Table D-1a. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County

Number and Estimated Cost—Five Year Period July 2001 through June 2006

County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita	2000 Population
Anderson	106	$165,878,148	0.8%	$ 2,326	71,330
Bedford	66	191,461,000	0.9%	$ 5,094	37,586
Benton	13	4,607,164	0.0%	$ 279	16,537
Bledsoe	32	86,295,000	0.4%	$ 6,978	12,367
Blount	117	246,281,235	1.2%	$ 2,327	105,823
Bradley	125	242,454,900	1.2%	$ 2,756	87,965
Campbell	54	94,311,772	0.5%	$ 2,366	39,854
Cannon	28	27,911,236	0.1%	$ 2,176	12,826
Carroll	40	32,528,148	0.2%	$ 1,104	29,475
Carter	65	84,137,500	0.4%	$ 1,483	56,742
Cheatham	64	132,355,500	0.6%	$ 3,686	35,912
Chester	25	38,982,600	0.2%	$ 2,509	15,540
Claiborne	39	294,477,327	1.4%	$ 9,861	29,862
Clay	12	46,480,000	0.2%	$ 5,827	7,976
Cocke	29	94,203,756	0.5%	$ 2,807	33,565
Coffee	81	183,047,200	0.9%	$ 3,812	48,014
Crockett	14	13,415,000	0.1%	$ 923	14,532
Cumberland	54	199,079,351	1.0%	$ 4,254	46,802
Davidson	569	2,999,706,130	14.7%	$ 5,264	569,891
Decatur	34	61,499,137	0.3%	$ 5,242	11,731
DeKalb	22	78,320,782	0.4%	$ 4,495	17,423
Dickson	56	386,141,150	1.9%	$ 8,948	43,156
Dyer	36	87,840,201	0.4%	$ 2,356	37,279
Fayette	26	47,716,700	0.2%	$ 1,656	28,806
Fentress	22	135,100,512	0.7%	$ 8,126	16,625
Franklin	44	370,573,145	1.8%	$ 9,437	39,270
Gibson	52	108,261,000	0.5%	$ 2,248	48,152
Giles	34	70,486,830	0.3%	$ 2,394	29,447
Grainger	30	52,145,560	0.3%	$ 2,524	20,659
Greene	81	137,614,525	0.7%	$ 2,188	62,909
Grundy	30	29,882,800	0.1%	$ 2,085	14,332
Hamblen	63	206,848,058	1.0%	$ 3,558	58,128
Hamilton	215	939,328,305	4.6%	$ 3,051	307,896
Hancock	22	8,634,500	0.0%	$ 1,272	6,786

Table D-1a (continued)

County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita	2000 Population
Hardeman	64	198,703,000	1.0%	$ 7,070	28,105
Hardin	43	102,662,087	0.5%	$ 4,014	25,578
Hawkins	83	122,509,028	0.6%	$ 2,287	53,563
Haywood	32	40,741,500	0.2%	$ 2,058	19,797
Henderson	53	128,897,873	0.6%	$ 5,050	25,522
Henry	23	50,349,000	0.2%	$ 1,618	31,115
Hickman	21	165,585,000	0.8%	$ 7,427	22,295
Houston	26	62,172,000	0.3%	$ 7,687	8,088
Humphreys	37	119,145,000	0.6%	$ 6,645	17,929
Jackson	25	13,573,800	0.1%	$ 1,236	10,984
Jefferson	52	61,931,041	0.3%	$ 1,398	44,294
Johnson	39	36,881,776	0.2%	$ 2,108	17,499
Knox	320	1,829,983,882	8.9%	$ 4,790	382,032
Lake	8	2,536,000	0.0%	$ 319	7,954
Lauderdale	8	10,138,000	0.0%	$ 374	27,101
Lawrence	59	63,751,030	0.3%	$ 1,597	39,926
Lewis	19	17,724,000	0.1%	$ 1,559	11,367
Lincoln	45	61,935,200	0.3%	$ 1,976	31,340
Loudon	64	223,092,225	1.1%	$ 5,708	39,086
McMinn	66	171,130,100	0.8%	$ 3,491	49,015
McNairy	83	132,295,640	0.6%	$ 5,366	24,653
Macon	28	75,792,500	0.4%	$ 3,718	20,386
Madison	148	241,443,488	1.2%	$ 2,629	91,837
Marion	56	100,579,840	0.5%	$ 3,621	27,776
Marshall	73	86,337,831	0.4%	$ 3,226	26,767
Maury	74	142,003,945	0.7%	$ 2,043	69,498
Meigs	19	68,575,000	0.3%	$ 6,186	11,086
Monroe	51	83,899,584	0.4%	$ 2,153	38,961
Montgomery	108	315,817,868	1.5%	$ 2,343	134,768
Moore	7	21,000,000	0.1%	$ 3,659	5,740
Morgan	31	155,535,000	0.8%	$ 7,872	19,757
Obion	34	34,885,000	0.2%	$ 1,075	32,450
Overton	23	29,260,662	0.1%	$ 1,454	20,118
Perry	17	17,790,000	0.1%	$ 2,331	7,631

Table D-1a (continued)

