1	
2	
3	
4	STATE OF TENNESSEE
5	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR & WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Transcript of Proceedings
11	of
12	The Prevailing Wage Commission
13	
14	
15	
16	November 15, 2022 220 French Landing Drive, Pearl Room
17	Nashville, Tennessee 37228 1:30 p.m 2:52 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:			
2	Deniece Thomas, Chairman, TDLWD			
3	Dewayne Scott, Deputy Commissioner, TDLWD			
4	Ann McGauran, State Architect			
5	Scott Thompson, Talley Construction			
6	Stephen Wright, Wright Brothers Construction			
7	Brian Egan, Deputy Commissioner, TDOT			
8	Tom Herrod, Assistant Commissioner, TDLWD			
9	Dan Bailey, Legal Counsel, TDLWD			
10	Lisa Watson, Director, TDLWD			
11	Kenneth Nealy, Assistant Administrator, TDLWD			
12	Michelle Irion, Administrative Services Manager, TDLWD			
13				
14	Rachel Hagan, Administrative Services Assistant, TDLWD			
15	Kent Starwalt, TRBA			
16	Wayburn Crabtree, TDOT			
17	Stamatia XiXis, TDLWD			
18	Matthew Grove, TDLWD			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		AGENDA
2		
3	I.	Call to Order and Roll Call
4	II.	Introductions and Announcements
5		Completed Conflict of Interest forms for all members should be on file with TDL-WD
6	III.	Adoption of Agenda
7	IV.	Old Business
8 9		Review and approve Prevailing Wage Commission Meeting Minutes - September 7, 2022
10	v.	New Business
11		Review Prevailing Wage Survey Data
12	VI.	Prevailing Wage Commission Meeting Date
13 14		Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:30 p.m. 220 French Landing Drive, Nashville, Tennessee 37243
15 16	VII.	Adjournment
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All right. afternoon, everyone. We're going to go ahead 4 and get started in the interest of time. 5 want to respect the agenda before us today. 6 7 We're going to call the meeting to order. And as I call your name, please signify your 8 presence by saying "aye" or "here" -- I mean 9 10 "here" or "present." 11 Paul Degges, I believe, is gone? MR. EGAN: Yes. Present -- Brian 12 13 Egan from TDOT, present. 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. 15 Ann McGauran? Did I say that right? 16 MS. McGUARAN: Present. Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Ann. 18 Scott Thompson? 19 MR. THOMPSON: Present. 2.0 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Stephen Wright? MR. WRIGHT: Present. 21 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All right. All 23 present and accounted for.

For our non-Commission members, I will

have introductions as well. I'm assuming

2.4

25

everybody knows everybody. I'm probably the only person in the room nobody knows, and I'll get to that in a second.

2.4

But, Tom, are there any -- is there any need for introductions of non-Commission members?

MR. HERROD: As long as everybody has signed in before you go or right now sign in.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

MR. HERROD: Yes, that's good.

THE COURT: All right. So before we get any further, I'm the new face in the room, somewhat of a new face. I'm Deniece Thomas. September 27th was my first official day as commissioner of the department. It's a pleasure meeting you, looking forward to working with you in the future.

Just a couple of notes before we move further. I will be here for the bulk of the agenda, but after that, you'll see me pass the gavel to Deputy Scott on my right. As you can imagine, being new in the role, there's some competing interests, and I'm trying to make sure I can check all the boxes, but I'll be here with you as long as I possibly can but

1 really appreciate your work on the commission, 2 and I thank you for your continued support to the department. 3 So hopefully everybody has signed their 4 conflict of interest forms, and Rachel has 5 those. If not, please make sure that you get 6 these to her prior to any voting. So if you 7 are missing conflict of interest, please make 8 sure those get done. 9 10 Tom, did you have anything on that? 11 MR. HERROD: I have nothing. 12 fine. 13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. All right. 14 All right. So the first order of 15 business is the agenda. I'm assuming everyone 16 has had a chance to look over that, the agenda. 17 If there are no immediate questions for that, 18 we'll need a motion to approve the agenda. 19 MS. McGUARAN: I'll make a motion to 20 approve the agenda. 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. I have a 22 motion by Ann. 23 MR. WRIGHT: Second. 2.4 MR. THOMPSON: Second. THE COURT: I have a motion to 25

1 second. Are there any questions regarding the 2 3 agenda? Hearing none, all in favor, "aye"? 4 THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Any opposed? 6 7 No opposition. Motion carries. 8 All right. Next order of business is to 9 10 approve the minutes from the September 7th 11 meeting. Hopefully, again, everyone has had a 12 chance to review the minutes from the previous meeting. We hope that you have. We will need 13 14 a motion to approve the minutes. If I could 15 get a motion from the group? 16 MS. McGUARAN: I'll move that the 17 minutes be approved. 18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Ann. 19 Any second? 2.0 MR. WRIGHT: Second. 21 MR. THOMPSON: Second. 22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. 23 Any questions regarding the minutes? 2.4 questions or corrections regarding the minutes? 25 Hearing none, all in favor signify by

1 saying "aye." 2 THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Any opposed? Hearing none, motion carries. 4 5 So we have an approved agenda, and we have approved minutes, and according to the 6 agenda, we don't have any old business unless 7 that was an oversight. 8 Tom, has that changed, no old business to 9 discuss? 10 11 MR. HERROD: No change. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Well, with that, I 13 know we have quite a bit of new business to 14 talk about, and so with that, I'm going to pass 15 the gavel here to Deputy Scott, and he will 16 walk us through our new business and the 17 prevailing wage survey data. So with that, again, I look forward to 18 19 reconvening with you at future wage prevailing 2.0 meetings. Thank you for your patience today. 21 Dewayne? 22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank 23 you, Commissioner. 2.4 We're going to begin new business by 25 asking our Assistant Commissioner Tom Herrod to review the 2022 survey data that you have.

2.4

MR. HERROD: Thank you, Deputy Commissioner.

You have a handout, a single-page handout, that sums up all the approved validated data from the '22 survey. We had -- as a total, we had 1,818 responses, and with those responses, we had an hourly wage rate weighted -- weighted based on number of hours worked and the hourly wage 22.80. Last year -- the way this is calculated, take last year's -- or the current year's prevailing wage rate versus those number of hours and what would that total be, and based on that, we had an overall increase of 5 percent versus the '22 prevailing wage, 5.1 percent.

I want to go over one thing here. With all the responses that we had, the way I broke it down, we had three categories that had zero responses, and they're shown here. Craft 2, 17, and 13. We'll talk about what those are exactly. Blaster, Large Crane Operator -- I'm sorry. Yeah, the Painter, the Bricklayer and then 17 was the -- 13 was the Ironworkers (Structural). Those three had zero survey

1 entries.

2.4

Also, when we took the surveys, we had a total of a little over 1,900 responses in total. And what I did was I took the -- what they -- what the contractor said was paid for each craft and number of hours. If those rates were not -- if the prevailing wage for that year was not used, that data was not added in. So with a 1,912 total responses, there were about 94 that were not used in the -- in the calculation because they were paid below what the prevailing wage was for that year.

Now -- so that's why we have a survey -- that's why the 1,818 responses, 5.1 percent increase.

Yes?

MR. WRIGHT: Say that again.

MR. HERROD: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: The part about they

weren't paying scale?

MR. HERROD: They weren't paying scale for the entries they turned in. For example, you could look here, I can go to -- since.

MR. WRIGHT: They weren't paid '22

1 scale? 2 MR. HERROD: Well, they weren't paying the scale for the individual year. 3 other words, the contract year, let's say it 4 5 was 2020, the prevailing wage rate for that year, they did not pay that prevailing rate for 6 7 that particular year. MR. WRIGHT: Isn't that a bigger 8 9 problem? MR. HERROD: 10 Well, now, that is, and 11 TDOT knows about it. MR. WRIGHT: 12 Okay. I'll be quiet. 13 MR. HERROD: That's great No. 14 because I expected that question. 15 The reason -- and I called some of the 16 contractors asking them why you didn't pay. 17 For example, Summers Taylor, is that one of the 18 contractors? Person who said that said they 19 have to pay according to the contract. 2.0 they're in shop and they have to take 21 equipment, let's say, to the worksite, that 22 truck driver doesn't get paid what the 23 construction rate is because it's not within 2.4 the site, but they have to charge against the 25 contract. So they send all that information in

1 even though it was not really --MR. WRIGHT: You're saying they sent 2 3 data that wasn't truly on --4 MR. HERROD: It wasn't truly viable 5 data. So whether they paid it correctly or thought according to what their shop hours 6 were, we didn't add that in. We did not 7 take -- we discarded that information; so, 8 therefore, you'll see on several of these 9 10 particular contracts, Rogers Group and Summers 11 Taylor, for example, so that's out. MR. THOMPSON: I've already -- I've 12 13 already checked mine because I was on that 14 list. We had wrong classifications down on 15 time sheets. 16 MR. HERROD: Okay. All right. 17 Well --18 MR. WRIGHT: We can probably figure 19 out a way to make it even more confusing. 20 MR. HERROD: Was that -- did you 21 resend that, by any chance, or just --22 MR. THOMPSON: No, I didn't discover 23 it until two days ago. 2.4 MR. HERROD: Okay. Well, I can't --25 I don't think I can accept that.

