



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992



Designer Recommendations

Project: APSU Browning Hall Mechanical Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,530,000
MACC: 1,320,000
Designer Fee: 116,183
SBC Project No: 166/003-03-2016

Upgrade the building HVAC system. This project is in support of TNCCA.

1. Kurzynske & Associates

All five of the submitted projects were similar. One of the projects was for APSU (Claxton HVAC Replacement) and was very similar. Three of the five projects were for TBR institutions (APSU, TSU and VSCC) – and four of the five were educational projects. The principal worked on all five projects. Their consultants included architectural, structural and environmental

2. Oliver Little Gipson Engineering, Inc.

All five of the submitted projects were similar. Two of the projects were for MSCC and four were for educational institutions. Two of the three principals worked on all five projects. Their consultants included architectural, structural and environmental.

3. I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.

Four of the five submitted projects were similar. All five of the projects were for TBR institutions, including two for APSU and three for TSU. The principal worked on all five projects. Their consultants included architectural, structural and environmental.

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
HNA Engineering, pllc	Arlington
I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Kurzynske & Associates	Nashville
Oliver-Little-Gipson Engineering, Inc.	Nashville
West Welch Reed Engineers, Inc.	Knoxville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

2

Designer Recommendations

Project: ChSCC Instructional Materials Building Roof Replacement
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 500,000
MACC: 440,000
Designer Fee: 42,840
SBC Project No: 166/012-03-2016

Remove old .045 EPDM roof and rock ballast from the Instructional Materials Building (IMC) and replace with a new non ballasted roof system.

1. Upland Design Group, Inc.

The submitted qualifications include four similar roof replacement projects involving EPDM roofs. The firm's proposed principal is a registered roofing consultant. The proposed team has similar project experience and has worked together. Consultants include mechanical, electrical, structural, and environmental.

2. Derthick, Henley & Wilkerson Architects

The submitted qualifications include five similar roof replacement projects. The firm is local and the proposed principal was lead on all the referenced projects. A proposed team member is pursuing roofing consultant certification. Consultants include mechanical and electrical.

3. Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.

The submitted qualifications include five reroof projects with three being EPDM. One of team members is a registered roofing consultant. The team members have worked together and an environmental consultant is included.

A total of 9 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Chattanooga
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
Artech Design Group, Inc.	Chattanooga
Derthick, Henley & Wilkerson Architects	Chattanooga
Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mt. Juliet
Michael Brady, Inc.	Chattanooga
Tim Burney Architects	Chattanooga
TWH Architects, Inc.	Chattanooga
Upland Design Group, Inc.	Crossville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: ChSCC Elevator Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 990,000
MACC: 880,000
Designer Fee: 80,300
SBC Project No: 166/012-02-2016

Modernize and upgrade elevators in several buildings on the main campus

1. Engineering Services Group, Inc.

The firm submitted five projects that demonstrate recent experience completing similar elevator renovation and/or repair projects. Most of the projects are multi-building - multiple elevators in various conditions of disorder. The firm’s experience includes projects at TBR and State facilities. The firm provided detailed upgrade experience and the proposed team has consistently worked together. The firm offers MEP services with consultants listed as structural, architectural, and environmental. The team did not mention an elevator consultant for this project but has employed one on the submitted projects. Firm is located in Knoxville.

2. Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.

The firm submitted four specific projects that demonstrate the team’s capability to complete projects with similar scope and complexity. Completed projects at the University of Tennessee include repair and upgrades as well as an elevator replacement. The firm provided detailed upgrade experience and the proposed team has consistently worked together. The firm offers architectural services with consultants listed as structural, mechanical, electrical, and environmental. The team includes, Lerch Bates, a consultant that specializes in elevators. Firm is located in Knoxville.

3. Gilbert/McLaughlin/Casella Architects

The firm submitted five projects that demonstrate the team’s capability to complete projects with similar scope and complexity. The firm’s experience includes projects at TSU, APSU, Vanderbilt and Belmont. The firm is an Architectural firm with consultants listed as structural, mechanical, electrical and environmental. The team includes, Lerch Bates, a consultant that specializes in elevators. The firm is located in Nashville.

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.	Oak Ridge
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
Artech Design Group, Inc.	Chattanooga
Engineering Services Group, Inc.	Knoxville
Gilbert/McLaughlin/Casella Architects	Nashville
TWH Architects, Inc.	Chattanooga



Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: CISCC Classroom Renovations
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 330,000
 MACC: 286,000
 Designer Fee: 29,055
 SBC Project No: 166/013-02-2016

Renovate and modernize classrooms in the Career Education building, the Science Building, and the Humanities Building.

1. Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC

Five renovation projects of similar scope and size, with firm partner as lead on three. M/P/E consultant consistent on four of the reference projects, has similar project experience, and has experience with architectural firm managing partner. Consultants include mechanical, electrical, plumbing and environmental.

2. Johnson Architecture, Inc.

Four similar renovation projects of similar scope and size, with firm partner consistent on all of these projects. Proposed consultants consistent on the four similar referenced projects, and have experience working with architect and firm. Consultants include civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing and environmental.

3. Community Tectonics Architects, Inc.

Four renovation projects of similar size and scope, with firm partner consistent on all four projects. Proposed consultants consistent on the four similar referenced projects, and have experience working with architect and firm. Consultants include civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing and environmental.

A total of 11 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Allen & Hoshall	Chattanooga
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
Artech Design Group, Inc.	Chattanooga
Community Tectonics Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC	Chattanooga
Johnson Architecture, Inc.	Knoxville
Johnson Johnson Crabtree Architects, PC	Nashville
Michael Brady, Inc.	Chattanooga
Red Chair Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
The Lewis Group Architects, Inc., P.C.	Cleveland
Tim Burney Architects	Chattanooga



5

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: CISCC Underground Utility Lines Modernization
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 750,000
MACC: 660,000
Designer Fee: 61,836
SBC Project No: 166/013-01-2016

Replace and modernize the Plumbing and Sewer systems.

1. Stantec Consulting Services

Three referenced projects similar in scope to proposed project. All of the proposed team members have solid waste project experience. The proposed Design Engineer has worked on all of the referenced projects.

2. CTI Engineers, Inc.

All five referenced projects were of similar scope and experience. Proposed team consistent on four of the five referenced projects. Team leader and all of proposed staff have similar project experience and have worked together on the referenced projects.

3. Engineering Services Group, Inc.

Four of the five referenced projects were renovations that included waste water design within the project scope. Team members have similar project experience on four of the referenced projects. Firm acted in consultant role on the referenced projects.

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
C E Designers, Inc.	Monterey
CTI Engineers, Inc.	Chattanooga
Engineering Services Group, Inc.	Knoxville
March Adams & Associates, Inc.	Chattanooga
Stantec Consulting Services	Chattanooga
West Welch Reed Engineers, Inc.	Knoxville



6

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: CoSCC Several Buildings Roof Replacements
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 700,000
MACC: 610,000
Designer Fee: 57,574
SBC Project No: 166/015-01-2016

Replace membrane roofs on several buildings nearing the end of their useful life. On recent Facility Condition Assessment by EMG, several buildings with EPDM single ply roofing were indicated as needing replaced.

1. Kaatz Binkley Jones & Morris, Inc.

The designer has experience on many TBR buildings and types of roof systems. The staff's technical expertise and in house registered roof consultant will be beneficial in addressing special roof conditions on different buildings. The proposal names an environmental consultant as part of the design team.

2. Adkisson & Associates Architects, Inc.

The designer has completed many roof replacement projects for TBR. Some projects included exterior building repairs and remediation of water intrusion. The design team has significant experience and a roof consultant is referenced as part of the document review process.

3. Kline Swinney Associates

The designer has experience in roof replacement and exterior building envelope issues. Four of the projects listed as similar scope also include a detailed description of waterproofing and building envelope repairs related to roof replacement. An environmental consultant is included in the proposal.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Nashville
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
HFR Design	Brentwood
Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mt. Juliet
Kline Swinney Associates	Nashville



Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

7

Designer Recommendations

Project: DSCC Gymnasium Mechanical Updates
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 310,000
 MACC: 270,600
 Designer Fee: 27,645
 SBC Project No: 166/017-01-2016

Update the mechanical, plumbing and energy management systems in the E.H. Lannom Gymnasium.

1. HNA Engineering, pllc.

The firm has mechanical, plumbing and electrical in house. Consultants include architectural, structural and environmental. All of the sample projects showed relevant experience with projects similar to the DSCC project. Project types include 3 higher education 1 high school and a readiness training center. Two projects included gymnasium work

2. A2H, Inc.

The firm has architectural, mechanical, plumbing and electrical in house. All of the sample projects showed relevant experience with projects similar to the DSCC project. Project types include 2 justice centers 1 athletic club 1 high school and a State developmental center.

A total of 2 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
HNA Engineering, pllc	Arlington



8

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: DSCC Interior Gym Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 220,000
MACC: 187,000
Designer Fee: 19,848
SBC Project No: 166/017-02-2016

Repair indoor athletic facilities at the E.H. Lannom Gymnasium.

1. TLM Associates, Inc.

The proposer lists five similar relevant projects. The team proposed (w/ its MEP consultants) had experience on two of the relevant projects. Architectural and structural services are provided in-house; electrical engineer and mechanical engineer are outside consultants

2. McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, P.C.

The proposer lists three similar relevant projects, and the team proposed worked on all of the projects listed. The MEP consultant listed did not work with the firm on any of the relevant projects.

A total of 2 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, P.C.	Memphis
TLM Associates, Inc.	Jackson



Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: ETSU Stormwater Drainage Repairs
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 2,000,000
 MACC: 1,809,500
 Designer Fee: 154,996
 SBC Project No: 166/005-04-2016

Repair and replace the storm sewer system in six flood-prone areas on the main campus. The work is to include the repair and replacement of the box culverts and connecting storm sewer, as well as preventative flood measures to the affected buildings.

