



Tennessee Board of Regents

Office of Facilities Development

Suite 664 at 1415 Murfreesboro Road in Nashville, Tennessee 37217-2833
(615) 366 – 4431 FAX (615) 366 – 3992

1

Designer Recommendations

Project: MTSU Campus Quadrangle
Category: Minor
Total Budget: 2,000,000
MACC: 1,760,000
Designer Fee: 84,623
SBC Project No: 166/009-11-2015

Provide Full Planning (program through CDP) for a project that includes sidewalks, lighting, and landscaping in the central student campus quadrangle, between KUC and LRC, and the new Science Building, and connecting to area sidewalks. This project will include grading, drainage, and utility coordination as required, ADA accommodations, site equipment, and signage.

1. Hodgson Douglas, LLC.

Four referenced projects include quads of similar size and type. Firm is familiar with quadrangle in this project and has experience working on other quads on campus. Consultants have work experience with lead and similar project experience.

2. Gresham, Smith and Partners

Projects include four campus master plans, and one landscape project. Team members have experience in similar type projects as proposed work. All disciplines of work are in-house (architecture, planning, civil, landscape, environmental, and graphics).

3. Lose & Associates

Referenced projects include one plaza, and four parking lots. Designer's team members have similar project experience and have worked together previously on referenced projects. Electrical consultant has worked with team members and has similar project experience

A total of 6 firms submitted Letters of Interest for this project:

Firm Name	Location
Gresham, Smith and Partners	Nashville
Hodgson Douglas, LLC	Nashville
James + Associates	Nashville
Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Lose & Associates, Inc.	Nashville
Ross/Fowler, P.C.	Knoxville



THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE
KNOXVILLE • CHATTANOOGA • MARTIN • MEMPHIS • TULLAHOMA

Office of Capital Projects

Designer Recommendation

December 11, 2015

Project: Campus Beautification Projects – Volunteer Blvd Phase 1
UT Knoxville
SBC 540/009-08-2015

Category: Standard

Total Budget: \$6,400,000.00

MACC: \$5,720,000.00

This project will improve Volunteer Boulevard from West Cumberland Ave. to East Cumberland Ave. This project phase will improve landscaping on Volunteer Boulevard from Circle Park to UT Drive (2,800 linear feet).

1. Ross/Fowler, P.C.
This firm has extensive experience on several projects on the UT Knoxville campus. They have also submitted work previously completed on other streetscape projects in Knoxville, Franklin, and Chattanooga which are similar in size to this project, and have components which would be beneficial in the design of this project. The Ross Fowler staff are experienced, and they have proposed a strong team of consultants. They are located in Knoxville.
2. Barge, Waggoner, Sumner and Cannon, Inc.
Project experience covers vast scopes and construction costs. They have worked on similar projects on the UT Knoxville campus, Gatlinburg, Knoxville, and Branson, MO. This experience includes components that would be required for this project. The staff proposed are experienced and knowledgeable regarding the UT Knoxville campus. The majority of the consultant team is with BWSC and are mainly located in their Knoxville office.
3. Hedstrom Design, LLC
Projects listed include work done in Knoxville and Cherokee, NC. The project approach given in relation to this project gave insightful awareness on how the firm solves design issues. Members of the staff proposed are qualified and have worked on the UT Knoxville campus previously. Their consultant team has worked together with this firm and are skilled in their areas of expertise. All members of this team are located in Knoxville.

A total of 6 firms submitted qualifications for this project. Other firms submitting are as follows:

- Carol R. Johnson Associates
- Gresham, Smith and Partners
- Stephen W. Hackney Landscape Architecture



THE UNIVERSITY of TENNESSEE
KNOXVILLE • CHATTANOOGA • MARTIN • MEMPHIS • TULLAHOOMA

Office of Capital Projects

Designer Recommendation

December 11, 2015

Project: Neyland Stadium Improvements
UT Knoxville
SBC 540/009-07-2015

Category: Minor

Total Budget: \$3,000,000.00

MACC: \$2,750,000.00

This project will provide the maintenance of Neyland Stadium with such items as, but not limited to, painting, waterproofing, graphics, handrail replacement, walking surfaces improvements, and improvements to the suites in the East Skyboxes.

