 21 Dave Baughman. 2.2 MR. CREAGER: Yes, sir. 23 MR. BAUGHMAN: Were there any 24 mechanisms during the inspection or during the 25 operations in 2022 that were noteworthy of

mentioning? 1 2 MR. CREAGER: I don't recall 3 any significant surprise findings, I guess, on any 4 of our inspections. We had a few things that were 5 discovered on, I quess, not pressure vessels, 6 piping-type things that we elevated through our 7 engineering department that we monitor regularly. 8 There are a few items that we have in 9 our system that are monitored on a regular 10 frequency for corrosion that is known. They're 11 scheduled and reevaluated no more than annually 12 per our procedures. Sometimes it's -- the 1.3 interval is brought in to a shorter duration based 14 on engineering analysis. 15 So those items are scheduled to be 16 corrected prior to reaching any type of a minimum 17 required thickness or whatever damage that we 18 found. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: As to be 20 expected. So nothing that was out of the 21 ordinary, kind of status quo? 2.2 MR. CREAGER: Yeah. It was 23 pretty -- so the CCR that was mentioned on the first page, that was kind of our first go-around 24 25 on that unit. So we had a few abnormal things,

1	but they weren't so much corrosion-related as
2	opposed to they more operational issues.
3	MR. BAUGHMAN: So moving
4	forward to '23, our internal inspections are quite
5	fewer, that are scheduled or planned. Same thing
6	with our external, correct?
7	MR. CREAGER: Yes, sir. That
8	kind of reflects the spring of 2022. We had about
9	a ten-week-long outage that encapsulated the units
10	that were mentioned up there on the first page,
11	the CCR, our west crude unit and a couple others
12	that had partial unit outages. We have a couple
13	of small unit outages scheduled this year. Our
14	next major outage year is 2025, currently.
15	MR. BAUGHMAN: Great. Thank
16	you very much.
17	MR. CREAGER: Yes, sir.
18	MR. LASHLEY: I have a
19	question. Micah Lashley.
20	I see that you have three current,
21	medium high, and then that changed to zero from
22	2021. Are those the same three vessels?
23	MR. CREAGER: I believe so.
24	And I wish when I got here this morning that I
25	would have documented what three those were

because I thought that question might come up. 1 Ι 2 can get that information out to you guys if you 3 would like to see what that is. 4 The medium high doesn't necessarily 5 reflect a potential loss of containment or 6 something hazardous. Many times the medium highs 7 reflect an economic risk to the refineries. 8 There's, obviously, several vessels out there that 9 affect multiple process units, so if there were to 10 be a mechanical issue with those pressure vessels, 11 it would shut down quite a bit of production. 12 So I can't speak to say that those 13 are exactly what those are, but I can certainly 14 follow up with you. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. That 16 would be good. That would be good. 17 MR. HENRY: First of all, 18 congratulations on a million man hours. That's 19 impressive. 20 You mentioned the fact that the site 21 showed measurable improvement previous. Was that 22 based on results from previous RBI inspections 23 that you had identified areas that had to be areas 24 of focus? 25 MR. CREAGER: Are you referring

1	to the first page on the audit for the HR?
2	MR. HENRY: Yes.
3	MR. CREAGER: Yes, sir. So
4	that was that's an internal metric that Valero
5	uses as a corporation. Eight of our fifteen
6	refineries have a hydrochloric acid unit, so
7	there's a hydrochloric team at corporate and at
8	each of the sites. It measures safety,
9	procedures, but also reliability.
10	They go back and audit our MOC
11	process to ensure that we've followed everything
12	accurately and updated our records accordingly.
13	Our processes are audited to quality packages from
14	maintenance work, turnaround work to ensure that
15	everything was documented properly, we followed
16	our procedures, all NDE was proper and it was
17	graded proper. So as mentioned in here, that's
18	conducted every three years.
19	So each of the audits we've showed
20	score improvement. And we also, obviously, work
21	to gain additional traction in areas where they
22	find deficiencies in the subsequent years leading
23	into the subsequent assessment.
24	MR. HENRY: Thank you.
25	MR. CREAGER: Yes, sir.

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other questions or comments? 2 3 (No verbal response.) CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 4 5 none, do I have a motion for the Board to accept 6 this report? 7 MR. LASHLEY: I motion. 8 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I've got a 9 motion. Second? 10 MR. HENRY: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. Last 12 call for any comments or questions. 1.3 (No verbal response.) 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I'll call 15 the question. All in favor say "aye." 16 (Affirmative response.) 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 18 abstentions, not voting? 19 (No verbal response.) 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 21 MR. CREAGER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you 2.2 23 for the report. It's a good report. 24 Okay. So that takes us to our next 25 item, which is 22-11. International Paper

1 requests consideration for approval of a variance 2 to a boiler attendant. 3 While they're coming forward to 4 introduce themselves, does the Board have any 5 conflicts of interest on this item? 6 (No verbal response.) 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. Νo 8 conflicts. 9 MR. NEVILLE: Hello. I'm James 10 Neville with Neville Engineering. 11 MR. STEPHENS: I'm Ray 12 Stephens, Maintenance Manager, International Paper 1.3 Murfreesboro. 14 MR. LODER: I'm Bryan Loder, 15 Site Manager, International Paper Murfreesboro. 16 MR. NEVILLE: Today we're 17 looking to request a variance for one boiler. 18 We've identified that boiler. Bryan may --19 If you would give the Board just a 20 description of what your company does at your 21 location. MR. LODER: Absolutely. So 2.2 23 we're a container and packaging facility. So 24 basic terms, we make corrugated boxes for our 25 consumers. Our process really consists of taking

1	rolls of paper, putting it on a corrugated
2	machine. We corrugate those to bond to make
3	sheets, and those sheets then go over to our other
4	converting department. And then at that point,
5	those converting machines convert those to boxes,
6	and then we'll prioritize those and ship those out
7	to our customers.
8	MR. NEVILLE: So in that, they
9	have one high-pressure boiler that will be part of
10	this variance. We've documented that in
11	Appendix A.
12	But if you'll look at page 2, it
13	shows the distance of the proposed remote station,
14	which is a control it's a control room in the
15	plant. It's about 125 feet from the boiler room.
16	That remote station will be manned by the slitter
17	operator.
18	And as far as the personnel that will
19	attend the boiler, there's two job descriptions
20	for that listed, the Maintenance Tech AAA and then
21	the Maintenance Manager. Those will be the two
22	that we've listed their job descriptions in
23	Appendix G.
24	As part of this, this is an existing
25	boiler. They plan to upgrade the controls on this

1	boiler. In Appendix B we've listed those new
2	controls that they will be installing. They have
3	not been installed yet, but the plan is to install
4	those controls. That's the Cleaver-Brooks CB780E
5	controller.
6	Are there any questions from the
7	Board?
8	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Do I have a
9	motion to discuss?
10	MR. HENRY: So moved.
11	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second?
12	MR. LASHLEY: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. What
14	questions does the Board have for this proposed
15	variance?
16	Mr. Neville, a question I have is, on
17	page well, looking at the checklist, it's on
18	page 5, but can you elaborate on the annual
19	training and who does it, what records are kept
20	and all that, please?
21	MR. NEVILLE: So in our form
22	chart on Appendix D, so the maintenance manager
23	will be in charge of that training. And that
24	would be kept, Appendix H, as a log of that annual
25	training. And the job description of each annual

training is mentioned. That's an annual event. 1 2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. And 3 so you've got a process to have documentation of 4 the training and all that? 5 MR. NEVILLE: Yes. On page 5 6 under Training. We list that. 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: The 8 documentation log? Is that --9 MR. NEVILLE: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 11 MR. NEVILLE: So Appendix H is 12 the log that would be for that training. And we 13 can reference that in page 5 if that's... 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yeah, okay. I see that. Thank you. 15 16 What other questions does the Board 17 have? 18 MR. LASHLEY: What's the 19 anticipated date of installation for your new 20 controller? 21 MR. STEPHENS: Tentatively, 22 February 11 and 12. 23 MR. LASHLEY: Thank you. MR. HENRY: Mr. Chairman? 24 25 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes.

1 MR. HENRY: Mr. Neville, first 2 of all, on page 5, I think there's just a bit of 3 an error where you referenced the operator, that should be Appendix G, I believe. 4 5 MR. NEVILLE: Yes. 6 MR. HENRY: And on page 6, you 7 reference the boiler attendant, in case of an 8 emergency, will attempt to notify other 9 individuals on emergency call list. 10 Is that call list identified 11 anywhere, or can you give us some indication of 12 who those individuals would be? 13 MR. NEVILLE: The emergency 14 call list is on page 11. The Maintenance Tech AAA 15 and Corrugated Supervisor, Maintenance Manager. 16 MR. HENRY: Oh, okay. Sorry. 17 I missed that. Thank you. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 20 What other questions? 21 MR. BAUGHMAN: Glad you guys 2.2 are here. Dave Baughman. 23 What controllers are on the boiler 24 presently if you're updating it to the 780? 25 MR. STEPHENS: It's actually --

they call it the Hawk system and it really 1 2 doesn't -- it's not working properly. It's 3 outdated. So the upgrade is to bring it up to 4 date and make it easier to control. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: Got you. A 6 Cleaver-Brook Hawk system not operating properly. 7 But that Hawk system already has its 8 own programmer with it also. So I was kind of 9 interested in -- so you're updating the Hawk, 10 going to the newest Hawk, but you're updating the 11 programmer also. 12 MR. STEPHENS: Correct. 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: And I was 14 interested in what programmer is on it presently. 15 MR. STEPHENS: I can't think of 16 the name of it. It's Cleaver-Brooks... 17 MR. BAUGHMAN: That's okay. 18 MR. STEPHENS: I can't think of 19 the name of it right this minute, but yeah. 20 MR. BAUGHMAN: That's 21 all right. It's more of a curious thing on the 22 system being here. That boiler has been there a 23 while. So I was kind of -- it's operated under 24 the previous rules of attendance that we've had in 25 the state of Tennessee, and now we're implementing

the variance. 1 2 I don't see an example of the remote 3 station in the manual. Did I miss that or is 4 there --5 MR. NEVILLE: The remote 6 station has not been installed yet. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. So none 8 of the equipment has been installed, and --9 MR. NEVILLE: That is correct. 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- it's planned 11 on being installed February 11th and 12 February 12th. 1.3 MR. NEVILLE: That is correct. 14 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. So that 15 being said, back to the slitter operator. 16 Slitter operator is the one inside of 17 the corrugating plant that has the job duties of 18 being the remote station attendant also. 19 MR. STEPHENS: Correct. 20 MR. BAUGHMAN: Being in that 21 corrugated plant, are you required to have hearing 2.2 protection? 23 MR. STEPHENS: Yes, sir. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And do 25 you know what decibel that protection is to?

1 MR. LODER: I believe it's at 2 80, I believe. 3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And your 4 remote station. How is it going to enunciate? 5 MR. STEPHENS: You don't need 6 hearing protection inside the control room. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 8 MR. NEVILLE: It's a separate 9 control room inside the plant. 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: Got you. So 11 this remote station is not external. It's in its 12 own station. The slitter operator is inside of 1.3 that station itself. 14 MR. STEPHENS: Correct. 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: One operator or 16 multiples? 17 MR. STEPHENS: One per shift. 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And when 19 he takes breaks? 20 MR. STEPHENS: Somebody is 21 always in the control room. 2.2 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 23 MR. STEPHENS: So whoever that 24 secondary person is will be trained as well. 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And is

1 that secondary person identified as being trained 2 and qualified also, or is it just the slitter? 3 MR. LODER: They're considered 4 a slitter operator as well. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. 6 MR. LODER: So we have multiple 7 slitter operators. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Perfect. Okay. 9 This system, does it bring back high 10 temperature, higher-pressure condensate back to 11 the DA? 12 MR. STEPHENS: Yes. 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. Is it 14 considered a stickle system? MR. STEPHENS: I can't remember 15 16 the name of the system. It's newer to me. It's 17 got the two pumps on the side there. I think it's 18 called a stickle system. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 20 MR. STEPHENS: But I'm not 21 exactly sure on it. 2.2 MR. BAUGHMAN: So you're using 23 orifice traps out in the plant itself. MR. STEPHENS: 24 Yes. 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: Bringing us

1 back. And that's open for discussion because back 2 some time ago, we decided that that system would 3 no longer be allowed in the state of Tennessee 4 because of the incident over at the other plant in 5 town, bringing back the high-pressure condensate, 6 high-temperature condensate, and that allowed for 7 the possibility of some issues. 8 But, again, I wanted that for my own 9 notes moving forward because it doesn't bear any 10 significance on our variance here. 11 Are you doing any monitoring of the 12 DA itself, any alarms going back to the remote station off of the DA? 1.3 14 MR. STEPHENS: Yes. 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: Super. And what 16 would those alarms be? 17 MR. STEPHENS: There's a high 18 level and a low level. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: Great. I'm glad 20 to hear that. Thank you. 21 How many doors do you have in that 2.2 boiler room? 23 MR. STEPHENS: Just one. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: Just one. For a 25 500-horse boiler.

MR. STEPHENS: Main door and 1 2 garage door. 3 MR. BAUGHMAN: But the garage 4 door is always closed. 5 MR. STEPHENS: Correct. 6 MR. BAUGHMAN: So we really 7 have one means of pedestrian egress. 8 MR. STEPHENS: Correct. 9 MR. BAUGHMAN: Out of a room 10 that is 500-horsepower boiler. 11 I'm curious to know whether that 12 actually meets the letter of our code and our 13 requirements to boilers above a million five 14 needing two means of exits from the boiler room. 15 MR. TOTH: How big is the room? 16 MR. BAUGHMAN: So I think it's 17 500 square foot, but at any rate, there's a code 18 requirement. 19 Chief? 20 MR. O'GUIN: That's something 21 we can look at when we're on site performing the 2.2 variance inspection. 23 MR. BAUGHMAN: Because at least my next question, which is where the remote 24 25 emergency shutoff switch is, and if we have one

means of pedestrian egress, it's there at that one 1 2 door. But I was curious to know, going back to 3 the code, whether we had two that was required. 4 MR. NEVILLE: We do show that 5 door in Appendix B as far as -- I don't know if 6 you've seen that. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: I saw that door. 8 MR. NEVILLE: That's --9 MR. BAUGHMAN: And -- sorry. I 10 didn't mean to interrupt. 11 MR. NEVILLE: I was just 12 showing you where. 1.3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yeah, and that's 14 why I brought up the question that I saw one door 15 and, henceforth, why it made me go to the next 16 questioning on it. 17 Carbon monoxide alarm. 18 MR. STEPHENS: Yes. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: In the room? 20 MR. STEPHENS: Right there next 21 to it, yes. 2.2 MR. BAUGHMAN: And I noted in 23 the notes that it is not enunciated or connected back to the remote station. 24 25 MR. STEPHENS: It's not yet.