1 Can we accept corrected data at this 2 time. 3 MR. THOMPSON: I assumed that you couldn't because it was --4 MR. HERROD: I don't think so. 5 MR. THOMPSON: -- it's past time. 6 7 MR. HERROD: It has to be within that time frame. 8 9 MR. BAILEY: Of course, you know, 10 there's reference in the statute that other 11 data can be considered -- may be considered. 12 So if it were to come to a situation where that 13 data might be influential on one of these 14 rates, it may be considered, but it's not part 15 of the survey data. 16 MR. WRIGHT: While we've got that 17 grenade down on the floor, can I ask about 18 every year Rab wants to include the data for 19 city work and the Commission rejects it. 2.0 was on the committee for what? 200 years or 21 something? 22 MR. BAILEY: No, that's not rejected, 23 it's my understanding. 2.4 MR. WRIGHT: The e-mail thinks it is. 25 MR. HERROD: Was it sent -- was it

1 sent in under a contract? MR. WRIGHT: It was sent in --2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: 3 4 Commissioner, can I ask you to use your microphone, please? 5 MR. WRIGHT: If I understand it, it 6 was sent in for local government work. 7 believes that should go into the -- and he --8 9 you know, we've had the discussion before, Dan, 10 I believe, but every year I promise Rab I'll 11 bring it up. And so --12 MR. HERROD: What was it? 13 MR. WRIGHT: -- can we get a 14 clarification on whether if he was working for 15 the city of Johnson City with TDOT funds 16 included into that project, money came through 17 TDOT, therefore, that's his nexus? Am I 18 correct, Kent? MR. STARWALT: 19 (Nodding.) MR. WRIGHT: That he believes makes 20 21 it eligible to make it reported into this data, 22 and -- and if I understand right, he wasn't 23 able to submit that. 2.4 MR. HERROD: What company is it? 25 MR. WRIGHT: Summers Taylor.

```
1
                MR. HERROD: Okay. And what was the
 2
      craft?
 3
                MR. WRIGHT:
                             The labor department was
 4
      not allowing any hours to be reported on TDOT
      work.
 5
 6
                MR. HERROD: Do you remember the
      craft?
 7
                MR. WRIGHT: He never told me.
 8
                                                 Τ
      don't know.
 9
10
                MR. HERROD: Well, I've got a bunch
11
      from Summers Taylor, a bunch.
12
                MR. THOMPSON:
                               That's an e-mail sent
13
      back from Department of Labor to him, though,
14
      correct, stating that they did?
15
                MR. WRIGHT: I can't tell if it is.
16
      It's got Aldridge's (phonetic) signature,
17
      but I'm just saying he -- this has happened
18
      like two or three years in a row, couple, three
19
      years back, the Department would not take, and
2.0
      we had a discussion about it at that time, but
21
      it continues to come up, and I just -- I either
22
      need a ruling that will make Rab happy or we
23
      need to accept his data, one of the two at some
2.4
      point in time.
25
                MR. BAILEY: I thought this had been
```

1 covered in depth a couple or so years ago, and 2 our position is that if it's a municipal project using state funds, that it should --3 that data should be included. Now, I don't 4 know if it is or isn't. 5 MR. WRIGHT: That's wonderful. 6 Is 7 there any way I can -- you can give me something that I can correctly communicate the 8 9 position of the department to Rab, or could you all do it? 10 11 MR. BAILEY: You could probably look 12 at some old minutes when that was discussed ad 13 nauseam. 14 MR. WRIGHT: Oh, my goodness. 15 MR. BAILEY: I mean, it's not a 16 We have a different position on that secret. 17 than TDOT does, and our position is if it's a 18 municipal road project that's using either federal or state funds, that it should be 19 included -- that data should be included. 2.0 21 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. 22 MR. BAILEY: Now, I don't have 23 nothing to do with collecting the data or 2.4 putting it into this, so I'm assuming we are 25 accepting that data.

1 MR. HERROD: If it's -- if he 2 submitted it electronically or by mail, that's 3 the only two ways we can take it, electronically or by mail. And if that wasn't, 4 I don't know how much that was, but we can --5 as Dan said, is that an exception that we can 6 7 accept it now? MR. BATLEY: 8 What? MR. HERROD: This corrective. 9 10 MR. WRIGHT: Not to take everybody's 11 time away, Mr. Dan, could I get a real clear 12 position question from Rab and submit it to you 13 and get someone to answer it? 14 MR. BAILEY: Sure. That's fine. 15 But, I mean, when the minutes of the meeting 16 come out, I've already said on the record our 17 position --18 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. 19 MR. BAILEY: -- if it's local road 20 project using state or federal dollars, that 21 that data should be included. 22 MR. WRIGHT: I will send him the 23 minutes. Thank you. 2.4 MR. EGAN: If I could clarify from 25 TDOT. Information that we provide is only

those construction projects that we have on the contract. So I just want to make that clear. And we're not providing any local program contracts. So if that's the criteria using, we're not providing the local program list of projects because they're not TDOT's projects, they're local government projects. I just want to make that point clear. We don't provide that list of projects. We only provide what we have under contract.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Right.
Understood.

2.3

2.4

MR. HERROD: All right. Does anyone else have a -- this is -- this is an overview. Does anybody have a question about the information on this one-page handout? We can come back to it if there is, but the data shows we have about -- we have 1. -- 5.11 percent increase on the survey versus the prevailing wage rate.

Now, what I have -- the second handout that you have is a two-pager. This is a breakdown of every classification with a little more information in it. And I want to warn you that it could get a little sticky over here.

I'm not going to go that far, but I'm going to show you what we will to be doing in the next meeting where we actually calculate or figure what the prevailing wage is based upon the rules we have for that.

2.0

2.4

The Blaster -- I'm going to show them one at a time real quickly.

Blaster, we only had one response. It was almost twice as much as the prevailing wage rate for that year.

According to the statute and the rules, we can either use that one response or we can discard it. We don't have to use it. Only those responses one to four -- one to four, less than five, you can either use the survey or prevailing wage rate from the current year. If you have zero responses, you have to use the current rate. If you have more than five or more, you have to use the survey rate unless you find a problem. Well, you have to use the survey rate.