- 1. McCarty Holsaple McCarty Architects & Interior Designers, Inc.

This firm has worked on multiple projects involving Neyland Stadium, as well as other similar projects for the Athletic Department. They also cite another stadium project which shows their understanding in the design of these types of facilities. Their staff is seasoned and have worked closely on the projects noted. The consultants that will be assisting are reliable and have worked with the campus previously. The team is located in Knoxville.

- 2. Studio Four Design

Project experience shows personnel who were intimately involved with previous renovation and construction of Neyland Stadium. They have also worked on another mass entertainment venue for the UTK campus. They have highlighted their previous knowledge and embodiment of work in these facilities. The staff proposed is capable and bring a wealth of familiarity with this project. They are located in Knoxville.

A total of 3 firms were solicited for this project with only 2 submitting qualifications.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: ADA Upgrades – Various Facilities

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 126/000-01-2015

Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015

Total Project Budget: \$ 2,850,00.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 2,469,203.00

Designer Fee: \$ 237,758.50

Project Description: This project will provide ADA upgrades at Cedars of Lebanon, Nathan Bedford Forrest, Burgess Falls and Alvin C. York State Parks. ADA upgrades will include but not limited to the following: the removal of barriers both interior and exterior that hinder access, improvements to public and staff rests room, campground shower and restroom facilities. The creation of accessible parking and walkway improvements between facilities within the Parks as required.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	Kline Swinney Associates - example projects demonstrate the experience and capability to provide the state wide service needed to complete the projects
2)	Larry Woods and Associate - example projects as submitted demonstrate a past experience with ADA compliance projects. Recent experience is lacking.
3)	Binkley Garcia Architecture - example projects as submitted indicate experience with ADA projects. Complete clarity was lacking in the presentation of qualifications.

Other Firms Submitted: Street Dixon Rick Architecture, PLC

5

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Bathhouse Replacement – Various facilities

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Standard

SBC Number: 126/000-02-2015

Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015

Total Project Budget: \$ 5,100,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 2,337,997.00

Designer Fee: \$ 90,138.40

Project Description: Bathhouse/restroom facilities will be replaced at five State Parks. The Park locations are: Pickwick Landing, David Crockett, Tim’s Ford, Harrison Bay, and Warriors Path. The facilities will be replaced with precast modular structures purchased by the State and set by the General Contractor performing the site preparation. The Designer of record will be responsible for preparing demolition, site utility, and landscape bid packages for each location.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	CTI Engineers, Inc. – Submittal showcased prior experience working on projects within State Parks, including modular bathhouse projects. Project approach indicated experience with modular building processes and approvals. Clearly identified roles of team members.
2)	Reedy & Sykes Architecture and Design – Firm has relevant and recent project experience, and is qualified to complete this project. Some concerns over location due to main office being in East Tennessee. Moderate workload is of some concern.
3)	TLM Associates, Inc. – Submittal exhibited some experience with similar projects. Project approach and staffing roles were well described. Workload appears to be moderate to heavy.

Other Firms Submitted: Burr & Cole Consulting Engineers, Inc.; Gresham, Smith and Partners; Griggs and Maloney, Inc.; Larry Woods & Associates; Tim Burney Architects

6

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Bridge Replacement – Chickasaw State Park

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 126/021-02-2015

Project Approval Date: 07/09/2105

Total Project Budget: \$ 790,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 664,125.00

