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Page 5 to the computer or go back to the office, when we key it in, it automatically starts giving them numbers.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: We don't -- we won't be doing the numbers ourselves.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: So a lot of this is probably
stuff you've already seen or -- or where they have gone. But if you would, we'll just start from the beginning. I think you should have one, Administration Office of the U.S. Courts for Sarah Kingsbury, K-I-N-G-S-B-U-R-Y.

MR. VALENTINE: And Chelsea King and Amber Treat.

MS. VEST: All right. Yes. Included is the agenda. We tell them how we want it.

MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
MS. VEST: Some of them don't exactly do it that way, but we do talk to them and try to get them to give us what the course is going to be and everything. Did everybody have an opportunity to look over this one, Administration Office of the U.S. Courts Defender Services Office Training Division?

MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: Yes.
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MR. BROWN: Shouldn't it be 12? They're asking for 14 and a half hours. Isn't it 12 hours or something?

MS. VEST: Yeah, we're going to give them the maximum. There is no carryovers. They can ask for 14 , they can ask for 22. That's good. If they want to teach a course for 22 hours, that's great.
But the PI is not going to get but the 12 hours credit. So did we look at this one and say this one is okay?

MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VEST: Okay. Yeah, this is for Amber Treat, so we're going to say okay for this one, the same thing.

MR. VALENTINE: Amber and Sarah and Chelsea.

MS. VEST: Yes. All of these are okay.
All right. So what's going to happen is we're going to go out on the computer and put it on there for Administration Office of the Courts.

MR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: Now, my question to you is: If anybody took this, should I stick it up here under
provider so anybody else that wants to take this could look at it, take it, and be approved without coming before you? Or do I put it under the bottom for just these three people?

MR. VALENTINE: I would say put it up at the top so we're -- so that's flagged and we know that they have been approved before.

MS. VEST: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Yeah.
THE COURT: Is that in agreement?
MR. BROWN: Yeah.
MS. VEST: Sounds good to me. All right. The next one we have is capital D-E, space, capital V-A-N \& Associates. They're out of Columbia, South Carolina. They're asking -- it's a school for private investigators continuing education, July the 18th through the 19th, which that -- is that today?

MR. VALENTINE: Pretty close.
MR. AMICK: 18th, yeah.
MS. VEST: And that is a problem we run into. And, gentlemen, l'll go ahead and tell you there's two reasons we're doing this. One is we have the capability in our computer system to do it. And, number two, we will be discussing the budget, and we may have to go to once every six months meetings. If
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that's the case, I'm going to have to have some authority some way to approve these courses so it won't interfere with our Pls getting their continuing education or renewal. And if the information is on the system, I can just take a look at it and get it approved and move on. But we'll talk about that later.

MR. BAYNE: I reviewed all of these. And for De Van \& Associates there's actually a total of 12 hours.

MS. VEST: Right. There's different ones, yes. But this is the whole set here. What did you think about this course, Mr. Bayne?

MR. BAYNE: I'm good with it. I'm good with it.

MS. VEST: Okay. So we're going to say okay to this one?

MR. BAYNE: Yes.
MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MS. VEST: All right. I believe the next one is H-A-N-W-H-A, second word is T-E-C-H-W-I-N, third word is America. I have trouble saying them much less spelling them. All right. Did you have an opportunity to look at this? Of course, this is for -- we use the same form -- hold on just a second.

Page 9 It looks like there's a problem. This one here says for alarms.

MR. BAYNE: Yeah, that's what I was going to ask.

MS. VEST: It got in the wrong stack.
But we use the same form for all of them.
MR. BAYNE: Okay. So this is not applicable?

MS. VEST: Not unless you think it's good for a PI.

MR. VALENTINE: No, we don't.
MS. VEST: It's all about the videos and assets control, stuff like that. That's not a PI. So forgive me, we'll stick that in a different stack.

MR. BROWN: We were ready for that one.
MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
MR. BROWN: We had done our investigating.

MS. VEST: Right. The next one is the
Tennessee Division International Association for
Identification. This is the TBI, Tennessee Bureau of Investigation.

