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| CHAIRMAN MCCORD: All those in <br> favor of adoption, say "aye." <br> (Affirmative response.) <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: All those <br> opposed, like sign. <br> (No verbal response.) <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: The motion <br> carries. <br> Okay. We'll start with old business. <br> And that involves with the adoption or the <br> approval of the minutes from last meeting, <br> November 13. And once again, that was sent out <br> earlier to you to review, so we'll be looking for <br> a motion to approve those minutes. <br> MR. THOMPSON: So moved. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: We have a first. <br> Do we have a second? <br> MR. WRIGHT: Second. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Any discussion? <br> (No verbal response.) <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: All those in <br> favor say "aye." <br> (Affirmative Response.) <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: All those <br> opposed? <br> construction, if they did not meet that year's prevailing wage, those responses were filtered out. They were not accepted. So there's several -- a few hundred like that, actually. Also, there were several that were not road construction projects. They were airport projects, and we filtered those out. <br> So what you have here are those responses for the years where the prevailing wage was within -- at or above the minimum wage, and they were actual road construction projects. <br> MR. WRIGHT: If I might, a city project that is funded with TDOT funds, is that a road project? <br> MR. HERROD: Yes, sir. <br> MR. WRIGHT: Then Mr. Summers' data should be included. <br> MS. CAUDILL: It was. <br> MR. WRIGHT: Okay. <br> MR. CRABTREE: That doesn't include <br> airport projects, Steve, that are funded with TDOT funds. <br> MR. WRIGHT: I've got an email that said it wasn't. <br> MS. CAUDILL: It was questioned, | (No verbal response.) <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: That motion <br> carries as well. <br> In terms of new business, we'll start <br> that now with the discussion on the prevailing wage rates that we are currently proposing, and we'll turn that over to Tom to lead that discussion. <br> MR. HERROD: Thank you, <br> Commissioner. <br> My name is Tom Herrod. I'm the <br> assistant commissioner for workplace regulations and compliance. We have prepared the data from the responses that we got from the road construction contractors for the 2020 period of July, August, and September. <br> In front of you is an Excel <br> spreadsheet, front and back. You will note that we had 1,654 responses with an overall increase of 5.1 percent change from last year. This is a weighted average. <br> One of the things I wanted to tell <br> you, first of all, we had many more responses than what we have here. Based on the prevailing wage rates or the wages that they paid over the year of <br> but then we included it in the overall figures. <br> So, Commissioner, one other question. <br> Was there -- when you said responses that did not <br> meet last year's prevailing wage, help me <br> understand what that means. <br> MR. HERROD: Well, we had responses <br> that came in from years as far back as 2013. We took the prevailing wage for those years. Those projects had to meet the minimum wage for that year. So we had prevailing wages from 2013 to 2020, and the year was also noted on the response, so we filtered that out based upon that particular classification. So if the project as old as 2013 did not meet that minimum wage, that was filtered out, because we could not skew it to the low side for that year. It wouldn't be right. Of course, there were several that were higher than minimum wage for that year. That's fine. They can pay what they want to. But they had to meet that. So that's what I'm talking about for that particular year. <br> We had a another -- you don't see it in front of you, but there was a pivot table, if you're familiar with Excel, that had all the wages on it and the year for that particular |
| :---: | :---: |


| classification, that minimum wage classification. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: And the year was the <br> year the project started or the project ended? <br> MR. HERROD: Started. <br> MR. CRABTREE: If I understand what <br> you're saying, if the wage that was submitted doesn't meet the prevailing wage rate for that classification and for that year, you didn't count it. <br> MR. HERROD: That's correct. <br> MR. CRABTREE: So what that means <br> is somewhere on the TDOT project, somebody is not paid a correct wage. <br> MR. HERROD: That's another problem that we're going to have to deal with. We're going to have to look into that. Of course, we only have a few weeks to get this together, so we have to go back and check those companies and see -- let them know if they did not -- there may be some other things that we have to do as part of either a violation that would have to be -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: Well, if there's a violation are you going to follow up on that? <br> MR. HERROD: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. <br> MR. CRABTREE: You're going to have | people scared to submit next year. <br> MR. HERROD: Well -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: I think you should. <br> I think you should. I just didn't know if you ever did that before. <br> MR. HERROD: Well, this is -- this <br> may be the first year that we've really delved <br> into the responses and gotten accurate results <br> that meet the -- <br> MR. WRIGHT: It's the first time <br> I've heard -- <br> MR. HERROD: -- meet the <br> standards -- I'm sorry? <br> MR. WRIGHT: It's the first time <br> I've heard the word "pivot table" at this meeting. <br> MR. HERROD: Well, it's the first <br> time I've used a pivot table. But that's outside <br> this commission meeting. But -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: Can you share that <br> list? Can you give me that list? <br> MR. HERROD: Yes, sir. Anybody <br> that's interested in that spreadsheet, I'll be <br> glad to send it to you. Play with it all you like. |