1. Barge Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon

The projects submitted demonstrate the firm’s capability to complete projects of this size and scope. Two of the submitted projects emphasized the evaluation of existing drainage, surveying and hydrologic/hydraulic calculations that will likely be necessary for this project. Team includes civil engineers, a landscape architect and a land surveyor. The project manager and surveyor are located in Kingsport with civil engineering support staff located in Knoxville.

2. Reedy and Sykes Architecture and Design

This architectural firm is employing a civil engineering consultant and both firms have experience working on the ETSU campus. The civil engineer has experience with drainage issues. The firm and consultant have worked together on a number of projects and both are located in the Tri-cities area.

3. CE Designers

The firm is a small civil engineering group with a staff that has experience relevant to storm drainage improvements. The submitted projects demonstrate the firm’s capability to complete this type of project. The firm is located in Monterey which is approximately 190 miles from ETSU.

A total of 3 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.	Kingsport
C E Designers, Inc.	Monterey
Reedy & Sykes Architecture and Design	Elizabethton



10

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: JSCC Science Building HVAC Update
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 520,000
MACC: 451,000
Designer Fee: 43,806
SBC Project No: 166/019-02-2016

HVAC and associated controls upgrade.

1. HNA Engineering, pllc

The firm submitted five relevant projects of similar size and scope as the primary Designer indicating their ability to accomplish the JSCC project. The firm has worked on higher education projects and projects at other TBR institutions. The firm conveyed their experience and understanding of design, phasing and construction in an ongoing teaching facility. The staff worked together on the submitted projects. Architectural and environmental consultants are included as part of the team. Firm is located in Arlington, TN.

2. Allen and Hoshall, Inc.

The firm submitted four relevant projects of similar size and scope as the primary Designer indicating their ability to accomplish the JSCC project. The firm has higher education experience and has previously completed a project at the JSCC campus. The firm has MEP, structural, architectural services and listed an environmental consultant as part of the team. Firm is located in Memphis, TN.

3. Oliver Little Gipson Engineering, Inc.

The firm submitted relevant HVAC projects where they were the primary Designer indicating their ability to accomplish the JSCC project. The firm has completed various projects at other TBR community colleges. The staff has consistently worked together on the submitted projects. Architectural, structural and environmental consultants are included as part of the team. Firm is located in Tullahoma, TN.

A total of 8 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Memphis
HNA Engineering, pllc	Arlington
I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Innovative Engineering Services, LLC	Bartlett
Oliver-Little-Gipson Engineering, Inc.	Tullahoma
TLM Associates, Inc.	Jackson



11

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: MTSU Several Buildings Exterior Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,630,000
MACC: 1,595,000
Designer Fee: 128,977
SBC Project No: 166/009-07-2016

Recondition the exterior and repair interiors of several buildings on campus. Water intrusion and mortar deterioration is causing continuing damage to both the interior and exterior of these buildings.

1. Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC

Designer has extensive experience with this type of project including a large resume of historical structures (as state wide Historic Architectural consultant) that closely match MTSU proposed scope. Referenced projects include four of similar nature and size, and one envelope study. Team has worked together on referenced projects.

2. Kline Swinney Associates

Referenced projects include two reroof/exterior upgrades, one exterior upgrade, and one reroof projects all reflecting experience on both institutional and historic buildings closely matching MTSU proposed scope. Proposed architectural team and environmental consultant consistent throughout examples.

3. HFR Design, Inc.

Four projects of similar nature and size, and one study of the same type. Project team is local and has similar project type experience and has worked together on referenced projects. Environmental consultant, with similar project experience and team experience, included in team.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Nashville
Design House 1411, LLC	Nashville
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC	Chattanooga
HFR Design, Inc.	Brentwood
Kline Swinney Associates	Nashville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

12

Designer Recommendations

Project: MTSU Several Buildings Electrical Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,600,000
MACC: 1,450,000
Designer Fee: 126,587
SBC Project No: 166/009-06-2016

Provide new electrical switchgear for Jones Hall, Stark Ag. and Voorhees Engineering Technology.

1. I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.

This firm provided the most relevant experience as all five referenced projects closely aligned with MTSU proposed scope of work. The proposed team worked together on the referenced projects. The project engineer worked on all five projects and firm principal on three. These two engineers have demonstrated experience of a similar nature to proposed work.

2. Vreeland Engineers, Inc.

This firm has demonstrated experience as all five referenced projects are of a similar type to the proposed project. The principal engineer worked on all five of the referenced projects and the project manager worked on one. Proposed consultants include an architect, mechanical engineer, and structural engineer.

3. Engineering Services Group, Inc.

This firm has demonstrated experience as all five referenced projects are of a similar type to the proposed project. The principal engineer was lead on the five referenced projects.

A total of 4 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Engineering Services Group, Inc.	Knoxville
I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Vreeland Engineers, Inc.	Knoxville



13

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: MTSU Academic Classroom Building
 Category: Large – Direct Solicitation
 Total Budget: 30,500,000
 MACC: 24,897,000
 Designer Fee: 976,564
 SBC Project No: 166/009-05-2016

Construct a new collaborative academic classroom building for the College of Behavioral and Health Sciences and the Departments of Criminal Justice, Psychology and Social Work.

1. Bauer Askew Architecture, pllc

The proposal confirm the team’s expertise and strength in master planning and recognized creative, iconic design solutions within a campus context, combined with strong higher ed projects, and consistent, high quality TBR experience. Firm demonstrated strong listening response, and commitment to follow through on campus requests. All sample projects were high quality designs of the type that are directly applicable to this project.

2. Johnson Johnson Crabtree Architects, LLC

Example projects demonstrate expertise in the area of academic higher education classroom building design. Strengths are complemented by functional design approach and demonstrated strong working relationships with contractors. Sample designs are consistent with the master plan goals of the project and vision of the campus. Firm principal and associate principal are committed throughout the project, although fewer firm team members listed for project than other proposals.

3. Hastings Architecture Associates, LLC

The firm demonstrates a robust capability to execute the project start to finish. Strong time commitment by team members appropriate for the project. Dedicated BIM Director a factor in the strength of the team, in addition to Sustainable design capability appropriate for addressing state’s requirements for high performance building guidelines.

A total of 13 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Bauer Askew Architecture, pllc	Nashville
Centric Architecture	Nashville
Earl Swensson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Gilbert McLaughlin Casella Architects, plc	Nashville
Gould Turner Group, P.C.	Nashville
Hastings Architecture Associates, LLC	Nashville
HFR Design	Brentwood
Johnson Johnson Crabtree Architects, P.C.	Nashville
Moody Nolan, Inc.	Nashville
OT Marshall Architects, P.C.	Brentwood
Stanley Beaman & Sears	Atlanta
TMPartners, PLLC	Brentwood
Tuck-Hinton Architects	Nashville

Tennessee Board of Regents
Middle Tennessee State University - Academic Classroom Building
 07.12.2016

	Qualifications & Experience										Technical					Q&E+T TOTAL	RANK	Delta				
	Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator											
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5				Median			
1	Bauer Askew	44.0	44.5	38.5	44.6	41.5	44.0	44.0	48.0	40.5	46.2	41.5	44.0	44.0	48.0	40.5	46.2	41.5	44.0	88.00	1	-
2	Centric Architecture	27.0	43.5	36.3	36.0	38.0	36.30	34.0	43.5	38.3	41.4	41.0	41.0	41.0	43.5	38.3	41.4	41.0	41.0	77.30	8	10.70
3	Earl Swensson	32.0	45.5	27.5	33.5	39.0	33.50	33.0	48.0	32.0	40.8	40.0	40.0	40.0	48.0	32.0	40.8	40.0	40.0	73.50	12	14.50
4	Gilbert McLaughlin Casella	33.0	41.0	38.0	37.5	35.0	37.50	37.0	43.0	39.5	42.4	37.0	39.50	39.50	43.0	39.5	42.4	37.0	39.50	77.00	9	11.00
5	Gould Turner Group	27.0	43.0	38.0	41.9	39.0	39.00	25.0	41.0	39.0	45.3	40.0	40.0	40.0	41.0	39.0	45.3	40.0	40.0	79.00	7	9.00
6	Hastings Architecture	40.0	47.0	41.5	46.0	43.0	43.00	40.0	45.0	38.5	46.3	41.0	41.0	41.0	45.0	38.5	46.3	41.0	41.0	84.00	3	4.00
4	HFR Design	34.0	48.0	34.3	36.0	39.0	36.00	35.0	45.0	38.3	40.2	36.0	38.25	38.25	45.0	38.3	40.2	36.0	38.25	74.25	11	13.75
5	JJCA	41.0	46.0	43.3	46.6	41.0	43.30	41.0	48.0	44.0	48.1	39.0	44.0	44.0	48.0	44.0	48.1	39.0	44.0	87.30	2	0.70
6	Moody Nolan	35.0	46.0	39.0	43.1	41.5	41.50	36.0	48.0	37.6	46.5	41.0	41.0	41.0	48.0	37.6	46.5	41.0	41.0	82.50	4	5.50
7	OT Marshall	17.0	38.5	27.0	32.5	26.0	27.00	23.0	44.0	35.8	39.4	34.0	35.80	35.80	44.0	35.8	39.4	34.0	35.80	62.80	13	25.20
8	Stanley Beaman & Sears	35.0	41.0	34.0	37.8	40.0	37.80	34.0	49.0	34.5	37.0	43.0	37.00	37.00	49.0	34.5	37.0	43.0	37.00	74.80	10	13.20
9	TMPartners	34.0	49.0	38.8	43.4	41.0	41.00	35.0	46.0	39.5	45.9	41.0	41.0	41.0	46.0	39.5	45.9	41.0	41.0	82.00	5	6.00
10	Tuck-Hinton	41.0	44.0	38.7	43.0	42.0	42.00	39.0	45.0	39.5	44.3	40.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	39.5	44.3	40.0	40.0	82.00	5	6.00

Median	34.0	44.5	38.0	41.9	40.0	39.0	39.0	35.0	45.0	38.5	44.3	40.0	40.0	40.0	45.0	38.5	44.3	40.0	40.0	79.0	Median
Average	33.8	44.4	36.5	40.1	38.9	38.6	38.6	35.1	45.7	38.2	43.4	39.6	40.2	40.2	45.7	38.2	43.4	39.6	40.2	78.8	Average
High	44.0	49.0	43.3	46.6	43.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	49.0	44.0	48.1	43.0	44.0	44.0	49.0	44.0	48.1	43.0	44.0	88.0	High
Low	17.0	38.5	27.0	32.5	26.0	27.0	27.0	23.0	41.0	32.0	37.0	34.0	35.8	35.8	41.0	32.0	37.0	34.0	34.0	62.8	Low
Difference	27.0	10.5	16.3	14.1	17.0	17.0	17.0	21.0	8.0	12.0	11.1	9.0	8.2	8.2	8.0	12.0	11.1	9.0	9.0	25.2	Difference



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

14

Designer Recommendations

Project: NeSCC Building Controls Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 430,000
MACC: 374,000
Designer Fee: 36,995
SBC Project No: 166/038-02-2016

Update Building Automation across campus.