MR. BROWN: I don't have any problem with
that.
MS. VEST: It was a conference. It's 24

Page 10 hours. Of course, that was the whole course. But it would just be 12 .

MR. BAYNE: Yeah, it was not 24 because they include breaks and lunch and --

MS. VEST: It's okay for the --
MR. BAYNE: It's okay for the 12 as far as I'm concerned.

MS. VEST: Anybody else? Everybody agree with this one?

MR. VALENTINE: I agree.
MS. VEST: Oh, let me ask you a question just out of the blue here. If I have a course from the TBI, would it automatically be approved?

MR. VALENTINE: Should be.
MR. BAYNE: Should be.
MS. VEST: Or FBI course or something like that?

MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
MS. VEST: So I can put them on as a
provider and let that go at that?
MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes.
MR. BROWN: Yes.
MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: Yes.
MS. VEST: The next one is Martin

Methodist College, 20 hours. Well, we know what that's -- it's going to be 12. You're going to --

MR. VALENTINE: That seems to be okay.
MS. VEST: That's criminal justice, criminal cyber, and investigations are the course that it took. It got kind of confusing because it said Martin Methodist College, then it's Nova Southeastern University. From what I understand he has to take one course in order to take the second. They do a second. Now, I will put this one on the system only under his name. This is just specifically for him.

MR. BAYNE: It should be because this is
a Ph.D. This is a four-year process for this man.
MS. VEST: Right.
MR. BAYNE: 68 hours of credit.
MS. VEST: But I won't put them on as a
provider.
MR. BAYNE: Right.
MR. BROWN: Right.
MS. VEST: All right. Let me write that
down. Excuse me.
All right. Then we have the SBI Seminars out of Dothan, Alabama, Lawyers \& Investigators, A? Team Approach.
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MR. BAYNE: Six hours.
MS. VEST: Six hours is what they've asked for.

MR. BAYNE: I've reviewed it, and I'm fine with it.

MR. VALENTINE: It's fine with me.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Same.
MS. VEST: Okay. Specialized
Investigation Consultants out of Miami, 20 hours.
We'll change that to 12 but ...
MR. VALENTINE: I don't know who is going down there to take that course, but more power to them.

MR. BAYNE: This is another example of claiming 20 but only deserving 13 or 12.

MS. VEST: Right. Well, he's only going to get 12 .

MR. BAYNE: They don't count breaks and lunches and receptions and ...

MS. VEST: Well, we have -- Chairman
Valentine, we have quite a few -- whoever said that, we have quite a few private investigators that do go out of state and take courses for whatever reason. So this will just be for this gentleman?

MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
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to get the number because they're going to be a
provider. This is something that happens all the
time.
MR. BAYNE:And six hours is spot on,
too.
MR. VALENTINE: No problem.
MS. VEST: All right. That's all we
have. So whenever we adjourn -- not adjourn,
whenever we have the full board here, we'll just
present it, we'll reread it, and we'll say that the
education committee approved and get a full vote with
the commission.
MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MR. BAYNE: (Nods head affirmatively.)
MS. VEST: All right. Well, if you want
to adjourn, Mr. Chairman?
MR. VALENTINE: Do I hear a motion to
adjourn?
MR. BROWN: Motion.
MS. VEST: Wait a minute. Mr. Brown made
the motion. Who did the second?
MR. BAYNE: Second.
MS. VEST: Mr. Bayne. Thank you.
Before we get started you did have a
formal hearing today, but it has been canceled or
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    MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes.
    MR. ROUSSEAU: Yes.
    MS. VEST: Okay.
    MS. VEST: Okay.
    MR. BAYNE: Why? Why just --
    MR. BAYNE: Why? Why just --
    MS. VEST: Not a provider is what I'm
    MS. VEST: Not a provider is what I'm
saying.
saying.
    MR. BAYNE: Why just this gentleman?
    MR. BAYNE: Why just this gentleman?
    MR. VALENTINE: That's an organization
    MR. VALENTINE: That's an organization
that's teaching the course. Why don't we just make
that's teaching the course. Why don't we just make
it an approved organization?
it an approved organization?
    MS. VEST: Approved provider.
    MS. VEST: Approved provider.
    MR. BAYNE: Well, throughout all this
    MR. BAYNE: Well, throughout all this
review, 204 pages, I've been impressed with the
review, 204 pages, I've been impressed with the
faculty, the trainers. Generally I'm seeing --
faculty, the trainers. Generally I'm seeing --
    MR. VALENTINE: They're good, yeah.
    MR. VALENTINE: They're good, yeah.
    MS. VEST: Okay. So l'll put it down as
    MS. VEST: Okay. So l'll put it down as
an approved provider and approve it for --
an approved provider and approve it for --
    MR. BAYNE: For a }12
    MR. BAYNE: For a }12
    MS. VEST: Great.
    MS. VEST: Great.
    MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
    MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
    MS. VEST: Okay. And then we have the
    MS. VEST: Okay. And then we have the
Tennessee Association of Professional Process
Tennessee Association of Professional Process
Service, six hours.
Service, six hours.
    MR. ROUSSEAU: Aren't they already on our
    MR. ROUSSEAU: Aren't they already on our
approved list?
approved list?
    MS. VEST: Yes. But I'm bringing it back
    MS. VEST: Yes. But I'm bringing it back
MR. BAYNE: Why just this gentleman?
MR. VALENTINE: That's an organization that's teaching the course. Why don't we just make it an approved organization?
MS. VEST: Approved provider.
MR. BAYNE: Well, throughout all this review, 204 pages, I've been impressed with the faculty, the trainers. Generally I'm seeing --
MR. VALENTINE: They're good, yeah.
MS. VEST: Okay. So l'll put it down as an approved provider and approve it for --
MR. BAYNE: For a 12.
MS. VEST: Great.
MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
MS. VEST: Okay. And then we have the Tennessee Association of Professional Process Service, six hours.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aren't they already on our approved list?
MS. VEST: Yes. But I'm bringing it back
```
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to get the number because they're going to be a provider. This is something that happens all the time.

MR. BAYNE: And six hours is spot on, too.

MR. VALENTINE: No problem.
MS. VEST: All right. That's all we
have. So whenever we adjourn -- not adjourn, whenever we have the full board here, we'll just ent it, well reread it, and we'll say that the the commission.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MR. BAYNE: (Nods head affirmatively.)
MS. VEST: All right. Well, if you want
to adjourn, Mr. Chairman?
MR. VALENTINE: Do I hear a motion to adjourn?

MR. BROWN: Motion.
MS. VEST: Wait a minute. Mr. Brown made
the motion. Who did the second?
MR. BAYNE: Second.
MS. VEST: Mr. Bayne. Thank you.
Before we get started you did have a
formal hearing today, but it has been canceled or

```
postponed I believe until -- if it was going to
```

postponed I believe until -- if it was going to
happen, you would have already known about it. But
happen, you would have already known about it. But
it has been moved to October and perhaps maybe
it has been moved to October and perhaps maybe
December. We'll go from there and see.
December. We'll go from there and see.
I'm ready any time you are, Mr. Chairman.
I'm ready any time you are, Mr. Chairman.
MS. VEST: Tiffany, you ready?
MS. VEST: Tiffany, you ready?
MR. VALENTINE: Good morning, fellow
MR. VALENTINE: Good morning, fellow
commission members, staff members, and other guests.
commission members, staff members, and other guests.
Today's date is July 18th, 2019, at approximately
Today's date is July 18th, 2019, at approximately
9:35 a.m. I'm Walt Valentine, commission chair.
9:35 a.m. I'm Walt Valentine, commission chair.
I'll now call to order the meeting of the Tennessee
I'll now call to order the meeting of the Tennessee
Private Investigation and Polygraph Commission. The
Private Investigation and Polygraph Commission. The
executive director, Cody Vest, please call the roll.
executive director, Cody Vest, please call the roll.
MS. VEST: Robin Brewer-Johnston?
MS. VEST: Robin Brewer-Johnston?
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Here.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Here.
MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne?
MS. VEST: Stuart Bayne?
MR. BAYNE: Here.
MR. BAYNE: Here.
MS. VEST: Douglas Shanks?
MS. VEST: Douglas Shanks?
MR. SHANKS: Here.
MR. SHANKS: Here.
MS. VEST: Walt Valentine?
MS. VEST: Walt Valentine?
MR. VALENTINE: Here.
MR. VALENTINE: Here.
MS. VEST: David Brown?
MS. VEST: David Brown?
MR. BROWN: Here.
MR. BROWN: Here.
MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau?
MS. VEST: Alan Rousseau?
MS. ROUSSEAU: Here.