So the Blaster, so that's either -- at that time, you can decide and have a discussion -- we can have a discussion on whether to even use that one response or go

1 with prevailing wage. And what I've done here 2 is shown the survey rate and how much you can adjust it according to the rules and statute. 3 4 If it's adjustable by the prevailing wage rate 5 of the current year, I've shown this value, and as a check, I've shown what contract or wages 6 were for the third quarter based on TDOT data 7 independent of the survey. So this is a check. 8 9 And then these are, over here (indicating), are 10 just autogenerated recommended, proposed, 11 approved. That's what we're going to do at the 12 next meeting, and then it will be validated 13 whether or not it meets the criteria. 14 For Bricklayer, we had zero responses, so 15 we'll have to use the prevailing wage from 16 the previous -- for the 2022; 17 Carpenter/Leadsperson, we had 59, so we 18 will have to use the survey rate. The overall 19 increase for that is about 5 percent; 2.0 Class A Operator, we had 106, and we'll 21 have to use the survey rate for that. Here are 22 our low and our high (indicating); 23 Class B Operator, 135 responses, we'll 2.4 have to use survey rate;

Class C, 126, we'll have to use the

25

1 survey rate; 2 Class D, 164; Concrete Finisher, 30; 3 Drill Operator, 1, we'll either use the 4 survey or the prevailing wage rate; 5 Electrician, 29; 6 7 Farm Tractor, 17; Iron Worker (Structural), we had zero 8 responses, so we will have to use the 2022 9 10 prevailing wage rate to set that; Ironworkers, we only had one, so we have 11 12 the option of going with the survey or the 13 prevailing wage rate of this year; 14 Large Crane Operator, we had 34; 15 Mechanic, First Class, 37, we'll have to 16 use the survey rate; 17 23, the survey rate; 18 Painter/Sandblaster had zero responses. 19 We'll have to use the prevailing wage rate for 2.0 this year; 21 Skilled Laborer, 380, we'll use the 22 survey rate; 23 Survey Instrument, we had 2, so we have 2.4 the option of doing survey or the prevailing 25 wage rate based on these figures;

1 Sweeping Machine, we had 5. That's the 2 minimum. We'll have to use the survey rate for that, which seems to be quite a bit more than 3 what we had in previous years. We'll come back 4 and look at this one in detail in just a 5 second, No. 20; 6 Truck Driver with 2 axles, 48, we'll have 7 8 to use the survey rate; 9 Truck Driver, 3 and 4 axles, we had 90, 10 survey rate; 11 Truck Driver, 5 or more axles, 62, we'll 12 use the survey rate; 13 Unskilled Laborer, 404, we'll use the 14 survey rate; 15 And Work Site Traffic Coordinator, we had 16 We'll use the survey rate. 17 The breakdown on Item No. 20, since it was right at the cutoff, here are the 18 19 individual -- let me see if I can blow that up. 20 MR. THOMPSON: It's the same thing we've had before. 21 22 MR. HERROD: Yeah. 23 MR. THOMPSON: It's two different 2.4 types of machinery that you're -- you've got one that's tunnel cleaning and one that's 25

1 highway on the interstate for milling. 2 MR. HERROD: Okay. So you're saying the Diamond Specialized entries were --3 4 MR. THOMPSON: They're tunnel. 5 MR. HERROD: -- quite a bit higher. MR. THOMPSON: And that's -- that's 6 normal. That's tunnel -- that's working in 7 tunnels, cleaning tunnels, whereas, Jones 8 Brothers is -- is milling on interstate, 9 10 brooming. I mean, there's -- with a sweeper 11 truck. 12 MR. HERROD: All right. What was the intent of this classification? Was it for both 13 14 types or just the single type? 15 MR. THOMPSON: I would say that --16 that sweeping trucks on the highway didn't 17 start, Brian, until the last five years maybe? 18 MR. EGAN: Yeah. They're optional. 19 MR. THOMPSON: But they've become --20 some of them are required in some situations. 21 So I would say that you're -- Jones Brothers is 22 new -- is newer because it wasn't -- I mean, it 23 wasn't -- we didn't ever even consider it ten 2.4 years ago. 25 MR. HERROD: Okay.

MR. EGAN: We do have those
maintenance contracts that include street
sweeping, literally the street sweepers
cleaning the shoulders, cleaning the -- along
the median barriers. So they are street
sweepers with vacuum systems that we could use
on state-funded maintenance contracts. It
doesn't appear that the companies who have
those submitted any data.

MR. HERROD: No.

2.0

2.4

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ EGAN: But we do have street sweeping contracts that we -- we let every year.

MR. HERROD: Okay. So as a check, that's why I got the TDOT third quarter data. We'll just maybe see. Item 20 was paid at 26.59 according to the third quarter of TDOT independent of this, 26.59, and what we have here is, for the overall of the survey, 27.77. So a dollar difference but still higher than last year's for the 2022 prevailing wage rate.

So it begs the question if -- if this data is considered -- let me get to it.

Do you want to use this -- Items 3, 4, and 5, or not use those? Does that skew the

1 data too much or is it -- it's submitted in -under that classification, so I need some help 2 on whether or not that's valid data. 3 MR. EGAN: I don't think under the 4 5 rules for the description that Diamond Specialized does the cleaning tunnels matches 6 the description of the rules for a street 7 sweeper machine operator. I would move not to 8 9 consider the Diamond Specialized data. Although, it doesn't 10 MS. McGUARAN: 11 appear to skew data too much when you consider -- when you consider the TDOT data of 12 13 what they're showing, then you put it with the -- with the other amounts here, it seems to 14 15 put it kind of in the same. 16 MR. EGAN: It's close, it's just not 17 consistent with the description, individual 18 submitted data interpreted to be the same as 19 the street sweeper. 2.0 MS. McGUARAN: So should that data be 21 somewhere else in a different classification? 22 That's a good question. MR. EGAN: 23 We don't have a classification that would 2.4 define that, so that's why it's a challenge.

MR. WRIGHT: What do they do?

25

1 MR. EGAN: They got brushes that basically clean the grit and grime --2 I can't hear you. 3 THE REPORTER: 4 MR. HERROD: You have to speak up. 5 She can't hear you. MS. HAGAN: If everyone could use 6 7 their microphones when you're speaking, that would be helpful. 8 9 MR. HERROD: One at a time. 10 ahead. 11 MR. WRIGHT: Do you think we should create a classification for that in the future? 12 13 MR. EGAN: Either we create a new 14 classification or we modify the No. 20 to 15 include that in there as part of that classification is my recommendation. 16 17 MR. THOMPSON: I would say that, 18 Brian, what you're talking about, some of the maintenance contracts, that and then what 19 20 you're seeing with the Jones Brothers, those are the same machines. Those are the same 21 22 that -- the tunnel is completely is different. 23 So, to me, I think -- I think you do need to 2.4 change -- I think you do need to have two 25 because you're talking about -- I just -- I

think you're getting into a situation where you're having a person who's specialized in something and not necessarily as specialized, but you're requiring that kind of -- I mean, you're getting into to -- I don't know. I just -- different types of training and everything. That's my opinion.

2.4

MR. EGAN: The reality is we have three tunnel cleaning contracts a year, and for as long as I can recall, Diamond Specialized has had it. So they're establishing their own price as it is. So if we establish a category, they would still be the only one submitting to that category every year. They're the only contract that does that type of work.

MS. McGUARAN: Today.

MR. EGAN: Today, that's correct.

And in many as years as I can remember, they're the only ones.

So, again, if we establish a new category, they would be controlling all that anyways because they would be the only one that would supply, and they wouldn't have four different contractors submit. So we already know that that category is only using those

three contracts, and today, and as in past
years, they're the only one that does that
work.

MR. BAILEY: So -- so you're saying

2.0

2.4

MR. BAILEY: So -- so you're saying that the work that Diamond does doesn't meet the definition of a Sweeping Machine (Vacuum Operator) under the rules?

MR. EGAN: In my opinion, no, it
doesn't. It does have -- the equipment they
use is -- again, it's cleaning the dirt and
grime off the tunnels -- off the tiles in the
tunnels. Then they do vacuum up the residue,
but it's not a street sweeping operator or a
vacuum operator. It's a brushes and cleaning
grime and dirt off tunnels, tiles, and then
vacuuming it up. So some -- some of the words
will fit in the category but not as read.

MR. HERROD: Okay. Well, we can eliminate those three entries if you feel like that that's the proper thing to do. It's your call. It won't affect the overall 5 percent very much, but it will -- the individual will -- it be down to just two entries.