1. West Welch Reed Engineers

The firm is well qualified and has a history of handling projects for the Tennessee Board of Regents. Sample projects in the response display the firm's capabilities and demonstrates their experience with HVAC retrofits and building controls system upgrades. The firm has worked on projects at NeSCC and is familiar with the campus HVAC and infrastructure. The principal of the firm will be the lead Designer for this project. An architectural consultant that the firm has worked with is included as part of the team.

A total of 1 firm submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
West Welch Reed Engineers	Knoxville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

15

Designer Recommendations

Project: RSCC Campbell County Higher Education Center Lab
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,100,000
MACC: 895,000
Designer Fee: 81,544
SBC Project No: 166/027-01-2016

Provide full planning for an addition to the Campbell County building that will include lab and classroom space for Anatomy and Physiology and other sciences.

1. Upland Design Group, Inc.

Four of the five submitted projects were similar. All five submitted projects were for RSCC and included work on their Harriman, Oak Ridge and Huntsville campuses. The Scott County Center Laboratory Addition project is very similar to the proposed project. The principal worked on all five projects, while the project architect worked on four of them. They included Structural and MPE engineers. The MPE engineers are the same ones who designed the original Campbell County Center.

2. Community Tectonics

Four of the five submitted projects were similar. The firm was the Architect of Record for the 2006 Master Plan Update, which included the Campbell County Campus. Submitted projects included work at RSCC, ETSU, PSCC (2) and WSCC. The principal and the PM/PA worked on all five projects. They included Civil, Structural, MPE and Environmental consultants.

3. Design Innovation Architects.

Three of the five submitted projects were similar. Two were for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The VP of Operations worked on all five projects, with the Senior Project Architect working on two. Other consultants included Civil, Structural and MPE.

A total of 9 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
Community Tectonics	Knoxville
Cope Associates, Inc.	Knoxville
Design Innovation Architects	Knoxville
Johnson Architecture, Inc.	Knoxville
Michael Brady, Inc.	Knoxville
Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
The Lewis Group Architects, Inc., P.C.	Knoxville
Upland Design Group, Inc.	Crossville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366-4431 FAX (615) 366-3992

16

Designer Recommendations

Project: RSCC Campus-Wide Paving
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 160,000
MACC: 137,500
Designer Fee: 15,083.00
SBC Project No: 166/027-02-2016

Repair parking lots including curbs and islands. Depending on the condition of the lot the work will range from sealing and stripping to recoating/resurfacing.

1. Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.

All of the paving projects were similar. The in-house civil engineer worked on all the submitted projects. Two of the paving projects were for higher-ed clients (PSCC and Oak Ridge Associated University) and one was for a high school - indicating an ability to work with academic schedules.

2. Michael Brady, Inc.

All of the submitted projects were similar. They also have in-house civil engineering. Submitted project clients include Honda (corporate), a local BMW dealership and the City of Oak Ridge.

A total of 2 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.	Oak Ridge
Michael Brady, Inc.	Knoxville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

17

Designer Recommendations

Project: STCC Mechanical Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,100,000
MACC: 935,000
Designer Fee: 84,853
SBC Project No: 166/033-01-2016

Replace AHU's in old Nursing/Procurement, old Nursing Annex, Gill Classroom, Whitehead, Nabors, Freeman, Sulcer and Thornton. Replace all pneumatic VAV units with new DDC VAV units in the following building: Allied Health, F Bldg., Farris, and Whitehead.

1. HNA Engineering, pllc

Five of the submitted projects are similar in scope and complexity including three completed at higher education facilities. The broad scope and variety of HVAC upgrades demonstrate that this firm has the capability to respond to this project. The firm communicated an understanding of phasing or sequencing that may be required in an ongoing teaching environment. The design team has consistently worked together on all of the representative projects and includes mechanical, electrical and plumbing. Consultants include architectural.

2. Allen & Hoshall, Inc.

Four of the submitted projects are somewhat similar in scope and complexity including two completed at higher education facilities. The firm is capable and the design team has consistently worked together on projects. The design team includes architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing. Consultants include environmental.

3. A2H, Inc.

Four of the submitted projects are similar in scope and complexity. The scope and variety of HVAC upgrades demonstrate that this firm has the capability to respond to this project. The design team has consistently worked together on projects and includes architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing. No outside consultants are specified.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Memphis
HNA Engineering, pllc	Arlington
Innovative Engineering Services, LLC	Bartlett



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

18

Designer Recommendations

Project: TCAT-Covington Moisture Remediation and Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 720,000
MACC: 396,000
Designer Fee: 59,217
SBC Project No: 166/042-01-2016

Replace valves, and associated plumbing and hvac equipment. Perform mold abatement as needed and evaluate moisture and roofing issues. Remediate moisture infiltration through the slab and exterior walls. Repair walls, flooring, and seal slab, replacing the tile.

1. American Structurepoint, Inc.

The designer has excellent technical expertise to evaluate, and then recommend and develop a solution to water intrusion and moisture issues within the structure. The principal has extensive background in structural and construction defects as well as roofing systems. The team includes plumbing testing, building and roofing materials testing, and environmental consultants.

2. The Horrell Group Architects

The designer has extensive roof replacement experience and some experience in building envelope repair and remediation. The designer proposes consultants for civil, structural, mechanical, plumbing, and electrical.

3. TLM Associates, Inc.

The designer has experience with roof replacement projects; but the proposal does not provide any experience related to moisture intrusion. A moisture scanning consultant is listed.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
American Structurepoint, Inc.	Kingston Springs
McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, PC	Memphis
The Horrell Group Architects	Memphis
TLM Associates, Inc.	Jackson



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

19

Designer Recommendations

Project: TCAT-Dickson Roof Replacement
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 550,000
MACC: 473,000
Designer Fee: 45,733
SBC Project No: 166/000-03-2016

Replace ballasted EPDM roofs with new roof system and guttering system on multiple buildings at Dickson Campus. Correct roof canting and flashing on buildings where needed.

1. Adkisson & Associates, Inc.

The designer has extensive experience on TBR roof replacement projects, including knowledge of TCAT facilities and their typical design. The project experience listed notes that some of the projects included associated exterior repairs due to water and moisture infiltration from the roof system. A registered roofing consultant will review the documents. The team also includes MPE & Structural engineers.

2. Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.

The designer has significant experience in roof replacement projects for facilities in the TBR system and is a registered roofing consultant. The designer lists an environmental consultant as part of the team.

3. DKRS Architects/Engineers, PLLC

The designer has significant experience on projects requiring roof replacements and exterior repairs related to roof replacements. The designer lists MEP, Civil, and Structural consultants, and a roofing technical representative

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates Architects, Inc.	Nashville
DKRS Architects/Engineers, PLLC	Nashville
Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mt. Juliet
Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc.	Clarksville
McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, PC	Memphis
TLM Associates, Inc.	Knoxville



20

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: TCAT-Oneida/Huntsville Building Stabilization Corrections
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 210,000
MACC: 182,600
Designer Fee: 19,430
SBC Project No: 166/078-01-2016

Correct structural problems and damage caused by the building settling at the Huntsville Campus Classroom / Administration Building.

1. Adams Craft Herz Walker

The firm is well qualified and has a history of completing small projects for TBR as the East Tennessee regional consultant. Sample projects with similar scope were submitted by this firm and their consultant. One of the submitted sample projects, completed for the University of Tennessee, demonstrates very similar work including remediation of brick masonry, joints, cracking and water penetration issues. The principal of the firm will be the lead Designer for this project. Also, the firm has included Richard C. Rinks and Associates Inc. a specialist in the investigation, evaluation and remediation of building envelope moisture intrusion.

A total of 1 firm submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.	Oak Ridge



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

21

Designer Recommendations

Project: TSU Migration Implementation
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 2,620,000
 MACC: 2,337,500
 Designer Fee: 195,958
 SBC Project No: 166/001-03-2016

Implementation of migration plan for program relocation across campus, pursuant to facilities and program planning set forth in 2015 Master Plan by Woolpert. This project shall re-work spaces so departments can relocate. The work shall include renovating interiors, electrical, lighting, and other modifications to interior finishes. MPE, FFE, ADA, safety and security components included.

1. Street Dixon Rick Architecture, PLC

The designer’s proposal provides good experience with occupied renovations and migration of staff and academic programs. The proposed team is experienced in working with multi- phase projects and provides very detailed examples of previous projects. The proposed consultants have worked with the designer on most of the projects and will provide another level of experience to accomplish the migration of various programs.

2. McFarlin Huitt Panvini Inc.

The designer has provided services for numerous renovation projects on the TSU campus. The projects did not include migration of programs and staff but were similar in other areas of the proposed scope. The proposed consultants are familiar with the TSU campus and the existing infrastructure and facilities.

3. Johnson Johnson Crabtree Architects, Inc.

The designer has applicable experience to provide the services described in the scope. Several successful interior renovations are listed, however no migration or phased work is described. The proposed consultants have sufficient experience working with the proposed design team.

