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MR. BAYNE: Commissioner Bayne, second.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: It's been moved and seconded that we adopt the agenda. Any discussion?

All in favor, say aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: All opposed?
Motion carries.
Next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes from the last education committee and commission meeting. Has each commission member received and had time to review the copy of these minutes, and if so, is there a motion to adopt these minutes?

MR. RICHARDS: Make a motion to adopt, Jerry Richards.

MR. SHANKS: Doug Shanks, second the
motion.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: It's been moved and seconded that we adopt the minutes. Any discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: All opposed?
Motion carries.
MS. VEST: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, I'm
sorry, but we're going to have to back up because we
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have to -- I have to do a roll call since we have
someone on -- one of our commission members is on the phone.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: We can't hear him?
MS. VEST: I didn't hear him vote at
all.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes, I did on both, yes.
MS. VEST: All right. Would you
identify yourself when you do call in to vote?
Thank you.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Next on the agenda is the legal report by the staff attorney.

MR. HUFFMAN: Good morning. Stuart Huffman for the department.

Number 1 on the legal report is 2018025961. Complainant hired respondent for services during her divorce/custody trial in August of 2016. Complainant and respondent have kept in contact since the investigation was concluded.
Complainant alleges respondent recently asked for a $\$ 5,000$ loan, and when she refused, respondent sent her an alleged unpaid bill for investigation
services of over $\$ 28,000$. Complainant alleges she paid respondent in full. Complainant also provided
texts showing the respondent was requesting a loan and believes she is being extorted.

Complainant also alleges respondent has contacted her ex-husband and made false allegations and statements.

In respondent's letter, he makes serious allegations against complainant to which complainant denies. Respondent states complainant and he were in a personal relationship after the divorce and complainant used this relationship to avoid paying the invoice. Respondent states the $\$ 5,000$ request was for a partial payment towards the invoice and not a loan. Respondent admits to calling complainant's ex-husband, knowing that he would relay any message to the complainant. Respondent denies any and all allegations.

Complainant rebutted, stating that her ex-husband told her that respondent offered to sell information about complainant for $\$ 5,000$ in the case -- and the case that could cause her to lose custody of her children. The ex-husband confirmed this exchange with the investigator.

The recommendation is to authorize formal charges and consent order with a civil penalty in the amount of $\$ 1,000$ for violation of
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Tennessee Rules and Regs 1175-04-.05, "Conflict of Interest: No licensee or employee of a licensee shall contact or cause to be contacted any individual under investigation for the purpose of revealing confidential information to that individual," and Tennessee Rules and Regs 1175-04-.07, "Confidential Client Information: Licensee shall not disclose confidential information without the specific consent of the client."

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Any discussion by the commission?

MR. BAYNE: I have a question.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Go ahead.
MR. BAYNE: I'm reading something.
MR. BROWN: He owes a fine from a previous case, is that --

MR. HUFFMAN: It goes back to 2000, so there's not much information. I think it was more of renewal of an application fine, but I couldn't confirm it.

MR. ROUSSEAU: Alan Rosseau. I have a question to counsel.

MS. VEST: Go ahead.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Counsel, what is your recommendation to the commission?

| 1 MR. HUFFMAN: My recommendation on this 9 | 1 discussion? Page 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 complaint -- this is actually against the | 2 MR. BAYNE: Just one comment. This |
| 3 individual -- is a \$1,000 civil penalty. | 3 is -- I consider this almost egregious and find I'm |
| 4 MR. ROUSSEAU: Okay. Thank you. | $4-$-- concur with the counsel on authorizing formal |
| 5 MR. BROWN: Is that amount in keeping | 5 charges. |
| 6 with what we've done in similar cases? | 6 MS. VEST: I think you -- Mr. Huffman, |
| 7 MS. VEST: Yes. | 7 could you explain the difference in paying the fine |
| 8 MR. BROWN: Okay. I just wanted to be | 8 and formal charges? |
| 9 sure. | 9 MR. BAYNE: Thank you. |
| 10 MR. BAYNE: That answers my question. | 10 MR. HUFFMAN: What we're doing, we're |
| 11 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do I hear a motion? | 11 authorizing formal charges against that person. |
| 12 MR. FLAIR: I'll make a motion we accept | 12 However, in the consent order this is more of a -- |
| 13 counsel's recommendation. | 13 they can pay the fine, and then we do not go to a |
| 14 MR. BAYNE: Second. | 14 hearing, or they do not pay the fine and then we |
| 15 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: It has been moved | 15 actually go to a hearing automatically. |
| 16 and seconded. Any further discussion? | 16 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: What is the options |
| 17 All in favor, say aye. | 17 in the hearing? |
| 18 (Chorus of ayes.) | 18 MR. HUFFMAN: The options are anywhere |
| 19 MS. VEST: I have to do a roll call | 19 from a civil penalty to revocation. |
| 20 vote. We will need to do a roll call. | 20 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Of the license? |
| 21 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Let's do a | 21 MR. HUFFMAN: Of the license. |
| 22 roll call vote then. | 22 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Any further |
| 23 MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne? | 23 discussion? |
| 24 MR. BAYNE: Present and aye. | 24 MR. BAYNE: Thank you for the |
| 25 MS. VEST: David Brown? | 25 clarification. |
| Page 10 | Page 12 |
| 1 MR. BROWN: Aye. | 1 MR. HUFFMAN: You're welcome. |
| 2 MS. VEST: Larry Flair? | 2 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do I hear a motion? |
| 3 MR. FLAIR: Aye. | 3 MR. RICHARDS: I make a motion that we |
| 4 MS. VEST: Jerry Richards? | 4 accept the recommendation. |
| 5 MR. RICHARDS: Aye. | 5 MR. BROWN: Seconded. |
| 6 MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau? | 6 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Moved and seconded |
| 7 MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye. | 7 to approve. All in favor, say aye -- roll call. |
| 8 MS. VEST: Doug Shanks? | 8 MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne? |
| 9 MR. SHANKS: Aye. | 9 MR. BAYNE: Aye. |
| 10 MS. VEST: Walt Valentine? | 10 MS. VEST: David Brown? |
| 11 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Aye. | 11 MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 12 MS. VEST: Your ayes have it. | 12 MS. VEST: Larry Flair? |
| 13 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. The second | 13 MR. FLAIR: Aye. |
| 14 legal report? | 14 MS. VEST: Jerry Richards? |
| 15 MR. HUFFMAN: Number 2, 2018026001. | 15 MR. RICHARDS: Aye. |
| 16 This is the exact complaint previously discussed. | 16 MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau? |
| 17 It's just against the company. The recommendation | 17 MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye. |
| 18 is to authorize formal charges to send a consent | 18 MS. VEST: Doug Shanks? |
| 19 order with a civil penalty in the amount of \$500 for | 19 MR. SHANKS: Aye. |
| 20 violation of Tennessee Rules and Regs 1175-04-.06, | 20 MS. VEST: Walt Valentine? |
| 21 sub 4: "The licensee shall not bill a client for | 21 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Aye. |
| 22 services or expenses which have not been provided or | 22 MS. VEST: The ayes have it. |
| 23 incurred." This goes back to sending an invoice | 23 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Number 3. |
| 24 with no detailed history. | 24 MR. HUFFMAN: Number 3, 2018048241. |
| 25 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Any further | 25 Complainant claims they hired respondent to |