MR. THOMPSON: I think that we should, and I -- and I reinforced that with

```
1
      because they are two different types of
 2
      machines with two different types of operators.
 3
      Diamond is all of a sudden not going to change
      their wage rate based off of this. You will
 4
      affect the other ones, you will force them to,
 5
      but Diamond is not going to change.
 6
 7
                MR. HERROD: All right.
                MR. BAILEY: I think we need a motion
 8
      to -- to exclude --
 9
                MR. WRIGHT: I second Brian's earlier
10
11
      motion.
12
                MR. BAILEY: He's made a motion.
13
      Okay.
14
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: So what's
15
      the motion?
16
                MR. HERROD: To exclude Diamond
17
      Specialized for Craft No. 20, which is Sweeping
18
      Machine.
19
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Which of
20
      the board is making that motion?
21
                MR. EGAN: (Indicating.)
22
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We have a
23
      motion.
               Thank you.
2.4
                MR. BAILEY: Brian Egan made the
25
      motion.
```

```
1
                MR. EGAN: Yes, Brian Egan made the
 2
      mow.
 3
                MR. THOMPSON: Stephen Wright
      seconded it.
 4
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: All in
 5
      favor, state "aye."
 6
 7
                THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: Aye.
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Any
 8
 9
      opposed?
10
            (No response.)
11
            Motion passed.
12
                MR. HERROD: I can't change anything
13
      at this point. I have to update my
14
      spreadsheet, but it will -- that number will be
15
      down to two, and it will be at a rate of --
16
                MS. McGUARAN: Tom, could I ask one
17
      question?
18
                MR. HERROD: Yes.
19
                MS. McGUARAN: When you look at that
20
      spreadsheet you just had up on the screen --
21
                MR. HERROD: Uh-huh.
22
                MS. McGUARAN: -- I just want to make
23
      sure I'm understanding something.
                MR. HERROD: Okay.
2.4
25
                MS. McGUARAN: So where you say --
```

```
1
      where you show Columns M, N, and O, "2023
 2
      Prevailing Wage Rate, Recommended, Proposed
      Approved, " recommended -- the recommend is what
 3
      you put on there, right?
 4
                MR. HERROD: It's autogenerated based
 5
      on previous types of --
 6
 7
                MS. McGUARAN:
                              So it gets --
      recommended, proposed, and approved are all the
 8
      exact same numbers on all of them --
 9
                MR. HERROD:
10
                            Right.
11
                MS. McGUARAN: -- as I look at them.
12
                MR. HERROD: Right. They'll change
13
      when we do the --
14
                MS. McGUARAN: But we will -- we will
15
      at the next meeting --
16
                MR. HERROD: Yes.
17
                MS. McGUARAN: -- actually fill in
18
      the correct --
19
                MR. HERROD: Yes.
20
                MS. McGUARAN: -- approved box,
21
      but -- but you guys come up with, from your
22
      data, you come up with a recommendation and a
23
      proposed, and then we determine the approved?
2.4
      I just want to know what the --
25
                MR. HERROD: Okay. It was just
```

another entry I wanted to think about. We recommended, someone proposed, and then the final approval. So it's kind of a three-step process.

MS. McGUARAN: Okay.

2.0

2.4

MR. HERROD: Proposed and approved are always the same, but you have to have a proposed before you have an approved.

MS. McGUARAN: Okay. But the proposed and approved will actually be the numbers that will be filled in, essentially, at the next meeting?

MR. HERROD: At the next meeting.

MS. McGUARAN: Great. I just wanted to make sure I understood that.

MR. HERROD: Yes.

This spreadsheet was just used so I could break it down into a single craft at a time so it wouldn't get too confusing, but we'll do that. So we'll -- this will -- that number will be taken down. So at the next meeting, you will -- I'm going to use the same spreadsheet we have in the past, but then it will be auto- -- then it will be autopopulated into this spreadsheet.

So I will -- what I want to do since we looked at all the different crafts, unless somebody has another issue, the data will be massaged based on those three entries taken out, and this will be changed. I will send you a copy that you can look at, play with, put your entries in, and be ready for the next meeting, okay?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: (No response.)

MR. HERROD: Does anyone have a question on the process for the next meeting? We've looked at all the data. The data is now overall approved, and we'll break it down. the next meeting, we'll have several that are zero -- zero responses, and those will be just based upon, again, the current prevailing wage. We'll have a few that are one, two, three, or four, and they'll be based upon the survey rate or the prevailing wage rate, whichever your desire is, but we will have to make sure they are -- they are validated and they will fall within the limitations and what the statute and rules allow so that we don't have any more audit findings.

1 MR. THOMPSON: I have a question. 2 MR. HERROD: Yes. What about the ones --3 MR. THOMPSON: we're limited to 6 percent, correct? 4 MR. HERROD: Yes, yes, 6 percent over 5 the current prevailing wage, either added to 6 the current prevailing wage or to the survey 7 8 rate. 9 MR. THOMPSON: Is there a process 10 if -- to go above the 6 percent? 11 MR. HERROD: I have nothing in the 12 law -- the statutes that allow that. 13 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. 14 MR. HERROD: The only time you 15 could -- the only time you could do that is if 16 the survey shows one to four responses and 17 you'd rather have that versus the current 18 prevailing wage. Then if that -- if those 19 numbers are significantly higher --2.0 Just like what we have with the sweeping 21 machine. It was a 36 percent difference. Ιf 22 you would rather move the current prevailing 23 wage for next year up 36 percent, and we only 2.4 have four responses total, you can do that according to the law, but if you have five or 25

1 more, you could not; or if you had zero, you could not. 2 3 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. So under Unskilled Labor, we have 404 responses. Even 4 though it's showing a 10.81 percent change, we 5 can only do 6? 6 7 MS. McGUARAN: No. MR. THOMPSON: Okay. Okay. I didn't 8 think so, but then I --9 10 MS. McGUARAN: No. In that case, I 11 think what we can do --12 MR. HERROD: We can take the survey 13 rate. 14 MS. McGUARAN: -- the survey rate of 15 \$19.62. 16 MR. THOMPSON: All right. So if it's less than the five, that's when the 6 percent 17 18 comes into play. Okay. 19 MS. McGUARAN: Yeah. So that would 20 really come into question, for instance, if you 21 were to look at potentially Class No. 12, for 22 instance, where there's one survey response, 23 and that was for \$23, and the current 2.4 prevailing wage is 21.15, and so the question 25 is do you use the 23, or do you use the 21.15?

1 MR. HERROD: Correct. You could choose a rate that satisfies both criteria. 2 MR. BAILEY: And, just to be clear, 3 the 6 percent is applied to the new surveyed 4 rate once the data is collected. 5 6 MR. THOMPSON: I thought --7 MR. HERROD: Okay. Here's the rule. Okay. 6 percent of the current prevailing wage 8 have that number. 9 10 MR. THOMPSON: The '22? 11 MR. HERROD: The '22 prevailing wage, 12 that's either added to or taken away from the 13 current prevailing wage or the survey. If 14 we're talking survey rate, it's added to or 15 taken from the survey rate. 16 MR. BAILEY: So I guess --17 MR. HERROD: Above that, yes. 18 MR. BAILEY: So I guess to get to his 19 point, I think, so if -- if the surveys show 20 that in -- in Classification A the rates being 21 paid now are 10 percent higher than they were 22 last year, then you would calculate a survey 23 rate? 2.4 MR. HERROD: Yes. 25 MR. BAILEY: And then depending on

1 the pleasure of the commission, they could 2 either add 6 percent to it or take 6 percent away, up to 6 percent. You could take 3 4 2 percent or add -- or take 2 percent away. 5 MS. McGUARAN: But the percentage is calculated on the '22 prevailing wage, not on 6 7 the survey rate? MR. BAILEY: Correct. 8 9 MR. HERROD: The delta that you could 10 move it. 11 MS. McGUARAN: Right. MR. HERROD: And the overall -- the 12 overall -- the overall increase could be 13 14 10 percent, it could be up to 16 percent, or it 15 could be down 4 percent. 16 MR. THOMPSON: I understand. 17 MR. WRIGHT: Sort of. 18 MS. McGUARAN: It's always fun. MR. HERROD: It's always a challenge. 19 20 MR. THOMPSON: I asked that just 21 simply so we don't -- I wanted to make sure I 22 understood that on some of these, when we get 23 into these labor positions where we're seeing 2.4 this dramatic increase, that we're not going to 25 be confused in two weeks about what we can or

1 cannot do. Because this is obvious what the 2 data is showing we need to do. And if we can't do that -- if we can't do based off what the 3 data is showing today, then I want to make sure 4 I understood and have a conversation about it 5 6 today. MR. HERROD: Well, if there's a -- if 7 there's a huge increase, you'll be able to make 8 that change. 9 10 MR. THOMPSON: Right. I want -- you 11

answered my question.

MR. HERROD: Okay. All right. Yes?

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. -- Tom, could -going back just a moment to the Diamond
Specialized sweeping issue --

MR. HERROD: Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. WRIGHT: -- I've been on sidebar with Kent. We don't know whether we're allowed to have a skill set that we don't have a rate for. If we take the data out, we won't have a rate. Do we need to formulate a rate going forward or maybe find another group like a Class A Operator or something that we could just add some kind of description for that into it? I mean, what is -- I don't know the rules

on that. It's never -- I don't ever remember it coming up before, "Hey, we got a thing here that we don't have a rate for."