A total of 5 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Gilbert/McLaughlin/Casella Architects	Nashville
Johnson Johnson Crabtree Architects, PC	Trousdale
McFarlin Huitt Panvini Inc.	Nashville
Street Dixon Rick Architecture, PLC	Nashville



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

22

Designer Recommendations

Project: TTU Storm Sewer Replacement Phase 2
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 605,000
 MACC: 529,000
 Designer Fee: 50,604
 SBC Project No: 166/011-01-2016

Replace approximately 600 lineal feet of storm sewer.

1. Civil Engineering and Surveying, LLC

Referenced projects are similar to this project. The civil engineer proposed for this project, while employed with another firm, provided engineering and construction administration services on a previous TTU project to replace this storm drainage system. The section of storm drain that is immediately upstream from this project was replaced at that time (2011). This section was not replaced then due to financial constraints. Firm is local and has firsthand knowledge of the problem.

2. Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.

Referenced projects are similar to this project. This firm also has firsthand knowledge of the problem. Firm has provided engineering assistance to the university by completing a survey, determining scope of work and preparing cost estimates for this work. Project would be managed out of the firm's Knoxville office. Based on previous experience frequent oversight is anticipated. Distance to firm's office may be a challenge.

A total of 2 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.	Knoxville
Civil Engineering and Surveying, LLC	Cookeville



23

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: TTU Several Buildings Waterproofing & Exterior Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 1,800,000
MACC: 1,595,000
Designer Fee: 138,105
SBC Project No: 166/011-06-2016

Clean, tuck-point, caulk, repair, and waterproof brick walls, brick patios, window sills, stone caps, concrete expansion joints and seating areas. Repair stone fencing and coping on buildings. Install shelf angles, flashings and weeps, and remove and replace brick as required. Buildings include: Roaden University Center, Lewis Hall, Bartoo Hall, Walton House, Memorial Gym, East and West Stadiums, Volpe Library and Military Science.

1. Richard C. Rinks & Associates, Inc.

Firm is an architectural and structural engineering firm that specializes in building envelope and roof projects. Firm has five sample projects of similar scope and size of proposed projects. This firm has investigated the building problems, conducted destructive testing and provided written recommendations for corrective action that were used to develop the scope and budget for this request.

2. Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, P.C.

This Chattanooga firm has submitted sample projects that include four envelope repairs and one envelope study. Team has similar project experience and has worked together on the sample projects. Based on the descriptions of past projects provided, they have paid special attention to proper flashing installation, caulking details, and masonry related joints, which are very critical when it comes to the integrity and water shedding ability of a building envelope.

3. HFR Design, Inc.

Firm is an architectural and engineering firm. Sample projects include five renovations that included envelope repairs and corrections. Environmental consultant included. All referenced projects involved stone and/or masonry repairs or replacement. Team members have worked together and have similar project experience.

A total of 8 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Nashville
Cope Associates, Inc. Architecture	Knoxville
ESa	Nashville
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, P.C.	Chattanooga
HFR Design, Inc.	Brentwood
Kline Swinney Associates	Nashville
Richard C. Rinks & Associates, Inc.	Cookeville
Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc.	Knoxville



24

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: TTU Cookeville Higher Education Campus Roof Repair
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 750,000
 MACC: 660,000
 Designer Fee: 61,836
 SBC Project No: 166/011-04-2016

Conduct roof investigation and complete repairs or replacement of the roof and any roof components as required.

1. Richard C. Rinks & Associates, Inc.

Richard Rinks, the firm principal, has firsthand knowledge of the problems, completed field tests to determine the cause of the problems, and has previously provided reports outlining temporary and permanent repairs. Principal is a registered roof consultant, architect, and structural engineer. The firm is local allowing the staff to provide more construction oversight. An environmental consultant is included.

2. Upland Design Group

The referenced projects include the investigation and remediation of envelope problems expected to be encountered on the TTU project. The managing principal is registered roof consultant and has demonstrated experience for this project type. An environmental consultant is included.

3. Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.

The referenced projects include four reroof projects of similar nature to the proposed project which would indicate their general capabilities in performing this project scope. The firm includes a registered roof consultant that will lead the project team. An environmental consultant is included.

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Nashville
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
HFR Design, Inc.	Brentwood
Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mt. Juliet
Richard C. Rinks & Associates, Inc.	Cookeville
Upland Design Group, Inc.	Crossville



25

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: TTU Building Updates
Category: Standard
Total Budget: 5,340,000
MACC: 3,960,000
Designer Fee: 318,024
SBC Project No: 166/011-05-2016

Renovate/Update spaces and systems in buildings including Bartoo, Kittrell, Brown, Bruner and Pennebaker. Abate asbestos materials.

1. Upland Design Group, Inc.

All five example projects are of similar type and size to the proposed project. This firm was the designer on the first round of this project. All staff members and consultants have worked together on all of the referenced projects. Disciplines of staff and consultants include architectural, structural, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and environmental. This team has the knowledge and experience necessary to expedite services on this project due to their experience and familiarity on the past renovations, which included the renovations of six buildings.

2. Maffett Loftis Engineering, LLC

Five sample projects are all renovations of similar scope and size of the proposed project. Lead firm is a mechanical and electrical firm supplemented with the same architectural and structural consultants that were included in the five referenced projects. Proposed firms are local and have been extremely responsive on the referenced projects.

3. I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.

Five sample projects include renovations of seven buildings of similar type and size to proposed project and with the same team. Lead firm is a mechanical and electrical firm supplemented with the same architectural consultant that was included in the five referenced projects.

A total of 15 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Adkisson & Associates, Architects, Inc.	Nashville
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville
Community Tectonics, Inc.	Knoxville
ESa	Nashville
Gilbert/McLaughlin/Casella Architects	Nashville
Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC	Chattanooga
HFR Design, Inc.	Brentwood
I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Maffett Loftis Engineering, LLC	Cookeville
Michel Brady, Inc.	Knoxville
Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc.	Knoxville
Spectra Tech, Inc.	Oak Ridge
The Lewis Group Architects, Inc., P.C.	Knoxville
Upland Design Group, Inc.	Crossville



26

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: UoM Building Envelope Repairs
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 3,000,000
 MACC: 2,695,000
 Designer Fee: 223,283
 SBC Project No: 166/007-05-2016

Restore deteriorating brick and building façade elements on campus buildings. The work will include structural repairs, brick repairs, tuck-pointing, limestone repair, concrete repair, window replacement, door replacement, caulking, etc.

1. Fleming Associates Architects, PC

Many similar projects were listed, including one very similar envelope repair project done for UoM with the proposed Civil/Structural consultant. Team proposed is very qualified, and worked together on several of the relevant projects listed. Well-qualified consultants, familiar with the campus and this type of work.

2. A2H, Inc.

Several examples of similar project experience provided, including one at UoM Lambuth. In-house design of architectural and structural disciplines, with a quality staff proposed with direct experience on similar projects. No outside consultants were proposed, as all services will be provided in-house.

3. Braganza Associates, PC

Some similar projects were listed, including two for STCC. Team proposed is well-qualified and experienced with UoM. Consultants listed have worked on most of the relevant projects listed.

A total of 7 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
Allen & Hoshall, Inc.	Memphis
Braganza design/GROUP	Memphis
Fleming Associates Architects, PC	Memphis
McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, PC	Memphis
Pickering Firm, Inc.	Memphis
The Horrell Group Architects	Memphis



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

27

Designer Recommendations

Project: VSCC Roof Replacements and Envelope Repairs
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 320,000
MACC: 275,000
Designer Fee: 28,049
SBC Project No: 166/025-02-2016

Replace existing EPDM roof system on the Library and shingle roofs on the 5 roof buildings on the annex campus. Repair damage to the interior of the buildings caused by roof leaks.

1. Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.

All submitted projects were similar. In fact, all were higher-ed and were for TBR institutions. They included TTU, MTSU, RSCC (2) and PSCC. They have an in-house RRC (Registered Roof Consultant) and they included an environmental consultant on their team.

2. DKRS Architects/Engineers, PLLC

All submitted projects were similar. One of the submitted projects was for VSCC (Wood Campus Center Roof Replacement). Another submitted project was roof replacement at four Maury County Schools. Their roofing consultant is a manufacturer's representative from Carlisle Roofing Systems.

3. Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC

All submitted projects were similar. The firm principal worked at another firm when the submitted projects were done. They have listed an RRC who is located in Charlotte, NC

A total of 3 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
DKRS Architects/Engineers, PLLC	Nashville
Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC	Goodlettsville
Kaatz, Binkley, Jones & Morris Architects, Inc.	Mt. Juliet



28

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: VSCC Ramer Building HVAC Updates
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: 400,000
 MACC: 352,000
 Designer Fee: 35,027
 SBC Project No: 166/025-01-2016

Remove the old VAV boxes that are controlled by the new building automation system and replace them with new energy efficient VAV boxes to maximize climate controls throughout the structure.

1. I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.

Extensive TBR experience. Four out of the five projects submitted were renovation projects involving VAV HVAC systems - and were for APSU and TTU (3 projects). The fifth project was a new building for Volunteer State. They also provided HVAC system design for renovations to this building after the 2006 tornado. The team includes environmental, architectural and structural consultants.

2. Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.

All of the submitted projects were VAV system renovation projects. One of the projects appeared to be higher-ed. The proposed principal worked on all five projects, with two of the team members working on four. No consultants were listed. They are located in Chattanooga.

3. Oliver-Little-Gipson Engineering, Inc.

Three out of the five submitted projects were renovation, with one of them noting new VAV system installation (Andrew Jackson Tower). Two of the projects were for higher-ed clients (Southern Adventist University and TTU). Their team included architectural, structural and environmental consultants.

A total of 3 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
I.C. Thomasson Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Oliver-Little-Gipson Engineering, Inc.	Nashville



29

Tennessee Board of Regents Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: West TN Architectural Consultant
 Category: Minor
 Total Budget: NA
 MACC: NA
 Designer Fee: 100,000 (Estimated)
 SBC Project No: 166/000-02-2010

Provide architectural services for TBR campuses in West TN. A variety of tasks to assist campus may be expected. Provide information showing small, unique projects. It is anticipated this will be a three year contract with renewal options - estimate \$50,000 annual.