MR. BAUGHMAN: Pardon? 1 2 MR. STEPHENS: Not yet. 3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Not yet. So is the assumption that it is going to be? 4 5 MR. STEPHENS: Yes. 6 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. 7 Thank you very much. 8 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 9 questions, comments for this proposal? 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: I have one more. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: Dave Baughman. 13 On the DA, 2005 is the year, but it 14 shows no Tennessee number on that DA. 15 MR. STEPHENS: I'm sure there 16 is. I just haven't -- it just didn't get 17 documented. We're up for NDE this year, so --18 MR. BAUGHMAN: When was --19 sorry. 20 MR. STEPHENS: That's okay. 21 MR. BAUGHMAN: When was your 2.2 last NDE on that DA? 23 MR. STEPHENS: 2018, if I'm not 24 mistaken. 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. Thank

1 you. 2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 3 questions or comments? 4 MR. O'GUIN: Chairman? Chris O'Guin, Chief Inspector. 5 6 I want to let them know, after the 7 equipment is installed and y'all perform the 8 training to the boiler variance manual, if you 9 notify our office, then we'll get you on the 10 schedule for the inspection. But you'll be on the 11 one-hour rule until you pass the inspection. 12 MR. STEPHENS: Got you. 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: So, again, just 14 to clarify, the equipment is not installed. It's 15 scheduled to be installed February 11th, 12th. Ιn 16 this day and age, the supply chain issues and what 17 have you may change that date in itself. 18 So we're approving a variance for 19 equipment that has not yet been installed, 20 training has not yet been performed, so forth. So 21 we would be approving this based upon a site 22 inspection. I just wanted to make sure that was 23 clear, that -- and, you know, we're evaluating 24 this to that extent. 25 Is there any communications with that

unit, the boiler and the controls, that are done 1 2 via -- in other words, are we communicating or 3 looking at anything via internet on that, 4 communications? 5 MR. STEPHENS: Not to my 6 knowledge, not right now. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. Not right 8 now. Is there any plan of moving forward to that? 9 MR. STEPHENS: I don't think 10 that was in the plan but, I mean, if we need to, 11 we will. We have other machines that do it. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. Very 13 good. Thank you. 14 MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 15 16 MR. BAILEY: Just so the record 17 is clear, throughout that discussion you referred 18 to a DA several times. Can you tell us what a DA 19 is? 20 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes, sir. It's 21 a short acronym for deaerator. It's what feeds 22 the water to the boiler and mechanically takes the oxygen out of the feed water itself. 23 24 MR. BAILEY: Okay. And it was 25 also referred to as NDE.

1	MR. BAUGHMAN: It's a
2	nondestructive examination.
3	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Just
4	remember, everyone is not a boiler expert, so I
5	want the record to be clear.
6	MR. BAUGHMAN: Thanks for the
7	question.
8	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: And so to
9	Mr. Baughman's point, when a variance is presented
10	to the Board, the site visit is always mandatory.
11	Variances can be approved by the Board contingent
12	on a successful site visit. So it's not that
13	we're singling anybody out. Everybody that brings
14	a variance to the Board will have to have that
15	site visit.
16	MR. BAUGHMAN: For my own
17	notes, who is your boiler inspector?
18	MR. STEPHENS: Boiler Supply.
19	MR. BAUGHMAN: No. That's your
20	boiler company.
21	MR. STEPHENS: Oh, FM Global.
22	MR. BAUGHMAN: FM Global. Very
23	good. Thank you.
24	MR. STEPHENS: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BAUGHMAN: Mr. Matue?

Γ

1 MR. STEPHENS: He's right 2 there. 3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 5 questions, comments? 6 (No verbal response.) 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing none, do I have a motion for this variance? 8 9 MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved, upon 10 site visit and inspection by the State. 11 MR. LASHLEY: Contingent on 12 site inspection. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: 13 It's a 14 contingent approval to address the comments made 15 during this meeting and a successful visit from 16 the Boiler Unit as well. So that is a part of the 17 motion. 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved. 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 20 MR. HENRY: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Last call 2.2 for questions or comments. 23 (No verbal response.) 24 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 25 none, I'm going to call the question.

All in favor say "aye." 1 2 (Affirmative Response.) 3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 4 abstentions, not voting? 5 (No verbal response.) 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Gentlemen, 7 you have a contingently approved variance. 8 MR. NEVILLE: Thank you. 9 MR. STEPHENS: Thank you. 10 MR. LODER: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 12 So that takes us to Item 22-13, BWXT Nuclear Fuel 1.3 Services request a consideration for approval of a 14 variance to a boiler attendant requirement. 15 While you're getting situated, any 16 conflicts with this item on the Board? 17 (No verbal response.) 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Νo 19 conflicts. 20 MR. TOTH: Good morning, 21 Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, Madame 2.2 Commissioner. It's good to see you here, ma'am --23 MS. THOMAS: You as well. 24 MR. TOTH: -- Chief. 25 Happy New Year to everyone.

Hopefully, everyone's 2023 has started off on a 1 2 good note. 3 My name is Marty Toth. I'm with 4 ECS Consulting and the Boisco Training Group. I'm 5 here today to represent Nuclear Fuel Services out 6 of Erwin, Tennessee. 7 I'd like to mention for the court 8 reporter that Mr. Tom Holly is on the call and has 9 been since the meeting started. 10 Mr. Holly, if you would like to 11 acknowledge that you're on the call? 12 MR. HOLLY: Yes. Thank you 13 very much. And I do apologize for not being there 14 in person. And I'd like to send my appreciation 15 for setting up the calling for this meeting. 16 I am the licensing manager here at 17 Nuclear Fuel Services, and I thank you very much 18 for hearing our request as seen today. 19 MR. TOTH: Thank you, Tom. 20 So just to give you a little 21 background, Nuclear Fuel Services currently has a 22 boiler remote variance that is good until April of 2024. 23 The reason we are here today is NFS has 24 wanted to take their safety even to another level 25 for additional monitoring purposes.

1 And so what they have done is, in 2 addition to the current panel that is located in 3 Guard Station 5 just outside of the boiler room, 4 they have decided that they would also like to put 5 a remote panel inside of their operation center 6 and for that panel to be monitored remotely by the 7 specialists that occupy that operation center. Just a little bit of background: 8 Nuclear Fuel Services is a very big part and has 9 10 been for a lot of years, of the defense of our 11 country and provides nuclear services, if you 12 would, to our military in regards to fuel 13 materials for submarines and also aircraft 14 carriers, and also stockpiling a lot of the Cold 15 War era fuels and the processing of that. 16 As you can imagine, that facility is 17 highly classified, very well secured. The 18 individuals that are part of the remote attendant 19 team, the security officers are highly trained 20 individuals. I am extremely impressed during the 21 times that I have been consulting with NFS. The 22 checks and balances they go through are highly 23 respected, and it's a fine, fine company. 24 It's been an honor to work with 25 Mr. Holly during this process. He and the rest of

1	their team there at NFS know that safety is
2	paramount, and they're doing everything they can
3	and will to ensure that that continues.
4	As you will see in the manual itself,
5	we still have the still operating the same two
6	Cleaver-Brooks boilers that were on the variance
7	that is in place at this time. Those particular
8	units, again, are located on page 14 of the
9	manual.
10	They currently are utilizing the
11	Honeywell RM7800 that is rebranded as a
12	Cleaver-Brooks 780E burner control system along
13	with the Hawk ICS system for additional coverage.
14	They do also utilize the Level Master control
15	systems for monitoring the water level of the
16	boilers.
17	If you were to look at the example of
18	the remote panel, this is in the process of
19	manufacturing to be placed in the operations
20	center. As you can see, it has indication lights
21	letting the remote attendant know the position of
22	those boilers, if they are in operation or not,
23	and also the ability to indicate any alarm and
24	signal. At a decibel level of 80, the remote
25	attendant will not miss that alarm in that control

center. They will definitely hear it. 1 2 I would like to add that in the same 3 vein as NFS's pursuit of safety, they have 4 requested an additional button to be placed on the 5 panel to mirror other safety requirements they 6 have within their plant that allows for the panel 7 to be tested to ensure that the buzzer lights up 8 by way of pushing a button. It would then close 9 the circuit, allow for that buzzer to buzz. By no 10 means will that replace the communications test 11 that is to be performed between the boiler 12 attendant and the remote attendant from the 13 boiler. I just wanted to make you aware of that. 14 Chief, the editorial revisions that will come will show that addition to that button. 15 16 That's something that we spoke about yesterday, 17 and it's something that they would like to have in 18 addition to the requirements. 19 To point out the location, if you were 20 to take a look at the site plan located on page 13 21 of your manual, you will notice the route of 22 travel between the operation center over to the 23 boiler room and the location of the Guard 24 Station 5 in relationship to the boiler room. The 25 actual approximate distance, if you would like to

1	make note, is between the operation center and
2	the boiler room is 1200 feet. That will be added
3	to the site plan. I apologize for the omission of
4	that.
5	Also, the job descriptions before the
6	meeting I was able to hand out the job
7	descriptions. For your information, the remote
8	attendants will be, as mentioned before, the
9	security officers through GSS and also the
10	operation center specialist.
11	The NFS-qualified and certified boiler
12	attendant will be filled by the utilities
13	operator, which is part of the production staff,
14	and also the maintenance mechanics. There will be
15	a certified boiler attendant on site 24/7 as well
16	as the remote attendant.
17	And with that, I would like to close my
18	opening remarks and ask if you have any questions
19	for us.
20	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Do I have a
21	motion to discuss?
22	MR. HENRY: So moved.
23	MR. LASHLEY: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second.
25	Okay. What questions, comments do you have on

this variance? 1 2 MR. TOTH: I would also add --3 I apologize, Mr. Chairman -- that there is a 4 signed -- and the Chief Inspector received a 5 signed request for variance, and I'm not sure if 6 you have that. I just know that the manuals that 7 were sent out had a draft copy of it. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you for 9 being here, Mr. Toth. Dave Baughman. 10 So the buzzer is being added to the 11 panel -- or the ability to check the buzzer is 12 being added to the panel. How often is that buzzer to be 1.3 14 tested? 15 MR. TOTH: That is information 16 that Mr. Holly may be able to answer. Again, it's 17 something that is outside of the scope of the 18 variance. It's just something that we thought we 19 would bring up so when the Chief Inspector and his 20 staff goes out there, they'll know what it is. Mr. Holly, do you have any additional 21 22 information you can share about how often that 23 would be tested or what the procedures are for 24 testing those within NFS? 25 MR. HOLLY: Thank you,

1	Chairman, for the question. I have noted the
2	question.
3	And we do have a standard operating
4	procedure, and that procedure will cover the
5	testing requirement. And we will make sure,
6	during the inspection for the new equipment, that
7	the for that is there at the time. So what I
8	would offer is those procedures are available and
9	they're available for inspection upon the site
10	visit.
11	MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes. Very good.
12	And I was curious, are you also going to be
13	checking the light in addition to the buzzer or
14	just the buzzer?
15	MR. HOLLY: It should be
16	testing the system fully.
17	MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good.
18	Thank you, Mr. Holly.
19	MR. TOTH: And to add to that,
20	again, as with all variances, there will be a
21	communications check at the beginning of the shift
22	of a boiler attendant.
23	So when that boiler attendant comes
24	on shift, they will be trained, and it is in the
25	manual that they will go through the process of

1	communicating with both remote stations. They
2	communicate an alarm by manipulating a limit or by
3	doing a manual alarm test through their control
4	panel. And both remote stations will have to
5	acknowledge the fact that the alarm has come
6	through.
7	So that will be the requirements for
8	testing of that panel that are outlined in the
9	variance procedures.
10	MR. BAUGHMAN: I noted that you
11	said it was 1200 feet and you know what the
12	maximum requirement is or the maximum from the
13	manufacturer is from the alarms.
14	So my next question is, how are you
15	running the wiring, being it's 200 feet above the
16	1,000-foot maximum recommended by the
17	manufacturer? Are you hardwiring the alarm? Are
18	you going through a relay?
19	MR. TOTH: Yeah. So how we do
20	that is, we are actually running 120 from the
21	boiler alarm circuitry directly to the panels, as
22	it was with the guard station panel originally.
23	And so we're running that to the panel. Okay?
24	And then the panel draws local power to send the
25	signals to the alarm and the lights.

1	MR. BAUGHMAN: My question
2	being, you're coming directly off the boiler
3	alarm, though, off the 780, hardwiring it over to
4	the panel, but you're utilizing local electricity,
5	then, to generate the alarm at the panel, correct?
6	MR. TOTH: To pull the relay,
7	yes.
8	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. So
9	MR. TOTH: Which is pretty much
10	every panel that's out of that, that has been put
11	through.
12	MR. BAUGHMAN: Well, in
13	reverse, usually there's a relay that's then
14	sending it to the panel. My issue is, is that in
15	your manual for the 780, it will state 1,000-foot
16	run maximum from the programmer to the alarm
17	itself, and so you're going from that alarm
18	circuit.
19	I'm just saying what your hardware
20	states. So I'm just saying there's a little
21	discrepancy on the length of the wiring versus the
22	manufacturer's maximum.
23	MR. TOTH: I also I don't
24	agree with that. I think that when we look at
25	that, Mr. Baughman, we have variances and I can

ſ

1	mention a few that I've represented that have the
2	same setup, that have run 3,000 feet and have been
3	past this Board. 1,200 feet and it's been tested
4	and it works.
5	I would have to go back and look and
6	see what that reference you're referring to, in
7	the way of what that remote is that they're
8	speaking of, because the power that goes to that
9	box is at 120 and is sufficient.
10	MR. BAUGHMAN: And I appreciate
11	your comments and your viewpoint in referencing
12	past approvals for wiring that's 3,000 feet. We
13	don't have any stipulation on how far it's run. I
14	bring it because of the manufacturer listing out
15	that wiring maximum length, and so I put that down
16	for the record just so that we have it for food
17	for thought.
18	MR. TOTH: Thank you. And,
19	obviously, we'll be going through that test and
20	the Chief's staff, and we'll make sure.
21	And I'm curious about that and we can
22	speak after, after the fact, if we're referring to
23	the actual signal being drawn or the wiring from
24	the controller to the actual boiler itself, but
25	thank you for bringing that up.

1 And I do agree that even though 2 precedence has been set in others, does not mean 3 that it was correct. But rest assured that those particular instances, I personally go out when the 4 5 installations are completed and I will personally 6 do a walk-through of every one of my clients to 7 ensure, in every situation and every limit, that we are, in fact, receiving those alarms. 8 So rest assured that those will be 9 10 checked and verified. And if they're not, they 11 will be corrected before the Chief Inspector and 12 his staff comes on site. 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you. 14 On page 31, which goes back to our 15 checklist, Item Number 20, it says, "Does the 16 remote monitoring system prevent unauthorized 17 access?" It references Appendix B, page 16 18 through 19. 19 I read 16 through 19, and I did not 20 see anything specifically that addressed 21 preventing unauthorized access --MR. TOTH: I think you 22 23 missed --24 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- timing and --25 tamper-resistant timing and logic --

MR. TOTH: 1 Thank you. 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- did not 3 necessarily define "prevents unauthorized access." 4 And maybe it's a difference of interpretation of 5 the wording, but "tamper-resistant," to me, is a 6 pharmaceutical bottle to where you can't get into 7 it easily versus prevention of unauthorized 8 access. I'll let you elaborate a little bit. 9 MR. TOTH: I'll let that just 10 lie there. 11 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 12 MR. TOTH: I appreciate that, 13 Mr. Baughman. And with your vast experience, I 14 know that you're very aware that even the Hawk 15 ICS, which is an older ICS system, integrated 16 control system, it has security features in place 17 for password protection, so... 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: And I --19 MR. TOTH: And I do like -- I 20 do -- your analogy -- I think I'm using that correctly -- is right on. A pharmaceutical bottle 21 22 that prevents tampering, I believe it speaks for 23 itself. So if we want to call it tamper-resistant, then I think that that answers 24 25 the question, sir.