MR. HERROD: Ann?

2.0

2.4

MS. McGUARAN: I think in the past we've created new classifications or adjusted classifications so that we had a place to put everybody.

MR. HERROD: I think you can -- you can make -- can they not make that change today, Dan?

MR. BAILEY: Like putting it on this survey form?

MR. HERROD: Well, they could either include it in another classification today or they can create a whole new -- we have several that have never -- have not been used in the past number of years. For example, Bricklayer has not been a classification; just rename it.

MR. WRIGHT: I think, you know, as time goes on things change. All the manholes now are precast. If you can find somebody to lay a brick -- they could be too busy doing a house and won't build a manhole, so you almost couldn't get bricklayers. But as we've changed

1 it, what, two or three years ago, Mr. Dan, 2 didn't we go through this and redo a bunch of stuff? 3 MR. BAILEY: Yeah, we went through 4 5 the rulemaking process to put all the classifications at that time into the rules. 6 MR. WRIGHT: I don't think it's a 7 hurry to do today because we've already got 8 their data. They're paying what they want to. 9 10 Brian's point's technically correct. If we create a position just for them and only one 11 guy is doing it, what he pays is what it's 12 13 going to be anyway, right? 14 MR. EGAN: Yeah. 15 MR. WRIGHT: So I just want to be 16 sure we're not making a mistake by not doing 17 that. Or, you know, if you look at the -if -- if that's -- if that is a highly 18 19 specialized position or skilled position, and I imagine it would be, cleaning the tunnel --20 MR. THOMPSON: I have no idea. 21 22 MR. WRIGHT: -- it closely may -- we 23 might just slide that category into one of the 2.4 other operator classes or -- but if that 25 requires rulemaking, then we might as well just

1 make a rule for whatever it is we want. question is somewhat legal, I quess. 2 MR. BAILEY: Yeah. 3 4 Well, you could go through the rulemaking process and create a new classification and put 5 it in the rules, but if I'm hearing it, 6 basically, this is kind of controlled by one 7 company here in Tennessee. I don't think 8 there's an urgency to do that until there's 9 10 more than one that's competing with each other, 11 then I can see the need to do it. 12 As, you know -- you may or may not know, 13 going through the rulemaking process is not a 14 picnic, to put it mildly. 15 MS. McGUARAN: Is there -- would we 16 have to go through the rulemaking process to 17 add some additional clarification to an 18 existing classification? 19 MR. BAILEY: If you going -- if you 20 going to change the rules in any way, you'll 21 have to go through the rulemaking process 22 unless it's just a typographical --23 MS. McGUARAN: So my question is, the 2.4 classifications are part of the rules?

MR. BAILEY:

Yes.

25

MS. McGUARAN: The classification definitions are part of the rules?

MR. BAILEY: Right.

2.0

2.4

But, now, the statute does say -- it says, "For purposes of determining the prevailing wage rate for workers employed by highway contractors, the commission may issue classifications of crafts of workers including but not limited to the following," and then it lists a whole bunch of classifications.

I mean, the statute seems to give you some leeway that you can -- that you can consider maybe a classification that is not spelled out in the rules. You could put that on the survey form. That's why I was asking about the form. So I think the statute gives you the discretion to consider a classification that's not spelled out in the rules, but if do you so, I think at some point in time, you know, you need to amend the rules so that it's in there, but it could be put on the survey form.

MR. WRIGHT: Could I suggest that we talk in a year or so do a thorough evaluation of the other classes to see if we need to

eliminate something else or add something else? 1 MR. BAILEY: Since I plan to retire 2 next December, I think that's a great idea. 3 4 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you for your 5 honesty, sir. 6 MR. BAILEY: I think that's a great idea. 7 Okay. Any other 8 MR. HERROD: questions on the data and then what my proposal 9 10 is as far as sending you a new set of 11 calculations and a new worksheet and you can 12 see the data, what your options are, and then 13 be ready to make those changes when you come in 14 in two weeks? 15 MS. McGUARAN: I think that sounds 16 I just have a quick question. Can you good. 17 explain to me why some of the classifications 18 have the '22 prevailing wage minus a plus 19 6 percent grade out instead of with the math in 2.0 it? 21 MR. HERROD: Yes. Well, the -- I 22 only show -- for example, when you have zero to 23 four responses, I'm just showing how you can 2.4 move the prevailing wage. You'll have to use a 25 prevailing wage. You have the option to use

1 the prevailing wage and what that range would 2 be. After you get above five and above, you don't have an option of using prevailing wage, 3 so I don't show the plus or minus 6 percent. 4 You talking about this right here 5 (indicating)? 6 7 MS. McGUARAN: Right. MR. HERROD: It just shows you, for 8 9 example, 59 total responses here. The survey 10 rate is 23.02 plus or minus 6 percent of the 11 current prevailing wage is here (indicating). 12 MS. McGUARAN: Okay. 13 MR. HERROD: You don't have an option 14 of using anything here to set it. 15 MS. McGUARAN: Thank you. That's 16 helpful. I was noticing that we had the plus or minus 6 percent under the survey rate, so 17 18 great. Thanks. 19 MR. HERROD: I hope -- when you're 20 presenting data, I understand it. I know it's 21 hard to maybe verbalize it for y'all to grasp 22 what I'm trying to show here, but at the end of 23 the day in two weeks what we'll have is a 2.4 validated, approved prevailing wage for each

classification, and it will have the -- it will

25

have to meet the limitations that we have, and this spreadsheet will show that as well as these others here.

So I will send you an updated spreadsheet, and you can do what -- do what you will with it, have some entries, have something in mind for that time.

Yes, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. STARWALT: I guess I -- I guess my question about the purpose of this meeting today -- and I'll go back to I've been coming to these for 26 years. Isn't the purpose of the meeting today to look at the data, and in that one column where it says "proposed" for the commission to propose rates, and then those rates that are -- I'm going to use air quotes -- published, which they're out there, and then they come back at the next meeting in two weeks and approve the final rates? coming out of today's meeting, the proposed column should be decided and then the final approval in two weeks? Isn't that the purpose of today; to look at the data, propose, two weeks approve?

MR. HERROD: Well, this is my first

time without a second meeting of prevailing wage in the same year. We've always done that in one process, so I can't say what went on before.

2.0

2.4

My understanding of this meeting was to show you the data -- show everyone the data and then look through the data, see if there's anything that needs to be discarded, not used, and then come up with the -- all the final calculations, and then at the next meeting, let everyone come up with the final approval.

MR. STARWALT: By the way, Kent Starwalt with the Tennessee Road Builders Association. I apologize.

I agree with that overall assessment except you've -- you've discussed the -- the sweeping number, and you've thrown out the data there. As you mentioned, you don't have the new data in front of you but for those ones that have zero or one responses -- less than four, four or less. Doesn't there need to be a discussion today on those, on whether or not you're going to take the rate, or are you going to take -- take the 6 -- take -- keep it where it was, do the plus or minus wherever it is, or

take the statewide average?

2.0

2.4

I guess I'm -- again, I thought the purpose of the meeting today was to sort of have, quote, proposed rates out there because then that -- again, I go back to the past, that it would allow -- because they're -- quote, the rates are published, it allows people to come to the next meeting to provide comment if they wanted to to the commission about what those rates are.

And I know we've had that discussion in the past, too, about -- what the purpose of that last meeting, but typically, that's been you publish them for people to review and look at and then approval on the next meeting.

MR. HERROD: I believe what -- as I understand what they've done in the past is published those rates, and then based upon requests from the public or anyone, then, if there's a need for another meeting, then it's discussed at that time.

I don't believe this meeting was intended -- it is my understanding, I have to go back to the fact that this is the first time I've done this type of meeting. We've always

done it, while I've been an assistant commissioner, done everything at one time, but just to present the data and throw out the data that we don't think it's -- needs to be included or -- and exclude that data. So it will be up to the commission to make a decision on that.

2.0

2.3

2.4

Does anyone on the Commission have a -- would you rather do that, all our decision-making at the next meeting?

MR. WRIGHT: Steve Wright.