| 1 investigate allegations that his former probate Page 13 |  | the previous complaint. So the summary is the same ${ }^{\text {Pa }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 attorney had colluded with a bank to limit the | 2 | and the recommendation is the same, to close. |
| 3 bank's liability in a lost will case. Complainant | 3 | MR. BROWN: Approve it, the counsel's |
| 4 later retained another probate attorney, however | 4 | order. |
| 5 states that the current probate attorney and | 5 | MR. SHANKS: Second. |
| 6 respondent refused to discuss complainant's | 6 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Moved and seconded |
| 7 collusion allegations against the former probate | 7 | to approve. Any discussion? |
| 8 attorney. Complainant alleges he filed Board of | 8 | Call the roll. |
| 9 Professional Responsibility complaints against both | 9 | MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne? |
| 10 attorneys and they were disciplined. | 10 | MR. BAYNE: Aye. |
| 11 Respondent contracted with the probate | 11 | MS. VEST: David Brown? |
| 12 attorney and not the complainant. Respondent also | 12 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 13 states that there were no disciplinary actions taken | 13 | MS. VEST: Larry Flair? |
| 14 against either probate attorney or himself. | 14 | MR. FLAIR: Aye. |
| 15 Respondent had earlier concerns of complainant would | 15 | MS. VEST: Jerry Richards? |
| 16 tie himself and the probate attorney into his | 16 | MR. RICHARDS: Aye. |
| 17 alleged conspiracy with the bank simply because they | 17 | MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau? |
| 18 disagreed with the allegations. Respondent also | 18 | MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye. |
| 19 states that the parties, including probate attorney, | 19 | MS. VEST: Doug Shanks? |
| 20 respondent, and complainant, agreed in writing that | 20 | MR. SHANKS: Aye. |
| 21 they would not be pursuing the conspiracy or | 21 | MS. VEST: Walt Valentine? |
| 22 collusion allegations. | 22 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Aye. |
| 23 Recommendation is to close. | 23 | MS. VEST: The ayes have it. |
| 24 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Any | 24 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Motion carries. |
| 25 discussion or comments? | 25 | Thank you, sir. |
| PR Fage 14 |  | Page 16 |
| 1 MR. FLAIR: I make a motion that we | 1 | MR. HUFFMAN: That concludes the legal |
| 2 accept counsel's recommendation to close the case. | 2 | report. |
| 3 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do I hear a second? | 3 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Next, do we have |
| 4 MR. SHANKS: Second. | 4 | anyone wanting to appear before the commission? |
| 5 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Moved and seconded | 5 | MS. VEST: No, sir. |
| 6 to follow the recommendations of counsel. Roll | 6 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. |
| 7 call. | 7 | Administrative matters, executive director Cody |
| 8 MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne? | 8 | Vest. |
| 9 MR. BAYNE: Aye. | 9 | MS. VEST: Thank you. We have just a |
| 10 MS. VEST: David Brown? | 10 | couple of things before we move on to something -- |
| 11 MR. BROWN: Aye. | 11 | to our correspondence. |
| 12 MS. VEST: Larry Flair? | 12 | You have received your monthly reports. |
| 13 MR. FLAIR: Aye. | 13 | It's for July and August. Send those out in |
| 14 MS. VEST: Jerry Richards? |  | advance. There's really not anything that's changed |
| 15 MR. RICHARDS: Aye. |  | on there. It just tells you about the complaints, |
| 16 MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau? | 16 | and all you had was those four complaints. |
| 17 MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye. | 17 | Budget review, I do have the totals for |
| 18 MS. VEST: Doug Shanks? | 18 | January. You are in the red \$1,589. |
| 19 MR. SHANKS: Aye. | 19 | Assistant Commissioner Carter Lawrence |
| 20 MS. VEST: Walt Valentine? | 20 | was not able to be with us today. Your next meeting |
| 21 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Aye. |  | is in November, and I have asked him if he could |
| 22 MS. VEST: The ayes have it. |  | attend that meeting to help -- we'll be discussing |
| 23 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Number 4. |  | the budget at that time. |
| 24 MR. HUFFMAN: Number 4, 2018048511. | 24 | I have some more information for you, |
| 25 This complaint is actually against the company of |  | but it's a little further down and we will talk |

about that under the -- I can't hold it down
there -- because I don't have any legislative update, we don't have any application review, and we left the terminology correspondence on there, but we have a state comparison study. You asked me at the last meeting if I would go out and put out some information and see if I can determine the trends in your industry. We went out -- I had two staff members, which Jeff Winfree is with us today, he's going to do the presentation, Bradley Parks also participated in gathering this information, which took weeks to do. And from there, I'm going to go ahead and let Jeff get started with the presentation and we'll answer questions afterwards.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Thank you for being here.

MR. WINFREE: Thank you.
MS. VEST: If you would -- if you would separately come over to the other side, you can look in that screen there. It's going to be on your screen. If you can see that one better, that's fine.

MR. BAYNE: Yep.
MR. WINFREE: Good morning. It's an
honor to be here to make this presentation for you.
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My name is Jeff Winfree. I started in July. I think Bradley has been on -- he's been working here since February or something like that. So both of us worked on this. He contributed about half the information that I'm going to share with you, and we just sort of divided the amount of information up to work on.

The questions that -- that we were given
to try to come up with answers, Number 1, what's the initial fee for a private investigator to be
licensed in other states? So basically we compared our fees with a number of other states.

Another question was, what is the
renewal fee? How much does it cost to renew just a single private investigator from other states?

Third question is, what is the initial
fee to become a private investigator company in
Tennessee and other states?

Number 4 was, what is the renewal fee for a private investigator company in Tennessee and other states?

And then the last two questions: What is the number of private investigators licensed in other states, and Number 6, what is the number of private investigative companies licensed in other states? And this is all compared to Tennessee.

The next two slides show the states that were chosen for this study. As you know, Tennessee has quite a few border states, so we figured that would be a pretty good -- I know I used to work for Tennessee wildlife, and we were always comparing ourselves with the border states, you know, how much they make across the border, how many of this do they have and that. So we chose our border states for comparison, and I have listed those with the asterisk. And then the other states that we chose, we did a little research and found out that some of these states seem to have -- New York was one, for example, I read something, they have more private investigators per capita than most any other state in the nation. I don't know -- I didn't come up with a reason why unless it's because New York City is there, and typically where you have a lot of
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people, you have a lot of need for your profession.
And then the other states, again, the
border states have the asterisk. And then the other states there are just states that are near -- near our border or similar in size and other comparisons.

The greatest challenge to this study was -- came with Questions Number 5 and 6, the actual number of licensed individuals and the companies. And part of that is because of the way states keep up with it. When I talked to Ohio and they told me they had 24,000 , I said something to Cody and we both about stroked out there. It's like, wait, something's not right. So I did get to the bottom of that. They just had everything lumped all together, so -- and I'll break those out for you in a few minutes when we get there. But this was a tough question, Number 5 and Number 6, because a lot of states don't keep up with it quite like that.

Another challenge was some states are very transparent. Indiana will almost chase you down the street to tell you everything you might like to know and more. Other states are not quite transparent, or not that much. Some of this information is not published and readily available on the internet. We use the internet to a great
degree to find some of this information.
A number of states use -- they have the FOIL, the Freedom of Information Law, and you have to make a special request in writing. I called them up, I told them, "Hey, my name is Jeff Winfree, I'm from the government in Tennessee and I need -- I'm seeking information," and they said, "Put it in writing, submit it," and in some cases, we received information back from those states; in some cases, we did not. And when we get further into the presentation, the states that there's no information there, we contacted them, and as of about noon yesterday, I had not heard back from them. We sort of set that as a deadine so that I could finish the presentation and have it ready for the board today. So when you see those numbers that are missing, that's the reason.

And if you all have questions -- if I go across something and a question pops into your mind, I don't mind, go ahead and raise your hand or stop me and we will -- we can talk about that, or you can hold your question till the end, either way.

There are some special considerations that I would like for you to keep in mind as we go through this. When we tried to compare, it was
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1 similar to comparing apples and oranges simply because all the states keep their records different. Some have everything lumped together, some have everything pieced out very meticulously, and some automatically are armed, some you can be armed or unarmed, some have renewal fees that are -- they renew every year. Most are every two years, some are three. One, I think -- I think Pennsylvania, after you renew the first time, from there on out, it's every five years. So when we tried to compare everything, it turned out to be a little bit difficult, but I'll point some of those out.

As I said, Ohio, when we first talked to them, they told me they had 24,000 and something, and as it turns out, 15,704 of that number is security guards. So they have Type A, B, and C designations, and the Type $C$ is security only. So there was almost 16,000 right there. And then Type A was security and investigator, that was 7,000. So the private investigators only in Ohio was 939 . So when you drill down into the numbers, you really found out -- you know, exactly what you were looking for.

Pennsylvania was a little bit unique, and I just did a little blurb on them just because I

1 spoke with Cody and she had told me that Tennessee used to keep up with the private investigators on a county-per-county basis. That's the way Pennsylvania does, and they have 67 counties. And they sent me a book that had all of their numbers in it, but they are -- individuals and companies, they're all lumped together. I could have spent about a week going through all 1,300 of them and 9 made a spreadsheet and figured out which ones are 10 which, but I didn't go quite that far, so -- just to
see what they look like. They have three counties
in their state that make up almost half of their
total number of private investigators, and those
three over there that you see, the blue one is
Pittsburgh, the orange one is -- or the red one is
Norristown, and I think that's kind of part of
Philadelphia, and then there's a Philadelphia
County, which is where Philadelphia is. So that's
kind of the way that state looked.
So because these states are a lot
different in how they keep up with their numbers and
how they report them, some of them didn't quite give
us the information we were looking for.
I know this board talked about some of
these things in the last meeting, or the first

1 m
2
6 looking for the information we were seeking, we did
7 find a lot of different things. And California is a
8 perfect example. They require 6,000 hours of
9 investigative experience and a million dollar surety
10 bond, liability insurance. So, I mean, that is a --
11 kind of a big hurdle if you wanted to pursue your
meeting that I attended. I heard some of you talking about why -- are people coming into the profession? If so, why are they? If not, why are they not? I didn't really seek to answer a lot of those questions with this study; however, as we were looking for the information we were seeking, we did find a lot of different things. And California is a perfect example. They require 6,000 hours of investigative experience and a million dollar surety bond, liability insurance. So, I mean, that is a -kind of a big hurdle if you wanted to pursue your profession in California, just as an example.

Okay. So exactly what do we look like in Tennessee right now? So we got -- pulled some numbers together. We had some numbers that we thought might be accurate, but then we had some questions about them and Cody went back to someone in IT and they pulled some other numbers together, and so this is what we -- this was the last thing that we came up with.