1	MR. BAUGHMAN: Well, I bring it
2	up just because it's in our checklist and like
3	having a pin in the skin, it's something I can
4	poke you about.
5	So it's more of I'm interested in
6	how these units especially, if we move forward
7	with this day and age of homeland security and
8	things having accessibility via internet, so
9	forth, and that's why one of my questions earlier
10	with the gentleman that made the previous
11	presentation of how communications are set up.
12	So thank you very much.
13	The enunciation of alarms, so I don't
14	want to make an assumption, but this unit both
15	these units being the size they are have multiple
16	alarm points. And I'm interested with you and
17	Mr. Holly of just describing and I guess where
18	I'm going at is are we enunciating primary and
19	secondary low waters? Are we enunciating all the
20	flame parameters within the program? But are we
21	also doing the low-gas low/high-gas switches,
22	which aren't listed in the alarms, but I was
23	interested to know if we're tying those in.
24	MR. TOTH: Yes. And we are,
25	and I can assure you that, again, as I stipulated

earlier, once we go through the process of adding 1 2 this new panel, we will do a very thorough --3 "we," being myself and the NFS staff, do a 4 thorough check to ensure all those, yes. We have primary and secondary because 5 6 we have Level Master systems. So we are doing 7 both primary and secondary low water. We do a flame failure. 8 9 And we also do high and low gas that 10 are going to be running through the limit circuit. 11 As you're very aware, unless it is tied in, the 12 high and low gas, okay, will just take that unit 13 and put it into a standby position, waiting for 14 that circuit to be closed, being that switch. 15 In this case here, how the wiring is set 16 up, it will actually go through and create an 17 alarm that will send up to the remote stations. 18 We've had that situation in the past. We're 19 consulting with clientele, finding out that, well, 20 in fact, it doesn't enunciate, and went back and 21 had them bring in their service contractor and had 22 them wired so it would. 23 MR. BAUGHMAN: And that's why 24 my question because otherwise -- in our industry 25 the high/low-gas switches are typically wired in

through the limit circuit, which doesn't enunciate 1 2 back as an alarm, although those two switches are 3 manual reset switches. 4 Wiring them in through the airflow 5 switch makes it to where it will enunciate an 6 alarm, which will then go back to the remote 7 panels. So that's kind of the direction of why I 8 was asking the question. 9 MR. TOTH: And to kind of 10 piggyback on top of it, by doing it that way makes 11 it even one more step of safety because in that 12 case, not only do they need to reset the actual 13 burner control system, they have to, by code, have 14 to reset the actual limit switch -- or the --15 yeah, the actual high gas pressure or low gas 16 pressure switch manually. So that's even above 17 and beyond your normal reset such as a flame 18 failure. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: The issue comes 20 with the serviceman, that he goes out and it 21 enunciates as an airflow switch problem. And so 22 because it enunciates as an airflow switch 23 problem, what does he do? He looks at the blower 24 motor, the airflow switch when it's actually a gas 25 pressure switch problem.

1 MR. TOTH: And that's why these 2 particular units, what they're going to do through 3 the Honeywell 7800 series is, they're going to 4 wire that so that it comes up as a Fault 29, which 5 is going to be a lockout interlock. So that's 6 really your catch-all. 7 And so a service technician, which 8 should be qualified and experienced, we know that 9 they have to start somewhere, but all it takes is 10 one time for them to be called out on that job and 11 they get a Fault 29 and recognize, "I've got a few 12 different areas I need to check out besides just 1.3 one." 14 MR. BAUGHMAN: Well, and this 15 gets back to the training of the operating 16 personnel because the boiler cannot be put back 17 into service until the cause of the alarm is 18 determined. And so that gets back to the high 19 level of training that's required when you do the 20 variance or do any kind of boiler training, that 21 they can't go in and this is the Hawk and the 780 22 has enunciated Fault Code 29, which is not gas 23 pressure switches. 24 MR. TOTH: Right. 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: So somebody has

got to be trained to a pretty high level, which 1 2 gets back on to Boisco and other companies that do 3 training on that end of it to work through that. 4 One other question related to the DA. 5 And going to the DA, you probably noted and you 6 may already have it. 7 MR. TOTH: I did. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 9 MR. TOTH: Yeah, I don't have 10 it, but I know where you're going with it, sir. 11 I'll let you finish your question. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: It's just got to 13 be determined. This is a 2011 DA without a 14 Tennessee number tag on it. So we'd like to have 15 that. 16 MR. TOTH: Yeah, absolutely. 17 That was one of the ones that I lost in my 18 left-handed scribble here, as to -- to bring up 19 during my introduction, was that I was going to 20 get with Chief O'Guin and his staff, and that's 21 one of those situations where we need to find out 22 what the status of that is. That's why we put the 23 TBD. 24 The individuals there at NFS were not 25 We did extensive searching to find a aware.

1	certificate. The Chief's staff, Melissa did a
2	
Ζ.	great job of sending what she had. So it probably
3	is going to turn into a situation where we need to
4	go back and say, hey, look, we can't find
5	anything. And Mr. Holly is very aware of that.
6	So we're going to have to get that
7	inspected. It's a 2011 unit. It's been there the
8	time I did a thorough here's one of the things
9	leading back to what I mentioned about the
10	security and highly classified, so on and so
11	forth. The photographs that I usually collect
12	through clients, I'm not able to do that.
13	Somebody within their staff.
14	So I had to go back and look through
15	what I did receive, plus my notes-taking, and try
16	to find a reason to say, "Okay. Why wouldn't this
17	be registered?" There's no reason why this
18	particular unit shouldn't be registered. It's a
19	pressurized unit. It's a deaerator. It has its
20	safety valves and so on and so forth.
21	And NFS is they're all for it.
22	They say, "Hey, if it's not been registered, let's
23	get that thing registered." And that's what we're
24	wanting to do.
25	MR. BAUGHMAN: So I guess my

to further that, if it hasn't been registered, 1 2 when's the last inspection? When's the last NDE? 3 MR. TOTH: Exactly. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: So forth and so 5 on. 6 MR. TOTH: Exactly. And I 7 think that that's going to be a situation, once we 8 find that and we look at that, that's where it's 9 going to be, as their consultant and their 10 representative, I'm going to go back to them and 11 say, "Okay. Now, we're going to have to register 12 this. Guess what? We're going to have to do some 13 NDE testing per the State rules to ensure that 14 this vessel is in adequate condition." 15 And then also look at what type of 16 inspections have we done, even though it maybe 17 hasn't been registered. Has the inspection agency 18 done anything with it? And we're kind of -- we're 19 working towards that, and that's part of my 20 responsibilities as their consultant, is to ensure 21 that they are following things even outside of the requirements of the variance. So I appreciate you 22 23 bringing that up. MR. BAUGHMAN: When did this 24 25 plant go into operation?

1	MR. TOTH: Let's see.
2	Mr. Holly, do you have that
3	information? I did not put that.
4	MR. HOLLY: NFS has been the
5	sole provider of naval nuclear fuel for over
6	60 years.
7	MR. TOTH: Yeah. I was
8	thinking it was during definitely during the
9	Cold War. But I did not put that in. I do
10	apologize.
11	MR. BAUGHMAN: And one of the
12	reasons
13	MR. HOLLY: Definitely had that
14	one.
15	MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you.
16	One of the reasons I asked is one of
17	the boilers is a 1970, and so I was kind of
18	interested if it was an original boiler or I
19	mean, there are two different years on the boiler,
20	so either a plant expansion or what have you, but
21	one of them being a 1970, the DA being a 2011. So
22	there's been some modifications and equipment
23	changes, so I was kind of interested in that
24	itself.
25	Who is the inspector, the boiler

Г

1 inspector? 2 MR. TOTH: Oh, you're asking 3 me? I'm sorry. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: Either Mr. Holly or yourself. 5 6 MR. TOTH: Okay. Well, what I 7 can do, if you'll give me one moment as we move 8 through, I can look at to see if I have any other certificates on file. 9 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. I just 11 didn't know if you -- typically, in these 12 projects, there's communication, letting the left 13 hand know what the right hand is doing, so I 14 didn't know. 15 And then the last question is, when 16 is this equipment going to be installed? 17 MR. TOTH: That is a great 18 question. We are in the process of creating the 19 panel now. As with most clientele, they look for 20 tentative approval before they put additional 21 capital into a project, and so they're looking for 2.2 that. 23 So once we get this tentative 24 approval today, if the Board agrees, we are right 25 now moving towards the panels being constructed.

We have the order in on that. It will get 1 2 installed. 3 We're looking to put this into motion 4 as soon as possible. Again, additional training 5 is in the works for remote attendants and also 6 boiler attendants, and that's something they've 7 been doing. We're looking at other options for 8 that as well. 9 So to answer the question, it's as 10 soon as possible. 11 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. But 12 equipment hasn't necessarily been ordered except 1.3 for the panels. So we could be --14 MR. TOTH: Right. 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- sometime out. 16 MR. TOTH: Yes. And as you see 17 from the run, there is abilities for the hardwire 18 connection because of the plant to go through the 19 wire runs that are in place overhead, and that 20 should not take very long at all to do. 21 And then the panel, as you mentioned 22 in the previous variance request, its availability 23 of components and parts and pieces, in our 24 industry and many industries, we're finding that to be very difficult to get those in hand. 25 So,

really, that's -- that's really the holdup, is 1 2 getting those parts, pieces, and components in 3 hand. The construction of it is pretty simple 4 after that. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. 6 Mr. Toth, thank you very much. 7 MR. TOTH: Thank you, 8 Mr. Baughman. 9 MR. O'GUIN: Marty, Chris 10 O'Guin, Chief Inspector. 11 MR. TOTH: Yes, sir? 12 MR. O'GUIN: If you will follow 13 up with me with the National Board number for the 14 DA tag. 15 MR. TOTH: Yes, sir. 16 MR. O'GUIN: We found recently 17 that some variances have been causing some issues 18 with dormant and active locations that have 19 variances. 20 MR. TOTH: Yes, sir. 21 MR. O'GUIN: So will you pull a 22 list and look through the dormants? 23 MR. TOTH: Okay. 24 MR. O'GUIN: Make sure before 25 we go through with the inspection.

1 MR. TOTH: Thank you very much. I appreciate that, Chief Inspector. 2 And we do have that. The National 3 4 Board number is right here in the book, and we'll 5 make sure we have that for you. All the 6 information minus the Tennessee number is 7 available. Thank you, sir. 8 MR. LASHLEY: I've just got one 9 more question. Micah Lashley. 10 I see that your CO detectors are not 11 connected to the current management system, 12 currently. 13 MR. TOTH: That's correct. MR. LASHLEY: Is there any 14 15 plan, going forward, to implement that within 16 the --17 MR. TOTH: I'm sorry. I 18 thought you were done. 19 MR. LASHLEY: -- within the 20 management system? 21 MR. TOTH: No, there is not unless the requirement comes forth through the 22 23 Board. 24 As many of you are aware, especially 25 Chairman Morelock, we met. The NBIC met just last

week, and Mr. Morelock and I both sit on repairs 1 2 and alterations and also the main committee for 3 the NBIC, and that discussion did come up as to 4 additional requirements. I know it was a lot of 5 back and forth, but it was decided that there 6 would not be any additional requirements put in, 7 leaving it up to the local jurisdiction to put 8 those into place. 9 What I can tell you is that what we 10 have now is within the requirements of -- and I 11 think it serves its purpose. I do know that the 12 minute that the Board decided they wanted to go in 13 that direction, NFS would be one of the first 14 users out there that would make sure that it was 15 put into place. I'm just being completely 16 straightforward and honest with you. 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. Any 18 other questions or comments? 19 Yes, Mr. Henry. 20 MR. HENRY: Jeff Henry, Board 21 member. 22 Just a couple things, Mr. Toth, 23 fairly minor. 24 Page 1, it references the individual 25 representing Nuclear Fuel Services responsible for

implementing the variance, and there's a phone 1 2 number but there's no name. 3 Is there a name associated with that? 4 MR. TOTH: I'm sorry. Which --5 page 1? 6 MR. HENRY: Page 1. 7 MR. TOTH: Page 1? Okay. So 8 Page 1, as you may recall, Mr. Henry, putting 9 names into the body of the manual can be very 10 problematic. And so what you'll see from manuals 11 that come through ECS, it will be the job title 12 because those job titles change. The telephone 13 number of contact usually does not. And so we 14 don't provide that because that individual may 15 change, but the title usually does not. And 16 that's what you'll see from --17 MR. HENRY: That number there 18 is not a cell phone number. MR. TOTH: It may or may not 19 20 be, just dependent upon what they give. I would 21 say that that probably is a cell phone number. 22 And if that's the case, then it may change, or it 23 may be a company-provided cell phone that goes 24 with the individual. 25 So just to keep us from having

situations where maybe the Chief Inspector or his 1 2 staff look at the manual and they're looking for 3 an individual, we keep the title so that if they 4 call the number that's provided on the front of 5 the manual, they can ask for that title and they 6 would get that individual. 7 And you'll see that uniformly 8 throughout all of the manuals that are provided 9 through my company, and it's just something that 10 we've learned over the years of doing these. 11 MR. HENRY: It makes sense. I 12 guess with the phone number, I thought there might 13 be a connection. 14 MR. TOTH: Yes, sir. 15 MR. HENRY: But I take your 16 point. 17 If you go to page 6, please. 18 MR. TOTH: Page 6? Yes, sir. 19 MR. HENRY: The first one is 20 just a bit of grammar nitpicking, but there's a 21 double negative there which I don't think you 2.2 meant. 23 MR. TOTH: Where is that at, 24 sir? 25 MR. HENRY: It would be the

third sentence. "If neither of the remote 1 2 stations is not manned." I think you mean, "If 3 either of the remote stations is not manned." 4 MR. TOTH: Okay. The third 5 sentence. 6 MR. LASHLEY: Or unmanned. 7 MR. TOTH: Okay. I see that. 8 MR. HENRY: Go down to 9 subparagraph B, if you would, and it's talking 10 about the remote attendants --11 MR. TOTH: Yes. 12 MR. HENRY: -- identifying who 13 those are. And the third, subparagraph B and 14 sub-subparagraph 3, "any position assigned by a 15 Nuclear Fuel Services manager," which basically 16 means that, if I'm interpreting it correctly, 17 anybody in the facility can become a remote 18 attendant. 19 MR. TOTH: So the reason for 20 that, and you may have seen that in various -- and 21 I've been questioned in various presentations in 22 the past. That is a placeholder, mainly for the 23 fact that if NFS comes in and they -- they come in 24 and say they want the twidget operator to be a 25 remote attendant or boiler attendant, what that

allows us to do is allows us to revise the manual, 1 2 indicate where those individuals have been 3 trained, put that title within the manual and also 4 the org chart without necessarily the need to --5 or the assumption or presumption that it has to 6 come back before the Board for that individual to 7 be added. 8 It gives that free opportunity for 9 the company to feel confident that if they change 10 titles or they change responsibilities, that as 11 long as that individual has gone through the 12 proper training, okay, and has been documented, 13 then they will be allowed to put those individuals 14 in there. It has been something that has been 15 added in various ECS manuals in the past. 16 Rest assured that the relationship 17 that my clients and I have is a continual 18 relationship. These manuals are kept in a portal 19 that has the master documents in it. My clients 20 understand if we have slight editorial changes 21 like this, they come through me. They get done. 22 It's not something like, you know, that's going to 23 be another contract to do it. It's something that 24 we constantly go through and constantly test 25 throughout the three years that the variance is in

1 place. 2 So with that said, just know that if 3 they add individuals, they would be put here. 4 They would be added to the training logs and go 5 through the training program. 6 MR. HENRY: And I certainly 7 appreciate it. And knowing you've got it covered, 8 I'm confident that by your involvement, that that would take place. But is it a blanket statement 9 10 that it will be permitted to anyone who might come 11 through, that the same level of responsibility 12 might be exercised in all cases? It is a pretty broad statement. 1.3 14 MR. TOTH: It is. I do agree 15 with that. And the same questions that I've 16 answered before. I can understand your concern 17 with it. As long as we go back to the premise 18 that states that once this variance is accepted, 19 the end user also accepts the responsibility to 20 ensure that this manual and these procedures are 21 followed in accordance. 22 So with that said, if they assign an 23 additional individual, all the remaining 24 requirements that follow this subparagraph had to 25 be followed, which also includes the training, the

documentation, and so on and so forth. 1 2 MR. HENRY: Yeah. And I don't 3 want to belabor this point. I agree with you. 4 But I quess my concern is somebody who might not 5 be as responsible. You know, we have two other job roles identified with it. Give us a general 6 7 idea of the overall confidence of people that --8 MR. TOTH: I agree. 9 MR. HENRY: -- will fill those 10 positions. We really have no clue as to someone 11 else, including -- they would be in a position, 12 even if they were given the training, for that 13 training to take. 14 MR. TOTH: Sure. And I quess 15 my question back to you, Mr. Henry, and the rest 16 of the Board, is that if there was a situation 17 that arose and NFS decided that they wanted to add 18 that twidgetmaker to the list, would it be 19 necessary to bring the manual back to the Board 20 for a modification approval? 21 MR. HENRY: That's a good 22 question. I'm not sure. 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Well, I 24 mean, the question is, is that a technical change? 25 If it's not a technical change, then the Boiler