County	Number of Projects or Schools	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total	Cost Per Capita	2000 Population
Pickett	14	14,530,000	0.1%	$ 2,938	4,945
Polk	27	302,114,250	1.5%	$ 18,823	16,050
Putnam	79	211,154,233	1.0%	$ 3,388	62,315
Rhea	24	33,436,200	0.2%	$ 1,177	28,400
Roane	73	117,730,500	0.6%	$ 2,268	51,910
Robertson	77	229,421,940	1.1%	$ 4,215	54,433
Rutherford	190	953,181,332	4.7%	$ 5,237	182,023
Scott	27	46,427,240	0.2%	$ 2,198	21,127
Sequatchie	17	60,810,750	0.3%	$ 5,348	11,370
Sevier	112	254,413,967	1.2%	$ 3,575	71,170
Shelby	571	2,246,996,230	11.0%	$ 2,504	897,472
Smith	46	53,802,545	0.3%	$ 3,038	17,712
Stewart	26	165,198,000	0.8%	$ 13,355	12,370
Sullivan	220	342,153,462	1.7%	$ 2,236	153,048
Sumner	157	502,356,774	2.5%	$ 3,851	130,449
Tipton	24	25,523,973	0.1%	$ 498	51,271
Trousdale	16	20,880,000	0.1%	$ 2,876	7,259
Unicoi	52	45,971,910	0.2%	$ 2,602	17,667
Union	21	85,650,000	0.4%	$ 4,810	17,808
Van Buren	15	31,415,000	0.2%	$ 5,704	5,508
Warren	46	193,173,970	0.9%	$ 5,047	38,276
Washington	124	326,256,224	1.6%	$ 3,043	107,198
Wayne	39	40,926,272	0.2%	$ 2,430	16,842
Weakley	26	24,317,000	0.1%	$ 697	34,895
White	20	37,125,000	0.2%	$ 1,607	23,102
Williamson	205	652,662,057	3.2%	$ 5,154	126,638
Wilson	60	437,222,000	2.1%	$ 4,923	88,809
Regional	18	109,732,617	0.5%	$ 19	5,689,283
Statewide	**6,465**	**$20,451,247,518**	**100.0%**	**$ 3,595**	**5,689,283**

Table D-1b. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost -- Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	31	32.6%	$ 26.1	16.6%	32	33.7%	$ 31.7	20.1%	32	33.7%	$ 100.0	63.3%
Bedford	31	56.4%	101.2	61.2%	22	40.0%	63.7	38.5%	2	3.6%	0.4	0.2%
Benton	3	50.0%	1.3	32.8%	3	50.0%	2.6	67.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bledsoe	21	72.4%	45.6	54.9%	4	13.8%	15.8	19.1%	4	13.8%	21.6	26.0%
Blount	43	43.0%	111.9	45.9%	29	29.0%	70.2	28.8%	28	28.0%	61.7	25.3%
Bradley	31	30.7%	53.2	26.7%	60	59.4%	127.9	64.2%	10	9.9%	18.2	9.1%
Campbell	23	45.1%	41.9	51.0%	20	39.2%	25.3	30.8%	8	15.7%	15.0	18.2%
Cannon	6	28.6%	3.4	21.3%	5	23.8%	7.4	46.7%	10	47.6%	5.1	32.0%
Carroll	10	37.0%	13.6	47.6%	12	44.4%	6.8	23.9%	5	18.5%	8.1	28.5%
Carter	34	57.6%	43.8	52.1%	19	32.2%	26.0	31.0%	6	10.2%	14.2	16.9%
Cheatham	32	62.7%	76.9	58.4%	12	23.5%	34.7	26.3%	7	13.7%	20.2	15.3%
Chester	7	33.3%	4.0	10.4%	10	47.6%	14.0	36.1%	4	19.0%	20.7	53.5%
Claiborne	15	41.7%	22.6	7.7%	14	38.9%	45.6	15.5%	7	19.4%	225.8	76.8%
Clay	2	22.2%	1.2	2.9%	4	44.4%	31.2	74.2%	3	33.3%	9.6	22.9%
Cocke	12	54.5%	28.1	37.8%	5	22.7%	6.0	8.1%	5	22.7%	40.2	54.1%
Coffee	30	46.2%	77.7	45.3%	27	41.5%	33.5	19.5%	8	12.3%	60.2	35.1%
Crockett	3	27.3%	3.3	24.8%	3	27.3%	1.0	7.5%	5	45.5%	8.9	67.7%
Cumberland	32	71.1%	58.2	30.1%	6	13.3%	58.8	30.4%	7	15.6%	76.6	39.6%
Davidson	130	29.5%	471.8	17.4%	129	29.3%	617.6	22.7%	181	41.1%	1,627.2	59.9%
Decatur	17	54.8%	17.2	28.0%	10	32.3%	36.7	59.8%	4	12.9%	7.5	12.2%
DeKalb	7	38.9%	5.5	7.2%	2	11.1%	26.2	34.0%	9	50.0%	45.3	58.8%
Dickson	39	72.2%	339.2	88.0%	13	24.1%	23.1	6.0%	2	3.7%	23.4	6.1%
Dyer	12	50.0%	26.6	32.7%	7	29.2%	20.2	24.9%	5	20.8%	34.5	42.4%
Fayette	11	55.0%	19.1	40.2%	8	40.0%	27.1	57.1%	1	5.0%	1.3	2.7%
Fentress	15	83.3%	30.9	23.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	102.3	76.8%
Franklin	19	48.7%	57.1	15.5%	15	38.5%	307.3	83.5%	5	12.8%	3.6	1.0%