We looked at Tennessee for the last six years. We had the information from 2013 through originally through 2017, but we added 2018 there at the end. The number of individual private investigators over that six-year period decreased by

```
238 investigators. And that represents an
18.12 percent reduction in numbers. This is what it
looks like on a chart, or a graph. And you just --
there's not been anything significant except from
'17 to '18 is probably the biggest significant drop
during that time period, and it dropped about --
almost -- well, a little over 100 -- just under 200
in that timeframe.
    MR. BAYNE: Question. Could that not
reflect baby boomers retiring? Is there an age, did
you notice, or did you research that?
MR. WINFREE: I did not research that.
MR. BAYNE: I understand.
MR. WINFREE: I apologize, I don't have
a lot of information for you as to why this is or
what happened. This is just what we see happened.
MR. BAYNE: Yeah. Thank you.
MR. WINFREE: Uh-huh. The -- in that
same six-year comparison, the private investigative
company numbers also decreased. They decreased by
114, and that also represents -- I think the other
one is }18.12\mathrm{ percent. This is }18.16\mathrm{ percent. So
your company numbers and your individual private
investigators over that period of time have
decreased almost exactly the same. And that's what
238 investigators. And that represents an
\(\mathbf{1 8 . 1 2}\) percent reduction in numbers. This is what it looks like on a chart, or a graph. And you just -there's not been anything significant except from ' 17 to '18 is probably the biggest significant drop during that time period, and it dropped about -almost -- well, a little over 100 -- just under 200 in that timeframe.
MR. BAYNE: Question. Could that not reflect baby boomers retiring? Is there an age, did you notice, or did you research that?
MR. WINFREE: I did not research that. MR. BAYNE: I understand.
MR. WINFREE: I apologize, I don't have a lot of information for you as to why this is or what happened. This is just what we see happened. MR. BAYNE: Yeah. Thank you. MR. WINFREE: Uh-huh. The -- in that same six-year comparison, the private investigative company numbers also decreased. They decreased by 114, and that also represents -- I think the other one is 18.12 percent. This is 18.16 percent. So your company numbers and your individual private decreased almost exactly the same. And that's what
```

Page 26
it -- kind of what it looks like, and you see a little bit -- there's a little bit different in the yearly trends. It looks like from 2016, '17 and '18, they dropped a little bit more than the first three years, but overall, it's been almost exact proportion decrease.

The next several slides are going to talk about -- it's going to talk about the exact number of -- and I preface here confirmed. I got some information from the U.S. Bureau of Labor and Statistics. I questioned that information. It was only good through 2012, and some of the numbers looked kind of funny, so I really wasn't real confident in those numbers and exactly -- plus I don't know exactly how they got them. I mean, I don't know if it was part of the U.S. Census study or what. But at any rate, these numbers you're about to see are just those that are confirmed numbers. In other words, a state called me back, or Bradley, and said, "This is how many we have." So you'll see that a lot of the numbers are missing there, and if they are missing, it's just simply that they didn't call us back or they didn't e-mail back.

I did get -- I got something from
-

Pennsylvania in the mail Monday, I think, of this week. I got an e-mail from New York one day this week as well. So we may get other information later, I don't know, but -- so those that are down at zero, that's just -- that just means we were not able to get that information.

I will note here that Mississippi -- you see Mississippi there in the middle of that spread, and that's mostly because it's in alphabetical order and that's just where it ended up. But Mississippi, they have private investigators, but they don't -the state doesn't regulate it. Bradley worked on the border states, and he explained a little bit about Mississippi. If you want to contribute a small amount of money to the -- there's like a state organization or something like that, that you can do that and become a private investigator there. So we didn't get any -- they didn't have any numbers for us on that.

You see Tennessee out there. I put Tennessee orange everywhere. I'm not necessarily a -- that doesn't mean I'm, you know, only a UT fan. I like UT most of the time. But I colored that just so it would stand out in each of the slides. And so these are confirmed numbers, but the better slide is
this one. It just sort of tells you -- you see that Tennessee there is about in the middle, at least of the states that we got information back from with -and that's a current number, that's 1,076.

Virginia had quite a few, and Cody asked me if that could be inflated, and I -- that was another one that the -- the border state that Bradley worked on, and I discussed that with him, and he felt like based on his discussion with the officials and where he found that information, he felt like that was a valid number. Why do they have so many more? Well, you have to realize Virginia does have -- when you get up there around Arlington, it's just outside of Washington, DC, and there again, when you have lots of people, you seem to have lots of need for investigations and things like that. So that could be an explanation as to why they're so far out, but that's what we have on that.

So what does it cost? It ranges from \$39 in Virginia to \$500 in Illinois and Missouri. And Tennessee is sort of in the middle of that road, and they are the same as Kansas. There's what it looked like on the big chart and in a better -- to me, those other charts are -- they are pretty, but to me, this shows -- I mean, there's Tennessee kind
of right there in the middle at $\$ 250$.
Now, another thing that I want to point out, most of these -- most of these figures that -or all of those figures that are on there, as best I can attest to, that is a license and a registration fee. That does not include -- a lot of states require a test and they have a -- in some cases, a pretty substantial fee for the test. So that does not account for any training, any kind of education, anything like that. That's just if you walked in the front door here and you said, "Hey, I want to be a private investigator," and they hand you an application, you pay that application and license fee, that's what those numbers represent. So it would probably cost you a little bit more all the way up and down the board there for those other incidental fees that are involved.

This is the renewal. It ranges from $\$ 34$ in Virginia -- and that was another thing Cody and I talked about. It's pretty cheap to get a private investigator's license in Virginia, thirty something dollars for the license, $\$ 34$ to renew. That could be part of the reason that there's more there, in addition to the fact that there's more people.

Again, Tennessee, to renew is -- it's

Page 30 125 , and when you put it on that other scale there, it's a little bit on the lighter end of -- as far as renewal is concerned with New York and Missouri being at the higher end at $\$ 400$.

This slide here has an error on it, and I found it last night while I was sitting on the couch. I was kind of worried about coming in here and doing this this morning, so I thought l'd go through it one more time. But, anyway, the error is up there at the top, the initial cost to become a private investigator company, and it's not -- it says it ranges from $\$ 300$ in Arkansas and Indiana. That's actually -- Tennessee is -- that should have said ranges from $\$ 250$ in Tennessee to $\$ 1,000$ in West Virginia. So there is a little bit of an error there, but I caught it.

Tennessee is on the low end of that. As a matter of fact, it is the lowest, and that is as a sole practitioner. When I first put this together, I had that at \$500, and that's another level of company in Tennessee. If you have five members -what is it, Cody? Two --

MS. VEST: It's -- yes, six.
MR. WINFREE: If you've got over six members, then that fee goes up. So that was the
initial one that I had in there. So we changed it to the sole practitioner, so it's $\$ 250$. And when you look at -- when you look at that list, now there's all those states again that we didn't get any information back from, and in some cases, you -we found some of this information, we went directly to their state laws and their rules and regulations and we found some of those fees, but we didn't find all of them, so -- what we found, Tennessee is the cheapest if you want to become a company as a sole practitioner.

If you are a business or a company and you want to renew, $\$ 62$ in Texas all the way up to 500 in Missouri. Tennessee finds itself in the middle with Kansas at $\$ 250$. And that little chart there kind of shows Tennessee and Kansas right there in the middle. And that's the renewal cost.

MR. FLAIR: Excuse me, I have a question. Is -- your research on this, did it show that the other states are comfortable -- or close to us if you've got six or more, ten or more or so on, I know you said sole for the first part, but on company itself, am I correct, Ms. Chairman, in that -- Director, I'm sorry, in that if we have a company and we -- it's according to the renewal of
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MS. VEST: Right. It's based on zero to one, two to five, and more than five.

MR. FLAIR: I guess --
MS. VEST: The more you have, the more you pay the renewal fee.

MR. FLAIR: -- were you able to get any type of that response?

MR. WINFREE: I didn't -- I didn't look that deep into that. I will say this: There again, not many other states did it quite like Tennessee in the first place. So that kind of made the comparison, again, that threw us back into the apples and oranges thing because, you know, other states, they all did it a little bit differently.
You know, in some states, you can be a private investigator, and then if you get real busy, you can hire two people and then you're -- and then you're a company, and then you hire somebody over in Donelson because you have some kind of measure of crime spree or whatever you are investigating, and you open up a branch, and so you've got four people there and you're a company, you're four different individuals, and you're a branch all rolled up into one. So it's kind of hard to compare that with all those states
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and make some kind of statement that sort of makes any sense out of it.

So that's sort of the end of what we put together that -- to answer as best we could those six questions that you had.

So, again, you know, we just brought you some information. As far as why, reasons behind this, the only thing l'll say about it is, you know, when you go to college -- and everybody now is being encouraged to go to college. I mean, my son is going on the Tennessee Promise, it's free. I really like that idea. Or it's not completely free, but a big chunk of it is. But the thing about it is when he gets ready to get a job, they're going to want him to have experience. Well, he doesn't have any experience because he spent the last four or five years in college. If you go to get that job and it requires college and experience and you try to get the job before you go to college, then you don't have college or experience. So you get into this endless loop of I can't get a job because I don't have experience, and I can't get experience because I don't have a job. And I don't know if any of y'all have been there, but it's easy to find yourself in that spot.
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So, you know, how do you get into this
2 profession? He even asked me last night, he saw me
3 working on this and he said, "How much does that
4 pay?" So he is trying to decide what he wants to do with his life. He's not real sure.