I -- I technically think Kent is correct, but it has been messed up for the last several years, even COVID 1 and 2, and then before that I think was the year, Mr. Bailey, that we were redoing the rates and that was a big kerfuffle, if you remember correctly. So it's been about four or five years since it has actually worked that way to one of the first couple of years that I was actually on this -- on this board, we did pretty much what he said. You kind of set rates today, and if there was comments -- the last meeting was like 15 minutes, 10, instead of kind of the other way around like we're having now. I think he's historically

1 accurate, in my opinion. Now, whether we're in 2 a position to do that today or not, I'm not --I'm not --3 4 MR. HERROD: You may very well be in a position to do it. That's up to y'all. 5 MR. WRIGHT: He's been around here 6 7 longer than anybody. 8 MR. CRABTREE: I agree. 9 MR. WRIGHT: So we have a second on 10 Kent's comment? 11 MS. McGUARAN: We probably need to 12 enter Mr. Wayburn's name into the record. 13 MR. WRIGHT: The comment was by 14 Wayburn Crabtree. 15 MR. CRABTREE: You talking to me? 16 I agree with what Mr. Starwalt has said 17 as well as with what Mr. Wright has said. I 18 think pre-COVID, it was more formal, and at 19 this meeting, it was a working meeting, we 20 sorted everything out, and at the end, we moved 21 to agree on the new rates with changes. That's 22 the way we would leave it. We would come back 23 the next meeting to verify the changes. 2.4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Can you 25 state your name, please?

1 MR. CRABTREE: Wayburn Crabtree with 2 TDOT. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: So your 3 4 decision on proposed rates for today, what do 5 you want to do for today? Are you going to take those back or work through that today? 6 This is Brian Egan, TDOT. 7 MR. EGAN: I'm just curious how all the proposed 8 rates and the spreadsheet were derived. 9 10 MR. HERROD: The proposed rates? 11 Based upon --12 MR. EGAN: Right, the 2023. 13 example, the Class A Operator, the survey rate 14 showed 25.84, we got the plus or minus 15 6 percent, the recommended rate is 26.76. 16 not sure how 26.76 was developed. 17 MR. HERROD: Okay. The whole -- the 18 overall increase was 5.11 percent. 19 increase -- the survey increase of that 20 5.11 percent was 1.58 percent more than last 21 year's rate. We adjust an additional 22 adjustment of 3.53 percent. It was used to 23 take it up to the five total -- 5.11 percent 2.4 increase over previous year's rate. Does that 25 make sense?

1 It's based upon two years before, '19, '20, '21, how we did it then; is to bring it up 2 or take it back slightly and keep it within 3 that overall increase. We can go down the 4 5 list. You just tell me when to go and we'll 6 go. 7 MS. McGUARAN: So I'm going to make a motion that we establish proposed rates for 8 this next year at this meeting so that the 9 commission can vote on them. 10 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We have a 12 motion. Do we have a second? 13 MR. THOMPSON: Repeat that again. 14 I'm sorry. 15 MS. McGUARAN: I'm proposing that the 16 commission establish what they think the 17 proposed rates are -- are to be, and then we'd 18 come back later to approve --19 MR. THOMPSON: Oh, you're talking 20 about in this meeting? 21 MS. McGUARAN: In this meeting. 22 MR. THOMPSON: I'll second that. 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We have a 2.4 second. 25 All in favor for the motion to work

```
1
      through the proposals as -- on today, state
 2
      "aye."
 3
                THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION:
                                             Aye.
 4
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
                                             Any
 5
      opposed?
                THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION:
 6
                                             (No
 7
      response.)
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
 8
                                             The
      motion is passed.
 9
10
            Tom, I would suggest you just kind of
      start at 1 and go down through, and let's get
11
      that agreement of the board; is that okay?
12
13
                MR. HERROD:
                             Okay.
14
            Blaster, we have a survey rate of 48,
15
      which was 91 percent higher than the current
16
      year. Proposal is to take the current year,
17
      adjust it 5.11 percent, which would take it to
              That's the suggested. Can you see?
18
      26.29.
19
                MS. McGUARAN: So the suggestion is
20
      26.29, and it's up to us to say whether we
21
      agree that should be the proposed the rate or
22
      somebody should recommend a different proposed
23
      rate?
2.4
                MR. HERROD: You can go up -- if you
25
      use this year's prevailing wage, you could go
```

1 up to 26.51 or down to 23.51. 2 MS. McGUARAN: And if we use the survey rate, we can go up to 49.50 or down to 3 46.50? 4 5 MR. HERROD: That's correct. That's exactly correct. 6 7 MS. McGUARAN: But we cannot pick a number between 26 and --8 9 MR. HERROD: No. MS. McGUARAN: -- and 46. 10 11 MR. HERROD: That's exactly correct. You have caught on. Otherwise we're going to 12 13 have two yellow -- two orange warnings right 14 here. 15 What's your proposal? 16 MR. WRIGHT: I would support using 17 what you have, 26.29. 18 MR. THOMPSON: I would agree. 19 MS. McGUARAN: So the proposed is the 2.0 recommended. 21 MR. WRIGHT: I think recommended 22 comes from him, proposed comes from us. 23 MS. McGUARAN: Right. 2.4 MR. HERROD: Right. Or suggested. 25 Have to see which one I want to use here.

1 Okay. Let's use this spreadsheet right here. 2 It's suggested or recommended, same thing. 26.29. 3 Item 2, we have no entries for 4 5 Bricklayer. I propose to take it up accordingly 5.11 percent, 18.95. 6 7 What do you -- what's the commission's preference? 8 MR. WRIGHT: Fine with me if there's 9 10 nobody --11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: You are 12 accepting 18.95? 13 MR. WRIGHT: Yeah. 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. 15 MR. HERROD: Item No. 3, Carpenter, 16 we have to use the survey rate. The survey 17 rate versus the current prevailing wage 18 increased 3.87 percent. The recommendation is 19 to take it up to the full 5.11 percent, which would be an additional 1.24, which would take 20 21 us to 23.30. 22 MR. WRIGHT: I would say my general 23 philosophy would be in this -- in this current environment that we raise the ones that are 2.4 25 relevant to the maximum we can because we're

1 running behind. You know, sort of like 2 except -- last year we needed to stay as relevant as we can. I can't speak for my 3 fellow commissioners, but I would propose 4 raising that one and several others to the 5 maximum 6 percent. 6 MR. HERROD: 6 percent increase would 7 take it to 24.35. Is that the --8 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir, that would be 9 10 my personal recommendation. 11 MR. EGAN: I guess I want to clarify, 12 what are the relevant positions, I guess, 13 before we get -- do we know what those would 14 be, or how do we decide what those are? 15 MR. WRIGHT: The ones with numerous 16 responses, the ones that get used. 17 MS. McGUARAN: I think the question 18 is at what response level? Is that at 50 19 responses, or is that at 30 responses, or is 20 that at 100 responses? What constitutes 21 numerous? 22 MR. WRIGHT: Six. 23 MR. HERROD: Six. 2.4 MR. THOMPSON: I mean, my opinion of 25 this is that when we're going down through

1 here, we know which ones are the hot-button 2 issues, and that -- at that point, when we hit those, we'll say 6 percent. That will be our 3 4 recommendation. Is everybody okay with that? 5 Or I'll say it. I mean, because, you know, we get down here, I mean, the Power Broom Farm 6 Truck has only got 17. You know, I mean, it's 7 showing 2, I may not go 2, I may go 5, but I 8 may not go 6. I mean, let's just treat each 9 10 one of them individually --MS. McGUARAN: 11 Right. 12 MR. THOMPSON: -- as we're going 13 through here is all I'm asking. 14 MS. McGUARAN: So what I'm hearing 15 you say is for the Carpenter/Leadsperson, Craft 16 No. 3, you're recommending we go to \$24.35? 17 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am. 18 MS. McGUARAN: And my question is, 19 what does TDOT think? 20 MR. EGAN: I guess, let me clarify, 21 first, we're trying to establish what the 22 prevailing wages are, that is what is currently 23 paid, but we're allowed to increase that by 6 2.4 percent by the rules? 25 MR. HERROD: Right.