Unit can handle it, but if it's a technical 1 2 change, it has to come to the Board. MR. TOTH: And so that's where 3 4 we say, really, the individuals that we put in the manual are -- in my experience with manuals, in 5 6 Chief O'Guin's position and also my position as a 7 consultant, is that the responsibility falls back 8 on the user. 9 To say that this is a technical 10 change, in my opinion it's not a technical change. 11 Okay? It's a personnel change, no different than 12 if the internal responsibility for the manual goes 13 from the facility's support engineering unit 14 manager to Mr. Holly's position. Okay? 15 As long as we have that documented, 16 as long as we have that listed in our revision 17 page, and as long as the Chief Inspector's office 18 has received a copy of that or it is at least on 19 hand. 20 I know that for us, for ECS, our 21 communication between my company and Chief O'Guin 22 is to the point where he recognizes if there is a 23 change that comes up like that, he's going to get 24 a copy of it for -- electronic copy of it for his 25 records, so he is made aware of it and he will see

1 that. 2 I definitely understand your 3 concerns. I also am concerned with the tight 4 restrictions on something like personnel because 5 of the ebb and flow of personnel changes, that I 6 would hate to think that if we did not have the 7 ability to add individuals to this and make an 8 editorial change and communicate with the Boiler Unit, that it can be very cumbersome and costly to 9 10 appear. 11 MR. HENRY: And I agree with 12 you entirely. I just wonder if there might be 13 some way to word that, emphasize the 14 responsibility of the user to exercise good 15 judgment and clarify who those other people might 16 be. 17 MR. TOTH: I'm up for any 18 suggestions at this time or if it's something that 19 I can take back and work on. And then what we'll 20 do is, we'll kind of set that precedent so then 21 other ECS manuals that are presented will follow 22 that same verbiage so it makes it comfortable. So 23 I'm up to any suggestions that the Board may have 24 on that. 25 MR. HENRY: One other quick

question. I apologize, but back on page 13, this 1 2 is in the -- it's going to be the control room and where the remote stations are. 3 4 Are there local e-stops at either the 5 guard station or the operation center? 6 MR. TOTH: Okay. So the local e-stops are located around the three exits --7 8 MR. HENRY: Right. 9 MR. TOTH: -- from the boiler 10 room. So when we talk local e-stops, obviously, 11 we're talking they're at the boiler that are going 12 to shut off both of the boilers. In this case, 13 one e-stop shuts off both boilers. Okay? 14 At the guard shack, there is not 15 because it has its own panel. 16 Is that your question, sir? 17 MR. HENRY: Yes. Right. 18 MR. TOTH: Okay. 19 MR. HENRY: All right. Okay. 20 So it was originally built opposite the remote 21 stations to kill the boiler, then. 22 MR. TOTH: That's right. And 23 it has its own remote panel. 24 MR. HENRY: Okay. Thank you. 25 Appreciate it, Mr. Toth.

1 MR. TOTH: Thank you, sir. 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: Going back, 3 Mr. Toth, on what Mr. Henry was speaking about on page 6, to that "any position assigned by Nuclear 4 5 Fuel Services, Incorporated management, the plant 6 superintendent or its designee shall ensure all 7 newly assigned remote attendants have approved training" and so forth. 8 So that might be worded, "any 9 position assigned by, " instead of Nuclear Fuel 10 11 Services, could be, "any position assigned by the 12 plant superintendent or its designee" since the 13 plant superintendent actually has the 14 responsibility of the training, keeping the 15 records and so forth, instead of just leaving it 16 open-ended to management, because management 17 covers a lot of different people. MR. TOTH: 18 Sure. Absolutely. 19 And that is a generic statement. What I counter 20 with, a recommendation of pointing back to the 21 individual that's responsible for the manual, the 22 upkeep of the manual. That individual is going to 23 be the facility support engineering unit manager. And so if we put that in there as you 24 25 suggested, or their designee, then I think that

1 could encompass -- because then we are aware -- as 2 we say, that person is not necessarily the person 3 who signs the letter, as we all know. It's 4 usually the person that puts on there and says, 5 "Okay. I'm going to be responsible for this manual." Okay? Well, they're responsible for 6 7 every part of the manual, up to and including 8 updating of the manual. 9 So if we put it at that position, 10 everybody there under that is responsible under 11 that individual's responsibility. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. 1.3 MR. TOTH: Will that work? 14 MR. BAUGHMAN: To me that does, 15 but again... 16 MR. HENRY: Yes. In general, I 17 think that's a very good suggestion, Mr. Toth. 18 I would just like something in there 19 which would suggest that whoever's responsibility 20 is making a decision, that the additional person 21 who might be qualified has the background 22 necessary in order for the training to --23 MR. TOTH: Absolutely. I know where you're going with it, Mr. Henry. 24 MR. HENRY: Continue the 25

training, I guess. 1 2 MR. TOTH: I know where you're 3 going with it and I agree and we can change that 4 information, as Mr. Baughman alluded to, point 5 back to the individual that's responsible for the 6 caretake of the manual, but then also put verbiage 7 in there that points to the fact that that 8 particular individual has to be qualified and 9 certified per the variance. 10 Does that sound good? 11 MR. HENRY: Yes. MR. TOTH: Okay. 12 1.3 MR. HENRY: Thank you. 14 MR. TOTH: Are you comfortable 15 with me doing that after the fact and having the 16 Chief check on it? 17 MR. HENRY: Yes. 18 MR. TOTH: Okay. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: And you 20 could show that in the org chart as well. 21 MR. TOTH: Okay. 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: You know, 23 that's --24 MR. TOTH: What would I be 25 showing, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: 1 Just the 2 line of who's the decision-maker for those people. 3 MR. TOTH: Right. And if you 4 see -- let's see if what we currently have is 5 sufficient, Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I think it 7 is. That's what I'm saying. Just tie it all 8 together. 9 MR. TOTH: You don't think it 10 is? Is that what you said? 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I would 12 just make sure that -- obviously, you're getting 13 questions, so --14 MR. TOTH: That's okay. 15 So if we look at the current org 16 chart, there is a line of communication that works 17 out from -- if we see, it actually works out from 18 the facility support engineering unit manager, and 19 you have that communication that goes to all of 20 the boiler attendants and remote attendants, but 21 then also goes back towards Mr. Holly's direct, 22 who is the safety and licensing section manager. 23 And so would that be sufficient, or 24 would you like to see some additional information 25 in there?

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I just 2 think as long as the two complement each other, 3 you're fine. 4 MR. TOTH: Got you. Okay. 5 Thank you very much. 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you. 7 MR. O'GUIN: Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 9 MR. O'GUIN: Chris O'Guin, 10 Chief Inspector. 11 To answer the question on who 12 inspects Nuclear Fuel Services at the current 13 time, Zurich Insurance insures the facility. So 14 they would be the current inspectors. 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: I appreciate 16 that. My concern is that we've got a pressure 17 vessel and so --18 Mr. Holly, you may be able to answer 19 this, but has that DA ever been shut down during 20 the time of boiler inspections? 21 MR. HOLLY: Yes, sir. I 22 apologize. I don't know that, but I will take 23 that question and I'll get you an answer. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: All right. 25 Thank you.

And so here's the thought, is, you've 1 2 got two boilers. Typically, a facility has got to 3 keep running. So that's why they've got the two 4 boilers. Well, the DA -- they've got one DA. So 5 the DA doesn't get shut down, unless they've got 6 an outage and they are able to schedule it. 7 So this unit has been in since 2011. 8 I don't want to make the assumption it hasn't been 9 inspected, but there's a likelihood it hasn't been 10 inspected. My concern at this point is that we're 11 running a 2011 pressure vessel, albeit low, 12 without a current inspection. 13 So my suggestion would be, and that's 14 out of the bounds of this variance, but it's not 15 to the extent that we're operating a piece of 16 equipment integral to this boiler operations and 17 variance that hasn't been inspected. 18 MR. O'GUIN: I'll verify 19 whether it's been inspected or not. If not, we'll 20 follow up with the area deputy inspector to get it 21 resolved. 22 MR. BAUGHMAN: And schedule up 23 the NDE, so forth, but just wanted to bring that 24 up for the record. 25 TOTH: No, and I agree with MR.

you, Mr. Baughman. And that's something that has 1 2 been expressed to management at NFS, that though 3 it would not hamper their current variance or the 4 modificated variance that we're working on, it 5 still has an issue within the Boiler Unit and the 6 State of Tennessee requirement. And, therefore, 7 there may be additional action outside of this 8 variance. It's going to have to be taken care of. 9 And, again, I rep my clients outside 10 of the variance requirements and help them through 11 that as well. So I'll be on top of that and work 12 very closely with the Boiler Unit. 13 MR. HOLLY: And also, likewise, 14 after those meetings -- get a manager and look 15 through those files and those records. 16 MR. TOTH: Thank you, Tom. 17 THE REPORTER: I'm not sure I 18 caught what he said. 19 MR. TOTH: I believe he was --20 Tom, if you can repeat that for the court reporter so she can put that in the minutes. 21 22 MR. HOLLY: I was just 23 verifying that I will also go to the facility unit 24 and inquire about those records of inspection. 25 MR. TOTH: Thank you, sir.

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other questions or comments? 2 3 (No verbal response.) 4 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 5 none, do I have a motion? MR. BAUGHMAN: Motion to accept 6 7 contingent upon site inspection and --8 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: And manual 9 revisions based on the discussion here. 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 12 MR. HENRY: Second. 1.3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. Last call for comments. 14 15 (No verbal response.) 16 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 17 none, all in favor say "aye." 18 (Affirmative response.) 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 20 abstentions, not voting? 21 (No verbal response.) 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: You have a 23 contingently approved variance. 24 And we are going to take a ten-minute 25 break.

1 MR. TOTH: Thank you, 2 Mr. Chairman. Members of the Board, thank you. 3 (Recess observed.) 4 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 5 Let's reconvene. 6 We are now to Rule Cases and 7 Interpretations on our agenda. The first item we 8 have is BC 22-01. Intertek is requesting a ruling 9 by the Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules to revise 10 existing Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-03-.03. 11 So if you're ready to present, present. 12 1.3 MR. MOLISKI: Good morning. As 14 I said earlier, my name is Paul Moliski. I'm Vice 15 President of Accreditation with Intertek. Thank 16 you for the opportunity to appear before the 17 Board. 18 Just as a brief recap, if you will 19 allow me just to explain briefly who Intertek is, 20 we are an international testing, inspection 21 certification body, organization. Our U.S. 22 headquarters is outside of Chicago, in Arlington 23 Heights. 24 We certify products using the ETL 25 Certification Mark, and we have other marks,

1	called the WHI Mark for fire stop, fire
2	penetration.
3	Some people ask why well, if your
4	name is Intertek, why do you use a symbol, a logo
5	that's ETL?
6	Well, we were actually founded, the
7	electrical division, which I represent, by Thomas
8	Edison. So ETL was Edison Testing Laboratory.
9	It's a fantastic history and we always operated,
10	until the '70s, as a quality assurance testing
11	laboratory.
12	Then the industry encouraged us to
13	get into the product safety testing and
14	certification business, and the entry to that
15	market was extremely difficult. Between Factory
16	Mutual and UL, that market was pretty much
17	controlled by those two organizations.
18	And so we were actually approached by
19	City of Chicago, City of LA. They said, "You
20	should get into this market because we need
21	competition."
22	And we said, "Well, who is going to
23	recognize our mark compared to you-all's mark?"
24	This all happened before my time.
25	I've been kicking around a long time but 1975

1 was well before my time but -- so they encouraged us to get into the business, and we were required 2 to create a Technical Advisory Council, a TAC. 3 4 And at that TAC, we had representatives from LA, 5 Chicago, Miami-Dade, the big metropolitan areas 6 that would give us input, and that's how the 7 program started. However, until the late '80s, OSHA 8 9 only recognized Factory Mutual and UL, and it was 10 through kind of a consortia that actually had to 11 take the Department of Labor to court to have the 12 Occupational Safety & Health rules changed to 13 allow for competition in that market. And that's 14 how the program called NRTL, Nationally Recognized 15 Testing Laboratory, was started. 16 For the first five years, Factory 17 Mutual and UL were grandfathered in. Intertek ETL 18 was the second approved NRTL, I think, in 1989. 19 So we've been operating as an OSHA-recognized NRTL 20 since '89 or '90. 21 I started in this business in 1987, 22 and I've been working with OSHA pretty much ever 23 since. I've been taking them around the world 24 to -- we have about 45 testing laboratories 25 internationally for electrical.

When people ask me what do I do, I 1 2 try to explain what I do and then I simply point 3 out, if I'm at a barbecue or a Christmas party, 4 I'll say, "We test products and certify them." 5 "What do you mean?" 6 I'll say, "Well, let's go take a look 7 if the fire doors in this building are certified 8 by Intertek." No one would even know that. These devices, Panasonic supply it, 9 but Panasonic uses both UL and ETL for 10 11 certification. I don't know if these are UL or 12 ETL. 13 But, however, the industry is quite 14 competitive. We all test to the same standards. 15 We're all recognized or accredited to the same 16 requirements, whether that's through the OSHA NRTL 17 program, through the American National Standards 18 Institute or other accreditation bodies we use in 19 each country that we operate. 20 As mentioned, I've probably been with 21 OSHA on 50 -- between 50 and 100 different 22 assessments at our labs internationally. So this 23 situation came up where we uncovered that the 24 boiler code in Tennessee still referenced UL, and 25 that was part of our -- another part of our

1	challenge, working with industry and working with
2	other testing labs, was to have the national
3	electric code updated. That was updated in, I
4	think, 1987. But the Uniform Building Code, the
5	Uniform Mechanical Code, the Uniform Employment
6	Code, they have all been updated, and they do not
7	specifically reference Factory Mutual or UL. It's
8	certification body acceptable to the authority
9	having jurisdiction or some language like that.
10	So that's the primary reason why I am
11	here. And we've communicated with Chris, Chief
12	Inspector, our qualifications and the reasons why,
13	you know, we are requesting a change to that code,
14	to allow the use of other acceptable certification
15	organizations.
16	In our last correspondence, was
17	August 24th, we outlined additional justification
18	why we're requesting that change to the code.
19	So I'd be happy to answer any
20	questions you have.
21	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Motion to
22	discuss?
23	MR. HENRY: So moved.
24	MR. LASHLEY: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second.