So -- but, you know, when -- like
California, when you require 6,000 hours of investigative experience and a million dollar insurance bond, that's a pretty major thing to be thinking about.

So, you know, Tennessee, I know you require some investigative experience, you have apprentice programs and things like that, but -- so I guess you just have to ask yourself the question, how would somebody get into this business here in Tennessee based on our current set of regulations and standards and what-not, and then just ask the question would it be easy or difficult to do that? And then again, we didn't really look into that specifically, but going through and trying to find all this information, we ran across a lot of mention about this is required and that.

So are there any other questions
specifically that -- I probably led you to more
questions than maybe answers, but sometimes that's

1 what studies do.

2
MS. VEST: Alan, are you still with us?
MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes, I am.
MS. VEST: Do you have any questions?
MR. ROUSSEAU: No, I do not. I want to
thank Jeff for taking time. This had to be very
time-consuming to put together.
MS. VEST: Thank you.
MR. BAYNE: Being probably relatively
old school, I'd like to -- I use the word
"percolate" -- study the numbers, study the
presentation, which I think was terrific. Is there
a way to get ahold of that in my home office?
MS. VEST: Yes, we can send anybody a
copy of the presentation if you would like to have
it.
MR. BAYNE: Yes, I would.
MS. VEST: Why don't I just send it out
to all commission members. That way you can look at
it if you wish.
CHARMAN VALENTINE: That would be good.
Thank you.
MR. WINFREE: I will correct that one
slide before we send it out to you, and then it will
be a teeny bit more correct than --

MS. VEST: Alan, are you still with us?
MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes, I am.
MS. VEST: Do you have any questions?
MR. ROUSSEAU: No, I do not. I want to thank Jeff for taking time. This had to be very time-consuming to put together.

MS. VEST: Thank you.
MR. BAYNE: Being probably relatively
old school, I'd like to -- I use the word
"percolate" -- study the numbers, study the
presentation, which I think was terrific. Is there a way to get ahold of that in my home office?

MS. VEST: Yes, we can send anybody a copy of the presentation if you would like to have

MR. BAYNE: Yes, I would.
MS. VEST: Why don't I just send it out to all commission members. That way you can look at it if you wish.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: That would be good.

MR. WINFREE: I will correct that one be a teeny bit more correct than --
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MR. FLAIR: That 250 figure?
MR. WINFREE: Yes. That was really the
only thing that -- unless we get information in
later or something, but --
MR. BAYNE: You might want to change that Tennessee color, make it blue-ish maybe.

MR. WINFREE: Okay.
MR. BAYNE: I jest.
MR. BROWN: I think we all can agree it stays the same.

MR. WINFREE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Thank you.
Appreciate you making that presentation for us.
MS. VEST: Yes, thank you, Jeff.
MR. BROWN: That was a lot of work, it really was.

MS. VEST: These two gentlemen that pulled this information together do not work for the private investigation committee. They are in an office for other professionals, but in our office, everyone works on everything. So Jeff can work on the applications for security guard, for instance, then he is going to come over and help us do something else. That's why it has been so difficult to work on a budget because, for instance, my salary
is a third, third, and third the professions that we have. But we will be looking into that further as far as the budget is concerned.

We wanted to make you ask -- ask me to do this trend -- I believe Mr. Bayne asked me to do this. It was an eye-opener for all of us, I think.
Especially -- I did some preliminary work and talked with Jeff, and I went, "No, I don't think these numbers are right." So I went to IT and I had them pull these numbers that you have seen. That actually comes out of our database. The IT department pulled it together for us. I did a little spread myself.

In 2013, you had 628, 14, 615, 15, 616, $16,584,17,570$ and 18, 514 --

MR. BAYNE: I'm not following you there.
MS. VEST: I'm sorry. I didn't tell you what it was, did I? Okay. Let me try that again.

Your private investigators, we're going to do that. In 2013 was 1,314. In Year '14, you had 1,285 and 53. '15, you had 1,249, a little bit of a decrease. 2016, you went down in 1,228 , but on 2018, you are down 1,076 . That is a pretty good, significant drop. That's in the -- that's in your chart, it's in your spread that you're going to be
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1 getting, but I just -- that was an eye-opener to us.
I've told you all along I felt like we were
decreasing. I can see my totals, but sometimes
they're not -- I'm not real sure about what I'm
seeing or where I pulled them, but my numbers came pretty close to what IT had.

What are we going to do about this?
Well, I don't know the answer to that.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Who had --
MS. VEST: I'm not going to stand on a street corner and say, "Come on in, let's be a private investigator." I'm going to tell you, for instance, we are having a seminar in Gatlinburg. Very few PIs are even participating. We don't even get an audience here. Sometimes we have individuals and we do appreciate that.

We can go to fairs. It's real hard -we can go to these fairs and I can say here is information to become a private investigator. Are you going to hire them? They have no experience. I mean, this is your profession. I don't know -- this is something you should be talking about in your association meetings, I think. We should talk be talking and saying, hey, what are we going to do to help get people in this industry, if we're going to
get people at all in the industry? How are we going
to be able to help them? I think it is the associations that's going to have to try to help us do that.

MR. FLAIR: I have a question, Madam Director. Is it legislative? Does it have -- it has to go to the hill for us to change the actual requirements of -- and the fees? Is that a -- for counsel, is that a legislative question that they would have to do?

MS. THOMAS: So the requirements would require legislative change. They would have to come from the hill.

MR. FLAIR: And I think you addressed it once before, but I forget. If we wanted to introduce the bill and go through the hoops and this and this, how much time does that individual, whomever that may be, need to do the lobbying and things of that nature, in other words, before it can get on the agenda for a legislative to be introduced? Do you know that answer by chance?

MS. THOMAS: I don't have an exact amount of time. If you're saying if the commission wanted to present something to the commissioner to put in their department bill, that's -- I don't have
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a time line for that. All we can do is propose the change, and if the commissioner chooses to include that in her packet to lobby for, it would go that way.

The -- probably the quicker way is for one of you all to obtain a sponsor that's already on the hill to push for that change. That's probably easier than trying to include that change in the department's package. Just based on the numbers of the industry changing and all that and the number of licensees, I think it will be a hard sell for the commission to say that we need a huge legislative overhaul.

MR. BROWN: I know the question was asked earlier about baby boomers. I got about 10 or 15 friends of mine that have retired, Pls, because of the age to where they're at. Some of the lower numbers of people in terms of their license numbers, a bunch of them are retired.

MR. BAYNE: I have questioned my fellow commission members with this. In the last six months, l've had two -- I've interacted with two younger folks, both of whom have become licensed Pls, and I was contacted recently by a third who also would like to move in that direction. Has any
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of the other commission members had that experience with younger folks indicating an interest?

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: No.
MR. RICHARDS: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: Do speak to that, Jerry, if you would.

MR. RICHARDS: I'm like Walt. I'm not going to take anybody else into my company for a variety of reasons, but -- I'm just not going to do it, and I think probably most of the members here on the commission feel the same way.

MR. BAYNE: Of the thousand and so number licensees, would it be relatively safe to say that the folks who are licensees, a significant number of them are former law enforcement to some degree?

MS. VEST: I'm going to say yes, to some extent, yes.

MR. BAYNE: To some extent.
I had an idea. I don't know that it's a commission thing, I don't know that it's a staff thing, but -- and I'm kind of a neophyte here. However, it seems to me that there are insurance claims associations operating across the state, there are various and sundry types of law
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enforcement associations operating across the state and meeting. Recently I volunteered to speak at a high school, which may or may not take me, and talk about the career of being a PI, and I wonder if we wouldn't find an interest in a sheriff's officer association or a Tennessee Association of Chiefs of Police association meeting in which we could say to them, "I'm very specialized in my work, Pl-related work, others aren't, and yet l've got two young people wanting to get into this profession."

MS. VEST: Are you going to hire these two young people --

MR. BAYNE: I've got one.
MS. VEST: -- make them apprentices?
MR. BAYNE: I've taken one on and I'm considering the other.

MS. VEST: Are they going to be apprentices?

MR. BAYNE: One is a licensee, an affiliate now. The other is unlicensed, and you will meet him Monday. He is coming in to say hi.

MS. VEST: Okay, good, it's a meeting, good.

Now, I have gone to different colleges and spoken when I get the invitation to do so. I'm
going to be quite frank with you. They want to talk about security guards. They said the quickest thing they can become is a security guard. Some of them already are while they're attending school. But, yes, I do talk on all the professions.

If I do get an invite to go to one of those associations, I would love to go and perhaps take a board member, commission member, with me because it is your profession. All I can speak on is how to become one and how much it's going to cost you to where you would be able to speak on actually your profession itself.

MR. BAYNE: Responding to that, would commission members be willing to promote the idea to various and sundry associations across the state and step up and participate?

MR. FLAIR: I don't think we can do that as commissioners, can we?