Designer Fee: \$ 49,750.00

Project Description: Replacement of an existing 400' long timber framed bridge spanning the water feature at Chickasaw State Park identified as Lake Placid. The replacement bridge is to be timber framed and ADA accessible. The bridge structure must allow the passage of small row boats under it at, at least one point. The bridge will have on one end a large viewing platform. The new bridge shall be a minimum of 5' wide, clear inside the railing system. The lake is shallow and will be drained to permit soil conditions and construction activity on a dry lake bed.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	Burr and Cole Consulting Engineers - Project examples exhibit appropriate experience for the scope of this project. The project approach as submitted demonstrates an understanding of this particular type of project and will provide enhanced visualization during the design development phase.
2)	TLM Associates, Inc. - Project examples exhibit appropriate experience for the scope of this project. The project approach demonstrates an understanding of this particular type of project. Their office is approximately 25 miles from the site.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: Smith Seckman Reid, Inc.

7

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Campground Improvements – Chickasaw State Park
Agency: Environment & Conservation
Category: Minor
SBC Number: 126/021-01-2015
Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015
Total Project Budget: \$ 2,650,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 2,210,768.00
Designer Fee: \$ 186,198.00
Project Description: Upgrade campsites to include direct water hookups and 50 amp electrical service for RV's. Replace three existing bathhouses with new modular buildings and all related utility upgrades required. Construction will be in two phases for seasonal reasons, phase one will end May 15, 2017, phase two will start September 5, 2017 and end December 01, 2017.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	A2H, Inc. - Project examples are appropriate, project approach is specific, has experience with modular construction.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc.



DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Campground Improvements – David Crockett State Park
Agency: Environment & Conservation
Category: Minor
SBC Number: 126/033-02-2015
Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015
Total Project Budget: \$ 970,000.00
MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 734,965.00
Designer Fee: \$ 68,178.00
Project Description: Upgrades to campsites to include direct water hookups, 50 amp electric services for RV's and all related utility upgrades

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	CTI Engineers, Inc. - Project examples are of campground upgrades. Their project approach demonstrates an understanding of the requirements for the project.
2)	A2H, Inc. - Project examples are primarily of water distribution systems which comprises only part of the scope of the campground upgrade.
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: Littlejohn Engineering Associates, Inc.

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Campground Renovation – Cedars of Lebanon State Park

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 126/015-01-2015

Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015

Total Project Budget: \$ 2,200,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 1,831,500.00

Designer Fee: \$ 125,376.00

Project Description: Upgrade campsites to include direct water hookups and 50 amp electrical services for RV's. Replace three existing bathhouses with new modular buildings and all related utility upgrades required. Construction will be in two phases for seasonal reasons, phase one will end May 15, 2017, phase two will start September 5, 2017 and end December 01, 2017.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	CTI Engineers, Inc. - Project approach as submitted is clearly defined and specific to the requirements of the project. Firm indicated they have worked with modular construction.
2)	N/A
3)	N/A

Other Firms Submitted: Littlejohn Engineering Associates

10

DESIGNER EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: Sewage Treatment Upgrade – Fall Creek Falls State Park

Agency: Environment & Conservation

Category: Minor

SBC Number: 126/036-01-2015

Project Approval Date: 07/09/2015

Total Project Budget: \$ 1,800,000.00

MACC (Maximum Allowable Construction Cost): \$ 1,465,473.00

Designer Fee: \$ 127,820.00

Project Description: Renovation of the sewage collection systems to correct leakage and infiltration. Corrective measures to include but not limited to re-lining of existing pipe, replacement of runs of piping, replacement of pumps and motors, and upgrades to electrical supply and controls of the system. Expansion of the holding tank capacity to two days peak capacity as a minimum.

<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
1)	Hethcoat & Davis, Inc. - Project examples and approach as submitted indicate this firm is qualified for the scope of the work of this project. Firm's workload appears to be moderate to light.
2)	CTI Engineers, Inc. - Project examples and the project approach as submitted indicate exhibit experience with and an understanding of this type of project. Firm's workload appears to be moderate.
3)	Littlejohn Engineering Associates - Provided appropriate project examples and their project approach demonstrated an understanding of the scope of work. Firm's workload appears to be moderate to heavy.

Other Firms Submitted: None