1. Pickering Firm, Inc.

Extensive experience with multiple facility owners, including Fed-Ex (since 1998), UT (35 years) and the Memphis Housing Authority (over 10 years). They have provided over 150 small projects to Fed-Ex thru previous IDIQ contracts. They have also noted over 75 projects with UT. They have in-house engineering – so no consultants would be needed.

2. A2H, Inc.

All five submitted projects had similarities to the subject project. They have 2 current IDIQ projects, one with STREAM and one with Fed-Ex. They have in-house engineering – so no consultants would be needed.

3. Fleming Associates Architects, PC

Extensive TBR experience, mostly with the University of Memphis. The team is consistent throughout the submitted projects.

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
A2H, Inc.	Lakeland
Fleming Associates Architects, PC	Memphis
McGehee Nicholson Burke Architects, PC	Memphis
Pickering Firm, Inc.	Memphis
Renaissance Group, Inc.	Lakeland
TLM Associates, Inc.	Jackson



30

Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

Designer Recommendations

Project: Statewide TCAT MPE and Infrastructure Updates
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 180,000
MACC: 150,000
Designer Fee: 15,736
SBC Project No: 166/000-01-2015

Update infrastructure including mechanical electrical plumbing and fire protection systems at various TCATs in West Tennessee. This is a multi-phase project. This project may include incidental environmental, architectural and structural items related to the systems update.

1. HNA Engineering, pllc

Demonstrated ability to effectively complete projects of this small size and scope as the current TBR West region engineering consultant. Team is consistent for all five of the submitted sample projects. Four of the projects are higher education and include HVAC upgrades. Project team includes architectural and environmental consultants.

2. Allen & Hoshall Inc.

Demonstrated ability to effectively complete projects of this small size and scope as a previous TBR West region engineering consultant. Team is somewhat consistent for all five of the submitted sample projects. Three of the projects are higher education and two include HVAC upgrades. Project team includes architectural in house and an environmental consultant.

3. Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.

Designer is located in Chattanooga.

A total of 3 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Advanced Energy Engineering and Design, Inc.	Chattanooga
Allen & Hoshall Inc.	Memphis
HNA Engineering, pllc	Arlington



THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE

KNOXVILLE • CHATTANOOGA • MARTIN • MEMPHIS • TULLAHOMA

Office of Capital Projects

Designer Recommendation

To: Peter Heimbach

From: Robbi Stivers

July 15, 2016

Project: West Campus Redevelopment (Dining)
UT Knoxville
SBC 540/009-05-2014

Category: Major

Total Budget: \$234,000,000.00 – Subproject \$35,000,000.00

MACC: \$202,300,000.00 – Subproject \$30,234,000.00

Designer Fee: \$1,671,575.00

This project will replace housing and dining in North Carrick, South Carrick, Reese, Humes, Morrill, Apartment Residence, and Presidential Dining, all of which will be demolished. It will provide approximately 2,700 beds, and a dining and office facility with site amenities.

This subproject is for the design of a new dining hall facility to be built on the corner of Andy Holt Avenue and Francis Street. The constructed facility will provide approximately 85,000 gross square feet and provide a dining hall with a maximum of 1,200 seats.

1. Johnson Architecture, Inc.

Johnson (JAI) has teamed with Simmons Studio and together they have presented experience for both higher education and corporate clients. The resumes of each team member indicate a knowledge and an understanding of projects of this nature. Their outlined approach is promising and attainable. JAI is located in Knoxville.

2. Studio Four Design, Inc.

Studio Four (S4D) has teamed with Mackey Mitchell Architects to propose on this project. Together they have worked on numerous buildings for higher education. Some projects highlighted have major dining elements of similar size and scope. This team has worked together previously and the consultants are well versed in the needs of this type of facility. Project approach seems to consider important aspects as outlined in the program. S4D is located in Knoxville.

3. Barber McMurry architects

Barber McMurry architects (BMA) together with Hanbury Evans Wright Vlattas + Company presented qualifications which showed similar projects of dining facilities at UT and other higher education campuses. Both firms have experience working with UT. Staff and consultants have worked on major projects highlighted and will bring insight to this project. Their approach to the design is systematic and organized. BMA is located in Knoxville.

A total of 11 firms submitted qualifications for this project. Other firms submitting are as follows:

Firm Name	Location
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.	Knoxville, TN
Blankenship & Partners, LLC	Knoxville, TN
Bullock Smith & Partners, Inc.	Knoxville, TN
Cope Associates, Inc. Architecture	Knoxville, TN
Design Innovation	Knoxville, TN
Lewis Group Architects	Knoxville, TN
McCarty Holsaple McCarty	Knoxville, TN
Michael Brady, Inc.	Knoxville, TN

West Campus Redevelopment (Dining)

SBC No. 540/009-05-2015

UT Knoxville

Firm Name	Section B Total	Section C Total	Grand Total	Ranking	Comments
Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc. (AWAM)	80	20	100	11	
Barber McMurry architects (Bma)	46	12	58	3	
Blankenship & Partners, LLC	71	15.5	86.5	6	
Bullock Smith & Partners, Inc.	63.5	14.5	78	9	
Cope Associates, Inc. Architecture	56	11.5	67.5	4	
Design Innovation	67	16.5	83.5	8	
Johnson Architecture, Inc.	56	12.5	68.5	1	
Lewis Group Architects	73	18	91	7	
McCarty Holsapple McCarty, Inc.	57.5	15	72.5	5	
Michael Brady, Inc. (MBI)	67	16	83	10	
Studio Four Design, Inc.	51.5	11.5	63	2	
	69.5	18	87.5		

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

32

Project: TN Fire Service and Codes Enforcement Academy – Dormitory HVAC Replacement

Agency: Commerce & Insurance

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 700/001-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 810,500.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 695,266.00

Designer Fee: \$ 64,830.00

Project Description: Replacement of the above ground HVAC units in the 115 dormitory rooms.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Oliver-Little-Gipson Engineering, Inc. – Firm is located in close proximity to project location. Submittal demonstrated recent and relevant project experience. Proposed project team is experienced on similar scope projects. Project approach is detailed and indicates a good understanding of the project scope. Workload appears to be light.
2)	Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. – Firm’s office is within a reasonable distance from project location. Submittal indicated previous project experience on similar scope projects. Project team is experienced and includes in-house engineering services. Proposed project approach appears to be generalized.
3)	Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build – Firm’s office is located in reasonable distance from project location. Submittal indicated sub-consultant experience on projects of similar scope. Firm has a thorough understanding of State Designers’ Manual.

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: John S. Wilder & Mountain View Youth Development Centers -
Gymnasium HVAC

Agency: Children's Services

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 144/000-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 1,100,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 800,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 80,301.00

Project Description: Installation of HVAC system in the central gymnasium to support mandated recreational activities.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. - Submittal demonstrated experience working on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team includes in-house mechanical, electrical and structural engineers. Project approach was detailed and showcased a clear understanding of project development, including programming phase.
2)	Innovative Engineering Services, LLC - Firm has experience working on projects of similar scope. Project team includes mechanical engineer as principal and utilization of structural consultant. Proposed approach was outlined, but appeared to lack detailed concerning programming.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

34

Project: John S. Wilder Youth Development Center – New Johns S. Wilder YDC (Planning)

Agency: Children's Services

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 144/011-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Maximum Liability: \$ 500,000.00

Project Description: Planning project to support replacement of the current center with a new facility.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	A2H, Inc. – Submittal demonstrated they have a good grasp on the project scope. They have proposed the use of a consultant that has vast experience on similar projects and will be a great asset. Firm is located in close proximity to project site and has experience working on projects at the site.
2)	TLM Associates, Inc. – Firm has showcased relevant project experience and is located in close proximity to site. Submittal demonstrated they have assembled a good project team with relevant experience.
3)	Vaughan Associates Architects, Inc. – Firms has experience on projects with a similar scope, but size wasn't the same as this project. Lack of experience at Youth Development Center is of concern.

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

35

Project: Deberry Special Needs Facility – Transportation Hub
Agency: Correction
Category: Minor
SBC Number: 142/011-01-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Total Project Budget: \$ 2,000,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 1,522,500.00
Designer Fee: \$ 132,357.00
Project Description: Construct new offender transportation hub to process work crews and the statewide movement or re-assigned offenders between institutions and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC. – Submitted project experience on similar scope projects. Good in-house project team with help of outside security consultant. Proposed project approach is a little generalized, but does include mention of precincts and crime labs.
2)	M. Shanks Architects – Submittal showcased experience on similar scope projects. Project team includes consultants for MEP, Civil and Security. Project approach was generalized to project phases and not specific to project approach.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

36

Project: Tennessee School for the Blind - Auditorium Upgrades
Agency: Education
Category: Minor
SBC Number: 168/005-04-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Total Project Budget: \$ 530,700.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 384,728.00
Designer Fee: \$ 37,950.76
Project Description: Replace flooring, lighting, finishes, and theater seating in the auditorium and stage areas and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc. – Firm has extensive knowledge of and familiarity with the facility. Provided a detailed project approach that considered designing for campus requirements relating to the visually impaired. Proposed project principal has experience on projects of similar scope. Workload appears light.
2)	Goodwyn Mills and Cawood, Inc. – Firm is in close proximity to project location. Submittal demonstrated experience on projects of similar size and scope. Submittal indicated some previous experience at project site. Project approach was detailed.
2)	M. Shanks Architects - Firm is located in close proximity to project location. Submittal demonstrated experience working on similar scope projects. Detailed project approach that includes cost control and quality metrics.