| :---: | :---: |
| understand the rules and the law, any <br> classification that has zero responses, we have to base the new prevailing wage on last year's <br> prevailing wage, 2020, plus or minus 6 percent max. That's for zero responses. <br> Any classifications that are one to four responses, you have two options. You can base it upon the last year's prevailing wage exclusively, or you can base it upon the survey rate plus or minus 6 percent of last year's prevailing wage. <br> So you will see on this color-coded Column Number 3, the responses that had zero to four responses, you will notice that you have a couple of options out here as far as survey for a prevailing wage. I've tried to color code this, and if you're color blind, I apologize, but it's the only way I can keep up with things when we have this much data. <br> You'll see over right in the P -- in the area called PW Calculator, Prevailing Wage Calculator, the base rate is either the survey rate or the 2020 prevailing wage rate. And I put down that base rate assuming -- you can change it if you like -- assuming that you would want to go | 1 with the lesser of the two for those particular years, if you have an option. We'll talk about that in a minute. <br> Then over in the far right of that prevailing wage calculator, whatever wage you decide upon -- and you have a column that's blank there that you can fill in -- it has to satisfy the -- it has to be valid. In other words, one to four, you have two options. And it either has to satisfy the survey rate or the 2020 prevailing wage rate. <br> For zero responses, it has to satisfy the rule 2020 prevailing wage plus or minus 6 percent. For those that are five and above, you have to go with the survey rate plus or minus 6 percent or the 2020 prevailing wage rate. <br> So as Ms. Caudill puts in the numbers for us up here, that will color code change. If we pick a number that's outside the range that's valid, it will show up in red, so we have to redo it. In the far, right-hand side, the last thing I'm showing you here is the percent difference between 2021 proposed, that I proposed, versus last year's 2020 rate. Now, this is just a proposal. And it's -- the 5.1 percent is based |

## Stone \& George Court Reporting 615.221.1089

| Page 14 <br> upon the overall change. And you'll see that on <br> the -- if you turn it over, that's the overall <br> change from last year. And that's a weighted average. <br> The way they do that calculation is <br> all the responses that came in, you get a weighted average of the overall salary. And then they apply last year's prevailing wage to those salaries, if it was just strictly the minimum, the prevailing wage, and they come up with an hourly wage. And the difference is the number here, 5.1 percent. <br> There are some -- I have to tell you, there are some classifications on the second page that are outside that have been skewed quite a bit by a few responses. And so they appear in red in Column 6. As we get to those, I can explain that in better detail. <br> If it suits everyone, we can get <br> started going down the classifications and get <br> some momentum going and see if everybody agrees with the process. This is exactly what we did last year and it seemed to work well. <br> MR. CRABTREE: One more thing. <br> MR. HERROD: Yes? | MR. CRABTREE: I don't see one that <br> has four exactly. <br> THE REPORTER: Does he have his <br> microphone on? <br> MR. BAILEY: Can you turn your <br> microphone on, please? <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Wayburn, just push <br> the button on your microphone. <br> MR. CRABTREE: I don't see one that <br> has exactly four responses. <br> MR. HERROD: Correct. <br> MR. CRABTREE: But if one did have <br> exactly four responses, would it go zero to four, <br> or -- <br> MR. HERROD: Yes, sir. Yes. It <br> would be. You can pick craft number 20, for <br> example. If there were four responses and not <br> three, it would still follow within the -- on that <br> range of having two options there. If it went to <br> five, you would only -- it would drop back to <br> having only the option of the survey rate. <br> MR. CRABTREE: Is that what we've <br> been doing? I was thinking if it was four then it <br> went with -- <br> MR. HERROD: No, sir. If you look |
| :---: | :---: |