```
    MS. ROUSSEAU: Here.
```
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    MS. VEST: Rick Jones.
    MS. VEST: Rick Jones.
    MS. VEST: Rick Jones.
    MR. JONES: Here.
    MR. JONES: Here.
    MR. JONES: Here.
    MS. VEST: Larry Flair?
    MS. VEST: Larry Flair?
    MS. VEST: Larry Flair?
    (No response.)
    (No response.)
    (No response.)
    MS. VEST: Let the record show that Larry
    MS. VEST: Let the record show that Larry
    MS. VEST: Let the record show that Larry
Flair is not present, but you do have a quorum.
Flair is not present, but you do have a quorum.
Flair is not present, but you do have a quorum.
    MR. VALENTINE: Thank you. The next item
    MR. VALENTINE: Thank you. The next item
    MR. VALENTINE: Thank you. The next item
for approval is the agenda. I would like to ask each
for approval is the agenda. I would like to ask each
for approval is the agenda. I would like to ask each
commission member who has received and had time to
commission member who has received and had time to
commission member who has received and had time to
review a copy of the agenda and if so is there a
review a copy of the agenda and if so is there a
review a copy of the agenda and if so is there a
motion to adopt this agenda?
motion to adopt this agenda?
motion to adopt this agenda?
    MR. BAYNE: So moved.
    MR. BAYNE: So moved.
    MR. BAYNE: So moved.
    MR. SHANKS: Second.
    MR. SHANKS: Second.
    MR. SHANKS: Second.
    MR. VALENTINE: It's been moved and
    MR. VALENTINE: It's been moved and
    MR. VALENTINE: It's been moved and
seconded to approve the agenda. Any discussion?
seconded to approve the agenda. Any discussion?
seconded to approve the agenda. Any discussion?
    (No response.)
    (No response.)
    (No response.)
    MR. VALENTINE:All those in favor say
    MR. VALENTINE:All those in favor say
    MR. VALENTINE:All those in favor say
aye.
aye.
aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE:All those opposed?
```