Table D-1b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Gibson	20	54.1%	20.3	20.2%	14	37.8%	74.3	74.1%	3	8.1%	5.7	5.7%
Giles	16	47.1%	39.3	55.7%	14	41.2%	30.8	43.7%	4	11.8%	0.5	0.7%
Grainger	13	46.4%	39.5	77.4%	5	17.9%	4.4	8.6%	10	35.7%	7.2	14.0%
Greene	38	64.4%	70.7	81.5%	17	28.8%	12.7	14.7%	4	6.8%	3.3	3.8%
Grundy	13	56.5%	16.9	73.9%	7	30.4%	3.7	16.0%	3	13.0%	2.3	10.0%
Hamblen	15	34.9%	71.3	34.9%	21	48.8%	94.3	46.2%	7	16.3%	38.5	18.9%
Hamilton	51	37.0%	209.5	23.4%	71	51.4%	643.6	71.9%	16	11.6%	41.7	4.7%
Hancock	9	50.0%	2.8	39.3%	3	16.7%	0.6	8.8%	6	33.3%	3.7	51.9%
Hardeman	29	52.7%	128.3	64.8%	20	36.4%	68.1	34.4%	6	10.9%	1.7	0.9%
Hardin	14	40.0%	4.7	4.7%	15	42.9%	74.9	74.5%	6	17.1%	20.8	20.7%
Hawkins	41	60.3%	79.0	71.1%	21	30.9%	22.0	19.8%	6	8.8%	10.2	9.2%
Haywood	12	42.9%	5.5	14.9%	11	39.3%	22.2	59.8%	5	17.9%	9.4	25.3%
Henderson	20	42.6%	38.0	29.8%	17	36.2%	48.5	38.1%	10	21.3%	41.0	32.2%
Henry	8	47.1%	35.0	74.8%	5	29.4%	6.3	13.4%	4	23.5%	5.5	11.9%
Hickman	15	71.4%	158.7	95.8%	3	14.3%	4.1	2.5%	3	14.3%	2.8	1.7%
Houston	16	66.7%	56.9	91.8%	5	20.8%	1.9	3.0%	3	12.5%	3.2	5.2%
Humphreys	22	71.0%	87.9	74.1%	6	19.4%	25.4	21.4%	3	9.7%	5.3	4.5%
Jackson	13	61.9%	6.9	64.6%	3	14.3%	1.9	17.4%	5	23.8%	1.9	18.1%
Jefferson	23	46.0%	29.4	47.7%	16	32.0%	16.5	26.8%	11	22.0%	15.7	25.5%
Johnson	19	61.3%	16.4	48.4%	9	29.0%	13.7	40.4%	3	9.7%	3.8	11.2%
Knox	106	45.3%	526.8	35.5%	65	27.8%	475.0	32.0%	63	26.9%	481.0	32.4%
Lake	3	60.0%	1.0	41.7%	1	20.0%	0.1	5.7%	1	20.0%	1.2	52.6%
Lauderdale	4	50.0%	3.9	38.9%	4	50.0%	6.2	61.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	23	46.9%	29.6	47.4%	20	40.8%	29.1	46.7%	6	12.2%	3.7	5.9%
Lewis	14	73.7%	14.6	82.1%	3	15.8%	3.0	16.6%	2	10.5%	0.2	1.3%
Lincoln	24	58.5%	15.4	25.8%	8	19.5%	13.2	22.0%	9	22.0%	31.2	52.2%
Loudon	29	49.2%	48.9	22.0%	17	28.8%	123.0	55.2%	13	22.0%	50.8	22.8%