MR. BAYNE: Not as commissioners.
MR. FLAIR: Right. Okay.
MS. VEST: No, but you would be going with me to speak as a private investigator with your experience as a private investigator, not as a commission member, because I'm the one there that's going to be speaking on that. You're going to say
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this is -- maybe you're going to give your life
story, this is how I became a private investigator, this is what I had to do. I mean, surely you have stories of rolling around in trash cans, rolling down a hill, and you probably have really interesting stories to tell that we don't do now and move over into what you do in the profession now compared to what it was.

MR. BAYNE: And while there are commission members here who would not hire, I don't think that's the prevailing activity across the state, one of the two persons with whom I spoke found himself a PI company and was hired. So, again, the question is, is the commission willing to contribute a piece of time in their own area and promote the idea to various and sundry associations that it's time for some of those old grizzled police officers to leave and become licensed PIs?

MS. VEST: I do get the invitation to the TIPI -- what is that, Tennessee investigators and the other association or what, but these are already private investigators. The only thing I'm doing is going back in. They already know my story. MR. BAYNE: Yeah.
MS. VEST: But you're talking about
going out into the field to talk to individuals who might be interested in getting into the industry. I think that's great. I can do that. I can tell them what is required legally to do that. I'm not going to be able to tell them what they would do in the industry. I'm willing to --

MR. SHANKS: I think one of the issues, most of us won't hire a PI to work under us, and we are getting stuck on the 2,000 hour. But if you look at the state law, it says "or education." So if somebody has an associate degree in criminal justice or a bachelor's degree in whatever, wouldn't that be enough education to cover the 2,000 hours or the "or" part?

MS. VEST: All right. I'm going to let the attorney address that, but let me explain this to you: I'm -- when I go to the seminar Monday, there is no 2,000 hours. 2,000 hours is to start a company, not to become a private investigator.

MR. SHANKS: Right.
MS. VEST: There is a very big distinction there.

MR. SHANKS: Okay. But, Cody, if we are not hiring private investigators, for them to become a private investigator, they had to do like I did
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and become a company.
MS. VEST: Right.
MR. SHANKS: So we can tell them you can become a company with your bachelor's degree or with your associate degree in criminal justice because --

MS. THOMAS: And, Mr. Shanks, you are correct, it does say the 2,000 hours of investigative experience or one year of applicable related experience or education, says "as approved by the commission." So you all do have the authority to look at that education and determine if that is sufficient enough to start a private investigation company.

MR. BROWN: We've had applicants come before us asking for those waivers or approval from us.

MS. VEST: Okay. I think we are talking two different things here. One is hiring somebody to work for your company. He's not going to do that. But if he is a -- or her -- individual who wants to get into the industry, can't find someone to hire them, that's when they would go to this section, whether it be the 2,000 hours experience or their education.
(Inaudible speaking.)

MS. VEST: That's what he was talking about, but I was really thinking what Mr. Bayne was saying, the direction he was going into was to ask us to go out there, get people to get interested in the industry, but who's going to hire them?

MR. BAYNE: Themselves.
MS. VEST: So they're going to be a company?

MR. BAYNE: Correct.
MS. VEST: Start their own company?
MR. BAYNE: There are some benefits to being both a company and a PI.

MS. THOMAS: And I think that's where Cody is going as far as the outreach is concerned by having you all as the industry experts to be able to go and sell the attractiveness of this industry to people that don't know, because like she said, all she can do is point out to them these are the things you have to do to become a private investigator, but they then need to know these are the opportunities that this license gives you, you can go out and do $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z . I think that's what Cody was speaking to.

MR. BROWN: Let me ask a question that was kind of raised. If we go to speak as an
individual, association, or whatever, do we identify ourselves as -- are we allowed to identify ourselves
as a commissioner member, or is it just Pl and company name?

MR. FLAIR: Sunshine law.
MS. VEST: If you get invited to speak, you would need to explain that you are speaking on
behalf of yourself as a private investigator and
make it known it's not part -- you're not speaking
on the commission --
MR. BROWN: What I'm saying is if they
MS. THOMAS: It's not assumed that you
are hiding that you're a commission member, you're just giving them information --

MR. BROWN: But if there's a program or agenda that says "David Brown, commissioner member, Pl/polygraph," we can't do that, can we?

MS. THOMAS: I would advise not to do that.

MR. BROWN: That's what I thought.
MS. VEST: It needs to say "private
investigator number," whatever your number is.
MR. BROWN: Period, right.
MR. FLAIR: All this results to the

1 Sunshine Law, does it not? Is that really what it
stems from is for us on behalf as the commissioner, we can't discuss outside the public forum anything as a commission member is what I understand it and the way I interpret it. Is that pretty much --

MS. THOMAS: That's correct, but it's also you don't want to say something that they then rely on, thinking that it's coming from the commission.

MR. BROWN: I gotcha. They take it as gospel and run with it, and you give them bad information.

MR. FLAIR: I've got one other comment, if I may. Over the past year and a half or two years, I've had several people come to Flair
Enterprises and my company and ask to be hired.
When I would interview them, I would ask them what
their intentions -- why they wanted to be a Pl or
whatever. They don't want to get out and do the
surveillance. They don't want to do the major
multi-million dollar theft, fraud, things of that
nature. They want to be able to sit at their computer and do computer research, backgrounds. And speaking as an owner of a company, you know, I can see where we are having a decline in our industry
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1 because of the cyber world, and it's not required to
2 be a Pl to do cyber research, in my opinion, or
3 that's the way it's been expressed to me. So l
4 think -- and I'm sure the other fellow commissioners 5 realize that as well.

I don't know how we -- I don't like the word "get around it." I don't know how we address it. And, again, if we addressed it, it wouldn't -in my opinion, if we addressed it to try to get legislative to say if you do research for more than one person and this and that and so on through the cyber world, are you required a license. I don't know how you are going to get around that. I just don't know how to address that. I don't know if today is the platform to even go into it. I just
wanted to make that comment.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: I think all of our discussion that we've had here in the past half hour is based on our budget and whether we're going to make the budget or not and whether we're going to be in the sunset area. And it seems to me like, you know, looking at the figures of our surplus and deficit, that the major expense we have is the Edison expenditures, and that is more than our income. Can we do away with Edison?

MS. VEST: It's nice knowing you there, Mr. Valentine, because that's where my salary comes from. Lighten it up in here just a little bit.

MR. BAYNE: Let the record state that Mr. Valentine said that.

MS. VEST: That's why I told you I need to have Assistant Commissioner Carter Lawrence come in. And I told you when I started this that we are taking a look at that, we're taking a look at the expenses on our computer, we are looking at the expenses for the employees, but there's -gentlemen, there's only so much I'm going to be able to do with that. These salaries are set. We've got a computer, we're -- and we have to pay our fair share for it. I told you before already, the only
thing I can hold down is expenses, and that's nothing more than what paper, pens, something of that nature, that's all we can do.

So before I say anything else, I'm going to leave it at that so I can talk with the assistant commissioner, we can see what we're going to do, how he can assist us with this, but you do need to realize it might not be a solution.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: It seems to me like if we're trying to balance the budget, that we could simply increase the fees for renewal from 125 to 200 dollars --

## MS. VEST: I do --

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: -- and that would
put us in line with a lot of other states, and I
don't know how much revenue that would generate, but
the way it is right now, our expenditures are pretty cast in concrete.

MS. VEST: Yes, it costs a lot to run a nine-member commission.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: And we're not going to have any more income.

MS. VEST: That's what it looks like to me. I don't see the trend of more people coming in to generate more additional income.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: My best guess is we are going to have fewer members come into the business.

MR. BAYNE: That may be the first thing I question -- or object to. I'm not sure I agree with that.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Well, it's gone down every year for the past 20 years. I don't see any change in that.

MR. BAYNE: I understand.
MR. SHANKS: Question: If I'm going
back to the company, that's our issue, we got to get
people wanting to start companies, if the board agrees if somebody has a criminal justice degree and they apply, instead of coming to us, if we say yes, if they have a criminal justice degree, that qualifies for 2,000 hours, would you have to bring that to us every time?

MS. VEST: What is -- yes. The commission has the authority to make that decision, but l'd have to bring it before you, yes.

MS. THOMAS: If they agree to that now?
MS. VEST: Okay. I'm sorry, I misunderstood.

MS. THOMAS: You can delegate that
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authority if that's the standard you want to set for education for a company --

MR. SHANKS: No, if it's a criminal justice degree that's related to investigations, I mean, you've got a lot of students that are trying to be crime scene techs and they are getting out and realizing TBI is the only place they can go and they're already booked and full and here they got a degree, but can't use it.

MS. THOMAS: Right.
MR. SHANKS: So --
MS. THOMAS: Yeah, so that's something that the commission can decide is acceptable qualifying education essentially for their application for a company, and if you all make that decision, when Cody gets an application that is reflective of that, she wouldn't have to bring that to you all to approve.

MR. BROWN: How many applicants have we had this year for PI?

MS. VEST: I don't have that figure for this year. This year started in July.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Well --
MS. VEST: '18 --
MR. BROWN: Or '17.

MS. VEST: I just have the number of licensees. I don't have the number of applicants available.