MR. VALENTINE:All those opposed?

```
MR. VALENTINE:All those opposed?
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (No response.) } \\
& \text { MR. VALENTINE: Motion carries. } \\
& \text { The next item on our agenda is the } \\
& \text { approval of minutes for the last meeting. } \\
& \text { MS. VEST: Mr. Chairman, we do not have } \\
& \text { any minutes for you to approve today. I will present } \\
& \text { them at the next meeting. We had some corrections, } \\
& \text { and I haven't been able to get the other set printed } \\
& \text { off for you. } \\
& \text { MR. VALENTINE: Okay. We will skip that } \\
& \text { and go to the legal report next on our agenda. } \\
& \text { Jesse Gentry -- } \\
& \text { MR. GENTRY: Yes, good morning. } \\
& \text { MR. VALENTINE: -- assistant general } \\
& \text { counsel has a report for us. } \\
& \text { MR. GENTRY: Right. So the first } \\
& \text { complaint we have is } 2019038251 \text {. This complaint } \\
& \text { actually in the number two are related to the same } \\
& \text { facts, so I put the facts in complaint number one and } \\
& \text { then you'll see it in number two just as if these are } \\
& \text { the same ones. } \\
& \text { So the summary of this complaint is that } \\
& \text { it was made against a licensed PI and an identical } \\
& \text { one was made against his company. The complainant } \\
& \text { alleges that the owner engaged in reckless and }
\end{aligned}
$$

Page 18 dangerous activity in Jonesboro, Arkansas, including being involved in a high-speed-car chase with complainant. Complainant stated that he and his own private investigator had been following respondent in Jonesboro after they had seen respondent meet with complainant's wife. Complainant also alleged that respondent told complainant he would need to pay him $\$ 200,000$ and insinuated that he had teams in Uruguay and Spain that could harass complainant's family members there. Respondent stated that he is a witness for complainant's wife in the divorce case between complainant and complainant's wife. Respondent stated that complainant has been following and tracking him, has been attempting to intimidate him as a witness.

Respondent has filed police reports against complainant and has been talking to an attorney about filing a civil case against him as well. Respondent was in Jonesboro to file a police report against complainant. Respondent stated that when he saw complainant, complainant drove off at a high speed. Respondent admitted to calling complainant and calling law enforcement, however, he denied engaging in a high-speed chase with complainant and there's no evidence respondent
actually engaged in such behavior.

Respondent admitted to meeting with complainant about his behavior and stated that he would be pressing felony charges against him, but he denied making statements that he would harass him or that he tried to extort him.

The recommendation for this complaint is to close it.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I so move that we accept counsel's recommendation.

MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: I second.
MR. VALENTINE: Moved and seconded by Brewer-Johnston. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: All in favor say aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MS. VEST: Mr. Bayne, did you vote?
MR. BAYNE: No, I did not. I'm just trying to understand more clearly. discussion perhaps.

MR. BAYNE: Okay. I just want to understand why the motion was made and seconded to close this. Why is this closing? I'm missing something here, I think, in other words.

MR. GENTRY: My recommendation was to close based on the fact this is going to be a difficult one to prove that he engaged in reckless behavior. The only evidence that there really is is the complainant's own testimony that this actually happened. There was no evidence provided by him. Respondent did provide copies of the police report. It's a very nasty he said she said in this case. It's going to be complainant's word versus respondent's word. Based on all of the facts that are presented it's going to come down to whether or not who is going to be more believable. And at that point it's still going to be a difficult decision to show that he actually engaged in this behavior.

MR. BAYNE: Thank you for that further explanation.

MR. VALENTINE: This is in Jonesboro, Arkansas, that it all occurred?

MR. GENTRY: Yes, sir.

MR. BAYNE: I will vote aye.
MR. VALENTINE: What other legal ramifications of being in Jonesboro, Arkansas, with a Tennessee PI?

MR. GENTRY: In which way? In the way that could we discipline him for activity that occurred in Jonesboro? Is that what you're asking?

MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MR. GENTRY: I believe that we would
still have the ability to issue discipline against
him as a licensee because we're saying that he did engage in -- if we were to say he engaged in this reckless or dangerous activity.

There was also some statements by complainant that there may have been a carryover of the harassment into Tennessee. Again, there was no actual documentation on that, though.

MR. VALENTINE: But does he have a license to go into Arkansas?

MR. GENTRY: To perform activity in Arkansas? I do not believe so. And there was no evidence that he was actually in Arkansas performing duties as a private investigator.

MR. BAYNE: Other than he said she said; is that correct?