Table D-1b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
McMinn	33	61.1%	91.2	58.5%	11	20.4%	50.4	32.3%	10	18.5%	14.5	9.3%
McNairy	39	51.3%	11.7	8.9%	22	28.9%	76.8	58.3%	15	19.7%	43.3	32.9%
Macon	5	25.0%	19.3	26.2%	4	20.0%	28.2	38.4%	11	55.0%	26.0	35.3%
Madison	74	59.7%	101.7	43.2%	36	29.0%	96.4	40.9%	14	11.3%	37.3	15.8%
Marion	26	56.5%	34.2	40.6%	11	23.9%	7.7	9.1%	9	19.6%	42.4	50.3%
Marshall	27	41.5%	44.5	52.9%	26	40.0%	18.5	22.0%	12	18.5%	21.1	25.1%
Maury	32	44.4%	68.2	48.1%	27	37.5%	29.5	20.8%	13	18.1%	44.1	31.1%
Meigs	8	53.3%	12.9	19.0%	3	20.0%	8.1	12.0%	4	26.7%	46.6	69.0%
Monroe	11	28.9%	11.8	14.5%	16	42.1%	19.5	24.0%	11	28.9%	50.1	61.5%
Montgomery	18	19.1%	76.8	26.5%	22	23.4%	51.2	17.7%	54	57.4%	161.9	55.9%
Moore	6	85.7%	20.9	99.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0.2	0.7%
Morgan	13	48.1%	21.0	13.7%	7	25.9%	123.1	80.3%	7	25.9%	9.3	6.1%
Obion	15	62.5%	23.9	72.7%	8	33.3%	8.4	25.6%	1	4.2%	0.6	1.7%
Overton	7	46.7%	8.0	36.6%	4	26.7%	10.2	46.9%	4	26.7%	3.6	16.6%
Perry	8	57.1%	6.3	43.9%	2	14.3%	0.6	4.1%	4	28.6%	7.4	51.9%
Pickett	6	50.0%	2.3	16.3%	2	16.7%	5.3	37.3%	4	33.3%	6.6	46.4%
Polk	14	66.7%	286.7	95.8%	5	23.8%	3.1	1.0%	2	9.5%	9.5	3.2%
Putnam	53	79.1%	147.2	73.9%	3	4.5%	3.4	1.7%	11	16.4%	48.6	24.4%
Rhea	10	52.6%	18.0	62.4%	4	21.1%	3.0	10.2%	5	26.3%	7.9	27.4%
Roane	28	45.9%	40.0	36.4%	22	36.1%	40.4	36.7%	11	18.0%	29.5	26.9%
Robertson	36	47.4%	173.3	75.5%	23	30.3%	37.7	16.4%	17	22.4%	18.4	8.0%
Rutherford	76	46.9%	542.9	58.1%	52	32.1%	261.3	28.0%	34	21.0%	130.0	13.9%
Scott	6	31.6%	10.9	28.4%	4	21.1%	4.2	11.0%	9	47.4%	23.3	60.6%
Sequatchie	6	42.9%	2.6	4.4%	6	42.9%	6.7	11.1%	2	14.3%	50.6	84.5%
Sevier	50	51.5%	100.7	40.9%	31	32.0%	91.2	37.1%	16	16.5%	54.0	22.0%
Shelby	95	25.6%	304.3	18.9%	207	55.8%	819.0	51.0%	69	18.6%	483.2	30.1%
Smith	18	47.4%	11.2	22.3%	9	23.7%	13.7	27.2%	11	28.9%	25.4	50.5%

Table D-1b. (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Stewart	13	56.5%	120.1	83.0%	5	21.7%	7.5	5.2%	5	21.7%	17.2	11.9%
Sullivan	88	51.5%	110.3	38.3%	61	35.7%	123.8	43.0%	22	12.9%	54.0	18.7%
Sumner	68	54.8%	277.6	56.7%	32	25.8%	138.0	28.2%	24	19.4%	73.8	15.1%
Tipton	7	63.6%	21.2	87.4%	3	27.3%	1.7	6.8%	1	9.1%	1.4	5.8%
Trousdale	11	78.6%	14.8	72.2%	3	21.4%	5.7	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	31	67.4%	21.1	47.5%	12	26.1%	22.8	51.2%	3	6.5%	0.6	1.3%
Union	9	47.4%	10.1	11.9%	6	31.6%	58.7	69.0%	4	21.1%	16.3	19.1%
Van Buren	8	57.1%	12.6	40.0%	4	28.6%	18.7	59.5%	2	14.3%	0.2	0.5%
Warren	11	30.6%	10.7	5.7%	18	50.0%	68.1	36.2%	7	19.4%	109.5	58.2%
Washington	54	53.5%	146.3	45.6%	33	32.7%	76.5	23.8%	14	13.9%	98.3	30.6%
Wayne	11	35.5%	11.6	34.0%	13	41.9%	8.7	25.6%	7	22.6%	13.7	40.3%
Weakley	17	89.5%	17.7	76.6%	2	10.5%	5.4	23.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	9	52.9%	23.8	64.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	47.1%	13.0	35.3%
Williamson	78	45.3%	336.1	55.9%	47	27.3%	157.1	26.1%	47	27.3%	108.4	18.0%
Wilson	27	57.4%	262.7	60.5%	11	23.4%	63.2	14.6%	9	19.1%	108.3	24.9%
Regional	18	100.0%	109.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	2,406	46.4%	$ 7,136.9	38.5%	1,689	32.6%	$ 6,052.9	32.6%	1,087	21.0%	$ 5,353.7	28.9%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*—Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	9	$ 55,228,000	0.8%	98.1%	$ 774
Bedford	11	48,020,000	0.7%	0.0%	$ 1,278
Bledsoe	5	45,250,000	0.6%	88.4%	$ 3,659
Blount	32	54,249,000	0.8%	64.8%	$ 513
Bradley	38	79,123,000	1.1%	42.1%	$ 899
Campbell	10	36,005,000	0.5%	0.0%	$ 903
Cannon	4	3,650,000	0.1%	72.6%	$ 285
Carroll	1	400,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 14
Carter	13	28,310,000	0.4%	82.7%	$ 499
Cheatham	15	83,230,000	1.2%	0.8%	$ 2,318
Chester	5	21,675,600	0.3%	73.4%	$ 1,395
Claiborne	8	230,995,000	3.2%	0.7%	$ 7,735
Clay	3	37,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	$ 4,639
Cocke	3	11,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 343
Coffee	8	48,890,000	0.7%	2.8%	$ 1,018
Cumberland	11	69,870,000	1.0%	100.0%	$ 1,493
Davidson	114	646,537,000	9.1%	99.9%	$ 1,134
Decatur	7	33,450,137	0.5%	0.0%	$ 2,851
DeKalb	3	59,000,000	0.8%	100.0%	$ 3,386
Dickson	26	293,050,000	4.1%	0.0%	$ 6,790
Dyer	1	2,000,000	0.0%	100.0%	$ 54
Fentress	4	118,500,000	1.7%	100.0%	$ 7,128
Franklin	3	2,450,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 62
Gibson	6	67,653,000	0.9%	90.9%	$ 1,405
Giles	6	12,700,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 431
Grainger	1	3,000,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 145
Greene	6	18,260,000	0.3%	0.0%	$ 290
Grundy	1	1,350,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 94
Hamblen	11	70,682,856	1.0%	26.7%	$ 1,216
Hamilton	64	262,924,401	3.7%	87.2%	$ 854
Hancock	3	650,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 96
Hardeman	18	143,847,000	2.0%	64.7%	$ 5,118
Hardin	8	83,287,487	1.2%	0.0%	$ 3,256
Hawkins	18	33,862,500	0.5%	0.0%	$ 632