1 MS. McGUARAN: Right. 2 MR. THOMPSON: That's correct. 3 MS. McGUARAN: Because we only --4 we're seeing what was paid last year, and we're setting it for next year. So if you assume a 5 6 percent escalation, or whatever you think the 6 percentage escalation would be, would be 7 appropriate to keep these -- these wages in 8 line is, I think, the idea. 9 10 MR. WRIGHT: This is Steve. 11 Brian, I would say that if you look --12 and my recollection, which is not very good, 13 but the 5.4 percent -- whatever it is, 5. --14 MR. THOMPSON: 11. 15 MR. WRIGHT: -- 11 percent upward 16 pressure from last year is as high as I ever 17 recall it being, which tells you that we're in 18 an upward -- we know that we're in a wage 19 battle every day with the -- with the rest of 20 the world, and I would think in the places 21 where we can, we should increase it as much as 22 we -- as it makes sense to try to stay 23 relevant. 2.4 MR. HERROD: I have 24.35 as the 25 proposal.

```
1
                MS. McGUARAN: I don't have any
 2
      issue.
 3
                MR. THOMPSON: I agree with that.
                MR. EGAN: I'll concur.
 4
 5
                MS. McGUARAN: I will say that
     we're -- we are seeing all across construction
 6
 7
     double-digit inflation rates for the last two
     years. So I do think that's a reasonable thing
 8
 9
      to make in this particular time.
10
                MR. HERROD: All right. Item 4,
11
      Class A Operators. We had 106 responses.
12
      Survey rate versus the current prevailing wage
13
      rate was only 1.58 percent higher. You have --
14
     you can go up to -- the maximum is 27.37.
15
      suggested is an additional 3½ percent just
16
     based on previous years. So you can go up to
17
      23.37.
18
                MS. McGUARAN: I think we can go up
19
      to 27.37.
2.0
                MR. HERROD: 27.37. I'm sorry.
21
                MR. THOMPSON: I was going to say...
22
                MR. HERROD: I'm getting a little
23
     number crazy.
            27.37 max.
2.4
25
                MS. McGUARAN: I think that's the
```

1 group's consensus on this one, 27.37. 2 MR. HERROD: All right. Item No. 5, 3 Class B, 135 responses. You can go up to 24.99 on that particular -- that --4 5 MR. THOMPSON: I would agree with that. 6 7 MR. HERROD: Okay. 24.99. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Anyone 8 else in agreement on that? 9 10 MS. McGUARAN: Yeah. 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Go ahead, 12 Tom. 13 MR. HERROD: Class C Operator, we can 14 go up to 26.22. Is that what we -- stop me if 15 I'm putting words or numbers in your mouth. 16 MR. THOMPSON: You're not. 17 MR. HERROD: Okay. 18 MS. McGUARAN: We would agree. 19 MR. HERROD: All right. Item Class D 20 Operators, we can go up to 24.71. 21 MR. THOMPSON: I would agree with 22 that. 23 I have a question, and this is more 2.4 directed, I guess, Steve, maybe. Why do we 25 continuously see that B is lagging behind?

```
1
                MR. WRIGHT: I've wondered that
 2
      myself.
                               There's something --
 3
                MR. THOMPSON:
 4
      something is not -- something's not --
 5
                MR. WRIGHT: Something is not right
      in how we do that.
 6
 7
                MR. THOMPSON: That happened, I
 8
      think, last year as well.
 9
                MR. WRIGHT: It's been that way for
10
      years.
11
                MS. McGUARAN: Well, so I think what
      you're saying is Class A should be at the
12
13
      highest rate, Class B at the second highest
14
      rate, Class C at the third highest rate, Class
15
      D at the fourth highest rate, and it doesn't
16
      seem to work out that way?
17
                MR. WRIGHT: It's all the paving
18
      guys.
             I'm sorry.
19
                MR. THOMPSON: Be careful. You got
20
      one, too.
21
                MR. WRIGHT: Class C operator is
22
      Asphalt Milling Machine, Asphalt Paver,
23
      Concrete Finishing Machine Operator, Concrete
2.4
      Grinder Operator, Concrete Paver Operator,
25
      Scale Operator, Spreader Operator. I'm not
```

1 sure what that is. 2 MR. THOMPSON: That's an asphalt 3 spreader. MR. WRIGHT: And a concrete Barrier 4 5 Rail (Transfer) Machine Operator, which I thought -- I don't know what that is. So I 6 think it's -- it's --7 MR. THOMPSON: The classifications, 8 it's what's in there. 9 10 MR. WRIGHT: It's what's in there, 11 and it's what that position has evolved into, I 12 quess, is the real answer. 13 MR. HERROD: Item No. -- or Craft 14 No. 8, Concrete Finisher, this is one where the 15 survey was less than the current prevailing 16 wage. You can make an adjustment up to 22.61 17 to get it where -- that's the maximum you can 18 increase it, 26.21. MS. McGUARAN: 19 22.61. 20 MR. HERROD: Excuse me. I'm going to 21 start looking a little closer. 22.61, Steve. 22 MR. THOMPSON: We need to do that. 23 MR. HERROD: Okay. Item No. 9, Drill Operator. We had one, and it was less than the 2.4 25 current prevailing wage. Suggestion, we can go

1 up to -- we can take the current prevailing wage and increase it. The max we can increase 2 3 is 39.78 based upon that one where we have an option of using the survey or the prevailing 4 5 wage. MS. McGUARAN: My question would be 6 7 will we use the 38.56 or the 39.78? MR. HERROD: That's correct. 8 MS. McGUARAN: I think one of those 9 10 is the right answer. I defer to my much more 11 knowledgeable colleagues. 12 MR. WRIGHT: I would say the 38 one, 13 if I was just picking one. 14 MR. HERROD: 38.56. 15 MS. McGUARAN: Yeah. 16 MR. HERROD: Craft No. 10, Electrician. We had a decrease versus the 17 18 current wage rate. We can make a correction up 19 to 35.59. That's the max we can take it, which 2.0 is what we've done in the past or close to. 21 MS. McGUARAN: I think that's 22 appropriate. It's only 2¢ more than your 23 recommendation. 2.4 MR. HERROD: Yes. It's your 2¢ 25 worth, exactly.

```
1
            Item No. 11, Farm Tractor Operator.
 2
      took a huge -- well, almost a 4 percent
      decrease. We can take it up to 17.27. That's
 3
 4
      what we've done in the past or close to it
 5
      again, is that what you wish to do?
                MR. THOMPSON: I'm fine with
 6
 7
      your proposed, use 17.23.
                MR. HERROD: Okay. Well, you've got
 8
 9
      whatever y'all decide.
10
                MR. WRIGHT:
                            That's fine with me.
                            17.23. Okay.
11
                MR. HERROD:
12
            No. 12, Ironworkers Reinforcing, we
13
      can -- we had a survey rate, which was
14
      8 percent -- 8½ -- 8.75 percent higher.
15
      take either the -- we can work off the survey
16
      or off of the prevailing wage. If you take the
17
      current prevailing wage rate, it's 22.42 max,
18
      or if you take the survey, it's 24.27 max.
      have a suggested of 22.23, but that's just
19
20
      based on previous years.
21
                MR. THOMPSON: Can we take the 23,
22
      the one that was --
23
                MR. HERROD: Yes, sir, you can if --
2.4
      well, let's see.
25
                MS. McGUARAN: Yes, you can.
```

```
1
                MR. HERROD: Yeah, you can. Sorry.
               23. Good catch. 23.
 2
      You can.
 3
            Is that what everyone wants?
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We agree
 4
      on 23 on that one?
 5
                MS. McGUARAN: Yes.
 6
 7
                MR. HERROD: All right. Ironworkers,
      13, we had zero entries. We have to use the
 8
      survey -- the current prevailing wage rate. I
 9
10
     mean, we can take it up to 22.64. I had 22.45.
11
      Your pleasure?
12
                MR. WRIGHT: Leave it like it is.
13
                MR. HERROD: Item No. 14, Large Crane
14
      Operator. Survey rate is a little bit higher.
15
      We can go up to 28.78 is the max.
16
                MR. WRIGHT: I would propose to use
      that, 28.78.
17
18
                MR. THOMPSON: I would agree.
19
                MR. WRIGHT: You can't hire them for
20
      that.
21
                MR. HERROD: Mechanic (Class 1),
22
      survey is 28.84. We can go up to 30.48. The
23
      survey is already higher than the current
2.4
     minimum wage, so we can keep it there or
25
      there's your range 27.20 to 30.48.
```

```
1
                MR. THOMPSON: I'm going to propose
 2
      the 30.48 since we can't even hire them.
 3
                MR. HERROD: All right. 30.48.
 4
            Everyone agree?
                MS. McGUARAN: Yeah.
 5
 6
                MR. HERROD: Yes. Okay.
 7
                               I believe at the end
                MS. McGUARAN:
      we'll probably make a motion to accept all
 8
      these just to make it official --
 9
10
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Yes.
11
                MS. McGUARAN: -- instead of doing
12
      each one.
13
                MR. HERROD: No. 16, Class 2
14
     Mechanic. We can go up to 28.22.
15
                MR. THOMPSON: I would propose 28.22.
16
                MR. HERROD: Sandblaster, No. 17,
17
      zero responses. We can work off of last --
18
      this current year's prevailing wage. The max
19
      is 35.35.
2.0
                MR. THOMPSON: Your proposed.
21
                MR. HERROD: Proposed.
22
                MR. BAILEY: 35.35.
                MR. HERROD: Item No. 18, Skilled
23
      Labor. We had 380 entries. Overall increase
2.4
25
     was 6 percent from last year. We can go to --
```