| at the very bottom, on the second page, Rule <br> 0800-03-02 -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: Okay. It's just <br> that -- I'm just not remembering it right. <br> MR. HERROD: Okay. All right. Are <br> there any other questions? You can ask anything anytime you want to. We can kind of get going on this and see if it makes. <br> Craft Number 1 is a blaster. Last year, the 2020 prevailing wage rate was set at $\$ 23.03$. That was a new position or a renaming of one, and so that was set at the 6 percent of the 2019. The survey rate for this year, we only had one response. We checked that with the contractor, paying 27.11. So it was a 17 percent increase. So you have two options here. You have -- you can go with the base rate, the prevailing wage rate from last year, or you can go with the new survey rate plus or minus. I assumed that you would want to go with the prevailing wage rate from last year and perhaps add 5.1 percent. It's strictly up to you. But in Columns 7 through 10 on your sheet, you have the min and max for each option that's possible. | this coming year of $\$ 24.20$. That's based upon last year's rate plus or minus the overall increase of 5.1 percent. It's your choice on what you would rather do there, but that is kind of what we ended up doing last year. So the new prevailing wage, if you approve, would go to $\$ 24.20$. That would be an overall increase of 5.1 percent from last year. <br> MR. CRABTREE: I move that we approve the $\$ 24.20$. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: We're just in discussion right now, so I think we're going to approve these all together. But yeah, I think what Tom would need is a "We're good with that; we can move on." <br> MR. CRABTREE: We can move on. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: If there's any <br> discussion that needs to happen as we move through these one at a time, and then we'll approve them all at the end if that suits -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: I'll withdraw the <br> motion. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Okay. Motion withdrawn. Yes, sir. <br> MR. HERROD: Craft Number 2 is a |
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|  | Page 18 <br> bricklayer. There were no responses. Last year's rate was $\$ 16.60$. We can -- we only have the option of 6 percent either side of the $\$ 16.60$ or we can leave it at $\$ 16.60$, or we can move it up 6 percent or down 6 percent, or we can adjust up the overall 5.1 percent. I had proposed the 5.1 percent increase, which will take it to $\$ 17.45$, and which would satisfy -- validate within the range of our acceptable limits. Are you good? <br> MR. WRIGHT: I'm good. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Tom, I think what <br> we'll do is you talk through your proposal, and if anybody is not good, they'll let you know they're not good, and otherwise, we'll just move on. <br> MR. HERROD: Thank you, sir. Craft Number 3, Carpenter/ <br> Leadsperson, we had 88 responses, a good response. The overall -- that was a 2.65 percent increase from the previous year. So this year's proposal, since we increased it 2.65 over last year with the responses, the base would be the $\$ 20.94$, which is the survey rate, and we would increase that slightly to get it up to the overall increase of 5.1 percent. So the new prevailing wage rate |
| :---: | :---: |

Page 20
Number 6, Class C Operators, 133 responses. The
survey rate was a 5.5 percent increase over the
previous year. If we move it to the 5.1 percent,
you will see you'll have to move it down
0.4 percent from the survey rate. We can leave it
at the survey rate, or we can move it up or we can
move it down. I had proposed that we just take it
down to an overall 5.1 percent which would make it
\$21.72, moving from \$20. 66 to \$21.72.
MS. MCGAURAN: I just have a
question for that. And I certainly would welcome
what Scott and Stephen have to say about this.
But I guess when you have 133 responses, saying
the prevailing wage is less than the average of
those 133 responses gives me some concern. Are
you guys comfortable with that?
MR. WRIGHT: I can only speak for
myself. We're under wage pressure every day.
That represents what you can hire people for.
I've personally favored raising them a little bit
more.
opposed to -- MS. MCGAURAN: Raising it up as
That only speaks for me. But it is difficult to
would be \$21.44.
Any disagreements?
(No verbal response.)
MR. HERROD: Craft Number 4, Class
A Operators, we had 116. The same situation here, we had an overall increase of 4.85 percent, which was roughly equivalent to the overall increase for all the crafts. That puts it -- if we were to increase it slightly, just take that base and move it up just a little bit more to get it to the 5.1, the new prevailing wage would be $\$ 23.42$. So we would increase the prevailing wage from the previous year from $\$ 22.29$ to $\$ 23.42$.

Any discussion there?
(No verbal response.)
MR. HERROD: Okay. Craft Number 5, Class B Operators, 122 responses. The survey rate was 3.64 percent above the prevailing wage rate for 2020. If we increase it, here again, just slightly to get it up to the 5.1 percent overall increase that we've had, it would take the rate up to $\$ 20.89$. We would move from $\$ 19.88$ to $\$ 20.89$. Any discussion there? (No verbal response.)
MR. HERROD: Moving on to Craft compete in the market with the prevailing wage.

MS. MCGAURAN: Yeah. I think it --
I have a challenge with that many responses of having our new prevailing wage lower than the average of those responses.

MR. HERROD: Okay. So you're proposing that we take it up to the survey rate of \$21.81?

MS. MCGAURAN: I would say, yes, at least. I guess that's what I'm looking for, thoughts, you know.

Wayburn, do you have any?