What questions does the Board have? 1 MR. BAUGHMAN: Sir, thank you 2 3 for being here. 4 MR. MOLISKI: Sure. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: Appreciate that. 6 It's Dave Baughman. 7 So what the request is, is to 8 specifically revise the existing Tennessee Boiler 9 Rule 0800-03-03-.03 and, specifically, the wording 10 is to change it from UL to an NRTL. 11 MR. MOLISKI: I think the -- if 12 I'm saying this right, the National Boiler Code 1.3 has acceptable language that we would replace that 14 with. We would use similar language to the National Boiler Code. 15 16 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And 17 that's why I was asking, was just the specifics of 18 what it is that we're exactly asking for and how 19 it would be looked to be worded. 20 What's the advantage of having ETL 21 Intertek? 2.2 MR. MOLISKI: It provides 23 industry with alternatives for getting their 24 product to market. The advantage is for industry. 25 The advantage is to have -- similar to when we

first broke into this business. 1 2 City of Chicago, City of LA, they 3 wanted the additional input of other organizations 4 other than just Factory Mutual or UL. Part of 5 that's due to competition. Part of that's due to 6 experience. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: So what I'm 8 hearing is, it's economic related, to give an 9 additional competitive entity in the marketplace. 10 There's not a -- I guess what I'm getting at is, 11 I'm hearing that there's advantages to different 12 companies, but I was looking at, is there any 1.3 technical advantages versus monetary advantages? 14 MR. MOLISKI: Well, as I 15 mentioned, technically, all the NRTLs are required 16 to operate in accordance with the OSHA rules. 17 Okay? That requires us to have the same technical 18 competence. That requires us to have the same 19 type of facilities, the same test equipment, the 20 same type of quality management system to support 21 the certification program. 22 We're all test representative 23 samples. We go into the factory between two, 24 four, or six times a year, depending on the type 25 of facility it is. So we're typically going into

1 the factory four times a year. 2 We're confirming that the components 3 in that end product are the same that was in the 4 representative sample that was tested. And 5 there's a whole number of requirements that we 6 need to participate in -- comply with. 7 In the U.S., we have standard 8 development bodies. ASTM, ASME, NSF, and UL is a 9 large standard development body. That's their 10 nonprofit division. Their for-profit division is 11 their testing, inspection and certification. 12 They've split it into two groups now. 13 So we participate on the UL STPs that 14 are critical to our business. Okay? We're also, 15 I think, 12 different NFPA code panels as well as 16 other ASTM standards. Standards, committees. We 17 are not a standards developer, because globally, 18 being a standards developer on a certification 19 body, some economies, some countries believe 20 that's a conflict of interest. So we don't do 21 standards development. 2.2 MR. LASHLEY: So --23 MR. BAUGHMAN: So you -- I'm 24 sorry. Go ahead, Micah. 25 MR. LASHLEY: So are you

saying -- is there any difference in the final 1 2 product delivered between your stamping or UL 3 stamping? 4 MR. MOLISKI: No, because 5 for -- the product that came under question was an 6 autoclave sterilizer. That product is evaluated 7 to UL Standard 61010. 61010 is actually a version of an IEC standard which we participate on. 8 IEC, 9 International Electrotechnical Commission, in 10 Geneva, they have a lot of standards committees. 11 The industry in the U.S. petitioned the UL 12 standards STP, saying we want to adopt the 61010 13 because internationally it's better for us. 14 So that standard was originally 15 written for a 220 60 hertz system. The UL STP 16 would change that to whatever is applicable here, 17 110 50 or whatever we're operating here in the 18 U.S. for that particular piece of equipment. 19 That's a lab -- the standard is for 20 laboratory measurement equipment. It's not your 21 typical, whatever, UL 842 boiler standard, you 22 know. That's not what the product is designed to 23 meet. It's designed to meet 61010. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: And so we're 25 getting into the boiler section, and so all this

1	other doesn't matter a whole lot. We're
2	specifically looking at electric boilers within
3	this particular code parameter. And with electric
4	boilers, there's manufacturers you mentioned
5	U.S., but there's manufacturers of electric
6	boilers that are not made in the U.S.
7	MR. MOLISKI: For sure.
8	MR. BAUGHMAN: And so the
9	question then arises, is that ETL testing of the
10	four times randomly a year upheld to the same high
11	degree, and this is where the questions come up.
12	MR. MOLISKI: That's an
13	excellent question. Because we're OSHA NRTL, OSHA
14	is visiting these different facilities, just like
15	they visit whether it's UL or ETL. We've got
16	five facilities in China. We've got two
17	facilities in Japan. We've got a facility in
18	Taiwan, South Korea. OSHA is going to all of
19	these facilities.
20	And the key audit that takes place is
21	at the headquarter facility, which I mentioned is
22	in Arlington Heights. But this activity for the
23	factory inspections is controlled through these
24	audits, through internal audits, which we're
25	required to do. For being an OSHA NRTL, we're

required to do about two internal audits per year 1 2 at each of our test facilities, which OSHA then 3 checks when they audit us. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: So that didn't specifically answer the question. 5 6 MR. MOLISKI: What more can 7 I --8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Well, on the 9 testing, so if it's in the U.S., we've got four 10 random, unannounced --11 MR. MOLISKI: Inspections, 12 yeah. 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- inspections. 14 And does that hold true for a foreign 15 entity, i.e., south of the border? 16 MR. MOLISKI: Yes. Oh, for 17 sure. Yeah. 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And so we 19 have the same --20 MR. MOLISKI: And that's the 21 thing. We would train local representatives, 22 wherever that facility is, or we send them from 23 here to go to those foreign manufacturers. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: Sure. So we 25 still have the same hipot testing requirements and

1 so forth along the way. So these standards, if we took a 2 3 boiler that's labeled ETL or an NRTL-accepted 4 company, would it meet the same standard as UL? 5 MR. MOLISKI: Well, yes, I 6 think we have evidence of that. I think UL 7 actually conducted five or six field inspections of those sterilizers and found that there's no 8 9 noncompliance of the sterilizer. 10 There were some issues at the point 11 of installation, whether there was an adequate 12 disconnect provided, whether there was clearance That's 13 or spacing. That's on the install side. 14 not on a product compliance side. So the product complied with 61010, and that's our 15 16 responsibility. 17 MR. BAUGHMAN: So I'll further 18 add that because I believe the UL inspection, the 19 field inspection did not specifically encompass 20 that whole boiler. What it was looking at was the 21 shock hazard for the installation, not actually going through the complete unit to make sure that 22 23 we didn't have three phase and single phase wires 24 going across --25 MR. MOLISKI: Right.

1 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- and what have 2 you. So I wanted to --3 MR. MOLISKI: The wiring, yeah. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: I wanted to 5 clarify that, that just because it passed UL 6 inspection didn't mean that it was certified to UL 7 standard. And when some of this was looked at 8 9 via -- what have you, was that -- I think that we 10 didn't -- or it wasn't taken to the extent that we 11 needed to do our homework to say is this -- and 12 that's part of what we brought up during our 13 August conversation, was we need to make sure that 14 these units coming out of, particularly Mexico, 15 were meeting the requirements of ETL itself. 16 And the representative was going, you 17 know, we need to look at that, because they 18 couldn't really answer it at the time. We don't 19 know, as a Board entity. We're taking people's 20 words and this and that. 21 But we want to make sure that as 22 we're approving a body, that these things are 23 actually manufactured and put together and tested 24 accordingly so that our liabilities are very much 25 limited in what we're approving.

1	MR. MOLISKI: Sure. Sure. You
2	probably know more about those field inspections
3	than I to. When I read a few of them, it referred
4	to the standard used as the 61010 standard, and
5	NFPA 70 and UL 508A for control panel.
6	So I just, in reading what the report
7	said, you know, don't know how far they dug into
8	the equipment because sometimes you open that
9	equipment up. A factory-authorized representative
10	will start doing things. Well, then you've voided
11	the listing and you have a whole other
12	MR. BAUGHMAN: Absolutely.
13	Well, I appreciate the reply and just going over
14	that.
15	MR. MOLISKI: But it's so
16	common these days to have products, and certainly
17	components of products, made outside of the U.S.
18	Sometimes those products are assembled here. I
19	don't know where these particular sterilizers were
20	assembled, if it was Mexico, but that's what we
21	do, you know.
22	We have a laboratory in Mexico for
23	certain products for the domestic product safety
24	and for testing for the U.S. And out of that
25	office in Mexico City, we organize our factory

inspections, okay, which I don't know how many we 1 2 do throughout Mexico, but it's a fair number for 3 sure. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: Very good. 5 Thank you very much. 6 MR. MOLISKI: Uh-huh. 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Other 8 questions or comments? 9 Mr. Toth. 10 MR. TOTH: Gentleman had 11 mentioned wording within the National Boiler Code. 12 Can you be more specific to which 1.3 code you're referring to? 14 MR. MOLISKI: Sure, if I can... 15 MR. TOTH: I apologize. Ι 16 thought --17 MR. MOLISKI: To harmonize with 18 the language of the National Boiler Inspection 19 Code, I didn't cut and paste that language out. 20 MR. TOTH: So you don't have 21 that? 2.2 MR. MOLISKI: Yeah. Yeah. But 23 that was referred to us for --24 MR. LASHLEY: Are you looking 25 for within your statement of need that lists

Part 1, paragraph 3.5.3 3(b)? 1 2 MR. TOTH: Which part? 3 MR. LASHLEY: Part 1. 4 MR. TOTH: Part 1? 353(b)? 5 MR. LASHLEY: 3533(b). 6 MR. TOTH: Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. LASHLEY: I believe, is 8 that --9 MR. MOLISKI: I'm sorry. 10 That's --11 MR. LASHLEY: It's the code 12 that's listed in your statement of need --13 MR. MOLISKI: Oh, okay. Okay. 14 MR. LASHLEY: -- on page 1. 15 MR. O'GUIN: Chairman, Chris 16 O'Guin, Chief Inspector. 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes, sir. MR. O'GUIN: Reading this 18 19 boiler case, I don't know y'all's thoughts or 20 representative's thoughts, but it kind of looks 21 like we may need to move the statement of need up 22 to the inquiry and then we reply to that. The 23 statement of need is a little more clear than the 24 inquiry is, as far as what they're looking for, as 25 seen on the verbiage.

1 MR. HENRY: Chief O'Guin, are 2 you satisfied that if we adopt this more general 3 language, that we would maintain the same minimum 4 level of safety that we currently have? 5 MR. O'GUIN: Yes, sir. 6 MR. HENRY: Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. O'GUIN: Chairman and the Board? 8 9 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 10 MR. O'GUIN: I have spoken with 11 Paul and Craig with Intertek, and they 12 investigated the failures that were noted in prior 1.3 conversations and, you know, they came back after 14 their investigation and noted everything was on the inside, like he said. 15 16 So we have talked to the State Fire 17 Marshal's office as well to kind of follow up on 18 the installs, to see if it's in their rules, going forward with electrical boilers. 19 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Other 21 comments? 2.2 Yes, Mr. Toth. 23 MR. TOTH: I was able to pull 24 up that verbiage if the gentleman would like to 25 read it to the Board.

1 Or would you like to see what the 2 actual... 3 MR. MOLISKI: Okay. So this is 4 3.5.3.3, Section B. 5 "The symbol of the certified 6 organization that has investigated such equipment, 7 as having complied with a nationally recognized 8 standard, shall affix to the equipment and shall be considered as evidence that the unit was 9 10 manufactured in accordance with that standard." 11 Whether the State adopts that exact 12 language or you have something slightly altered, 1.3 that's under your discretion. The -- or if you 14 make reference to other approvals in the state of 15 Tennessee, there is a list of approved independent 16 testing laboratories by the Department of Commerce 17 and Insurance, and that's a pretty good list of 18 qualified testing labs, if there was a reason to 19 refer to that. But that's also just kind of 20 another piece of information to have. 21 MR. HENRY: Mr. Chairman? 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 23 MR. HENRY: Two questions. One 24 for you. 25 I assume all we can do as a Board

1 here is to either support or not support this particular action. 2 3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: That is 4 correct. 5 MR. HENRY: So that would be a 6 legislation change. 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 8 That's correct. 9 MR. HENRY: I guess my second 10 question is, in regard to that particular 11 language, it says, "complied with a nationally recognized standard." 12 1.3 Should that be more specific as to 14 what -- either include a list of the organizations 15 that are recognized or specifically say U.S. 16 National Standard or something of that sort? The 17 fact that it has complied with the national 18 standard of Russia right now wouldn't give me a lot of confidence. 19 20 MR. MOLISKI: I'll tell you 21 that the committee that wrote that probably 22 agonized over the wording for a long, long time. And it's not uncommon to see language 23 24 that would include something such as the 25 applicable UL, FM or AST, ASME standard or

something like that. But that gets into -- you 1 2 know, sometimes that wording can be complicated as 3 well. But that's -- I think that's up for whoever 4 administrates the Tennessee code, to make that final decision. 5 6 And we would be happy to attend a 7 meeting if you're going to discuss language in the 8 code, to help develop that language, you know, 9 whatever -- that's how things sometimes get done. 10 You get, you know, a group of us in the room. 11 And, personally, I work very closely 12 with many, many people from Factory Mutual and UL, 13 and we're on a lot of committees together. And 14 for things like this, we typically align. On the 15 commercial side, we're beating each other up, 16 pretty difficult for clients. 17 But especially on the international 18 side, when it comes to adopting IEC standards, we 19 have tremendous industry input to how that process 20 takes place. 21 And we work very closely with whatever the industry sector is. If it's home 22 23 appliances, we work with Whirlpool and the 24 Association -- National Electrical Manufacturers 25 Association, NEMA. If it's medical devices,

there's AdvaMed, and there's Philips, there's GE, 1 2 there's Baxter. We work with all these companies 3 and they -- they'll be pushing for a certain 4 thing. 5 And then from testing and the 6 certification side, there's certain things that we 7 need to make sure is included because of the OSHA 8 requirements, you know. A manufacturer will want -- "Oh, we want to self-declare and we don't 9 10 want any factory inspections." Well, that's not 11 how our system works. In Europe they self-declare 12 and there are no factory inspections and there's a 13 lot more noncompliant product on the market 14 compared to North America. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Mr. Toth. 16 MR. TOTH: Mr. Chairman, Marty 17 Toth, ECS. 18 A couple things. Number 1, the word 19 "nationally," to Mr. Henry, NBIC, ASME is 20 worldwide. Okay? So NBIC can be used in Asia. 21 So we have to, when we write those rules, we have 22 to keep that into consideration. Number 2, when it goes down to 23 24 3533(B), well, you follow down into (C). That's 25 when it points back to the jurisdiction and says,

okay, installation has to be required in the 1 2 jurisdiction. 3 In regards to my opinion, the rule --4 the reference is a rule. The rule is written by 5 this body. As with other things within the rule, 6 the Board does have the opportunity -- and legal 7 counsel may be able to help with this. The Board 8 does have the opportunity to put into place a 9 Board Case, okay, that opens it up to allow for 10 additional standards, and then take it to the 11 point of opening up the rules through legislature 12 and rewording it so it does match what the 13 industry standard is. 14 That's just a suggestion so that if 15 you needed to move forward with this, as long as 16 the Chief's staff feels like it's -- that's an 17 option that you may want to look into. And so 18 hopefully that helps. 19 MR. MOLISKI: In my world, when 20 there's something like a national -- U.S. national 21 standard, national consensus standard, we look for 22 the ANS, American National Standard. And that 23 notation comes from a standards development 24 organization that's accredited by ANSI, American 25 National Standards Institute. And so it would be

an ANS with -- I don't know. All the big 1 2 standards bodies, ASME, ASTM, UL. They're all 3 accredited by ANSI as American National Standards 4 development organization. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: I've got to say, 6 in the letter from the City of Chattanooga, dated June 22nd of 1981, I don't see any letter, 7 8 actually, in that -- references that are past the early to mid-'80s. 9 10 But that being said, I like the way 11 it was written at the end, was, "However, rather 12 than give a blanket approval for every article or 13 device which may be tested, we reserve the 14 privilege to review the testing data of any device 15 which may be tested." 16 They approved but they reserved the 17 privilege to be able to go out, and I've got to 18 say, I like that. 19 MR. MOLISKI: Yeah. Yeah. 20 MR. BAUGHMAN: I just wanted to 21 know what your input and if that is an acceptable 22 verbiage moving forward, our own verbiage and what 23 have you, just food for thought. 24 MR. MOLISKI: Sure. A couple 25 things about the historical letters, legacy

letters, which I will go back to. 1 2 Anytime we're requested to share 3 data, we have to get written authorization from 4 the manufacturer because that's proprietary. 5 Apple is not going to allow us to share data under 6 a new widget before it hits the market. So that 7 is always possible. And that's no problem, to review test data with a certain committee or --8 that's what the accreditors do all day long, look 9 10 in our test reports and data from how we conducted 11 the test, what the results were. That's the way 12 the system works. 13 Those letters were requested when we 14 were attempting to establish a name for ourself. 15 Some people say, "Oh, this letter is 16 20 years old." And it's like, "Well, that's when we 17 18 got it." 19 If I showed you a letter from 20 yesterday, you could say, "This letter is from 21 yesterday. What? You just get in the business?" 22 And so it's always a -- you know. So 23 because we're authorized as a fully recognized 24 NRTL in 1990, call it -- the need for getting 25 these additional letters typically isn't

1 necessary. 2 You know, I can say, unfortunately, 3 never had the opportunity to work with a boiler 4 commission in Tennessee previously. We work a lot 5 with the electrical board. We attend the 6 International Association of Electrical 7 Inspectors. We do field inspections in the state 8 9 of Tennessee, which are accepted by the electrical 10 inspection authorities here in the state. So we 11 feel very comfortable operating in the state of 12 Tennessee, and we have a good rapport with all the 13 inspectors. 14 MR. BAUGHMAN: At some point in 15 time, it would be interesting to do a field 16 inspection through ETL, not so much with you-all, 17 but have an ETL field inspection --18 MR. MOLISKI: Sure. 19 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- to go through 20 the unit itself that -- in particular, our 21 electric boilers, but we found that it -- we're all human beings. And so just because something 22 23 passes at one facility or through one inspection 24 doesn't mean it necessarily will pass at another. 25 But it would be interesting to have that