Other Firms Submitted: Kennon | Calhoun Workshop

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

37

Project: Tennessee School for the Deaf – Various Buildings Roof Repairs and Replacements

Agency: Education

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 168/007-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 665,800.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 585,750.00

Designer Fee: \$ 55,496.23

Project Description: Roof replacements, repairs, and all related work at the maintenance and elementary school buildings.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Roof Design & Consulting Services, Inc. – Submittal showcased firm’s relevant experience with removal and replacement of roofing systems. Proposed project team is highly qualified and includes a registered roof consultant and roof observer. Project approach outlines firm’s capabilities. Workload appears to be light.
1)	Upland Design Group, Inc. – Firm submitted some experience on relevant projects. Listed Principal in charge is a registered roof consultant. Remaining proposed project team includes supporting consultants. Mentioned safety issues related to working on campus.
3)	The Lewis Group Architects, Inc. P.C. - Firm’s submittal showcased experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team is qualified and supplemented with experienced consultants. Submittal also demonstrated familiarity with campus. Workload appears light.

Other Firms Submitted: Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.; Benefield Richters Company; Michael Brady, Inc.; Robert A. Qualey Architecture: Design + Build; Smee + Busby Architects, Inc.; Sparkman & Associates Architects;

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

38

Project: Fall Creek Falls State Park – Village Green Visitors Center
Agency: Environment & Conservation
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 126/036-02-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Total Project Budget: \$ 2,895,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 2,580,715.00
Designer Fee: \$ 171,663.79
Project Description: Construct a new visitor center. The project includes the demolition of the campground check-in station and business office.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Upland Design Group, Inc. – Firm’s submittal showcased recent experience on projects of similar size and scope. Proximity to and experience at project location is indicated. Proposed project team is qualified and has experience working with Agency. Workload for firm and project team appears light.
2)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. – Submittal indicated experience working on project of similar scope. Proposed project team is experienced and well qualified though in house engineers that are spread across the state. Workload appears light to moderate.
3)	Hefferlin & Kronenberg Architects, PLLC- Firm and project team has experience on projects of similar size and scope. Project approach appeared to be very general and lacked some detail.
3)	Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc. - Firm has relevant, but no recent experience on projects of similar size and scope. Proposed project team is experienced and has worked together before.

Other Firms Submitted: Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.; Blankenship & Partners, LLC; Cogent Studio; Franklin Architects; Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood, Inc.; Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC.; Kennon | Calhoun Workshop; Larry Woods & Associates; Michael Brady, Inc. ; Rufus Johnson Associates of Clarksville, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades – Edgar Evins State Park

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 126/018-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 2,123,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 1,859,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 158,872.46

Project Description: Construct upgrades to the sewage collection and treatment systems.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. – Submittal showcased that firm has previous project experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team includes all in-house engineering capabilities for design. Project approach was detailed and included initial assessment of conditions and proposed requirements.
2)	Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood, Inc. – Firm has previous project experience relating directly to scope of this project. Proposed project team include in – house engineering capabilities. Project approach showcased and understanding of scope of work.
3)	CTI Engineers, Inc. – Firm is experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team has a thorough understanding of scope of work. Project approach included a solid understanding of scope and outlined investigation of currents conditions.

Other Firms Submitted: Environmental & Civil Engineering Services; Hethcoat & Davis, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

40

Project: Statewide – Fueling Infrastructure Upgrades & Training Facilities

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 126/000-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 05/12/2106

Total Project Budget: \$ 900,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 308,074.80

Designer Fee: \$ 31,240.00

Project Description: Upgrades of fueling infrastructure and installation of mock infrastructure for training purposes at three state parks.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	TriAD Environmental Consultants, Inc. – Submittal demonstrated experience on multiple projects of similar size and scope. Proposed project approach further showcases experience on similar projects through acknowledgment of possible environmental issues. Project team has extensive knowledge of scope.
2)	Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build – Firm has experience working with Designer’s Manual and agency. Firm teamed with a consultant that has extensive experience on similar projects. Submittal appeared to lack some detail.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

41

Project: Various Facilities – Architectural Consultant
Agency: Environment & Conservation
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 126/000-02-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: \$ 100,000.00
Project Description: Consultant to support the agency for project development and associated facility needs.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Goodwyn, Mills & Cawood, Inc. – Firm has recent and relevant project experience on projects anticipated with this contract. Project team is well qualified. Project approach is well defined, indicates a strong understanding of scope, and includes both alternatives and life cycle analysis in budgeting processes. Firm's location is preferable.
2)	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC – Firm is experienced being a consultant and has some experience on projects anticipated. Proposed project approach indicates understanding of scope and includes due diligence and budgeting information. Workload appears to be moderate. Firm's location is not ideal.
3)	Sparkman & Associates Architects, Inc. – Submittal indicates experience on similar scope projects. Project team is well qualified. Project approach was good and includes good information regarding budgeting. Firm's location in East Tennessee is not ideal.

Other Firms Submitted: Blankenship & Partners; Larry Woods & Associates

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

42

Project: Rocky Mount State Historic Site - Building Repairs and Site Upgrades

Agency: Historical Commission

Category: Minor – Direct Solicitation

SBC Number: 160/005-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 810,600.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 682,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 63,704.00

Project Description: Project will include a roof replacement and interior repairs for the existing Visitor Center, entrance drive and road repair, pathway remediation, and rail fencing replacement.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Reedy & Sykes Architecture and Design – Submittal demonstrated firm’s experience with roof replacements at historic sites, including this site. Proposed project team has experience on projects with similar scope. Project approach was detailed and showed logical progressions during design phases.
2)	Beeson, Lusk & Street, Inc. – Submittal indicated previous experience working on roof replacements and work at historic sites. Firm is familiar with project location, but project approach appeared to lack specificity.
2)	Sparkman & Associates - Firm has experience with roof replacements and historic sites. Project team is experienced on projects of similar scope. Response was detailed.

A total of 3 firms were solicited for this project.

Solicited Firms	Other Firms Submitting
Sparkman & Associates Architects	None
Reedy & Sykes Architecture and Design	
Beeson, Lusk & Street, Inc.	

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

43

Project: James K Polk Historic Home – Orman Building Demolition

Agency: Tennessee Historical Commission

Category: Minor – Direct Solicitation

SBC Number: 160/007-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 144,700.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 96,250.00

Designer Fee: \$ 10,985.00

Project Description: Demolish vacant non-historic buildings and related infrastructure that are located on the James K. Polk Home State Historic Site. The site will be seeded and strawed upon completion.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Design House 1411, LLC – The firm displayed experience in historic preservation and an understanding of complexities when demolishing/renovating structures adjacent to historic properties. The firm's project approach demonstrated full understanding of delivering a successful project.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

A total of 3 firms were solicited for this project.

Firms Solicited	Other firms submitting
Design House 1411, LLC	None
Scott Wilson Architects, LLC	
McFarlin Huitt Panvini, Inc.	

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

44

Project: Mid Cumberland Regional Health Office – Exterior Envelope Repairs

Agency: Health

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 408/002-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 430,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 368,500.00

Designer Fee: \$ 20,235.00

Project Description: Building envelope repairs including waterproofing, window repairs, drainage modifications and all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC – Submittal indicated similar project experience, familiarity with project site, and availability of all team members to devote adequate time to project. Sub-consultants listed have high levels of expertise on projects of similar scope as well.
2)	Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build – This is a new firm. Firm is familiar with project site and has lots of experience with Designer’s Manual. Listed masonry sub-consultant is experienced.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

45

Project: Northwest Regional Health Office – Roof Replacement
Agency: Health
Category: Minor
SBC Number: 408/007-01-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Total Project Budget: \$ 530,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 429,000.00
Designer Fee: \$ 41,872.00
Project Description: Replacement of existing roof systems and all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	TLM Associates, Inc. - Submittal demonstrated extensive knowledge and expertise on State projects of similar scope. Firm has familiarity working on projects within the area and has successfully worked on projects with the agency.
2)	HFR Design, Inc. – Firm has experience working on similar scope projects and is somewhat familiar with the area. Firm is qualified and has in-house structural engineering capabilities if required.
3)	A2H, Inc. – Submittal demonstrated experience on similar scope projects. Firm is qualified and has all consultant trades in-house. Location of firm not in close proximity to site.

Other Firms Submitted: M. Shanks Architects; Vaughan Associates Architects, Inc.

46

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institute – Fire Alarm Systems Upgrades

Agency: Mental Health

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 344/001-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 646,500.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 528,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 50,518.00

Project Description:

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	I. C. Thomasson Associates -The firm displayed experience with the particular scope based on examples provided, and their project approach demonstrated thorough ability to deliver a successful project.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: Fisher & Arnold, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

47

Project: Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institute – Roof Replacement

Agency: Mental Health

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 344/001-02-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 4,902,900.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 4,400,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 350,417.00

Project Description: Replacement of existing roof systems at the main building, the forensic building and the receptor (central kitchen) building due to partial failure and age. Project will include all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	M. Shanks Architects – Submittal showcased that firm and proposed team have experience working on projects of similar size and scope. Proposed project approach was detailed and specific to scope of project. Design firm principal has experience at project site.
2)	Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood, Inc. - Firm and proposed team has good previous project experience with similarly scoped projects. Proposed project approach was detailed and showcased previous experience with agency requirements.
3)	Kline Swinney Associates – Firm has experience on similarly scoped projects. Project approach was detailed and included roles of proposed team members.

Other Firms Submitted: Design House 1411, LLC; HFR Design, Inc.; McFarlin Huitt Panvini, Inc.

48

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Moccasin Bend Mental Health Institute - Roof Replacement

Agency: Mental Health

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 344/009-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 2,634,400.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 2,310,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 193,844.00

Project Description: Replacement of the existing ballasted roof system on the main building. Project will include all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Cogent Studio, LLC - Firm's submittal was detailed and displayed experience on roofing projects of all types. Proposed project team also has experience on roofing projects. Project approach is specific and discusses roles of team related to approach. Familiarity with site is an added bonus.
2)	Dethrick, Henley & Wilkerson Architects - Firm's submittal showcased previous project experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team is experienced on similar scoped projects. Project approach is detailed and includes energy efficient items.
3)	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC - Submittal showcased that firm is experienced on roofing projects of similar scope. Proposed project team is also experienced. Project approach appeared to lack detail.