MR. CRABTREE: If we're going to deviate from what we are doing here, then we need to draw a new line. You say because there are 133. What if there were 125 ? Would you say the same thing? Or if there were 89, would you say the same thing? I guess what I'm quibbling about is if we're making a new standard here, where are we going to draw the line between the 5.1 and the survey rate?

MS. MCGAURAN: Well, I mean, I think anytime you have what is considered to be a, you know, considerable number of responses, a market rate number of responses -- so certainly,

| anything in the top 5 percent of our responses, <br> you know -- it seems like anything in this case that would be kind of over the 100 responses is probably something we should look at, whether we should go with what people are actually paying. Because I think that's what -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: So a hundred? <br> MS. MCGAURAN: That's my concern. <br> MR. CRABTREE: I just want to make sure that we're consistent with it. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Yes. <br> MR. WRIGHT: Y'all are talking <br> about 0.09 an hour. I will tell you this: The thing that -- Tennessee, we work in about five or six states. Tennessee has the only prevailing wage rate that even ever comes into play. These operators that we're paying $\$ 18, \$ 19, \$ 20, \$ 21$, $\$ 23$, if you go to Alabama, that's an $\$ 8$ rate. Nobody pays that scale. So keeping it up and current is one of the reasons that the Tennessee -- they have tried to stay in this because it does make us have a valid scale and it keeps away other influences, for a better way of saying it. <br> So I do think some reasonable | adjustment is here year to year -- year over year, and if that's a little bit more than what the prevailing wage rate says, it's okay with me. <br> MR. THOMPSON: I mean, I would agree. I mean, if we try and attract talent and bring them in, we have to -- we've got to stay with a -- I call it a wage in which your operators can provide for their families and, you know, when you look at what we're paying versus what somebody is in a factory, I mean, I look at those. I mean, I look at what Amazon is doing. I look at what those people are doing, because we don't need to lose skills to those people. So to me, these numbers are -- I mean, you know, we pay above this. <br> MR. WRIGHT: If you try to hire a crane operator out of Atlanta, he will not talk to you for $\$ 25$ an hour. <br> MR. HERROD: Well, since we're only talking 0.09, we can move it to the 5.5 percent and still be good. We will take it to what the survey rate is since we have quite a few responses here. \$21.81, is that good? <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Yes. I mean, I think that's the minimum in my mind. |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHAIRMAN MCCORD: And so, if I may, <br> I do like the consistency, too. So if there's a <br> high survey participation -- and we've defined <br> that as a hundred -- and it's below the rate <br> that's been surveyed, then we ought to move it to the minimum survey. So I think that gives us consistency as we go forward. Because there's a few more like that as we go down. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Yeah. <br> MR. BAILEY: Your very next one <br> is -- <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Thank you, <br> Commissioner. <br> MR. HERROD: Yes. The next one is a good example. We have Class D operators. We had 171 responses. The survey rate was <br> 6.1 percent over last year's prevailing wage rate. If we keep the prevailing wage rate, I had put in a proposal of $\$ 20.16$ versus the $\$ 20.35$. I mean, we can certainly keep it at the $\$ 20.35$ to be consistent of what we did in the previous class. So we'll do that, \$20.35. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Yes. That would be my preference. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: That makes a lot | of sense. <br> MR. HERROD: All right. Concrete <br> Finisher is Number 8. It had 30 responses. Now, it was 6.61 percent increase, slightly above the 6 percent. I have that highlighted. If we were to adjust that back down to 5.1 percent, it would be $\$ 19.32$ versus the $\$ 19.60$ survey rate. We can keep it at the $\$ 19.60$ and still be within range, or we can move it down slightly or move it up. You can see that the survey rate Option 1 can go from $\$ 18.49$ to $\$ 20.70$. So if we -- <br> MR. WRIGHT: I would propose we be consistent with the previous two. <br> MR. HERROD: Okay. $\$ 19.60$ is the <br> new rate there. <br> Drill Operator, there were no <br> responses. Last year, the rate was $\$ 34.55$. We have an option here of keeping it at last year's rate, or going up 6 percent of last year's rate. I chose to -- or put in a proposal of 5.1 percent increase, which would take it to 36.31 . This falls back into a different category of a very minimum number of responses. So the proposal is $\$ 36.31$. Any problems with that? |
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| do that. That would get us up to $\$ 26.06$. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: \$26.64. <br> MR. HERROD: I'm sorry. Yes. <br> $\$ 26.64$. <br> All right. Item Number 20, only <br> three responses, so we have two options here. <br> This one was skewed by one contractor, and we verified that that was the rate that the contractor was paying. It went up 64 percent. Survey rate was $\$ 30$. Last year's rate was $\$ 18.27$. I chose to take the $\$ 18.27$ and move it up <br> 5.1 percent to $\$ 19.20$ since there were three. Is that satisfactory? <br> MS. MCGAURAN: I think so, because it's below our four threshold. <br> MR. HERROD: Item 21, 61 responses <br> for an overall increase of 8.62 percent. If we are consistent with what we've been doing, our proposal is that the $\$ 18.80$ would be changed to $\$ 19.42$. <br> Item Number 22, I've had 123 <br> responses with an overall increase, right on it, 5.11 percent, so we would keep -- the survey rate would match what the proposal is, $\$ 18.25$ and achieve the 5.1 percent. | Item 23, Truck Driver, 94, with an <br> overall increase of 9.15 percent. In keeping with what we've done before, we would not use the proposed rate of $\$ 20.56$, but would go with the $\$ 21.36$. <br> Item 24, Unskilled Laborer, overall increase of 6.09 percent. Based on what we've done, we will keep it at the $\$ 16.26$ versus what I had at \$16.11. <br> And 25, 19 responses for an overall <br> increase there of 11.55 percent. In keeping with what we've done, the proposed that I had there of $\$ 20.75$ would be changed to $\$ 21.93$, which would be the survey rate. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: One question. <br> Number 19, would you explain that one again? I <br> think what was a little different and I'm not sure I really understood it. Sorry. <br> MR. HERROD: Okay. For that one, <br> we went down -- we had six responses and it went down 5.26 percent from the last year. We have to use the survey rate for that, not last year's prevailing wage. So we're restricted to those min and maxes. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Okay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| MR. HERROD: So we stayed with the <br> \$26.64. The reason is -- I have that at six, we <br> took it up to the max to match, to get as high as we possibly can, even though it was only slightly above the previous -- now, we can keep it at the -- <br> MS. MCGAURAN: So the only other option would be whether we wanted to keep it at the current prevailing wage, or -- <br> MR. HERROD: Right. We could keep it at \$26.45. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Okay. <br> MR. CRABTREE: I'm calculating <br> $\$ 26.56$ there at 6 percent. <br> MR. HERROD: At 6 percent -- well, okay. I don't have my calculator. <br> MR. CRABTREE: \$25.06. <br> MR. HERROD: We have -- <br> MR. CRABTREE: It's $\$ 25.06$, right? <br> MR. HERROD: Yes. Survey rate was <br> $\$ 25.06$. Last year's rate, $\$ 26.45$. It went down. <br> I don't think that we -- based on what we've done in the past, we don't want to go down. We want to stay around that $\$ 26.45$. My suggestion was to take it up to the max that we could get it to | $\$ 26.64$. We can take it down a little bit to the <br> $\$ 26.45$, but that would match last year's <br> prevailing wage. I assume you want to take it up <br> a little bit from last year's prevailing wage. <br> It's only a few pennies but it would be an increase. <br> MR. CRABTREE: I'm just not <br> calculating the same thing as you are. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: Yeah, I agree with <br> Wayburn. I don't think the 6 percent calculation is correct. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: I don't think <br> they're arguing with the 6 percent. I think it's <br> that an additional 6 percent of $\$ 25.06$ comes out to a different number. <br> MR. HERROD: Well, I may have to -- <br> my brain is not working as fast as yours right now, so I'll have to defer. But all I'm saying is -- <br> MS. MCGAURAN: So Assistant <br> Commissioner, the calculator is on the screen. <br> She did it. Jan did it so you can see it up on the screen, what it calculates to. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: So it's \$26.56. <br> MR. HERROD: Okay. So that would |
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| MR. WRIGHT: I don't know that any <br> of you-all have answers. I guess I'm debating <br> with myself. I just don't -- you know, it looks <br> like these could be more consistent somehow. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: And let me go <br> back to the Worksite Traffic Coordinator. We did <br> go with the survey rate, which is 11.55 percent <br> higher than the rate before, so it's more than <br> just the 6 percent. <br> MR. THOMPSON: Well, I thought our proposed was $\$ 20.70$. <br> MS. CAUDILL: $\$ 20.75$. <br> MR. THOMPSON: \$20.75. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: No. We've bumped it <br> up to the -- <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Yeah. We've <br> bumped it to the survey rate. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: We've bumped it up to the $\$ 21.93$. <br> MR. THOMPSON: Okay. I'm sorry. <br> MR. CRABTREE: How did we get to <br> $\$ 20.75 ?$ <br> MS. MCGAURAN: That's taking the -- <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: That -- <br> MR. HERROD: It's sliding it down | to match the 5.1 percent, if you can get there, to stay within the -- <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: But given that we were over four, and then we had a survey rate that was different from that, we went with the survey rate like we have for the rest of them. <br> So any other discussion here? I'm going to take a minute, very quickly, while you think about whether you do. And thank you for being here, first of all, taking the time to do this. I know everybody has other jobs, and we're not making you rich by coming in here, so thank you for that. It does feel good, even though we're far apart, to be able to have a meeting in person, as well, and so I appreciate, again, you showing up and doing -- and being here. And I know Tom does as well. <br> And if you think you know somebody who is good at analysis, that person comes in second to Tom, given the level of analysis that he does, not just with this but with other things. So with that preamble, any other discussion here? <br> MR. WRIGHT: I don't have any. I <br> would like to add, though, that we struggle as an organization trying to -- you know, to -- I |
| :---: | :---: |
| encourage prevailing wages to go up to the greatest extent that's reasonable and affordable. I'm not trying to ruin TDOT's budget or anything like that. But I know that we have an awful lot of people who work for us. And if you're a \$21-an-hour employee or $\$ 20$, that's $\$ 800$ a week on a 40 -hour week. You pay your 25 percent of that to the government, that doesn't leave you a lot of money. And we provide health insurance, but it's still -- a significant part of the cost -- but it still costs them $\$ 200$ a week if they have a family. So that doesn't leave you but \$2- or \$300 to eat off of at the end of the day. <br> So the world we live in and the providing of insurance makes -- or for them to pay anything for insurance is a very, very difficult thing. So, I guess, those of us -- which probably everybody in this room that has their insurance provided for them, really should appreciate that, compared to the hourly worker working for $\$ 20$ an hour. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Thank you for <br> that. <br> MS. MCGAURAN: And I don't think it affects any of our numbers, but in the future, you | might want to check your 6 percent calculations, because I think they messed up on every one on this sheet for some reason. I don't know why. But you're consistent. <br> MR. HERROD: I'll be glad -- I'll tell you what I'll do. I'll go over that with you since I spent three solid weeks working on this. But I'll have to go back and talk to my eighth-grade teacher. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Okay. So with that discussion, it's time to see if we can get a motion for approval on our prevailing wage rates for this coming year, which is 2021. So do we have a motion for approval? <br> MR. WRIGHT: I would move for approval. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Is there a <br> second? <br> MR. THOMPSON: Second. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: Any further <br> discussion? <br> MR. THOMPSON: Will we get an <br> updated. . . <br> MR. HERROD: Yes, sir. <br> CHAIRMAN MCCORD: So all those in |
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| \$ | \$21.44 19:1 | 0800-03-02 16:2 | $22 \text { 30:21 36:10,21 37:7, }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$16.11 31:9 | \$21.81 21:8 23:23 | 1 | $23 \text { 31:1 36:11,21 37:7 }$ |
| \$16.26 31:8 | \$21.93 31:13 38:19 | 1 16:9 25:10 28:10 37:5 | 24 29:2 31:6 36:19 |
| \$16.52 27:14,15 | \$22.29 19:13 | 1,654 7:19 | 25 31:10 35:25 36:8 |
| \$16.60 18:2,3,4 | \$23 22:18 | 10 16:23 26:3 | 40:7 |
| \$17.45 18:8 | \$23.03 16:11 | 10,000 37:7 | 253 29:16 |
| \$18 22:17 | \$23.42 19:11,13 | 100 22:3 | 27.11 16:15 |
| \$18.25 30:24 | \$23.47 29:6 | 11 27:10 |  |
| \$18.27 30:10,11 | \$24.20 17:1,7,10 | 11.55 31:11 38:7 |  |
| \$18.49 25:11 | \$24.80 29:6 | 116 19:5 | 3 12:13 18:17 |
| \$18.76 29:19 | \$25 23:18 | 12 27:16 28:22 | 3.28 28:16 |
| \$18.80 30:19 | \$25.06 29:21 32:17,19, | 122 19:17 | 3.64 19:18 |
| \$19 22:17 |  | 123 30:21 | 30 25:3 |
| \$19.20 30:12 | \$25.56 34:10 | 125 21:16 | 30,000 37:6 |
| \$19.32 25:7 | \$26.06 | 13 6:12 27:25 | 34 28:21 |
| \$19.42 30:20 | \$26.26 28:18 | 133 20:1,13,15 21:16 | 36 28:15 |
| \$19.60 25:7,8,14 | \$26.45 32:11,21,24 33:2 34:4 | 14 28:6 | 36.31 25:21 |
| \$19.88 19:22 | \$26.56 32:14 33:24 | 15 28:15 |  |
| \$19.93 27:23 | 34:21 | 16 28:21 | 4 |
| \$2-40:12 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 26.64 \\ 34: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $17 \text { 16:15 27:11 29:11 }$ | 4 19:4 |
| \$20 22:17 40:6,20 | 34.4 |  | 4.1 27:12,13 |
| \$20.16 24:19 | \$30 | 171 24:16 | 4.85 19:6 |
|  | \$30.69 29:12 | 18 29:15 |  |
|  | \$300 40:12 | 19 29:20 31:10,16 | 40-hour 40:7 |
| \$20.56 31:4 | \$32.26 29:13 |  | 43 28:7 |
| \$20.66 20:9 | \$34.55 25:17 | 2 |  |
| \$20.67 28:3 | 26:7,1 |  |  |
| \$20.70 25:11 38:11 |  | 2 17:25 | 5 19:16 22:1 29:16,17 |
| \$20.75 31:13 38:12,13, |  | 2.65 18:19,21 |  |
| 22 | \$8 22:18 | 20 15:16 30:5 37:2 | $16: 21 \text { 17:3,8 18:6,7,25 }$ |
| \$20.89 19:22 | \$800 40:6 | 2013 9:7,10,13 | $\text { 19:10,20 20:3,8 } 21: 20$ |
| \$20.94 18:22 | 0 | 2019 16:13 | $17 \text { 29:4,13,18 30:12,25 }$ |
| \$200 40:11 | 0 | 2020 7:15 9:11 12:4,23 | 39:1 |
| \$21 22:17 | 0.09 22:13 23:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 10,13,16,2416: 10 \\ & 19: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 5.11 30:23 |
| \$21-an-hour 40:6 | 0.09-an-hour 34:2 | 2021 13.23 41:13 42.10 | 5.26 29:21 31:21 |
| \$21.36 31:5 | 0.4 20:5 | 2021 13.23 41.13 42.10 | 5.5 20:2 23:20 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \text { 30:16 36:10,21,22 } \\ & 37: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
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| 13:18 14:1,3 <br> changed $30: 1931: 13$ <br> check 10:18 41:1 <br> checked 16:14 <br> choice 17:3 <br> chose 25:20 30:11 <br> city 8:12 <br> class 19:4,17 20:1 24:15,21 <br> classification 9:13 10:1,8 12:2 <br> classifications 12:6 14:14,20 <br> close 27:18 <br> closer 29:3 <br> code 12:16 13:18 <br> color 12:16,17 13:18 <br> color-coded 12:12 <br> column 12:13 13:6 14:17 <br> Columns 16:22 <br> comfortable 20:16 <br> comment 43:5,9,10,25 45:1,8 <br> comments 42:23 44:12 45:21 <br> commission 4:4 11:18 <br> commissioner 7:10, <br> 12 9:2 24:13 33:21 <br> companies 10:18 <br> compared 40:20 <br> compete 21:1 <br> compliance 7:13 <br> concern 20:15 22:8 <br> Concrete 25:2 <br> conflict 4:19,21 5:8,9 <br> confused 36:10 <br> considerable 21:24 <br> considered 21:23 | ```consistency 24:2,7 26:21 consistent 22:10 24:21 25:13 27:15 28:14,19,25 