```
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MR. GENTRY: Yes, sir.
MR. VALENTINE: All right.
MS. VEST: All right. So I think the vote was Mr. Brown made the motion to accept --
MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VEST: -- counsel's decision. It was seconded by Ms. Johnston?
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Yes.
MS. VEST: Can I have the vote again?
MR. VALENTINE: All in favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MS. VEST: Thank you.
MR. GENTRY: Thank you.
So complaint number two that we have today, 2019039481, these are the same facts. The case is just made against the respondent's actual company versus the other one was against him as the
```




one is also to close.
MR. BAYNE: I'll make a motion to close
it.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Second.
MR. VALENTINE: Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Motion carries.
MR. GENTRY: Number three and four -that concludes the new cases we had. Three and four are actually representations of previously presented complaints. I'll start with number three. These are both again related based on the fact that they are again a private investigator and his company.

2018025961 the previous recommendation of the Board was to authorize formal charges and to send
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$\$ 1,000$ for violation of Tennessee Rules and
Regulations 1175-04-.05, conflicts of interest, no licensee or employee of a licensee shall contract or cause to be contracted -- or contacted any individual under investigation for the purposes of revealing confidential information to that individual. In Tennessee Rules and Regulations 1175-04-.07, confidential client information, licensee shall not disclose confidential information without the specific consent of the client. That previous -- or when it was first presented, there were issues that the respondent had told the ex-husband of the complainant information and offered to sell it. We did get some new information, which was that the respondent had voluntarily surrendered his license on June 14th, 2019. He told disciplinary counsel that he has moved from the State of Tennessee, he is no longer engaged in the private investigation business, he has no intention on returning to said business.
We sent letters to him as well, and they have been returned. He had stated -- he had moved to the Virgin Islands.

The recommendation at this point is to close and flag it. His license will expire

3-21-2020.
MR. VALENTINE: So we will flag both of his -- his PI license and his company license?

MR. GENTRY: That's correct.
MS. VEST: The gentleman did return his license, his PI license and his company license.

MR. GENTRY: That's correct.
MR. VALENTINE: He did, okay.
MS. VEST: He voluntarily surrendered it.
I'm still going to flag it, yes, sir.
MR. VALENTINE: Because he still owes $\$ 1,000$, right?

MR. GENTRY: Well, that's what I was going to say, if he ends up -- because he did surrendered his license -- I talked to Director Vest about this as well. If he were to come back and try to have his license reinstated, at that point he would have to address the complaint. But by closing and flagging it, it will stay there on his record in case he tries to reinstate his license or apply for a new one in the future.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay. Very good. Do I hear a motion?

MR. ROUSSEAU: I move that we accept the recommendation of counsel.
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MR. JONES: I'll second it.
MS. VEST: That was Rick Jones seconded?
MR. JONES: Yes.
MR. VALENTINE: Moved and seconded. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. GENTRY: And then just for the record number four, which is 2018026001, it's the same facts. But, again, this is against the business instead of the individual. The recommendation on this one previously was a $\$ 500$ civil penalty for the -- a violation of Tennessee Rules and Regulations 117504.064, the licensee shall not bill a client for services or expenses which have not been provided or incurred. That was the previous recommendation but
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the same new information as that previous complaint that he had moved from the state and is no longer engaged in the business. And there's a recommendation to close and flag this one as well.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I so move and accept counsel's recommendation.

MR. ROUSSEAU: Seconded.
MR. VALENTINE: Moved and seconded. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Hearing none, all in
favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Motion carries.
MR. GENTRY: Thank you. And that
concludes all the cases on the legal report.
MR. VALENTINE: Thank you.
MS. VEST: Mr. Chairman, before we go any
further we have a guest with us I would like to introduce you to, our new assistant commissioner Toby Compton.

MR. COMPTON: I'm Toby Compton. I'm the new assistant commissioner with the department. I've been trying to come and say hello to every board and commission as they meet. Chairman Valentine and I had a coffee this morning, got to know each other a little bit. I learned a little bit more about the industry and what was happening, and that was a pleasure. I've enjoyed working with Cody. If there's anything I can do to help assist you guys with the work you do in your industry, please, let me know. You can reach out. I look forward to working with you and doing anything, and I really enjoy state/government -- what work we all do together. So I look forward to collaborating with you in any way I can.

Are there any questions y'all have got for me or anything you would like to know?