Other Firms Submitted: Allen & Hoshall, Inc., Michael Brady Inc., Tim Burney Architects.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

49

Project: Clarksville Armory - Readiness Center Parking Improvements

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/011-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 580,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$466,200.00

Designer Fee: \$ 45,138.70

Project Description: Construct parking expansion to address parking deficit and install security lighting, ADA compliant sidewalks, other appurtenances and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	4Site, Inc. - Submittal indicated that firm has previous project experience that aligns closely with scope of this project. Project team is very qualified and experienced. Project approach is well defined and focuses on proactive facility research and owner coordination.
2)	Will Robinson & Associates - Firm and project team has experience on projects of similar scope, though slightly larger. Project approach was well defined.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

50

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Clarksville Readiness Center – Windows and Doors Replacement

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/011-02-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 230,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 188,760.00

Designer Fee: \$ 20,015.58

Project Description: Upgrade facility's building envelope with energy efficient alternatives and all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc. – Firm's submittal indicates that they have recent and relevant previous project experience. Located in close proximity to project site. Workload appears to be light.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

51

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Knoxville-Sutherland Armory – RC Renovation

Agency: Military

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 361/047-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 5,510,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 4,818,094.60

Designer Fee: \$ 380,978.75

Project Description: Complete mechanical, electrical, plumbing and architectural renovation and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Design House 1411, LLC – Firm is experienced working on projects of similar scope with the agency. Project team qualifications and roles are clearly defined. Project approach was very detailed and includes emphasis on initial site investigation. Workload appears light.
1)	The Lewis Group Architects, Inc. – Firm is experienced on projects of similar scope. Project team is qualified and team roles were clearly defined. Project approach was thorough and showcased firm’s approach for each design and construction phase. Workload appears light.
3)	Shaw and Shanks Architects, PC – Previous project experience aligns well with scope of project. Project approach was adequate and includes information on initial site investigation. Workload appears to be light to moderate.
3)	Smee + Busby Architects, PC – Firm is experienced on projects of similar scope. Project approach was thorough and included consideration for abatement. Workload appears to be moderate.

Other Firms Submitted: Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc.; Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.; Benefield Richters Company; Blankenship & Partners, LLC; Cope Associates, Inc.; Johnson Architecture, Inc.; Michael Brady, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Lexington Armory – Readiness Center Energy Updates and Re-Roof

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/053-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 710,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 585,778.60

Designer Fee: \$ 55,498.69

Project Description: Upgrade mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems with modernized efficient components, install new roof system, perform repairs to interior/exterior finishes, masonry, site drainage and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Design House 1411, LLC – Firm has recent and relevant project experience, including projects of similar scope for the agency. Proposed project approach was very well defined. Workload appears to be light to moderate.
2)	HFR Design, Inc. – Submittal indicated firm’s relevant project experience. Proposed project team is well qualified. Propose project approach appeared to lack some detail.
3)	A2H, Inc. - Firm and proposed project team has relevant project experience. Project approach is well defined. Workload appears to be moderate.

Other Firms Submitted: Allen & Hoshall, Inc.; Bendure Architecture, LLC; Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Livingstone Readiness Center – Re-Roof and Facility Update

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/054-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 200,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 161,989.30

Designer Fee: \$ 17,457.95

Project Description: Replace EPDM roof system and make repairs to metal roof system. Repair exterior doors, interior/exterior finishes, masonry, and site drainage and include all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Upland Design Group, Inc. - Firm has recent and relevant experience on projects of similar scope and experience working with Agency. Proposed project team is well qualified as are roles within project. Project approach is well defined and tailored to specific project. Workload is light to moderate.
2)	Roof Design & Consulting Services, Inc. – Firm has recent relevant experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team composed of all in-house members with no apparent need for outside sub-consultants. Project approach was adequate. Workload appears light to moderate.
3)	CTI Engineers, Inc. – Firm has relevant project experience. Proposed project team includes all in-house members. Project approach is good and appears to be analysis driven. Workload appears moderate.
3)	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC – Firm has some relevant project experience and recent experience on similar projects with agency. Project team is well qualified and no apparent need for outside sub-consultants noted. Workload appears moderate.

Other Firms Submitted: Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC; Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Milan Armory – Readiness Center Re-roof and Facility Update

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/062-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/16

Total Project Budget: \$ 740,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 626,740.40

Designer Fee: \$ 59,004.47

Project Description: Replace metal roof system; perform repairs to interior/exterior finishes, masonry, site drainage and all required related work. Make minor repairs to pre-engineered metal building.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Clark/Dixon Associates – Submittal indicated that firm has extensive experience on similarly sized and scoped projects for the agency. Proposed project team is well qualified and roles of staff were well defined. Project approach is detailed and includes evaluation of existing conditions. Workload appears light.
2)	Vaughan Associates Architects, Inc. – Firm has relevant project experience. Project team’s roles were well defined. Project approach is detailed and includes initial site investigation and relationship to Designer’s Manual. Workload appears moderate.
3)	Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc. – Submittal showcased experience on relevant projects. Project teams roles and qualifications were well defined. Project approach appeared to be generalized. Workload appears moderate.

Other Firms Submitted: A2H, Inc.; Allen & Hoshall, Inc.; Bendure Architecture, LLC; HFR Design, Inc; Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build; Roof Design & Consulting Services, Inc.

55

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Monteagle Armory – Readiness Center Re-Roof and Facility Update

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/069-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 660,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 556,792.50

Designer Fee: \$ 53,005.43

Project Description: Replace metal roof system; perform repairs to interior/exterior finishes, masonry, site drainage, and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Roof Design & Consulting Services, Inc. – Firm and proposed project team is well qualified and has recent and relevant project experience. Proposed project team is all in-house with no expectation of outside consultants being needed. Workload appears to be light to moderate.
2)	Dethrick, Henley & Wilkerson Architects – Submittal indicated that firm has some recent and relevant previous project experience. Proposed project team is experienced and team roles were clearly stated. Project approach was detailed, lacked elaboration on the design process. Moderate workload.
3)	Upland Design Group, Inc. – Submittal included previous project experience on a similar project. Project team is well qualified and roles were clearly stated. Workload appears to be light.

Other Firms Submitted: Allen & Hoshall, Inc.; CTI Engineers, Inc.; Design House 1411, LLC; Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architect, PLLC; Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc.; Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Murfreesboro Armory - Readiness Center Parking Improvements

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 362/064-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 950,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 780,150.00

Designer Fee: \$ 71,974.44

Project Description: Construct parking expansion to address a parking deficit and install security lighting, ADA compliant sidewalks, other appurtenances and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	4Site, Inc. – Firm is very experienced on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team is also experienced and roles were well defined. Project approach is very detailed with special attention to ADA requirements. Workload appears to be light.
1)	HFR Design, Inc. - Firm is qualified and demonstrated recent and relevant project experience. Project approach is detailed and identifies each step relating to project scope. Workload appears to be light.
3)	Lose & Associates, Inc. – Firm is experienced on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team appears qualified. Propose project approach was brief, but firm demonstrated familiarity with State processes.

Other Firms Submitted: Environmental & Civil Engineering Services; Will Robinson & Associates.

57

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Ripley Armory – Readiness Center Energy Updates and Re-roof

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/077-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 880,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 740,173.50

Designer Fee: \$ 68,616.87

Project Description: Upgrade mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems with modernized efficient components install new roof system, perform repairs to interior/exterior finishes, masonry, site drainage and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Clark Dixon Associates – Submittal indicated that firm has recent and relevant project experience on projects of similar size and scope. Proposed project team is very well qualified. Proposed project approach is well defined and included a time line for design phases.
2)	HFR Design, Inc. – Firm has recent and relevant project experience. Project team and consultant are qualified. Project approach is well defined. Workload appears to be moderate.
3)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. – Firm has recent and relevant experience. Project team is well qualified and indicated specific roles. Project approach lacked some detail. Workload appears light.

Other Firms Submitted: A2H, Inc.; Oliver Little Gipson Engineering, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Russellville Readiness Center – Re-roof and Facility Updates

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/063-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 130,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 105,482.30

Designer Fee: \$ 11,914.89

Project Description: Installation of new roof assembly; perform repairs to interior and exterior finishes and all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Roof Design & Consulting Services, Inc. - Submittal indicated vast previous project experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team includes all in-house members with no apparent need for outside sub-consultants. Project approach was thorough. Workload appears light to moderate.
2)	Shaw and Shanks Architects, PC- Firm is experienced on projects of similar scope. Project team appears to be well qualified but submitted information was somewhat brief. Project approach was thorough and indicated familiarity with facility and project location. Workload appears light to moderate.
3)	Michael Brady, Inc. – Firm has experience on projects of similar scope within military facilities. Proposed project team includes all in-house team members. Workload appear moderate.

Other Firms Submitted: Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc.; Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Tullahoma Armory - Readiness Center Re-Roof and Facility Update

Agency: Military

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 361/093-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 590,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 492,981.50

Designer Fee: \$ 47,476.88

Project Description: Install new roof systems and windows; perform repairs to interior/exterior finishes, masonry, plumbing, site drainage, and all required related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Dethrick, Henley & Wilkerson Architects - Firm is located within a reasonable proximity to project site. Submittal indicated relevant project experience. Project team is well qualified on projects of similar scope. Proposed project approach is well defined. Workload appears moderate.
2)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. - Submittal demonstrated that both firm and proposed project team have knowledge and experience on similar scope projects. Project approach is good, but lacks some detail concerning owner involvement. Workload appears moderate.
3)	Upland Design Group, Inc. - Both firm and project team are experienced on similar scope project. Project approach is good but lacks information regarding State, phasing and budget controls. Workload appears light.

Other Firms Submitted: Bendure Architecture, Inc.; CTI Engineers, Inc.; Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC; Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC; Lyle Cook Martin Architects, Inc.; Oliver Little Gipson Engineering, Inc.; Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build; Roof Design & Consulting Services.