Table D-2a. (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Haywood	7	22,170,000	0.3%	31.9%	$ 1,120
Henderson	14	94,175,873	1.3%	41.6%	$ 3,690
Henry	3	2,800,000	0.0%	1.8%	$ 90
Hickman	3	122,000,000	1.7%	0.0%	$ 5,472
Houston	5	48,275,000	0.7%	0.0%	$ 5,969
Humphreys	9	100,475,000	1.4%	0.0%	$ 5,604
Jackson	6	1,200,000	0.0%	91.7%	$ 109
Jefferson	5	17,275,000	0.2%	58.9%	$ 390
Johnson	5	3,227,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 184
Knox	52	174,470,278	2.4%	94.0%	$ 457
Lawrence	13	13,068,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 327
Lewis	3	2,350,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 207
Lincoln	5	4,325,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 138
Loudon	13	120,543,685	1.7%	1.1%	$ 3,084
McMinn	8	111,975,000	1.6%	40.6%	$ 2,285
McNairy	16	107,473,640	1.5%	42.5%	$ 4,359
Macon	5	36,500,000	0.5%	100.0%	$ 1,790
Madison	30	100,138,635	1.4%	60.6%	$ 1,090
Marion	4	15,425,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 555
Marshall	7	5,489,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 205
Maury	10	15,901,245	0.2%	95.4%	$ 229
Meigs	3	56,000,000	0.8%	15.2%	$ 5,051
Monroe	4	37,605,000	0.5%	0.3%	$ 965
Montgomery	20	49,386,200	0.7%	100.0%	$ 366
Morgan	4	120,325,000	1.7%	0.0%	$ 6,090
Overton	6	13,250,000	0.2%	52.8%	$ 659
Perry	3	6,920,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 907
Pickett	3	4,850,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 981
Polk	1	280,000,000	3.9%	0.0%	$ 17,445
Putnam	18	121,525,000	1.7%	99.9%	$ 1,950
Rhea	1	300,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 11
Roane	4	2,830,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 55
Robertson	13	115,895,000	1.6%	3.3%	$ 2,129
Rutherford	44	168,407,233	2.4%	62.7%	$ 925

Table D-2a. (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Scott	1	4,000,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 189
Sequatchie	2	50,325,000	0.7%	0.0%	$ 4,426
Sevier	24	75,631,300	1.1%	77.1%	$ 1,063
Shelby	118	762,615,579	10.7%	98.3%	$ 850
Smith	10	17,500,000	0.2%	100.0%	$ 988
Stewart	6	117,214,000	1.6%	0.0%	$ 9,476
Sullivan	54	95,466,000	1.3%	99.4%	$ 624
Sumner	47	299,705,289	4.2%	0.0%	$ 2,297
Trousdale	1	3,200,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 441
Unicoi	7	15,085,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 854
Union	3	49,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	$ 2,752
Van Buren	3	10,700,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 1,943
Warren	9	54,930,000	0.8%	100.0%	$ 1,435
Washington	15	78,320,000	1.1%	97.0%	$ 731
Wayne	4	8,282,736	0.1%	0.0%	$ 492
Weakley	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 2
White	4	10,800,000	0.2%	95.4%	$ 467
Williamson	52	338,699,500	4.7%	45.1%	$ 2,675
Wilson	22	331,400,000	4.6%	16.4%	$ 3,732
Regional	10	9,015,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 2
Statewide	**1,216**	**$ 7,135,115,174**	**100.0%**	**49.8%**	**$ 1,254**

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
** Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost -- Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006*