1 availability at some point. 2 MR. MOLISKI: Right. 3 MR. BAUGHMAN: Because, yes, 4 you're doing these four random checks at the factory and so forth. I'm familiar with that 5 6 through the different -- through UL and your ETL, 7 as you described. But knowing, too, that things 8 still get missed, and just verifying that out in the field would sure give a big warm, fuzzy along 9 10 the way at some point in time. It's not mandated, 11 but I bring that up to kind of throw that out 12 there. 13 MR. MOLISKI: Yeah. Right. 14 Our -- just so you know, our field inspections, 15 we're accredited by a group called IAS, 16 International Accreditation Service. They're the 17 sister company of the group called ICC that writes 18 the Uniform Building Code. So that service is 19 separately accredited to -- and a field inspection 20 standard. 21 When we go into the factory, the 22 inspector has with him the report from the testing 23 laboratory. So if we tested this product in 24 Plano, Texas, or Atlanta, that report, which is --25 these reports are gotten -- from 15 years ago,

they've gotten much more sophisticated with the 1 2 call-outs, with the photographs of the wiring. 3 And a lot of the trouble we find --4 and we have a process for writing a variance 5 notice -- is when we go into the factory, 6 sometimes some factories change a primary or 7 critical component that we were never notified of. 8 Sometimes that change is negligible. There's 9 proof that that wiring is the same or that 10 capacitor is the same. 11 But sometimes we find things, well, 12 you changed this relay, you didn't notify us, and 13 we have to do an investigation. 14 If it's significant enough, we have 15 the authority to shut the assembly line down and 16 we can recall those products if ever needed, and 17 every manufacturer knows that. So, typically, it 18 doesn't get to that point. The factories we're 19 going into are, you know, well experienced and 20 well informed that they cannot change components. 21 If you change a component, you risk creating a 22 hazard within that device. 23 So that's one of the reasons why we 24 do that factory inspection where we monitor the 25 number of products that are labeled, we monitor

their hipot, their production line test equipment 1 2 to make sure it's calibrated. We monitor their 3 training to make sure that whoever is doing that 4 production line test is trained in accordance with 5 the requirements of that test. 6 And so there's a lot going into that 7 factory inspection, that four-time-a-year thing. 8 And that's what OSHA does. And then we get 9 witness sometimes. OSHA goes with us into that 10 factory. 11 MR. BAUGHMAN: You had 12 mentioned hipot. I'd mentioned hipot earlier. 13 MR. MOLISKI: Right. 14 MR. BAUGHMAN: Mr. Bailey had 15 asked about nomenclature and -- but you might 16 describe what a hipot is. MR. BAILEY: Thank you. 17 18 MR. MOLISKI: And I'm going to 19 have to plead the Fifth. I am not an electrical 20 engineer. So me describing a hipot test unit 21 probably isn't going to be a lot of benefit to 22 everyone, to be honest with you. And in each production line test, 23 24 while the hipot or dielectric strength test is 25 conducted, it could vary between a sterilizer, a

room air conditioner, and a projector or a TV. 1 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: I'll explain a 3 little bit further. MR. MOLISKI: Okay. 4 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: It's a machine 6 that, in laymen's terms, will test for a short 7 circuit. And that's the easiest way to describe 8 it. 9 MR. MOLISKI: Right. Right. 10 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. Thank 11 you. 12 MR. MOLISKI: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: What other 14 questions, comments? 15 MR. O'GUIN: Chris O'Guin, 16 Chief Inspector. 17 I'm sitting here trying to reword 18 this a little bit for clarity purposes. I've got 19 a blanket statement, to me, being an inquiry, 20 striking the bottom part, and I can read generally 21 what I'm thinking. And y'all can add to it and 22 take it away. 23 The statement to me being an inquiry, 24 "Intertek is requesting a ruling of the Tennessee 25 Board of Boiler Rules to revise the existing

1	Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-0303, construction
2	standards, to allow for other OSHA nationally
3	recognized testing laboratories on electrically
4	fired boilers."
5	And just quick reply, I put, "Reply.
6	Yes, the Board of Boiler Rules will recognize any
7	OSHA nationally recognized testing laboratories."
8	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, just
9	so we're clear on the record, the only paragraph
10	we're referencing in Rule 0800-03-0303 is
11	paragraph 3. There are seven paragraphs in that
12	rule. The only paragraph we're concerned
13	that's the only place where the UL reference is
14	made.
15	And, also, the statute does not
16	mention UL anywhere in the statute. It's purely
17	in the rule. So it would not take any involvement
18	of the legislature other than the next time you
19	provide the rules, it might be something that
20	you'd want to make sure that that's part of your
21	next rule package.
22	The statute does give the Board the
23	authority to grant variances from the rules, as
24	you well know, which that can be handled as a
25	variance request. If someone wanted to install

1	something but didn't have a UL labeling but had
2	ETL instead, that could be a variance. Or you
3	could do a Board Interpretation that you would
4	recognize, as Chris has written out, any
5	OSHA-approved testing labs. I think that would be
6	sufficient also.
7	That's all of my comments.
8	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you,
9	Mr. Bailey. That's very helpful.
10	MR. MOLISKI: If I may, you
11	know, more recently well, more recently. In
12	the last ten years, there's been significant push
13	by household consumer appliance companies to
14	possibly use us for other organizations.
15	And I encourage everyone. The next
16	time you're in your Target or your Costco, big
17	retailer, and you're, you know, just browsing
18	around, look at you know, look at the lighting
19	appliances at Home Depot or Lowe's, and you will
20	see a pretty large variety of marks on products.
21	And hopefully you're going to see a lot of ETL
22	Marks on products. And you're going to see UL
23	Marks, but you may see others you're not familiar
24	with.
25	Some of the Europeans, TNV, from

1	Germany, operates here in the U.S. An Internet
2	RTI, you could see a TV logo on that product.
3	And if it's being sold through a
4	large commercial, you know, retailer, Home Depot,
5	Target, Costco, any of those kind of stores, they
6	have very good product compliance groups that are
7	checking that and checking if it's certified to
8	the U.S. requirements and things like that.
9	So, normally, it's not going to end
10	up on a shelf in one of those stores. I would be
11	a little more cautious. Again, you've heard the
12	story. Well, you're not going to buy a 100-foot
13	extension cord at the Dollar Store that's going to
14	be worth anything. Okay?
15	So I would be concerned, you know, if
16	the Dollar Store selling a 100-foot extension cord
17	for whatever, 2 bucks or 5 bucks. True Value,
18	they got it right, compliance department. It's
19	going to cost you \$15, but it's not going to catch
20	on fire.
21	MR. BAUGHMAN: In particular,
22	with ETLs, do we have accessibility specifically
23	related to ETL's requirements for electric boilers
24	that is available to us in the technical end of
25	it?

1	I know we've looked at, or some of us
2	have looked at UL requirements specific to
3	different equipment. I didn't know if there's
4	anything in particular, especially being on the
5	technical side of
6	MR. MOLISKI: Well, we
7	subscribe to the standard services, and we're
8	using that UL 61010 standard. There's no
9	deviations we're applying to that standard. That
10	is what we use during the evaluation.
11	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay.
12	MR. MOLISKI: And that's what
13	we're audited against, and that's what our
14	accreditation says; you're accredited for 61010.
15	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay.
16	MR. MOLISKI: So we don't
17	develop additional requirements on the safety
18	side.
19	Our energy efficiency business, we're
20	the largest ENERGY STAR testing lab in the world.
21	And we have efficiency programs with AHRI for
22	heating, air-conditioning equipment. And with
23	AHAM, Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers,
24	for the efficiencies and ratings of refrigerators,
25	freezers, and things like that.

Γ

1 MR. BAUGHMAN: Interesting. MR. MOLISKI: On that side of 2 3 the business, there could be additional 4 requirements that we apply per the program rules 5 that we operate under. Our logo doesn't go on 6 that. The AHAM or AHRI energy rating goes on 7 that, but those are tested in our facilities. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Did you say 9 you're the largest ENERGY STAR? 10 MR. MOLISKI: I believe we are, 11 yes. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay, because I 13 know the efficiency rating changes came into 14 effect January 10th of this month, for boilers in 15 particular. 16 MR. MOLISKI: There's a lot 17 going on. And, actually, the DOE and the PPA that 18 runs the ENERGY STAR program, they lean very 19 heavily on a consultant group to run that program 20 for EPA. But the revisions to the DOE 21 regulations, you know, they -- you would know. 22 They would take years to go into effect prior to 23 us applying that requirement. 24 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yeah, and so for 25 us, it was all given -- everything was ahead of

1	time. The final date of any manufacturing after
2	January 10th now has to have the new
3	energy-efficient ratings on there. So I was
4	interested when you mentioned the ENERGY STAR.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. MOLISKI: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any
8	comments?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Thank you
11	for the presentation.
12	So my question to the Boiler Unit is,
13	Chief O'Guin, are we looking at trying to put
14	together a Board Case for this or what? Because
15	you were working on some wording; is that correct?
16	MR. O'GUIN: Yes. I mean, if
17	the presenter would be good with, you know, moving
18	the statement because I think the inquiry is
19	more toward a statement of need.
20	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay.
21	MR. O'GUIN: That's the way I
22	read it. So I would move the statement made up as
23	an inquiry under 5 and leave the current statement
24	of need.
25	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay.

MR. O'GUIN: If that makes any 1 2 sense. 3 I mean, we could do the verbiage and, 4 you know, I can retype it up if the presenter is 5 good with it. And based off the motion y'all make 6 today, I could write it down and then type it up 7 and finalize it for the website. MR. LASHLEY: In addition to 8 9 the verbiage that you stated earlier, should we 10 reference 61010 as well in the verbiage since he's 11 stated that they are compliant with 61010? 12 MR. MOLISKI: I don't know as 13 it's necessary, and I don't know how that would 14 affect your business, but I don't -- because there 15 are, I think, other standard references that may 16 come into play and -- you know, separate from 17 61010 for that particular -- that standard is for 18 instrument and measurement equipment. Very, very 19 specific. So I would think on that addition. 20 MR. TOTH: Mr. Chairman? 21 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Mr. Toth. 22 MR. TOTH: Since I was 23 referred -- Marty Toth again. 24 Yeah, I agree with the presenter on 25 that. Sometimes when we start digging down in the

weeds and putting too many references in there, 1 2 eventually something is going to come up and get 3 changed and then that throws a wrench into it. By pretty much copying what comes 4 5 from 3353(b) out of NBIC, which I can assure you 6 the NBIC would have no problem with you doing 7 that, I think that would cover everything, and 8 then it's the responsibility for the jurisdictions to then find the appropriate references. 9 10 Make sense? 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Makes 12 sense. So with that said, I need a motion. 1.3 14 I mean, do we make a motion to work with the 15 Boiler Unit to develop? 16 MR. LASHLEY: Are we motioning 17 to edit the inquiry? 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. What 19 we would do is work with the Boiler Unit to 20 develop what you're working on right now. 21 MR. HENRY: Develop the 22 appropriate wording? 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 24 MR. HENRY: Support the 25 principal of the action?

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yeah. 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: So not 3 necessarily to vote the approval of the NRTLs, but 4 to develop the verbiage --5 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 6 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- to move 7 forward. 8 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 9 MR. BAUGHMAN: To then 10 approve --11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- going 13 forward. 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 15 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. 16 MR. BAILEY: As a Board Case. 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: What? 18 MR. BAILEY: As a Board Case. 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: As a Board 20 Case. 21 MR. HENRY: So moved. 2.2 MR. LASHLEY: I'll second. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 23 24 MR. TOTH: Mr. Chairman, are 25 you voting on the actual Board Case right now?

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: No. 2 MR. TOTH: No. Okay. I'm 3 sorry. I got kind of lost. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: We're just 4 5 going to develop the Board Case. 6 MR. TOTH: Excuse me? 7 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: We're going 8 to develop the Board Case. 9 MR. TOTH: Thank you. Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: But we're 11 not going to do that here. MR. TOTH: And then that would 12 13 not be approved until March? 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 15 MR. TOTH: Okay. You can't do 16 letter ballots or anything like that? 17 MR. BAILEY: It's got to be a 18 public meeting. 19 MR. TOTH: Public? 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 21 MR. TOTH: Okay. I tried. 22 Sorry. 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So yeah. 24 MR. BAILEY: March is just 25 around the corner.

CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So that's 1 2 what we've got as a proposal. Do I have a second? 3 MR. LASHLEY: I second. 4 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. I've 5 got a second. 6 Any more discussion? 7 MR. O'GUIN: Chairman, are 8 y'all thinking about rewording this one, or are 9 we... 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: We'll work 11 with you on that. 12 MR. O'GUIN: And the presenter? 13 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Are you 14 okay with that? 15 MR. MOLISKI: Yes. 16 MR. O'GUIN: Do we want to try 17 to reword it today, or do we want to -- what's the 18 plan? 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Do you 20 think we could take a 15-minute break and get that 21 put together? 2.2 MR. O'GUIN: I personally don't 23 think it's going to be too time-consuming if we 24 just move some of this around and make it an 25 inquiry instead of a statement of need.

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 2 Okay. 3 MR. O'GUIN: It just look likes 4 some of the verbiage is backwards as far as where 5 it should be. 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So let's 7 take a 15-minute break and put that together, and 8 we'll reconvene and present it and see if we can 9 vote it. 10 MR. O'GUIN: I think that would 11 be good. They flew down here twice to try to pass 12 this, so if we could work to try to get some 1.3 clarity, that would be good. 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So are you 15 agreeable with us to do that? 16 MR. MOLISKI: Sure. Yes. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. All 19 right. Let's take 15 minutes, and we'll reconvene 20 at noon and have a proposal that we can vote on. 21 (Recess observed.) 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: We are ready for Chief O'Guin to read the proposed 23 24 inquiry. 25 MR. O'GUIN: Thank you,

1 Chairman. 2 The inquiry verbiage I have is, 3 "Intertek is requesting a ruling by the Tennessee 4 Board of Boiler Rules to revise the existing 5 Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-03-.03, paragraph 3, 6 Construction Standards, to allow for other OSHA 7 nationally recognized testing laboratories for 8 electrically fired boilers." 9 The reply would be, "Yes, the Board 10 of Boiler Rules will recognize OSHA nationally 11 recognized testing laboratories." 12 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Do I have a 13 motion? 14 MR. LASHLEY: I motion. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Micah has 16 got a motion. 17 MR. BAUGHMAN: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. Any 19 more discussion? 20 MR. HENRY: Yeah. 21 Chief O'Guin, on -- and maybe I'm 22 totally influenced by the code that should be on 23 the inquiries. To keep the inquiry as general as 24 possible, shouldn't it just be, will we, the 25 Tennessee Boiler Board, allow the use of -- and

1 then use the verbiage that you've got in regard to 2 OSHA-approved organizations. I don't think we 3 need all that information in the -- not on that 4 first sentence there? It's not relevant. 5 MR. O'GUIN: Mr. Henry, are you 6 referring to where I have "Intertek is requesting 7 a ruling by the Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules"? 8 MR. HENRY: Yes. 9 MR. O'GUIN: Okay. 10 MR. HENRY: I think just keep 11 the inquiry simple. Does the Tennessee Boiler 12 Board, or whatever the appropriate organization 13 is, will they allow the use of OSHA-approved 14 organizations to perform the defined functions? 15 And the response is, then, yes, with 16 the other verbiage you had after that. 17 MR. O'GUIN: Okay. The only 18 thing I feel is we need to keep the construction 19 standard code in there so people will know what 20 we're referencing back to, you know, as far as 21 anyone looking at this Board Case and trying to 2.2 know what we're referencing in the rules. 23 So do you just want to take "Intertek 24 is requesting" off and... 25 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Well, what

is the Board members' pleasure? 1 2 MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, I 3 think it needs to be in there for the fact that we 4 will know historically who made, you know, the 5 request or the inquiry. 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. 7 MR. HENRY: Won't that be in the statement of need? 8 9 MR. BAILEY: What's that? 10 MR. HENRY: Won't that be in 11 the statement of need? 12 MR. BAILEY: I don't know. Ιs it? 1.3 14 MR. HENRY: I think that's 15 where it belongs, not in the inquiry. 16 MR. BAILEY: Wherever it's at, 17 I mean, I just think we need to -- there should be 18 a historical basis as to why we even talked about 19 it, you know. 20 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Right. 21 MR. BAILEY: Whether it's in the inquiry or a statement, however. That's all. 22 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So we'll 24 have the inquiry, the response, and then have a 25 statement of need underneath that.