MR. SHANKS: But, again, if that ideal of criminal justice degree or whatever associate degree, if we start having issues, we always can address it no, they need to come before us from this point on. We could do that also, couldn't we?

MS. VEST: Yes, sir, if they meet those requirements, you don't even -- that she's listed, you don't even see the applications. I approve them myself. They meet the requirements. The only ones you're going to see -- which you have not seen applications in a long time. You might seen a polygraph, but you haven't seen a private investigator.

MR. BROWN: We haven't seen any in a long, long time.

MS. VEST: Are getting a license because they are qualified.

MR. SHANKS: So basically, if I make a motion -- I'm not making one, but if I make a motion that says if somebody has an associate degree in criminal justice or a bachelor's degree with some criminal justice, that would qualify for a PI
company?

MS. THOMAS: So, yes, you can make that motion is the short answer. I think the question becomes if you say a bachelor's degree with some criminal justice, I think the commission needs to decide how much criminal justice education is enough.

MR. BAYNE: I'll hold. I'll wait.
MR. SHANKS: Like we've mentioned a minor in criminal justice. So if we have a minor in criminal justice with a bachelor's -- you know, bachelor's with a minor in criminal justice.

MS. THOMAS: If that's what the commission desires, yes.

MS. VEST: I don't know. I know I -I'm sorry. Sometimes my face gives me away. That's not really, I don't think, the issue here. Those people that are coming in meet these requirements.

MR. SHANKS: But people aren't coming because they don't have the requirements. I have people saying, "I don't have 2,000 hours. I can't get there."

MS. VEST: To start their own company?
MR. SHANKS: To start their own company.
We're not going to hire them. We need to get people
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to start their own companies and be competitors with us and --

MS. THOMAS: So, again, I think it may be more of an issue of educating them that this requirement is --

MR. SHANKS: It's there.
MS. THOMAS: -- situation, yeah. It's not necessarily the commission needs to say this education qualifies. It's that the public needs to know that it's not 2,000 and the one year of education. If we can educate them in some way that it's an either/or situation, I think that that may address what you're thinking about.

MR. SHANKS: But I'd rather Cody be able to approve it instead of having to come to us every time.

MS. THOMAS: I think what she said is she does if a person submits an application and they have a bachelor's degree that looks at education because oftentimes she would come to me, we'll look at it, and if there's a question, she will bring it to the commission, but if it's clear that it is clear within the investigation --

MR. SHANKS: So that's already being done with people with -- oh, I'm sorry. I thought
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we weren't getting those.
MR. BAYNE: In 2015 and ' 16 and '17 -2015, '16 and '17 fiscal years, was this commission profitable, meaning in the black? So what I think I'm hearing is this is the first year we're in the red.

MS. VEST: The short answer is yes. This one here we have is the fiscal year that ended in '17 is the first year that you have actually been in the red that I can remember now. I'll have to go back and pull my --

MR. BROWN: From '14, this is the first time it's happened?

MS. VEST: This is -- yeah. You could have hit some rocky road, but ' 17 is one that really stands out with 21,000, and you're already in the red for July and I'm sure you are in the red for August, I'd have to take a look at that. I see the trend is the whole year going that way. Have you been profitable in the past? We don't actually make a profit. We were in the black.

MR. BAYNE: Thank you. That's what I meant.

MS. VEST: Yes, we have.
MR. BAYNE: I'm just looking at the
numbers on Jeff's presentation and seeing the difference in PI numbers between '16 and '18 amount to about 150 Pls. So that represents 150 licensees that didn't --

MS. VEST: That did not --
MR. BAYNE: -- did not pay. So, yes. While I see the trends over these last six years -thank you, Mr. Winfree -- I do believe the work is out there for the enterprising person.

MS. VEST: We are looking at the computer. Just give me just a moment. You asked a question.
(Brief pause.)
MS. VEST: Okay. I believe the question was asked to me how many applicants we had this year? Was that not a question I was asked?

MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VEST: We have 15 open applications that have not been approved yet.

MR. BROWN: Okay.
MS. VEST: Now, among those, there could be someone with a criminal record that's not going to get approved or decide not to or lose their company affiliation or whatever, but as it stands right now, there's 15.

MS. VEST: Yes, sir, that's July, yes, sir. Starting with this new fiscal year.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: How do we raise the renewal fee?

MS. VEST: The trend that I'm actually seeing is deregulation on the hill, or an attempt to deregulate. For you to -- someone would find representative on the hill to submit this information, but like I have said before, I don't believe you can raise fees on the application because you don't want to detour the individual getting into the industry. So it's going to be raising renewal fees. So I would have to sit down and try to figure out roughly how many I anticipate for this year, see how much of an increase that would have to be. I don't know that right off the top of my head.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: If we have 200
renewals at $\$ 75$, that's $\$ 15,000$.
MS. VEST: Okay. But maybe this raising fees is not the way -- is that the way to keep this program running? Am I going to raise them again the following year? The following year? The following

```
year?
```

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Well, we haven't
raised them in many, many years.
MS. VEST: That's true.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: If they -- if we keep the budget the way it is now, that would be quite a surplus.

MS. THOMAS: I think those rules would be hard to pass when there are already people that are deciding not to renew at the cost that it is now because there's a decrease in the number of licensees every year. I think that -- once it gets to the hill, I think it would be hard to justify why we need to increase the fees on people who are currently licensed when people are leaving the industry at the pace that they are.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Are they -- I don't think they are leaving because of the fees --

MR. BROWN: Age.
MR. BAYNE: Correct.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: -- at all.
MS. THOMAS: I understand that. What I'm saying is you don't want to seem as though you are, for lack of a better word, punishing people that are still here because people are leaving the
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CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: But you've just presented to us the fact that Tennessee is very, very low in the renewal fees. So if we're going to stay a viable commission, we're going to have to have more income. And the answer is not getting more people to come into the industry because we can't control that.

MR. BAYNE: Bayne agrees.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: But we can raise the fees on renewal.

MS. VEST: What fee was that that you presented there, Mr. Valentine?

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Changing the renewal fees from 125 to 200 dollars.

MS. VEST: Based on the numbers that we have, it would be what?

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: If you multiply that $\$ 75$ times 200 people, that would be $\$ 15,000$.

MS. VEST: That doesn't cover. You are in the red 21.

MR. BROWN: Let me give you this. We've got 1,074 PIs, is that correct? Every two years, it comes up for renewal. 80,550 is $\$ 75$ increase. You split that in half, that's 40,000 a year.

MS. VEST: Okay. I'm not going to dispute --

MR. BROWN: No, l'm saying if we are low -- we can't keep operating in the red, that's for sure. We need to do something. Fifteen applicants is not a whole lot coming in.

MS. VEST: That's right. Mr. Valentine, you would be going on the hill with me this time instead of Mr. Brown.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Thanks.
MR. BROWN: I second that.
MS. VEST: You can go for support, Mr.
Brown because actually l'd be probably calling in.
MR. BROWN: Oh, no.
MS. VEST: All right.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Have we beat
this dead horse enough?
MR. BAYNE: Bayne has another question.
I wonder if the initial application fee -- I know
this is an offset, but l'll throw the question out
there. If there was a reduction in the initial application and a corresponding increase or a marginally more -- larger increase in renewals, because our renewals are among the lowest in the country or in the 22 state -- 12 states, I wonder if
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that might not also attract -- might attract some new PIs. Just a thought.

MS. VEST: Mr. Rousseau, have you got any comments?

MR. ROUSSEAU: No, I am listening at this point, trying to digest this, and I thank everybody getting the slide show and just really digesting where we are. And it would be interesting -- it is interesting to see that we're -- looks like we might be doing better than some other states. If we need to -- I think we need to figure out or discuss more money may be an issue or how you going to go about making the industry attractive for people and expand. A lot of young people and old people don't really understand what the PI industry is and what it is all capable of doing in 2018. So we may need to look at that also.

MS. VEST: All right. If it's okay with the commission and chair, I'm going to table the discussion about fees until I have an opportunity to speak with the assistant commissioner and take a look more closely at what we have here and get an explanation on it and come in and present it.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. I had one other question. What are the ramifications if we
deregulate?
MR. ROUSSEAU: I think that's a scary
thought, especially with some of the complaints that
the legislative report -- excuse me, legal report
was today. And we are legislated. To have no legislation is -- I think that would hurt the credibility of those that have worked so hard for good reputations.

MS. VEST: There would be a
possibility -- they actually -- I don't know the
professional term for it -- instead of being a
license, maybe it could be a registration. So you
would still have PIs that would register as private investigators.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: But no oversight?
MS. VEST: Maybe that means that they
would lose a company affiliation, maybe it would
just be private -- I don't know those ramifications.
I can see some of the differences being made there.
Of course, there's always the possibility the whole profession is deregulated. I mean, you had what, two complaints? I mean, it was four, but it was a Pl and his company. That made two complaints. How many did you hear last month? How many did you -last meeting? How many did you hear the meeting
before that? Mostly what you are hearing, I think, Page 66
2 is somebody giving me a report. Could that not be a
civil matter? I don't know. There's a lot -- maybe
different ramifications. Don't ask me them questions.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Are we
through the administrative matters?
MR. RICHARDS: I've got one question for
Ashley. If this commission makes recommendations
for changes in the law and sends them to the
commissioner, why would the commissioner not include
them when she sends them over to the hill?
MS. THOMAS: She's granted a certain amount of leeway of which programs. There are 27 programs here. There may be some that require more immediate action than what we have presented, but there are a lot of considerations for the commissioner as to which law she's going to propose in her packet.