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	11.1%	$ 1.0	1.8%	4	44.4%	$ 1.7	3.0%	4	44.4%	$ 52.6	95.2%
Bedford	7	63.6%	44.5	92.6%	3	27.3%	3.5	7.3%	1	9.1%	0.1	0.1%
Bledsoe	2	40.0%	14.0	30.9%	1	20.0%	15.0	33.1%	2	40.0%	16.3	35.9%
Blount	21	65.6%	33.0	60.8%	8	25.0%	13.2	24.4%	3	9.4%	8.1	14.8%
Bradley	4	10.5%	5.4	6.8%	33	86.8%	73.6	93.0%	1	2.6%	0.2	0.2%
Campbell	6	60.0%	26.2	72.8%	3	30.0%	6.3	17.4%	1	10.0%	3.5	9.7%
Cannon	1	25.0%	1.0	27.4%	1	25.0%	0.3	8.2%	2	50.0%	2.4	64.4%
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%
Carter	5	38.5%	6.2	21.7%	7	53.8%	21.9	77.2%	1	7.7%	0.3	1.1%
Cheatham	8	53.3%	51.3	61.6%	5	33.3%	23.5	28.2%	2	13.3%	8.5	10.2%
Chester	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	5.8	26.6%	1	20.0%	15.9	73.4%
Claiborne	1	12.5%	0.3	0.1%	5	62.5%	14.2	6.2%	2	25.0%	216.5	93.7%
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	30.0	81.1%	1	33.3%	7.0	18.9%
Cocke	2	66.7%	6.5	56.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	5.0	43.5%
Coffee	5	62.5%	3.5	7.2%	2	25.0%	0.4	0.8%	1	12.5%	45.0	92.0%
Cumberland	4	36.4%	13.3	19.0%	4	36.4%	38.5	55.1%	3	27.3%	18.1	25.9%
Davidson	25	21.9%	130.5	20.2%	40	35.1%	269.8	41.7%	49	43.0%	246.2	38.1%
Decatur	1	14.3%	0.7	2.1%	3	42.9%	25.9	77.4%	3	42.9%	6.9	20.5%
DeKalb	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	25.0	42.4%	2	66.7%	34.0	57.6%
Dickson	25	96.2%	290.6	99.1%	1	3.8%	2.5	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dyer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%
Fentress	2	50.0%	16.5	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	102.0	86.1%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2.0	81.6%	2	66.7%	0.5	18.4%
Gibson	2	33.3%	5.2	7.7%	2	33.3%	57.5	85.0%	2	33.3%	5.0	7.3%

Table D-2b. (continued)

County	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Giles	3	50.0%	0.8	6.3%	3	50.0%	11.9	93.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%
Greene	3	50.0%	16.6	90.6%	3	50.0%	1.7	9.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grundy	1	100.0%	1.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamblen	1	9.1%	3.0	4.2%	9	81.8%	64.7	91.5%	1	9.1%	3.0	4.2%
Hamilton	14	21.9%	73.2	27.8%	47	73.4%	183.9	69.9%	3	4.7%	5.9	2.2%
Hancock	2	66.7%	0.5	69.2%	1	33.3%	0.2	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hardeman	5	27.8%	78.8	54.7%	12	66.7%	64.7	45.0%	1	5.6%	0.4	0.2%
Hardin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	64.1	77.0%	2	25.0%	19.2	23.0%
Hawkins	10	55.6%	16.6	49.2%	6	33.3%	9.0	26.6%	2	11.1%	8.2	24.2%
Haywood	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	18.2	82.0%	1	14.3%	4.0	18.0%
Henderson	1	7.1%	23.0	24.4%	9	64.3%	45.1	47.9%	4	28.6%	26.1	27.7%
Henry	2	66.7%	2.8	98.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	1.8%
Hickman	3	100.0%	122.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Houston	5	100.0%	48.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humphreys	6	66.7%	77.0	76.6%	2	22.2%	20.9	20.8%	1	11.1%	2.6	2.6%
Jackson	4	66.7%	0.2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1.0	83.3%
Jefferson	2	40.0%	1.2	6.8%	2	40.0%	10.4	60.2%	1	20.0%	5.7	33.0%
Johnson	3	60.0%	2.7	84.3%	2	40.0%	0.5	15.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	16	30.8%	44.8	25.7%	20	38.5%	85.8	49.2%	16	30.8%	43.8	25.1%
Lawrence	7	53.8%	4.3	32.9%	4	30.8%	7.2	55.2%	2	15.4%	1.6	11.9%
Lewis	2	66.7%	2.3	95.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	4.3%
Lincoln	1	20.0%	1.6	37.0%	2	40.0%	1.5	35.3%	2	40.0%	1.2	27.7%
Loudon	5	38.5%	12.0	9.9%	7	53.8%	107.1	88.8%	1	7.7%	1.5	1.2%
McMinn	4	50.0%	68.3	61.0%	2	25.0%	43.0	38.4%	2	25.0%	0.7	0.6%