Does that satisfy you? 1 2 MR. HENRY: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. And 4 does that satisfy you, Mr. Bailey? 5 MR. BAILEY: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. The 7 words are there. We've just got to get them in 8 the right order. 9 MR. BAILEY: Right. 10 MR. O'GUIN: Tell me the 11 verbiage you want. 12 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: It -- you 1.3 should have it. MR. TOTH: Mr. Chairman? 14 15 MR. O'GUIN: So we're striking "Intertek is requesting a ruling"? 16 17 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 18 MR. TOTH: Can --19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes, 20 Mr. Toth. 21 MR. TOTH: Do you mind -- Chief 22 Inspector, do you mind rereading what you put as 23 your inquiry and your response, just --24 MR. O'GUIN: What I had as my 25 inquiry was, I took their statement of need and

1	said, "Intertek is requesting a ruling by the
2	Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules to revise the
3	existing Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-0303,
4	paragraph 3, to allow for other OSHA nationally
5	recognized testing laboratories on electrically
6	fired boilers." That was the inquiry.
7	Reply, "Yes, the Board of Boiler
8	Rules will recognize OSHA nationally recognized
9	testing laboratories."
10	MR. TOTH: If I can give just a
11	slight recommendation, if you don't mind.
12	And I see where Mr. Henry is coming
13	from, because when we go through interpretations
14	or requirements within NBIC and that's what we
15	really follow is, yes, whoever submits the
16	question, we can get some background of it, but to
17	keep the question as simple and straightforward as
18	possible and give the answer if we can answer
19	it with a "yes" or "no" and that be it, that's
20	probably the best case.
21	So as Mr. Henry is alluding to, what
22	is the sole purpose of this? The sole purpose of
23	this is to allow for nationally recognized
24	standards. So if we take that verbiage directly
25	from 3353(b), where they talk about nationally

recognized standards, put that into a question and 1 2 be able to answer that with a "yes," then we're 3 going to be sufficient. 4 Putting all that information as 5 Mr. Bailey alluded to, yes, it will be in the 6 initial background, but it will also be in the 7 minutes, because how this body labels your Board 8 Cases and Board Interpretations has to do with where it falls in the minutes as a line item, such 9 10 as 2301 to whatever it is. 11 Hopefully that helps and I didn't 12 talk too much. 13 MR. LASHLEY: (Indicating.) 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Micah? 15 MR. LASHLEY: Just, you know, 16 as an alteration, if we're going to eliminate 17 "Intertek," we could -- you know, "a ruling is 18 requested by the Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules," 19 and then continue on with your statement. 20 MR. O'CONNOR: (Indicating.) 21 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Sorry. 2.2 Yes? 23 MR. O'CONNOR: Mike O'Connor, 24 Sr., Mo's Mechanical Company. 25 I heard you, Chief O'Guin. You'd

stated that you're going to have this recognizing 1 2 just the electric-type/style heaters. What about any other effort to -- sorry. Mike O'Connor, Sr., 3 Mo's Mechanical Company. 4 5 I heard you reading off about the 6 electric-style heaters, but what about any other 7 parts or apparatuses, any other type of equipment? 8 Are you opening the door for any company to do any 9 of this stuff for any of our boilers, whether it 10 be a gas or anything? 11 How would that play down the road for 12 anybody who wanted to change a part? Like you had 13 said, do you go out with your company and you look 14 at how they're supposed to design and build it? 15 You come back a few months later and you check it 16 and they decide, "This relay is cheaper. We're 17 going to use this one," and now you get to shut 18 the assembly line plant down. 19 Do you -- do ETL laboratories, do you 20 build those parts and things that they would 21 change and you could start implementing those 22 parts instead of UL-listed parts? Is that what 23 you're asking for or just electric boilers, 24 basically? 25 MR. MOLISKI: I think maybe

1	we're confusing a couple of things. No. We don't
2	supply any kind of parts or anything like that.
3	And the proposal is to only accept OSHA nationally
4	recognized testing laboratories.
5	MR. O'CONNOR: Right.
6	MR. MOLISKI: Okay. So that
7	prohibits any other organization that's not on
8	that list from OSHA.
9	MR. O'CONNOR: I understand.
10	But what I'm saying is if your laboratory tests
11	say the relay that energizes the gas valve, but
12	you also test this water heater, the boilers that
13	you're wanting to implement, is this starting with
14	that list that you
15	MR. MOLISKI: Oh, then you
16	could you could maybe you don't need to make
17	a reference to the specific product. Just say
18	that to allow OSHA nationally recognized
19	testing laboratories, period.
20	MR. O'CONNOR: Right. So if
21	you had a different product other than that you
22	wanted to utilize in the state of Tennessee on
23	another piece of equipment, you want your company
24	and every company on that list to be able to
25	supply that part.

MR. MOLISKI: Right. If it's 1 2 not -- yeah. If it's gas, electric, or diesel, 3 whatever. It doesn't have to be electric. Right. 4 Yeah. 5 MR. BAUGHMAN: And I appreciate 6 that. One of the things we get looking into is 7 the rebuilt parts in the marketplace in this day and age and how, when they're rebuilt, if they're 8 not rebuilt by the original equipment 9 10 manufacturer, they can really lose their listing. 11 MR. MOLISKI: Yeah. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: And by our codes 13 and CSD-1, part of the control safety devices and 14 ASME, we're required to have the original 15 equipment manufacturer rebuild them if they're 16 going to uphold that same testing. 17 When we get talking about the 18 electrical, if I'm not mistaken, UL is only 19 addressed on the electric boilers in our code, and 20 we don't even address UL or NRTL on the gas or oil 21 side. So it would be specific just on the 2.2 electrical within this particular conversation. 23 MR. O'GUIN: If we want to base 24 it more to a question, how would y'all feel about 25 whether Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules recognize

1	OSHA nationally recognized testing laboratories
2	for electrically fired boilers, and then the reply
3	could just be a basic "yes" answer.
4	MR. MOLISKI: Can we just take
5	the word "electrical" out and just say boilers?
6	MR. O'GUIN: No.
7	MS. XIXIS: This is Tia Xixis,
8	Chief of Staff for the Tennessee Department of
9	Labor.
10	I think this is something that we
11	need to do, possibly, and bring back at the next
12	meeting because this is a lot of back and forth.
13	Dan, as the attorney, I don't know
14	your thought process on that, but we do need to
15	write this out and work some more because I think
16	we have a lot of different thoughts and ideas.
17	And I do agree with Mr. Baughman's
18	thought process, that it is specific, but we're
19	looking at multiple different things today because
20	it will affect future items, possibly, that we may
21	have to make some adjustments to the rules. And
22	these are all different processes that we may want
23	to work towards this resolution and bring it back
24	for a future vote.
25	MR. BAILEY: Well, the purpose

1	of taking the 15-minute break, I thought, was to
2	get the wording worked out, and apparently it
3	didn't happen.
4	But the particular rule that's being
5	referenced here only references electric boilers.
6	MR. MOLISKI: Oh, okay.
7	MR. BAILEY: It doesn't
8	reference anything else.
9	MR. MOLISKI: Keep it electric,
10	then. Yeah. We're so close, you know. Yeah.
11	MR. BAILEY: But I think the
12	idea was that, you know, we there was pretty
13	much general agreement and that so why not get
14	it done today.
15	And as far as using anything other
16	than UL anywhere else in the rules, as
17	Mr. Baughman said, I don't think it's referenced
18	anywhere else.
19	But this particular rule that we're
20	referencing says, "Electrically heated boilers
21	subject to the ASME code requirement shall bear
22	the," quote/unquote, "underwriter's laboratory
23	label in addition to the required ASME
24	certification mark and certification designator.
25	This means that the boiler shall be supplied by

the manufacturer as a complete unit and not 1 2 converted in the field." 3 That's the rule of reference. That's 4 the rule we're talking about. So this would only 5 apply to electrically heated boilers. And if 6 somewhere down the road this issue comes up with 7 some other -- other than electrically heated 8 boilers, bring it back to the Board and see if we can work it out. 9 10 That's my thought process on it, but 11 if you-all think you want to bump it, that's fine 12 with me. 13 MR. HENRY: Chief O'Guin, what 14 you just said, I think, is pretty close. 15 MR. BAILEY: I think wording is 16 pretty close. I mean, it just needs to be 17 confined to the rule that was brought before us. 18 Like I said, I thought that's why we took a break, 19 to work it out. But it's whatever the Board's 20 pleasure is. 21 MR. BAUGHMAN: Chief, would you 22 go over that wording one more time just for our 23 clarification, please? 24 MR. O'GUIN: Do you want the 25 last verbiage where it's more of a question and a

1 basic reply? 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes, sir. Thank 3 you. 4 MR. O'GUIN: Okay. The last 5 verbiage we just read was, "Will the Tennessee 6 Board of Boiler Rules recognize OSHA nationally 7 recognized laboratories for electrically fired 8 boilers?" 9 MR. MOLISKI: Testing 10 laboratories, yeah. NRTL, yeah. 11 MR. LASHLEY: I think we still 12 need to reference the rule. 13 So "Will the Tennessee Board of 14 Boiler Rules revise the existing Tennessee Boiler 15 Rule, Construction Standards, to align with the 16 NBIC, allowing NRTLs?" 17 I know that's very condensed. 18 MR. O'GUIN: Let me be sure 19 I've got this right since I'm going to be the one 20 writing it. 21 "Will the Tennessee Board of Boiler 2.2 Rules" --23 MR. LASHLEY: Revise. 24 MR. O'GUIN: -- "revise the 25 existing Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-03-.03,

1	paragraph 3, Construction Standards, to allow for
2	other OSHA nationally recognized testing
3	laboratories for electrically fired boilers?"
4	MR. TOTH: I'm sorry. You're
5	not voting to revise the rule.
6	MR. BAILEY: Right.
7	MR. TOTH: You're doing a Board
8	Case that is in addition to the rule. The
9	revising of the rule is going to be later on when
10	you guys open up legislation. And then that is
11	when you add into it. So you're doing a Board
12	Case, not revising the rule.
13	MR. BAILEY: That's correct. I
14	have the same thing. It's an interpretation.
15	Will we interpret that rule to allow for
16	OSHA-approved testing laboratories other than UL?
17	MR. TOTH: That's all you have
18	to say.
19	MR. LASHLEY: So strike
20	"revise" and add "interpret."
21	MR. BAILEY: Yeah.
22	MR. O'GUIN: Say that again.
23	MS. XIXIS: I'm in agreement.
24	MR. LASHLEY: Strike "revise,"
25	and change that to "interpret."

1 MR. HENRY: Mr. Chairman, do we 2 do letter ballots? 3 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: No, we do 4 not. 5 MR. O'GUIN: So "Will the 6 Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules interpret the 7 existing Tennessee Boiler Rule 0800-03-03-.03, 8 paragraph 3, Construction Standards, to allow for 9 other OSHA nationally recognized testing 10 laboratories for electrically fired boilers?" 11 MR. LASHLEY: Motion. 12 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. I've 1.3 got a motion. Do I have a second? 14 MR. HENRY: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: I've qot a 16 second. 17 Last call for comments. 18 (No verbal response.) 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 20 none, I'm going to call the question. 21 All in favor say "aye." 2.2 (Affirmative Response.) 23 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 24 abstentions, not voting? 25 (No verbal response.)

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: It passed. 2 MR. MOLISKI: Thank you very 3 much. I'm just interested in what the next step 4 is. Somebody said something about legislature or 5 something. Is there a next step? 6 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: That's in 7 the future. 8 MR. MOLISKI: Oh, okay. 9 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: So right 10 now we've got an approved --11 MR. MOLISKI: Okay. Great. 12 MR. O'GUIN: From today going 13 forward, I mean, it's already approved. So, you 14 know, ETL will be accepted in the state of Tennessee. So... 15 16 MS. XIXIS: And I'll add -- Tia 17 Xixis, Chief of Staff for the Department of 18 Labor -- legislation falls under my field, and 19 that's one of the things here we have to go back 20 with the administration, all the processes. 21 You know, that's one thing Dan, as 22 the attorney, would move forward with the Board in 23 the future, that it has to go through tons of 24 hurdles and approval steps, that we can't just 25 make that change with the governor. And so

that's -- for us, it's three different processes. 1 2 And I don't believe it requires legislative change. It would only be a change to the rules. 3 4 And then also here, what we just 5 did -- you guys did a great job of wrapping that 6 up real quick; I like that -- is that it is 7 specific to the request on hand about the case. 8 And so thank you. 9 MR. BAILEY: Yeah. There will 10 be no legislative change. But I would say you 11 don't go revising your rules just for this one 12 change. Wait until you have several of them, 13 because that is a big-time process. 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes, it is. 15 The last time we did that it was 2016. 16 MR. MOLISKI: Thank you, 17 everyone, for all the time, extra time you spent 18 on this, and thank you for allowing me to appear 19 again. It was great. Thank you. 20 Thank you for MR. BAUGHMAN: 21 your diligence. 22 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. That 23 takes us to Item 2 on Rule Cases and 24 Interpretations, BC 22-04, Clearance Requirements 25 for Wall Mounted Tankless Boilers.

1 <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>
MR. O'GUIN: Would you like me
to read that, Chairman?
CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Absolutely.
MR. O'GUIN: Board Case
BC 22-04, Clearance Requirements for Wall Mounted
Tankless Boilers.
Statement of need: The staff of the
Tennessee Boiler Unit is requesting a ruling by
the Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules to allow
tankless hot water supply boilers of wall-mounted,
stacked, and modular design to be exempt from the
installation clearance requirements of
Rule 0800-03-0308, paragraph (4)(a).
Background: With advances in
technology and design, the boiler industry has
seen hot water supply boilers coming into the
market. They are mounted on walls. These
low-pressure boilers have either been labeled
and/or listed by a nationally registered testing
agency. In the case of those boilers, 200,000 BTU
per hour and greater or those boilers with
combined 200,000 BTU per hour and greater, the
boiler is required to be stamped ASME and
registered with the National Board.
Inquiry: Is it required for a

low-pressure hot water supply boiler to be 1 2 designed and installed as a wall-mounted unit to 3 adhere to the minimum clearance of at least 4 1 1/2 feet? Clearance requirements set forth in 5 Rule 0800-03-03-.08, paragraph (4)(a), of the 6 Tennessee Boiler Rules and Regulations, except for 7 the wall-mounted side set forth in Rule 0800-03-03-.08, BC 06-23. 8 9 It is in the opinion of the Tennessee 10 Board of Boiler Rules that the tankless wall- or 11 rack-mounted low-pressure hot water supply boilers 12 that are designed accordingly may be exempt from 13 the clearance requirements of Rule 0800-03-03-.08, 14 paragraph (4)(a), as follows: 15 1, Wall- and rack-mounted tankless 16 This installation will include the boilers. 17 phrase "per manufacturer recommendations" for 18 clearance. 19 Paragraph 2, The boiler nameplate 20 and, where applicable, code stamping is in view or 21 as stated in Rule 0800-03-03-.03. 22 Paragraph 23, The following shall be 23 legible to the unaided eye: manufacturer name or 24 certified agency input, rating, BTU per hour, 25 maximum reliable working pressure, manufacturer

1	
1	serial number or NBIC code number, and year of
2	manufacture.
3	The boiler safety relief device shall
4	be accessible by the inspector. The operation and
5	testing lever shall not be obstructed by the
6	installation of another unit or mounting surfaces
7	or piping. The relief device shall be visible to
8	the unaided eye and have the following information
9	available: Size, set pressure, manufacturer, code
10	stamping, and BTU per hour.
11	The installer should indicate if the
12	boiler is wall mounted on a permit application
13	submitted for permission to install to ensure
14	manufacturer recommendations have been utilized at
15	minimum.
16	Boilers that exceed 400,000 BTU are
17	required 36-inch clearance as per 0800-03-0308,
18	paragraph (4)(a).
19	Paragraph 6, the Chief Inspector or
20	his designee has the discretion to require more
21	clearance, if needed, for inspection purposes.
22	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Do I have a
23	motion to discuss?
24	MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved.
25	MR. LASHLEY: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: All right. 2 What questions/comments does the Board have on 3 this case? 4 MR. HENRY: Mr. Chairman? 5 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes, 6 Mr. Henry. 7 MR. HENRY: Chief O'Guin, just 8 a couple things on the wording on this. On the 9 inquiry, is it required for a low-pressure hot 10 water supply boiler -- shouldn't that be "that is 11 designed and installed as a wall-mounted unit to 12 adhere"? 13 MR. O'GUIN: I believe you're 14 right. I believe you're right, Mr. Henry. 15 MR. HENRY: And then on that 16 same inquiry, down where it says, "Clearance 17 requirements set forth in Rule 0800-03-03-.08, 18 paragraph (4) (a) of the Tennessee Board of Boiler Rules." 19 20 And then I think that clause after 21 that, "Except for the wall-mounted side," that 22 shouldn't be independent. I think that should be 23 a comma and "except for the wall-mounted side set 24 forth in Rule" so forth, with a question mark 25 after that.