(No response.)
MR. COMPTON: Y'all are simple. That's great. Please, feel free to reach out. I look forward to working with you in the months ahead, years ahead, and I'm sure we'll be in touch. Cody is
great. She keeps me straight.
MR. VALENTINE: Toby Compton, thank you
for coming and appearing before us.
MS. VEST: All right. I believe our other appearance here is Mr. Duncan. I believe it's Steven Duncan. We had talked to Mr. Duncan about the eye scanning. We talked about this at several meetings before, and I said, well, perhaps we can ask the gentleman to come in and give us a brief history of it, tell us what's happening in the future, what's going to happen with our PIs, or how it's going to affect our Pls. So, Mr. Duncan, would you mind coming up? If you would be more comfortable, you can take Mr. Flair's seat there.

MR. DUNCAN: You tell me. You're the boss here.

MS. VEST: Just go over there and sit in Mr. Flair's seat.

MR. DUNCAN: That's fine.
MS. VEST: And use the microphone there.
It might be a lot more comfortable.
MR. DUNCAN: This one?
MS. VEST: Yes, sir. Make sure the red
light is on.
MR. DUNCAN: Right. Firstly, Director
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Vest, I appreciate you inviting me to come up. I want to take a couple of minutes of your time to just get a little background here so you know kind of what's going on. Former commission member, Jerry Richards, had approached me after Director Vest had talked with him about if he knew anyone or knew anything about ocular motion deception testing. And he really had not gotten involved in it, but he knew that I had. So he approached me, and I contacted Director Vest and she asked if I would come up and address the commission on it. And just real briefly, as far as my background so you kind of know where I'm coming from, I was in law enforcement and emergency services and so forth for about 35 years. I retired from the Georgia State Patrol over their polygraph program actually. I've been a polygraph examiner for over 25 years now and a licensed polygraph examiner and private investigator in Tennessee and a number of other surrounding states. After I retired, I've really gone to work I guess it seems like. So I wanted to let you know from that perspective. I'm also the president of the American Polygraph Association, and so I have some insights into things I guess from that perspective that others may not have.

Now, to qualify after that, I'm not here representing any company or any entity or the American Polygraph Association or anybody affiliated with ocular motion detection testing. I have no ties with them in any way nor, again, am I representing the APA. I just came as an informational source because I -- my position with the APA being on the -I've been on the board of that for I guess 20 years, 25 years, off and on. So I have been exposed to a lot of the newer information coming along and the developments and so forth, and I have worked for the Department of Defense over at the National Center for Credibility Assessment. And so I kind of keep up with what is going on because of other things I have involved. So that's -- that's how I got involved with this ocular motion deception testing and came to know about it a few years ago. So what I'm going to try to do is just present to you what I know. I don't do it, I don't use it. And, again, I have no interest in it one way or the other, so l'm sort of a neutral party here. As you would probably guess, I'm a little -- I lean a little toward the polygraph side because that's my business and that's my passion actually. So I lean a little toward it, but I'm always open to new ideas. If there's a better mouse
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trap, I'll buy one. That's where I'm coming from just to let you know my perspective.

Now, as far as ocular motor deception testing -- that's very difficult for me to say. Maybe we should just use eye scan.

MS. VEST: Yes, sir.
MR. DUNCAN: Anyway as far as the basis of it, most of the research l've been exposed to indicates it is based theoretically on the same type of principles as polygraph in that it depends on physiological reaction with the threat of light. And a lot of you are experienced investigators and so forth, and you know there are things that people do when they lie, just not the same things for every person. But there are certain things that happen to us physiologically when we lie and that's been proven over and over and over and over through polygraph research and interview research and et cetera, you know, Dr. Reid (phonetic) and all kinds of people have done all kind of research on that. So this is just another step along those lines, but it's using the eyes alone as opposed to polygraph using three or four or five or whatever different components. It's using exclusively -- and the latest research has just used the pupils themselves. They found the most
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validity, reliability, and accuracy in pupil change, actually getting larger. And that ties back, we think, to a couple of things. And there's disagreement in the field right now, not only in the ocular motion field but in the polygraph field as well, as to if it is truly fight or flight response or if it's a cognitive response, i.e., are we having to think more about lying than we do about telling the truth. And that -- that sort of makes sense to me. I'm not a physiologist or a psychologist either one, but it kind of makes sense to me it's harder to lie than it is to be truthful. So that cognitive may be part of it and the emotion of being caught in a lie may be part of it. That's sort of the thinking now and what the research tends to indicate although that is just theory.