MR. RICHARDS: So she can present recommendations for each individual if they like polygraph, you know, we made those -- several months ago, we made recommendations to have changes made in the law. She chose not to send them to the hill.
I'm just wondering why.

MS. THOMAS: Again, it has to go with what is a priority for the programs. I can't really speak to what those discussions are. It's the commissioner's decision, I'm sorry, I don't have more information for you.

MR. BAYNE: I would like to ask Mr. Richards as the representative of polygraph, pretty important business, to weigh in on what he's been hearing these last 45 minutes.

MR. RICHARDS: I don't know what you are referring to.

MR. BAYNE: In terms of industry trends, in terms of numbers, in terms of -- relative to polygraph.

MR. RICHARDS: As far as polygraph is concerned, most of the examiners are law enforcement. It's very, very difficult for a private examiner who's not been in law enforcement to get a polygraph license because you can't find a sponsor. And we've got a man now that is -- been to the school in Atlanta. This guy is a Ph.D. He is retired from DOE, he was a UT professor, but he can't find -- or he's having difficulty finding a sponsor. You have to have 50 examinations in order to get your license. Unless you are a police
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examiner, it's difficult to get those 50 .
MR. BAYNE: Unless you have 50 kids and you want to put them each on poly- -- I'm kidding. I jest.

MR. RICHARDS: Well, no. Police departments will not sponsor a private examiner. You have to look elsewhere. And there's just not that much polygraph work to get your 50 examinations.

MR. BAYNE: Where do you see the polygraph industry going?

MR. RICHARDS: Well, it's -- if you are a police examiner and you get your license, it's going well. But it's -- again, it's difficult for a private examiner -- or for a private person to get a license.

MR. BAYNE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do we have any other administrative matters?

MS. VEST: No, sir, I believe we are down to the education -- education.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Executive Director Cody Vest, education report.

MS. VEST: The education committee met this morning. You have that information, do you

```
not, Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Yes. We approved four different courses. To the Knoxville ACFE chapter, to Devan and Associates, and two to Steve
Reed for Nashville corporate security symposium and active shooter preparedness workshop.
MR. SHANKS: Shanks makes a motion to accept it.
MR. BROWN: Commissioner Brown seconds it.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. We have a motion and second. Any discussion?
All in favor, say aye. No, we will call
the roll.
MS. VEST: We'll do a roll call.
Stuart Bayne?
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MS. VEST: David Brown?
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. VEST: Larry Flair?
MR. FLAIR: Aye.
MS. VEST: Jerry Richards?
MR. RICHARDS: Aye.
MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau?
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
```

MS. VEST: Doug Shanks?
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MS. VEST: Walt Valentine?
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Aye.
MS. VEST: The ayes have it.
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do we have any unfinished business?

MS. VEST: Just a little bit, yes, sir, we do. Unfinished, I'm sorry, no unfinished.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do we have any new business?

MS. VEST: We have the new dates for
2019, commission dates, and once again --
CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: And that's quarterly as opposed to every other month?

MS. VEST: Yes, sir. We looked at that. We decided, the commission did, four meetings a year starting in November.

Again, I'm going to bring it up that maybe we can move the commission meetings from a Friday to another day. With Nashville the way it is, with the traffic, people coming in on the weekend, I don't know how much trouble you are having getting rooms, leaving. I have a program that meets on Thursdays, for instance.
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MR. SHANKS: I'm happy with a Thursday. CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: I would like a Monday or Wednesday, if we can. MR. BROWN: I'll meet anytime you want

MR. FLAIR: I'm going to object to any

MR. BAYNE: Bayne seconds that. I'll meet any time too.

MS. VEST: No, you can't vote.
Okay. So we have to go back out and
look because we already did the dates for next year.
We have to consider what's happening in Nashville
for you to even get into Nashville with the
Predators going or a concert happening or whatever might be happening, and they're usually coming in on the weekend to do. So we will take a look and hopefully l'll come back to you at the November meeting and propose some new dates.

MR. BROWN: A lot of times when the legislature is in action, it's hard to get a room and the room rates go up.

MS. VEST: All right. Perhaps I can have a vote from the commission and we can change it to a Thursday. Would that be acceptable?
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MR. SHANKS: I make a motion that we change our date to a Thursday.

MR. BROWN: Have a second. I'll second it. We'll take it to a vote and see.

CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: I would like it on Wednesday, but --

MR. BAYNE: Why Thursday?
MS. VEST: Why Wednesday, actually? But I already have a meeting --

MR. BAYNE: 'But Fridays are usually busier?

MS. VEST: Yes, Fridays are usually extremely busy because first to get ready for a board meeting, it takes several days. We don't care. I have one that's already meeting on Thursday, it works fine. But if you want to meet on a Wednesday -- this is your commission. I'll do whatever day that you want us to do.

MR. BROWN: What's better for you and the staff?

MS. WILLIAMS: A lot of stuff that goes on in Nashville when I research this, it's like a Monday through Wednesday, and towards the end of weeks are when it's stopping, and a lot of stuff will start on a Monday and Tuesday again.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. BAYNE: So Thursday's in between | 1 reservations for the entire year because, I mean, |
| 2 that Monday through Wednesday and then the weekend. | 2 seriously, it's just hopping here in Nashville. |
| 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Thursdays and Fridays | 3 Is anybody having trouble getting a |
| 4 were a lot better with a lot of stuff going on. | 4 room? |
| 5 MS. VEST: Well, if you want to do | 5 MR. FLAIR: (Raised hand.) |
| 6 Wednesday -- whatever you vote for is okay with me. | 6 MR. ROUSSEAU: I have from time to time |
| 7 I don't care. | 7 depending on what basketball games or football games |
| 8 MR. ROUSSEAU: Mr. Chair, may I offer an | 8 are going on. |
| 9 amendment to the motion? | 9 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. That's why I said |
| 10 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. | 10 once I give you this schedule, we need to go ahead |
| 11 MR. ROUSSEAU: Would you consider an | 11 and book it for the whole year, wherever you're |
| 12 amendment to the motion that we wait for a report | 12 going to stay at. |
| 13 back from the staff on the feasibility of what dates | 13 MR. BROWN: You need to pay extra |
| 14 will work better between -- I think you said Monday | 14 refundable so you can cancel it in case of bad |
| 15 and Thursday? Because of the various activities, we | 15 weather. |
| 16 don't really -- we might get ourselves in the same | 16 MS. VEST: That's always a possibility. |
| 17 situation Thursday. Let's give the staff a chance | 17 Mr. Flair, what's your problem with -- |
| 18 to look at other activities going on throughout the | 18 MR. FLAIR: It's the schedule of the |
| 19 year. | 19 atmosphere of Nashville and they're gouging the |
| 20 MS. VEST: Thank you, Mr. Rousseau. | 20 rooms. You can't get a room under \$350 in downtown. |
| 21 Yes, sir, I think that's -- we can -- if that's okay | 21 You can go over to the one over here, I think, but |
| 22 with the commission, we will take a look at | 22 -- but that's my issue, and I do it in advance, |
| 23 Wednesday or Thursday. Then we will come back to | 23 online. |
| 24 you in November. Or if not -- or maybe even sooner, | 24 MS. VEST: Okay. Let me explain that to |
| 25 I can send you an e-mail if we see it looks good. | 25 you. If you're asking for the state rate, you need |
| Page 74 | Page 76 |
| MR. SHANKS: So I guess I need to take | 1 to call. Do not do it online. There are several |
| 2 away my motion. | 2 hotels around here that are offering the state rate. |
| 3 MS. VEST: You can withdraw your motion. | 3 MR. FLAIR: But in my experience, Madam |
| 4 MR. SHANKS: I'll do that. | 4 Chairman -- or Director, I'm sorry, when you go |
| 5 MS. VEST: We don't need a motion for | 5 online or you call them in person -- and I discussed |
| 6 that. I'll just do the research and I'm going to | 6 it earlier with one of the other people here -- like |
| 7 look for Wednesday or Thursday if that's okay with | 7 the particular venue that I use, my senior used to |
| 8 the commission. | 8 be rate was cheaper than the state. So I would |
| 9 MR. BAYNE: It's fine. Be sure I | 9 always book it that way because it saved the state |
| 10 understand, in 2019 we are going to quarters? | 10 some money. Now this occasion and the other places |
| 11 MS. VEST: Yes, sir. | 11 that I stay at the different venues, the state rate |
| 12 MR. BAYNE: As for me, Fridays are | 12 was cheaper than the senior, so it's swapped around |
| 13 generally easier, but I'm going to be happy to meet | 13 now. And that's -- I found that only here in |
| 14 anytime. | 14 Davidson County. |
| 15 MS. VEST: Thank you. And we serve at | 15 MR. SHANKS: I know the Holiday Inn over |
| 16 your discretion, so any day that you choose is when | 16 on Broadway by the convention center, they honor the |
| 17 we will do that. And I really appreciate that. I | 17 state rate and it's two blocks, and that's where I |
| 18 have been asking for years to change it from a | 18 stay. And I-- |
| 19 Friday, so -- and that's great. Thank you. | 19 MS. VEST: Yes, sir, that's where -- I |
| 20 We will take a look at it. I'm going to | 20 sent out an e-mail to my alarm board. They were |
| 21 come back to you in November and give you the new | 21 having some difficulty finding places and we did |
| 22 dates. Either it will be on Wednesday or Thursday | 22 find several hotels that were still -- but you have |
| 23 if at all possible. We have to go out and see | 23 to call them and ask for the state rate. Yes, I |
| 24 what's happening for next year because here in | 24 have experienced seeing how much is a room and find |
| 25 Nashville, you need to go ahead and make your room | 25 out it was say \$200 and the state rate was even more |