1 MR. O'GUIN: Noted. 2 MR. HENRY: And then in the 3 reply in subparagraph 1, it says, "This 4 installation will include the phrase," and then 5 "per manufacturer recommendations for clearance" 6 should be in quotations. 7 MR. O'GUIN: Noted. 8 MR. HENRY: Other than that, it 9 looks great. 10 MR. O'GUIN: Thank you, sir. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 12 questions or comments? 13 MR. BAUGHMAN: On Number 5, 14 Boilers exceed the 400,000 BTUs are required 15 36-inch clearance. So we're keeping that in 16 there. 17 And so we've got multiple units. And 18 so what we're looking at is not a single unit, but 19 combined BTUs if they're not individually isolated 20 and they're operating as a modular type of system. 21 So if that's being the case and we hit that 22 400,000 threshold, then they would each need to 23 have that 3-foot clearance. 24 Is that what I'm understanding? 25 MR. O'GUIN: Yes.

1	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. And at
2	that point also, they would need even though
3	they may individually be, let's say, a 1-, a 150-,
4	or a 200,000 BTU unit, once they hit that 400,000,
5	would they be required to meet an ASME CSD-1 also?
6	MR. O'GUIN: At 200,000 you
7	have to meet the ASME.
8	MR. BAUGHMAN: But in
9	particular, CSD-1, the control safety devices.
10	MR. O'GUIN: Yes.
11	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. This is
12	for boilers and not on the water heater side, but
13	if they were being utilized as hot water boilers
14	and not hot water supply, i.e., domestic type of
15	hot water application.
16	MR. O'GUIN: Right.
17	MR. HENRY: Following up from
18	Mr. Baughman's comment, should the wording there
19	be changed to reflect the what's in the
20	background information so boilers that exceed
21	400,000 BTU per hour for boilers with combined
22	400,000 BTU per hour or greater?
23	So that Mr. Baughman's point is
24	there's no possibility for misinterpretation.
25	MR. O'GUIN: Say that again,

ſ

1	Mr. Henry. We're on the Background paragraph,
2	correct?
3	MR. HENRY: Yes, Background
4	paragraph. You make some distinction as to not
5	only a single unit of 200,000 BTU or higher, but
6	the combined units with 200,000 BTU or higher are
7	required to meet that specification.
8	And shouldn't we have similar wording
9	down for the 400,000 BTU option?
10	MR. O'GUIN: Not for that
11	specific item there because that's specifically
12	talking about the ASME and the National Board,
13	which starts at 200,000 BTU per hour.
14	MR. HENRY: I know but you are
15	intending, based on your reply, Mr. O'Guin, that
16	you do want it to apply not just to single units
17	but to multiple units that are combined and
18	operating in one unit, if I understood you
19	correctly.
20	MR. O'GUIN: Yes. If they're
21	combined BTUs, 200,000 BTU or greater, then it
22	shall be stamped with the ASME code symbol and
23	registered with the National Board.
24	MR. HENRY: Don't you mean the
25	same thing, then, in 5, that you want if there

are multiple units operating as a single unit, you 1 2 want them to have 36-inch clearance requirement? 3 MR. O'GUIN: I'm not following 4 the question, Mr. Henry. 5 MR. HENRY: Dave, if I 6 understood your question, you wanted to clarify 7 that it's not just a single unit, but that you 8 have multiple units operating as a single unit, 9 that they would be required to have a 36-inch 10 clearance. 11 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes, Mr. Henry. 12 It would be that combined input of 400,000 or 13 greater would need to meet that clearance 14 requirement. 15 MR. HENRY: Right. And so all 16 I'm suggesting --17 MR. O'GUIN: So what you're 18 saying is, Number 5 shall read "combined." Ιs 19 that what you're getting at? 20 MR. HENRY: Yes. Right. 21 MR. O'GUIN: Okay. Ι 22 understand what you're saying now. 23 Would you note that as "boilers with 24 combined output exceeding 400,000 BTU per hour"? 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: I would not

clarify it as output. Actually, I believe it 1 2 starts at input. 3 MR. O'GUIN: It does. 4 MR. LASHLEY: Now, are we 5 getting into heating boilers as well with that? 6 MR. O'GUIN: Well, this inquiry 7 here is specific to hot water supply boilers. 8 MR. LASHLEY: Correct. I know 9 heating boilers was mentioned a few minutes ago. 10 I just wanted to make sure that we weren't going 11 down the wrong path. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: What he's 13 looking for was making sure that in our wording, 14 that there's no confusion on what's a boiler 15 versus a water heater, and in our glossary of 16 terms, making sure that everything matched up. 17 Hot water supply boilers could be 18 misinterpreted as a boiler and not so much a water 19 heater. So I don't know what our glossary of 20 terms, how that specifies water heater versus --21 MR. LASHLEY: It would be hot 22 water heating versus hot water supply. 23 MR. TOTH: The code defines 24 both. 25 MR. BAUGHMAN: I'm sorry.

Again? 1 2 MR. TOTH: The code defines 3 both. Or the rule, I'm sorry, defines both. 4 MR. BAUGHMAN: Yes. And I just 5 wanted to make sure our wording matched up to 6 avert any confusion. 7 Does that make sense, Chief? 8 MR. O'GUIN: Yes, sir. The definition in 0800 for a hot 9 water supply boiler means "a boiler completely 10 11 filled with water that furnishes hot water to be 12 used externally to itself at pressures not 13 exceeding 160 psig or a temperature of 250 degrees 14 at or near the boiler outlet." 15 And it goes on to state, "See ASME 16 Code, Section IV, HG-101.1(b) and paragraph (c)." 17 MR. BAUGHMAN: What is the 18 definition for a hot water heater, if you don't 19 mind? 20 MR. O'GUIN: Well, a potable 21 water heater, including an instantaneous water heater, means a heater supplying potable water for 22 23 commercial purposes in which the pressure does not 24 exceed 160 psig and the temperature does not 25 exceed 210 degrees. See ASME Code, Section IV,

Part HLW Introduction. 1 2 MR. BAUGHMAN: So if I'm not 3 mistaken, what we're talking about in this 4 BC 22-04 is related to water heaters, potable 5 water heaters, and not so much the hot water 6 supply boilers, correct? 7 MR. O'GUIN: You are correct. 8 MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. So we 9 need to change that wording if we're talking about 10 in particular -- well, there's a separation. 11 We've got code requirements for the water heaters 12 or non-jurisdiction versus the boilers and having 1.3 some differences between those, if I'm not 14 mistaken. I believe that's correct. 15 MR. O'GUIN: Looking on my 16 phone is a little challenging. 17 MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes. 19 MR. BAILEY: If I could ask 20 Chief O'Guin. 21 Are you familiar with Board 2.2 Case 06-23? 23 MR. O'GUIN: Yes. I referenced 24 it in one of my paragraphs. 25 MR. BAILEY: And how is this

1 different than that? 2 MR. O'GUIN: Because 06-23 3 still requires 18 inch of clearance all the way 4 around the vessel. 5 MR. BAILEY: Okay. 6 MR. O'GUIN: This Board Case 7 here is allowing for manufacturer clearance on the 8 sides. 9 MR. BAILEY: Okay. All right. 10 MR. O'GUIN: Yes. 11 MR. BAILEY: Okay. 12 MR. O'GUIN: I think with the 13 inquiry, Mr. Baughman, I think with the inquiry, 14 specifically talking about wall-mounted units, I 15 think that's going to cover us for, you know, as 16 far as definitions go, because we're specific to a 17 wall-mounted unit for this specific Board Case. 18 MR. BAUGHMAN: Whether that be 19 water heater or boiler. 20 MR. O'GUIN: Well --21 MR. BAUGHMAN: Since they 22 make -- I take it the manufacturer makes both, 23 possibly. I'm not familiar with the manufacturers 24 of these except for the water heaters, so I can't 25 speak for the boiler side.

1 MR. O'GUIN: They do make some 2 H stamp wall-hung units. They're very rare but 3 there is some. 4 If you would like to see the verbiage 5 changed for their inquiry from "hot water supply 6 boiler," we can definitely do so. 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: It's more open 8 for discussion, just knowing the general 9 interpretations of the public and trying to take 10 this information and mechanicals and anybody else 11 in the industry going, "Does this relate to 12 boilers? Does this relate to hot water heaters? 13 Does it relate to both?" 14 And because we have a separation of 15 both in our codes, I didn't know if we needed to 16 be more specific or if this covers things in the 17 manner of what we're looking to do. 18 MR. O'GUIN: We can change it 19 to, "Is it required for a low-pressure potable 20 water heater to be designed and installed as a 21 wall-mounted unit to adhere." 22 MR. BAUGHMAN: I'm on board 23 with that, Chief. I don't know about --MR. LASHLEY: That's fine with 24 25 me.

1 MR. BAUGHMAN: -- the rest 2 but -- Mr. Henry? 3 MR. HENRY: I'm fine with that. 4 I assume you changed -- everywhere 5 the word "boiler" is, you changed it to "potable 6 water heater"? 7 MR. O'GUIN: I'll have to go 8 through there and do that, yes. 9 MR. BAUGHMAN: Thank you, 10 Chief. 11 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 12 questions or comments? 1.3 (No verbal response.) 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 15 none, do I have a motion? 16 MR. LASHLEY: A motion. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second? 17 18 MR. HENRY: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Okay. Any 20 other comments, questions? 21 (No verbal response.) 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 23 none --24 MR. SCAFE: Rohan Scafe with 25 Rinnai.

1	So the water heater can be installed
2	on a wall, and that same water heater can be
3	installed with a free-standing rack system. Will
4	this rule apply to free-standing rack systems as
5	well?
6	Each water heater will be
7	individually isolated on the rack system, the same
8	way as on the wall. So I just want to make sure
9	this rule does apply to both systems.
10	CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Very good
11	question.
12	MR. O'GUIN: That was the
13	intention of the inquiry.
14	MR. HENRY: Does it apply to
15	both?
16	MR. O'GUIN: Yes, for a rack
17	system and they've been utilizing the rack
18	system in Tennessee for many, many years. They
19	currently require they have a Tennessee rack
20	system that has 18 inches between all vessels on
21	the rack and it basically just mimics a wall. I
22	mean, they have it where they can mount on either
23	side of the rack, so you might have one heater
24	here and another heater on the back side of it.
25	MR. HENRY: My only issue there

Г

1	would be, should we then change the title to this
2	to include clearance requirements for wall-mounted
3	and rack-mounted tankless boiler or tankless
4	potable water heater, again, so there's no
5	misunderstanding?
6	MR. O'GUIN: Clearance
7	requirements for wall- or rack-mounted tankless
8	water heaters, potable water heaters?
9	MR. HENRY: Yes.
10	MR. LASHLEY: Should that be
11	changed throughout?
12	MR. O'GUIN: I'm changing
13	"boilers" throughout to "potable water heaters."
14	MR. LASHLEY: Should we change
15	it to "wall- or rack-mounted" throughout as well?
16	MR. O'GUIN: Yes. I will
17	change it in the I'm going to note it in the
18	inquiry as well.
19	MR. BAUGHMAN: So the gentleman
20	from Rinnai, when these units come as a
21	rack-mounted, do they come already premounted on
22	the rack?
23	MR. SCAFE: Yes, that is
24	correct.
25	MR. BAUGHMAN: Okay. I was

interested to know, so once they come in, if, in 1 2 fact, they should not pass the requirements for 3 whatever reason within the state, then they could 4 not get certified, not go through and pass the 5 inspection; that's correct? 6 I'm just not familiar. So as a 7 boiler guy, I don't get on that end of it. 8 The gentleman from Mo's Mechanical, 9 you probably have experience on some of these 10 units and service them and see some of the 11 possible ramifications of what we're working with 12 on here. 13 MR. O'GUIN: Before they can 14 utilize it, there's some things in the industry --15 well, pretty much every instantaneous manufacturer 16 is going to have to change where the data plate is 17 because if they stick them up side by side, we 18 can't get to the data plate. And it plainly 19 states in this reply, you know, it has to be 20 available to the naked eye. So with that being 21 changed and -- then they can utilize it. 22 MR. SCAFE: Rohan Scafe with 23 Rinnai. 24 And that's something that, as a 25 manufacturer, we are 100 percent coming in to meet

the code requirement. 1 2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Any other 3 comments, questions? 4 (No verbal response.) 5 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Hearing 6 none, do I have a motion? 7 MR. BAUGHMAN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second? 8 9 MR. LASHLEY: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Second. 11 Any last comments, questions? 12 MR. HENRY: I'm assuming the motion includes all the revisions. 1.3 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: It does. 15 Yes, it does. It certainly does. 16 All right. I'm going to call the 17 question. All in favor say "aye." 18 (Affirmative response.) 19 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Opposed, 20 abstentions, not voting? 21 (No verbal response.) 2.2 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Passed. 23 All right. That takes us to open 24 discussion items. We have none.

The next item is the 2023 scheduled

1 meetings. The next meeting will be March 8, 2023, 2 then June 21st, September 13th, and December the 13th. 3 4 And the last item on our agenda is 5 adjournment. I assume that's going to be 6 unanimous. 7 Thank you-all for hanging with us. A 8 lot of good conversation. This is why we do this. 9 It can be tedious at times, but it's a good way to 10 get consensus. And it makes our laws and rules 11 better. 12 MR. BAUGHMAN: Good job by the 13 court reporter. 14 CHAIRMAN MORELOCK: Yes, as 15 always. 16 So you're officially adjourned. 17 Thank you. 18 END OF PROCEEDINGS. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF TENNESSEE ) 3 COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON ) 4 I, Cassandra M. Beiling, a Notary Public 5 in the State of Tennessee, do hereby certify: 6 That the within is a true and accurate 7 transcript of the proceedings taken before the Elevator and Amusement Device Safety Board and the 8 Chief Inspector or the Chief Inspector's Designee, 9 10 Tennessee Department of Labor and Workforce 11 Development, Division of Workplace Regulations and 12 Compliance, Elevator and Amusement Device Unit, on 1.3 the 19th day of January, 2023. 14 I further certify that I am not related to 15 any of the parties to this action, by blood or 16 marriage, and that I am in no way interested in 17 the outcome of this matter. 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 20 hand this 10th day of April, 2023. MUMMINIA AND 21 RAM 2.2 Casandia M OF 23 TENNESSEE 24 Cassandra M. Beiling, LCR# 371 Notary Public State at Large Minimum M 25 My commission expires: 3/10/2024