29:7,13 30:18 38:4 41:4 construction 7:15 8:1, 6,11 contest 43:19 continued 36:10 contractor 16:15 30:7, 9 contractors 7:15 control 35:1 coordinating 35:15 Coordinator 34:24 38:6 coronavirus 42:21 correct 10:10,13 15:11 33:11 corrected 34:19 cost 40:10 costs 40:11 count 10:8 couple 12:15 Crabtree 4:5,6 8:20 10:5,11,22,25 11:3,19 14:24 15:1,9,12,22 16:3 17:9,16,21 21:13 22:7,9 27:6 29:9 32:13,17,19 33:7 35:23 36:3 38:21 craft 15:16 16:9 17:25 18:17 19:4,16,25 27:10 28:20 36:6 37:2,7,8 crafts 19:8 36:10,21 crane 23:17 28:6 crew 35:15 current 22:20 32:9``` | data 7:13 8:16 12:19 36:12 <br> date 42:11 <br> dates 42:10 <br> day 20:18 40:13 <br> deal 10:15 <br> debating 38:2 <br> decade 37:10 <br> December 43:6,7 <br> decide 13:6 <br> declaration 5:9 <br> decrease 28:11 <br> decreased 29:21 <br> defer 33:18 <br> defined 24:3 <br> definition 26:14 <br> delved 11:7 <br> detail 14:18 <br> deviate 21:14 <br> difference 13:22 14:11 35:12 <br> differently 26:18 34:25 <br> difficult 20:25 40:16 <br> disagreements 19:2 <br> disclose 4:20 <br> discussed 28:12 <br> discussion 5:24 6:19 <br> 7:5,8 17:12,18 19:14,23 <br> 35:20 39:7,22 41:11,21 <br> 44:9 46:4,7 <br> draw 21:15,20 <br> Drill 25:16 <br> Driver 31:1 <br> drop 15:20 <br> E <br> earlier 5:18 6:13 <br> eat 40:13 | effect 44:1 <br> eighth-grade 41:9 <br> Electrician 26:2 <br> email 8:23 <br> emergency 5:1,4 <br> employee 40:6 <br> encourage 40:1 <br> end 17:20 27:14 40:13 <br> 43:7 46:17 <br> ended 10:3 17:5 <br> entry-level 37:15 <br> environment 42:20 <br> equivalent 19:7 <br> established 43:9 45:9 <br> Euc 37:12 <br> event 4:25 <br> evolving 34:25 <br> Excel 7:17 9:24 34:15, 17 <br> exclusively 12:9 <br> Excuse 36:2 <br> exits 5:3 <br> expect 5:6 <br> explain 14:17 31:16 <br> extent 40:2 <br> extraordinarily 29:1 <br> F <br> factory $23: 10$ <br> fairly $27: 18$ <br> fall $28: 9$ <br> falls 25:22 <br> familiar 9:24 <br> families 23:8 <br> family $40: 12$ <br> fast 33:17 <br> favor 6:2,22 42:1 46:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| protest 43:23 | reason 27:3 32:2 35:17 | roll 4:4 | skew 9:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| provide 23:8 40:9 | 41:3 44:16 | room 40:18 | skewed 14:15 30:7 |
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| puts 13:17 19:8 35:9 | redo 13:20 | S | 25:4,9 26:5 27:14 28:1 |
| putting 35:3,15 | regional 45:12 |  | 32:4 |
| puzzles 37:1 | regulation | safety 35:1 | solid 27:3 41:7 |
| PW 12.21 | Reinforcing 27:16 | salaries 14:9 | speak 20:17 |
| PW 12:21 | Reinforcing 27:16 | salary $14: 7$ | speaks 20:25 |
| Q | renaming 16:11 | satisfactory 30:13 | spent 41:7 |
| question 9:2 20:11 | REPORTER 15:3 36:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { satisfy } 13: 7,10,1218: 8 \\ & 27: 21,23 \end{aligned}$ | spreadsheet 7:18 <br> 11:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 1534: 23 \text { 43:1,2,8 } \\ & 44: 17 \end{aligned}$ | represents 20:19 | scale 22:19,22 29:3 | staff 5:1,2 |
| questioned 8:25 | requirement 44:8 | scared 11:1 | stand $34: 18$ |
| questions 16:6 | requires 44:3,6 | Scott 4:9 20:12 37:3 | standard 21:19 |
| quibbling 21:18 | response 5:25 6:3,6, | screen 33:21,23 | standards 11:13 |
| quickly 39:8 | 18:18 19:3,15,24 27:25 | send 11:23 | start 4:4,15 6:9 7:4 |
|  | 42:2,5,15 45:22 46:8, | sense 25:1 | 26:16 |
| R | 11,14 | September 7:16 | started 10:3,4 14:20 |
|  | responses 7:14,19,23 <br> 8.2,9 9:3,6 11:8 12.2.5, | set 16:10,12 | Starwalt 37:5 42:25 |
| raise 5:1 34:2 | 7,13,14 13:12 14:6,16 | shake $36: 1$ | 44:11 45:3,11,15 |
| raising 20:20,22 | 15:10,13,17 18:1,18,22 | shak | state 37:10 |
| range 13:19 15:19 18:9 | 19:17 20:1,13,15 21:3, | share 11:19 | states 22:15 |
| 25:8 27:24 34:3 | 24:16 25:3,17,23 26:3, | sheet 16:23 41:3 | statute 26:15 27:4 |
| ranges 26:8 | 15,22 27:11,17 28:7,16, | show 13:20 | 44:2,6,24 |
| rate 10:7 12:10,22,23, | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \text { 29:12,16,20 30:6,16, } \\ & 2231: 10,20 \end{aligned}$ | showing 13:22 39:16 | stay 22:21 23:6 26:8 |
| 24 13:10,11,15,16,24 |  | side 9:15 13:21 18:3 | 32:24 39:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 21 \text { 16:10,13,17,18, } \\ & 19,21 \text { 17:2 18:2,23,25 } \end{aligned}$ | rest 39:6 | sign 6:5 42:4 | stayed 32:1 |
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