| 1 than what the room was. So you have -- you have to | 1 | want to go ahead and get your room. Page 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 know what you're doing there, but make sure you call | 2 | MR. BROWN: Already have. |
| 3 to make your reservations. | 3 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. Are there |
| 4 But I will do this for you. We will get | 4 | any continuing education participation forms? |
| 5 this information to you as fast as we can. We will | 5 | MS. VEST: No, sir. |
| 6 send out an e-mail to everyone. | 6 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Okay. We are |
| 7 MR. FLAIR: What is the date in | 7 | through with the agenda. Can I hear -- |
| 8 November, please? | 8 | MR. FLAIR: Make a motion that we |
| 9 MS. VEST: November the 9th. | 9 | adjourn. |
| 10 MR. BROWN: Be sure to vote on Tuesday. | 10 | MR. SHANKS: Second. |
| 11 That Tuesday is a general election. Be sure | 11 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: We are adjourned. |
| 12 everybody gets out and votes. | 12 | MS. VEST: No, we've got to do a roll |
| 13 MS. VEST: Okay. It wouldn't be on a | 13 | call vote. |
| 14 Tuesday. | 14 | CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Got to do a roll |
| 15 MR. BROWN: Being a good patriot, you | 15 | call? Golly. Okay. |
| 16 know. | 16 | MS. VEST: Who made the motion? |
| 17 CHAIRMAN VALENTINE: Do we have any | 17 | MR. FLAIR: Larry Flair. |
| 18 further new business? | 18 | MS. VEST: Who seconded it? |
| 19 MS. VEST: No, sir, I do not. | 19 | MR. SHANKS: Doug Shanks. |
| 20 MR. BAYNE: Just a comment. If you -- | 20 | MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne? |
| 21 there were ten states who did not reply. There was | 21 | MR. BAYNE: Aye. |
| 22 ten states where all the information you desired | 22 | MS. VEST: David Brown? |
| 23 didn't get to you. You anticipate any additional | 23 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 24 information coming in? | 24 | MS. VEST: Larry Flair? |
| 25 MR. WINFREE: It's possible that -- | 25 | MR. FLAIR: Aye. |
| 1 because I made -- I made a request to Illinois and | 1 | MS. VEST: Jerry Richarde? Page 80 |
| 2 California and Florida, I did those myself, and I'll | 2 | MR. RICHARDS: Aye. |
| 3 have to ask Bradley which ones he asked for, but | 3 | MS. VEST: Alan Roubseau? |
| 4 those states I didn't hear from. I did hear from | 4 | Mr. Rousseau: Aye. |
| 5 Ohio officially, Pennsylvania officially, and New | 5 | MS. VEST: Doug Shanke? |
| 6 York officially, that they responded to written | 6 | mr. Shanks : Aye. |
| 7 e-mail request as they indicated. | 7 | MS. VEST: Walt valentine? |
| 8 MR. BAYNE: The reason I ask is between | 8 | Chairman valentine: aye. |
| 9 now and November 9, I imagine we're all going to get | 9 | ms. VEST: The ayer have it. |
| 10 that presentation that you did, and I would wonder | 10 | Chairman valentine: we are adjourned. |
| 11 if you get any additional, keep it brief and simple, | 11 | (WHEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned at |
| 12 to the point and send it to us? | 12 | 11:13 a.m.) |
| 13 MR. WINFREE: Sure. I can do that. | 13 |  |
| 14 MR. BAYNE: Thank you. Thank you for | 14 |  |
| 15 your work. | 15 |  |
| 16 MR. BROWN: Some of the states like | 16 |  |
| 17 Mississippi is not going to send you anything. | 17 |  |
| 18 There is no association, no state regulation. They | 18 |  |
| 19 have encouraged me to join the association down | 19 |  |
| 20 there, which I have. All it is is a listing | 20 |  |
| 21 basically what it is. Somebody wants to see -- find | 21 |  |
| 22 a Pl in North Mississippi, you'll find me. | 22 |  |
| 23 MS. VEST: Okay. That November date, | 23 |  |
| 24 November 9th, if you haven't already booked your | 24 |  |
| 25 rooms, that is Veteran's Day weekend. You might | 25 |  |
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|  | . |  | Page 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 4 | private investigation and polygraph commission | 3 | MS. VEST: Let's take a look at -- |
| 5 | EDUCATton Committre mebting | 4 | hopefully you've got it in the same order, |
| 6 | September 21, 2018 | 5 | Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. Usually |
| 7 | dAvy crockett towir | 6 | if I get anything like that, it's automatically |
| ${ }^{8}$ | 500 JAMES ROBERTSON PARKWAY, ROOM 1A Nashville, TN 37243 | 7 | approved. But they had a couple -- they're having a chapter meeting, and if you look on your iPads, you |
|  |  | 9 | will see that you have the whole day that looks like |
| 10 |  | 10 | they have the Tennessee Office of Inspector General, |
| 12 |  | 11 | Asbury and Sims is going to be participating, so it |
| ${ }^{13}$ |  | 12 | looks like a special agent from the FBI, so it looks |
| 14 | TRanscript of education Commitrer meertng | 13 | like they might have a very interesting course. So |
| 15 16 | pranscript of education committee merting | 14 | they want to go ahead and they want to have this in |
|  | Cormencing at 9:00 a.m. | 15 | October. So they've sent it in in advance. I |
| 17 |  | 16 | started just to go ahead and approve it, but since |
| 18 19 |  | 17 | it was just this one thing here, this one |
| 20 |  | 18 | presentation, I thought I would bring it to you. |
| ${ }^{21}$ |  | 19 | All right. Mr. Valentine? |
| 22 |  | 20 | MR. VALENTINE: Good morning. Has |
|  | blits reporting servicrs | 21 | everybody had an opportunity to look at the |
| 23 | wuw , elitereportingservices. com | 22 | education review? |
|  | MISTY brigham, icr, Associate Reporter | 23 | MR. FLAIR: I have. |
| 24 | Post Office Hox 292382 Nashville, | 24 | MR. VALENTINE: The first one there is |
| 25 | (615) 595-0073 | 25 | the Knoxville AFCE chapter, a seminar for seven |
|  | Page 2 |  | Page 4 |
| 2 |  | 1 | hours. Any comments on that one? |
| 3 | atpearances | 2 | MR. BAYNE: None. I move to accept. |
| 4 |  | 3 | MR. BROWN: Commissioner Brown. Second. |
| 5 |  | 4 | MS. VEST: Okay. That was -- Mr. Bayne |
|  | education Committer members | 5 | made the motion? |
| ${ }^{6}$ |  | 6 | MR. BAYNE: Stuart Bayne, yes. |
| ${ }_{8}^{7}$ | Paul david Brown, Jr, Alan G . Rousseau | 7 | MS. VEST: And Mr. Brown did the second? |
| 9 | Larry T. Flair, Sr. | 8 | Okay. |
| 10 | walt valentine | 9 | MR. VALENTINE: Any discussion? |
| 11 | stuart Payne | 10 | Hearing none, all in favor say aye. |
| 12 |  | 11 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
|  | also present | 12 | MR. VALENTINE: All opposed? |
| 13 | Ashley Thomas, Staff Attorney | 13 | Motion carries. |
| 14 | Ashley homas, Staff atcorney | 14 | The second one is Devan and Associates, |
|  | Cody Vest, Executive Director | 15 | conference in North Carolina and South Carolina for |
| 15 |  | 16 | 12 hours. Any comments or discussion? |
|  | Shauna Balagzi, Administrative Assistant | 17 | MR. BROWN: They've been approved |
| 16 17 |  | 18 | before, haven't they? |
| 18 |  | 19 | MS. VEST: They're on the -- yes, they |
| 19 |  | 20 | are on your approved course list, but not for this. |
| 20 |  | 21 | MR. BROWN: I looked at it -- |
| 1 |  | 22 | MS. VEST: They're for one thing, if I'm |
| 22 |  | 23 | not mistaken. |
| 23 24 |  | 24 | MR. BROWN: Correct. |
| 25 |  | 25 | MS. VEST: Do what now, I'm sorry? |
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