60

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Tennessee Highway Patrol District 8 Headquarters – New Jackson THP District Headquarters

Agency: General Services

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 502/006-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 8,348,100.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 7,023,119.00

Designer Fee: \$ 431,507.00

Project Description: Construct a new headquarters.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood, Inc. – Recent and relevant previous project experience is well aligned with the needs of this project. Proposed project teams experience was well represented within submitted previous projects. Proposed project approach was specific and detailed. Firm has a familiarity with agency and project location.
2)	TMPartners, PLLC – Submittal demonstrated relevant project experience for both firm and proposed project team. Proposed project approach is well organized and provide details specific to this project. No apparent familiarity with project location. Workload appears to be moderate.
3)	Kennon Calhoun Workshop, PLLC - Submittal indicated recent and relevant project experience, including work on facilities similar to this project. Proposed project team is experienced and included a law enforcement consultant. Workload appears light.
3)	TLM Associates, Inc. – Firm’s location is in close proximity to project locations. Submittal provided recent and relevant project experience. Proposed project approach is specific to project but appears to be generalized.

Other Firms Submitted: A2H, Inc.; Allen & Hoshall, Inc.; Clark Dixon Associates; Evans Taylor Foster Childress Architects, P.C.; Fleming Associates Architects, PC; Johnson + Associates Architects, LLC; Renaissance Group, Inc.; Vaughan Associates Architects

61

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: The Tennessee Residence – Security Building Renovations

Agency: General Services

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 529/028-01-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 579,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 462,000.00

Designer Fee: \$ 44,771.00

Project Description: Exterior repairs and restoration, interior renovations, upgrades to building mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems and all related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood, Inc. – Firm’s submittal demonstrated that the firm and the proposed project team are not only experienced on similar projects, but also have experience at the project site. Project approach indicates a good understanding of the project and its critical items.
2)	HFR Design, Inc. – Firm has extensive experience on projects of similar scope including working at historic facilities. Proposed project approach is well-thought out, but didn’t appear to be specific to this project.
3)	Kennon Calhoun Workshop – Firm has a good amount of experience on projects of similar scope and of historic nature. Project team is small, but is very capable. Project approach lacked some detail.

Other Firms Submitted: None

62

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Various Facilities – Architectural Consultant
Agency: Tennessee Wildlife Resource Agency
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 220/000-01-2016
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: \$ 50,000.00
Project Description: Consultant to support the agency for project development and associated facility needs.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Bauer Askew Architecture, PLLC – Submittal demonstrated that firm is experienced working on contracts of similar type and with projects for TWRA. Firm’s location in Middle TN is preferable for this contract. Project team is qualified and project approach is well defined.
1)	Larry Woods & Associates – Firm is located centrally in state which is preferable. Past project experience demonstrates a good range of project experience and experience on projects of similar type. Workload appears to be light.
3)	Blankenship & Partners, LLC – Submittal showcased some recent and relevant project experience. Proposed project team is well qualified. Office location is not preferable and workload appears heavy

Other Firms Submitted: Robert A Qualey Architecture: Design + Build

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

63

Project: Cockrill Bend Weapons Training Facility – New Indoor Training Facility

Agency: General Services

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 529/017-02-2016

Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016

Total Project Budget: \$ 23,620,00.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 19,892,250.00

Designer Fee: \$ 990,782.00

Project Description: Construct a new indoor firing range for several state agencies to support officer weapons training and all require related work.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Kline Swinney Associates – Submittal demonstrated firm’s recent and relevant experience on projects of similar scope. Proposed project team is experienced and includes well qualified specialty sub consultants. Proposed project approach was very detailed and gave good descriptions of work to occur at different phases.
2)	Kennon Calhoun Workshop, PLLC – Submittal indicated that firm’s previous project experience aligns well with scope of this project. Propose Project team is well qualified and experienced on project of similar scope. Project approach indicated understanding of specific design phases and project development.
3)	M. Shanks Architects – Proposed project team is well qualified and includes sub-consultants that are also well-qualified. Proposed project approach is well defined and included review of current conditions and requirements. Workload for firm is light, but sub-consultant workload was not mentioned.

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

64

Project: Statewide Structural Engineering Floor Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to prove the State's need for structural engineering needs on a variety of projects.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. – Submittal demonstrated that firm has recent and relevant project experience. Firm's main office is centrally located, with other office locations across the state. Project approach is detailed and shows a systematic approach. Entire team is in-house with no outside consultants required.
1)	Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. – Submittal demonstrated firm's recent and relevant project experience. Main office located in Memphis with additional office in Nashville. Project approach is well defined and suited nicely for a project of this type. No apparent need for outside consultants.
3)	CTI Engineers, Inc. – Firm has recent and relevant project experience. Main office located in Chattanooga with additional office in Nashville. Project approach is applicable to project, but lacked some detail.

Other Firms Submitted: Burr & Cole Consulting Engineers, Inc.; RBA Structural Engineering, LLC

65

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Statewide Historic Architectural Floor Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to provide State's needs for historic architectural consulting services on a variety of projects.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC - Submittal showcased that firm and proposed project team has vast experience working with the State on historic projects. Proposed project approach was well vetted and should a good understanding of scope. Workload appears moderate.
2)	Centric Architecture - Firm has extensive experience working on similar scope project and at historic facilities. Project team has recent and relevant project experience. Project approach was well thought out and indicated an understanding of scope. Workload appears heavy.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

66

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: East Tennessee – Survey Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies' East Tennessee needs for survey consulting services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc. – Firm submittal showcased good experience on projects of similar scope. Project team has substantial experience on similar projects. Project approach is well detailed
2)	Michael Brady, Inc. – Firm and team have experience working on projects of similar scope. Project approach was well organized.
3)	OHM Advisors - Firm and team is well qualified on similar scope projects. Project approach is detailed. Workload appears heavy.

Other Firms Submitted: None

67

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: East Tennessee – Roofing & Envelope Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies West Tennessee needs for Roofing and Envelope services

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Architects Weeks Ambrose McDonald, Inc. – Firm and proposed project team has good previous project experience including experience investigating for water intrusion through roof and envelope. Project approach is well detailed and demonstrated experience with Designer’s Manual.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

68

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Various Facilities – East Tennessee Architectural Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: N/A; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve the State's East Tennessee needs for architectural consulting services on a variety of projects.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Adams Craft Herz Walker, Inc. – Firm's main office is located centrally in region. Submittal demonstrated experience with projects of similar size and scope anticipated with this contract and included experience with a similar contract with another SPA. Proposed project team roles and responsibilities were clearly identified.
1)	Hefferlin + Kronenberg Architects, PLLC – Firm is located centrally in project area. Demonstrated experience working on projects of similar size and scope of those anticipated with this contract. Proposed project team's roles and responsibilities were clearly identified and team is experienced.
3)	The Lewis Group Architects, Inc. P.C. – Firm is located centrally in project area. Submittal demonstrated some experience on projects of similar size and scope. Proposed project team roles and responsibilities was clearly identified, with experience seeming to be interior design heavy.

Other Firms Submitted: Blankenship & Partners, LLC

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

69

Project: Middle Tennessee – Roofing & Envelope Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies West Tennessee needs for Roofing and Envelope services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Smith Seckman Reid, Inc. – Firm’s submittal showcased experience and expertise on roofing and envelopes projects. Location of firm in Nashville is ideal for this project. Project approach was well detailed and including team member’s roles and responsibilities.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None

70

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Middle Tennessee – Survey Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations.
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies' Middle Tennessee needs for survey consulting services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc. – Firms submitted experience on a good variety of similarly scoped projects. Firm is located centrally in region. Project approach was detailed and well organized. Workload appears light.
2)	OHM Advisors – Submittal showcased good experience on a variety of similar projects. Firm is located in Nashville. Workload appears moderate to heavy.
3)	DBS & Associates Engineer, Inc. – Submittal indicates firm's experience on similar scope projects. Project team is qualified. Workload appear moderate to heavy.

Other Firms Submitted: None

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

71

Project: West Tennessee – Architectural Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies' West Tennessee Regional needs for architectural consulting services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	TLM Associates, Inc. - Submittal showcased firm's substantial experience in planning and design of projects with similar scope. Proposed project team has lots of experience on similar scope projects. Workload appears light.
2)	A2H, Inc. - Firm has good experience on similar scoped project. Project team is well qualified. Project approach appeared brief and not very detailed. Workload appears light to moderate.
2)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. - Firm has experience on similar scoped project. Project team has good experience. Workload appears light.

Other Firms Submitted: Fleming Architects; Pickering Firm, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

72

Project: West Tennessee – Survey Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529/000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations.
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies' West Tennessee needs for survey consulting services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	Allen & Hoshall, Inc. – Firm’s submittal showcased substantial experience on projects of similar scope for both the private and government sectors. Project team has many years of experience. Workload appears light. Location of firm is ideal.
2)	Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc. - Firm and team has experience on similar scope projects. Project approach is well organized. Firm is located in Nashville and is of some concern. Workload appears light.
3)	Pickering Firm, Inc. - Firm and team are experienced on similar scope projects. Workload appears moderate. Firm is located in Memphis.
3)	OHM Advisors – Firm and team are experience on similar scoped projects. Workload appears heavy. Firm located in Nashville. Project approach is well organized.

Other Firms Submitted: None

73

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: West Tennessee – Roofing & Envelope Consultant
Agency: General Services
Category: Standard
SBC Number: 529,000-08-2012
Project Approval Date: 07/14/2016
Maximum Liability: Not Applicable; Based on Task Authorizations
Project Description: Consultant to serve multiple State Agencies West Tennessee needs for Roofing and Envelope services.

RANKING	RECOMMENDATION
1)	UrbanArch Associates - Firm's submittal showcased their expertise and experience on envelope and roofing projects. Proposed project team is well-qualified. Project approach was well organized, detailed and listed team member's roles and responsibilities.
2)	Vaughan Associates Architects, Inc. - Firm is experienced on roofing and envelope projects. Proposed project team is experience on similar scope projects. Project approach was generalized and somewhat brief.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: None