Table D-2b. (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
McNairy	3	18.8%	0.3	0.3%	6	37.5%	68.8	64.0%	7	43.8%	38.4	35.7%
Macon	1	20.0%	3.0	8.2%	1	20.0%	25.0	68.5%	3	60.0%	8.5	23.3%
Madison	1	3.3%	0.1	0.1%	22	73.3%	76.6	76.5%	7	23.3%	23.5	23.5%
Marion	3	75.0%	0.4	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	15.0	97.2%
Marshall	3	42.9%	0.4	7.1%	2	28.6%	1.5	27.3%	2	28.6%	3.6	65.6%
Maury	3	30.0%	4.2	26.1%	6	60.0%	10.9	68.3%	1	10.0%	0.9	5.6%
Meigs	1	33.3%	8.5	15.2%	1	33.3%	7.5	13.4%	1	33.3%	40.0	71.4%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2.6	6.9%	2	50.0%	35.0	93.1%
Montgomery	1	5.0%	0.4	0.8%	4	20.0%	17.8	35.9%	15	75.0%	31.3	63.3%
Morgan	1	25.0%	0.1	0.1%	2	50.0%	120.0	99.7%	1	25.0%	0.2	0.2%
Overton	3	50.0%	3.8	28.3%	2	33.3%	8.5	64.2%	1	16.7%	1.0	7.5%
Perry	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.5	7.9%	2	66.7%	6.4	92.1%
Pickett	2	66.7%	0.9	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	4.0	82.5%
Polk	1	100.0%	280.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	14	77.8%	115.0	94.7%	1	5.6%	3.0	2.5%	3	16.7%	3.5	2.9%
Rhea	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	1	25.0%	0.3	10.6%	2	50.0%	1.9	66.4%	1	25.0%	0.7	23.0%
Robertson	8	61.5%	106.5	91.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	9.4	8.1%
Rutherford	12	27.3%	52.9	31.4%	19	43.2%	73.7	43.8%	13	29.5%	41.8	24.8%
Scott	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.0	100.0%
Sequatchie	1	50.0%	0.3	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	50.0	99.4%
Sevier	9	37.5%	11.2	14.8%	10	41.7%	35.6	47.1%	5	20.8%	28.8	38.1%
Shelby	10	8.5%	8.3	1.1%	84	71.2%	520.5	68.3%	24	20.3%	233.8	30.7%
Smith	8	80.0%	7.0	40.0%	1	10.0%	5.5	31.4%	1	10.0%	5.0	28.6%
Stewart	4	66.7%	112.1	95.7%	1	16.7%	5.0	4.3%	1	16.7%	0.1	0.1%

Table D-2b. (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Sullivan	21	38.9%	15.0	15.7%	27	50.0%	66.6	69.8%	6	11.1%	13.9	14.6%
Sumner	26	55.3%	182.0	60.7%	12	25.5%	102.2	34.1%	9	19.1%	15.5	5.2%
Trousdale	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	5	71.4%	0.6	3.9%	1	14.3%	14.0	92.8%	1	14.3%	0.5	3.3%
Union	1	33.3%	5.0	10.2%	1	33.3%	30.0	61.2%	1	33.3%	14.0	28.6%
VanBuren	1	33.3%	0.4	3.7%	2	66.7%	10.3	96.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	2	22.2%	1.4	2.6%	5	55.6%	47.0	85.6%	2	22.2%	6.5	11.8%
Washington	6	40.0%	36.8	46.9%	7	46.7%	33.3	42.5%	2	13.3%	8.3	10.5%
Wayne	2	50.0%	8.0	96.6%	1	25.0%	0.2	2.3%	1	25.0%	0.1	1.1%
Weakley	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	1	25.0%	0.5	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	10.3	95.4%
Williamson	22	42.3%	195.7	57.8%	14	26.9%	74.0	21.9%	16	30.8%	69.0	20.4%
Wilson	13	59.1%	217.9	65.8%	4	18.2%	32.5	9.8%	5	22.7%	81.0	24.4%
Regional	10	100.0%	9.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	424	34.9%	$ 2,638.7	37.0%	515	42.4%	$ 2,768.6	38.8%	277	22.8%	$ 1,727.8	24.2%

* Only those counties that report projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3a. Other Utility Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*—Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	8	$ 19,375,000	2.3%	100.0%	$ 272
Bedford	1	1,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	$ 40
Bledsoe	2	5,200,000	0.6%	0.0%	$ 420
Blount	7	11,900,000	1.4%	100.0%	$ 112
Chester	1	100,000	0.0%	100.0%	$ 6
Cocke	5	9,170,000	1.1%	0.0%	$ 273
Coffee	7	1,229,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 26
Davidson	1	380,637,000	44.2%	100.0%	$ 668
Decatur	1	1,250,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 107
Fayette	1	1,200,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 42
Franklin	2	276,000,000	32.1%	0.0%	$7,028
Greene	3	1,200,000	0.1%	72.9%	$ 19
Hancock	2	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 111
Hawkins	3	2,835,000	0.3%	70.5%	$ 53
Henderson	2	1,650,000	0.2%	100.0%	$ 65
Houston	1	800,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 99
Jackson	1	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 68
Knox	1	180,000	0.0%	100.0%	$ 0
Lawrence	4	2,774,000	0.3%	0.0%	$ 69
Loudon	1	3,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	$ 77
McNairy	2	1,200,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 49
Marion	1	2,250,000	0.3%	100.0%	$ 81
Meigs	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	$ 23
Monroe	1	2,170,346	0.3%	0.0%	$ 56
Montgomery	8	20,700,000	2.4%	100.0%	$ 154
Putnam	1	1,000,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 16
Roane	4	2,453,000	0.3%	100.0%	$ 47
Robertson	4	3,029,940	0.4%	100.0%	$ 56
Rutherford	4	2,264,685	0.3%	100.0%	$ 12
Sevier	3	43,750,000	5.1%	100.0%	$ 615
Shelby	1	700,000	0.1%	100.0%	$ 1
Stewart	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	$ 162
Sumner	2	585,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 4
Unicoi	3	1,300,000	0.2%	100.0%	$ 74
Washington	4	52,748,000	6.1%	5.2%	$ 492
Wayne	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	$ 33
Wilson	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	$ 23
Statewide	97	$ 860,450,971	100.0%	58.5%	$ 151

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
** Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