1 can go as high as 23.09 or we can keep it to 2 the 6 percent to the current survey, 20.62 to 23.09; suggested is 21.86. 3 MR. THOMPSON: 4 23. MR. WRIGHT: Works for me. 5 MR. HERROD: 23.09? 6 7 MS. McGUARAN: Yes, sir. MR. HERROD: Survey Instrument, we 8 had two entries. We can work off either the 9 10 survey or prevailing wage. They are very 11 close. Proposed is 29.44. We can go up to 12 29 -- or we can go up to 30.05. 13 MR. WRIGHT: I think we should use 14 your rate, too. 15 MR. HERROD: 29.44. 16 Item No. 20, the Sweeping Machine, that's 17 the one that was all wrong. And I haven't been able -- this information is not updated. So if 18 we -- if we go back, if you just give me a 19 20 second here to go back and look at this. 21 MS. McGUARAN: I would say that based 22 on the Sweeping Machine data that you showed us 23 before, we would probably want to go to the 2.4 maximum once you recalculate it.

Okay.

MR. HERROD:

25

1 MS. McGUARAN: I mean, I would just 2 say when you showed us the TDOT data. 3 MR. HERROD: Yes. MS. McGUARAN: It seems like the 4 survey rate of \$28 wasn't that far off. 5 MR. HERROD: That's correct. 6 7 Okay. We can -- I can put in a figure here. I can leave this 27.77, and we just note 8 to come back and look at it again? 9 10 MS. McGUARAN: 28.99 is what we 11 thought it would be. 12 MR. HERROD: 28.99. Okay. Let me 13 just --14 MS. McGUARAN: Whatever the 15 equivalent is of that box. 16 MR. HERROD: Right. Yes. 17 Truck Driver (2 axles), the overall 18 increase from last year was 6 points --19 6.7 percent. We can go up to a maximum of 2.0 22.63. Recommendation is to keep it to the 21 survey, but we can go up even higher. 22 MR. WRIGHT: Scott? 23 MR. THOMPSON: They all need -- I 2.4 think it needs to be the 22 number. 25 MR. HERROD: 22.63?

MR. THOMPSON: Yes, sir. 1 2 MR. HERROD: All right. And then the 3 three-axle driver? MR. THOMPSON: 23.19. 4 MR. HERROD: 23.19. 5 And the five or more axle? 6 7 MR. WRIGHT: 27.97. Every one of those guys carries your 8 9 whole company with them every time they get out 10 on the road. 11 MR. HERROD: Unskilled Labor, we can 12 take it to the -- up to 20.69 or we can leave 13 it -- it had a substantial jump, almost 14 11 percent. Keep it at the survey rate or go 15 up to 20.69. 16 MR. WRIGHT: I'd say 20.69. MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, I was going to 17 18 say. MR. HERROD: 20.69. 19 20 And, finally, the Worksite Traffic 21 Coordinator. We can take it up to the max, or 22 we can leave it at somewhere between -- up 23 to -- leave it at the 26.15? 27.59? 2.4 MR. THOMPSON: I think it's 27.59. 25 MR. HERROD: Okay. We have set

1	proposed rates over the 2023 prevailing wage.
2	MS. McGUARAN: And I'll make a motion
3	that we agree to these proposed rates to be
4	published. The question is how will they be
5	published?
6	MR. WRIGHT: Go to the history
7	department over there.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Let's
9	just do this. Let's get the second, first, and
10	then we'll have a discussion.
11	MS. McGUARAN: Okay. So motion that
12	these proposed rates be accepted and be
13	accepted by the commission.
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: And a
15	second?
16	MR. THOMPSON: I'll second.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We have a
18	second.
19	Discussion?
20	MR. WRIGHT: How should we propose
21	how should we send out these rates?
22	MR. THOMPSON: Publish.
23	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you for the word.
24	MR. STARWALT: Was the motion voted
25	on?

1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: We're in 2 discussion right now before we vote. MR. THOMPSON: We'll still debating, 3 4 Kent, whether or not -- how we're going to hand this out. 5 MR. BAILEY: I believe the statute 6 addresses this a little bit. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Published in the 8 9 newspaper, every county seat. 10 MR. HERROD: Okay. Well, let me --11 MR. THOMPSON: Be careful what you're 12 asking for here. 13 MR. HERROD: We -- we send out these 14 rates two ways. We put it on the website, we 15 send out a mailer to everyone who has 16 subscribed to the prevailing wage rates, and 17 that will go out after its been included on the 18 website. 19 MR. WRIGHT: I'll withdraw my 20 question. 21 MR. BAILEY: The statue says that: 22 "The Commission shall give notice of such 23 prevailing wage rate to all highway contractors 2.4 who submitted documentation in accordance with 25 subdivision (1) and to any others making

1 written requests for such notice. Such notice 2 shall include the time and place of the public hearing required by TCA 12-4-406. 3 Commission shall take all reasonable steps to 4 verify the survey results submitted to it by 5 highway contractors pursuant to this 6 department." 7 So if anybody submitted data, they should 8 automatically get the notice of the rates and 9 10 anybody else that requests it. 11 MS. McGUARAN: So as I understand 12 that, then, you -- the department -- the 13 department would send it out to everybody who 14 submitted data and say that these are the 15 proposed rates and then tell people when the 16 next meeting is that they could then attend to 17 speak to them if they have any questions about it? 18 19 MR. HERROD: That's correct. 2.0 MS. McGUARAN: Well, that sounds like 21 that actually confirms the earlier discussion, 22 the statute does. MR. BAILEY: Yeah, it does. 23 2.4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Any other

questions or discussions on the two motions?

25

1 All in favor of accepting proposed rates that will be known by stating "aye"? 2 3 THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: Aye. 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Any 5 opposed? THE COLLECTIVE COMMISSION: 6 (No 7 response.) DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: 8 All9 right. Motion granted. 10 Okay. Our final Prevailing Wage 11 Commission Meeting date is set currently for November 29th, 1:30. It's a Tuesday. It will 12 13 be here. And, of course, at that time, based 14 on -- and, Tom, as to timeliness of the rates 15 going out is going to be key to that. So that 16 there may be members of the public will have 17 discussions on that. And the goal would be to 18 finalize and approve those rates at that 19 meeting. 2.0 MR. HERROD: Correct. 21 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. 22 MR. HERROD: That's correct. 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: 2.4 right. So can I have a motion to adjourn? 25 MR. WRIGHT: So moved.

```
1
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT: A second?
 2
                MR. THOMPSON: Second.
 3
                THE COURT: All right. We're
      adjourned.
 4
            (WHEREUPON, the foregoing proceedings
 5
      were concluded at 2:52 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF TENNESSEE

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON

I, Nicole Marie DeBartolo, court reporter, with offices in Brentwood, Tennessee, hereby certify that I reported the foregoing Prevailing Wag Commission Meeting by machine shorthand to the best of my skills and abilities, and thereafter the same was reduced to typewritten form by me.

I further certify I am not related to any of the parties named herein, nor to their counsel, and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome of the proceedings.

I further certify that in order for this document to be considered a true and correct copy, it must bear my original signature and that any unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part and/or transfer of this document is not authorized, will not be considered authentic, and will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated 3-914-104, Theft of Services.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nicole Marie DeBartolo, LCR, RPR, IL CSR

Tennessee Licensed Court Reporter

Registered Professional Reporter

Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter

TN LCR #915 -Expires: 6/30/2024 IL CSR #084-004127 - Expires: 5/31/2023