TableD-3b. Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006*

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0%	6	75.0%	$ 14.7	75.7%	2	25.0%	$ 4.7	24.3%
Bedford	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bledsoe	1	50.0%	0.2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	5.0	96.2%
Blount	3	42.9%	7.0	58.8%	1	14.3%	2.0	16.8%	3	42.9%	2.9	24.4%
Chester	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cocke	4	80.0%	8.0	86.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1.2	13.1%
Coffee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	380.6	100.0%
Decatur	1	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fayette	1	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	1	50.0%	1.0	0.4%	1	50.0%	275.0	99.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	1	33.3%	0.3	27.1%	2	66.7%	0.9	72.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hancock	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.4	46.7%	1	50.0%	0.4	53.3%
Hawkins	2	66.7%	0.8	29.5%	1	33.3%	2.0	70.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henderson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.7	100.0%
Houston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%
Jackson	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	1	25.0%	0.1	2.8%	1	25.0%	1.0	37.7%	2	50.0%	1.7	59.5%
Loudon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.0	100.0%
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.2	16.7%	1	50.0%	1.0	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.3	100.0%
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monroe	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.2	100.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	20.7	100.0%

Table D-3b. (continued)

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	**Number**		**Cost[in millions]**		**Number**		**Cost[in millions]**		**Number**		**Cost[in millions]**	
Putnam	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Roane	1	25.0%	0.8	30.6%	3	75.0%	1.7	69.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Robertson	1	25.0%	1.3	42.9%	1	25.0%	0.4	12.4%	2	50.0%	1.4	44.7%
Rutherford	3	75.0%	2.0	88.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.3	11.6%
Sevier	2	66.7%	3.8	8.6%	1	33.3%	40.0	91.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stewart	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sumner	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	3	100.0%	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1.6	3.1%	2	50.0%	51.1	96.9%
Wayne	1	50.0%	0.3	54.5%	1	50.0%	0.3	45.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wilson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	34	35.1%	$ 34.6	4.0%	32	33.0%	$ 345.0	40.1%	31	32.0%	$ 480.8	55.9%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4a. Navigation Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP* -- Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Decatur	1	$ 8,000,000	2.6%	0.0%	$ 682
Hamilton	1	300,000,000	97.4%	100.0%	$ 974
Statewide	2	$ 308,000,000	100.0%	97.4%	$ 54

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
** Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4b. Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost -- Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006*

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Decatur	1	100.0%	$ 8.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	300.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide	1	50.0%	$ 8.0	2.6%	1	50.0%	$ 300.0	97.4%	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-5a. Telecommunications Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*—Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Blount	1	$ 150,000	0.9%	100.0%	$ 1
Bradley	1	160,000	1.0%	100.0%	$ 2
Cannon	2	200,000	1.2%	50.0%	$ 16
Carter	1	750,000	4.5%	0.0%	$ 13
Chester	1	100,000	0.6%	100.0%	$ 6
Cumberland	2	500,000	3.0%	100.0%	$ 11
Davidson	2	1,161,000	6.9%	100.0%	$ 2
Dyer	1	500,000	3.0%	0.0%	$ 13
Fentress	2	800,000	4.8%	100.0%	$ 48
Hamblen	1	1,500,000	9.0%	100.0%	$ 26
Haywood	1	140,000	0.8%	0.0%	$ 7
Loudon	1	1,500,000	9.0%	0.0%	$ 38
McNairy	1	66,000	0.4%	100.0%	$ 3
Macon	1	300,000	1.8%	100.0%	$ 15
Montgomery	2	275,000	1.6%	100.0%	$ 2
Overton	1	50,000	0.3%	100.0%	$ 2
Pickett	1	600,000	3.6%	100.0%	$ 121
Putnam	3	700,000	4.2%	100.0%	$ 11
Shelby	3	4,283,675	25.6%	100.0%	$ 5
Smith	4	800,000	4.8%	100.0%	$ 45
Sullivan	1	185,000	1.1%	100.0%	$ 1
Sumner	1	265,000	1.6%	0.0%	$ 2
Warren	4	1,100,000	6.6%	100.0%	$ 29
Washington	1	160,000	1.0%	0.0%	$ 1
White	2	500,000	3.0%	100.0%	$ 22
Statewide	**41**	**$ 16,745,675**	**100.0%**	**79.6%**	**$ 3**

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
** Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

TableD-5b. Telecommunication Projects by County and by Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost--Five-year Period July 2001 through June 2006*

	Conceptual				Planning and Design				Construction			
County	Number		Cost[in millions]		Number		Cost[in millions]		Number		Cost[in millions]	
Blount	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0	0	0.0%	$ 0	0.0%	1	100.0%	$ 0.2	100.0%
Bradley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cannon	1	50.0%	0.1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0.1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Carter	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chester	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	2	100.0%	0.5	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.2	100.0%
Dyer	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fentress	1	50.0%	0.5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	37.5%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haywood	1	100.0%	0.1	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loudon	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McNairy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Macon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%
Montgomery	1	50.0%	0.1	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	81.8%
Overton	1	100.0%	0.1	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pickett	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	2	66.7%	0.3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.4	57.1%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	4.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Smith	3	75.0%	0.6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.2	25.0%
Sullivan	1	100.0%	0.2	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sumner	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	1	50.0%	0.2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	60.0%
Statewide	20	48.8%	$ 6.4	38.2%	12	29.3%	$ 7.3	43.7%	9	22.0%	$ 3.0	18.1%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.