Now, the latest research that has been published on ocular motion, I brought a copy in case anybody wanted to take a look at it. It was done by Dr. John Kircher. And Dr. Kircher is out of the University of Utah in Salt Lake City, and he has done a tremendous amount of research over the past -- I don't know how many years, years and years, many years, on deception, all kinds of things on polygraph and other things involved with detection of deception
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or to tell us if someone is telling the truth. And he's been heavily involved in it, and he and others got involved in a rather large research project in ocular motor deception tests. And his is the most current research I have, it was published in 2018. Excuse me.

And just in a nutshell what they did is they took a relatively large sample group of people and they had some of them commit a crime, you know, steal some money and another part of that group not steal money. And then they tested them using ocular motion, and they used several different things.
They -- and I mentioned earlier they came up with the most -- the most telling was pupil change size. They also tried time and answering -- in the same experiment they used time and answering and errors and movement, eye movement, and several other parameters, but the pupil change was the thing that they found that was most discerning of deceptive.

And, again, in a nutshell what they came up with on a specific issue -- I'll come back to that in a second -- is somewhere in the neighborhood of -their lowest was about 82 percent and the highest was 86 percent accuracy.

MR. BAYNE: Wow.

MR. DUNCAN: Now, here is sort of the clincher of this, that is on a specific issue, one thing. Now, others are touting, and I have heard it personally, so I can testify to it. I've heard it from sales people that this is the greatest thing since peanut butter for screening, but the accuracy figures that I have seen published are not near close to this 80 percentile, in the mid 80 s, in screening tests because -- and you have to understand if -- if I can say, Chairman Valentine, did you park on the street?

MR. VALENTINE: (Nods head affirmatively.)

MR. DUNCAN: That's an easy one, isn't it? It's very hard for you to get away from that or to rationalize around it. You either did or you didn't.

MR. VALENTINE: (Nods head affirmatively.)

MR. DUNCAN: Whereas if I said, have you ever parked on a street on the south side of Nashville of I-40, you've got to think about that, you've got to decide where that would be, have you done it, try to remember back. Those are more what the screening tests do because we ask in screening
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tests -- many of the times we ask people a number of different things like, for example, in preemployment testing in law enforcement arenas and so forth. So the accuracy has not even been anywhere near as close, nor is it in polygraph. We lose accuracy in polygraph. Some of those figures are down, you know, in the high sixty -- 68 something percent I think the Office of Technological Assessment study of the 90s came out with. We know now we're on up -- we're much better than that because of our instrumentation and procedures and so forth but still a lower -- a lower accuracy rate even in polygraph. But the accuracy rate in the screening has not been very good.

So what the federal government has done, the current status or current state if you will, this -- a caveat, Dr. Kircher is involved with the eye detector people. He is a -- it's my understanding, I don't know this for a fact, but my understanding is he is involved on their board or involved with them. I don't know if financially but at least, you know, in giving them information and research and so forth. He's involved with the ocular motion folks. But he is also known as a very honest reporter. I believe his -- if he reported it was the 80 percentile on that one specific issue, I believe
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it to be true. But the federal government, the assessment -- the National Center for Credibility Assessment, they wanted some independent study. And I think we all kind of like to see that, somebody that doesn't have a dog in the fight.

MR. BAYNE: A second opinion.
MR. DUNCAN: Yes, sir. Exactly. Someone
who, once again, does not profit from it, not that they've done anything wrong or incorrect but it's just not -- it just makes us all feel better, I think, and makes it much more easy to market and accept.

So the federal government -- I understand it's a large sum and I guess that's sort of relative, but it is classified the amount of money they have funneled into Oak Ridge Laboratories to do a completely independent study. And they -- I understand it's big money to --

MR. BAYNE: So it was funded to the ORNL?
MR. DUNCAN: Yeah, it was given to them to independently research. And the feeling was not that the -- the National Center for Credibility Assessment, they actually have done research on this as well. But they are so heavily tied into polygraph I think some of the folks involved said let's get

Page 38 someone totally removed so nobody can say, you know, you skewed it toward polygraph. Because if it's your profession, you're bound to have some natural bias. I think it's virtually impossible not to. So they have funneled a tremendous amount of money from what I was told into Oak Ridge, and Oak Ridge jumped right on it. So I know it had to be a big chunk, if you'll pardon my vernacular there. But they jumped right on it, and they were tasked with coming back with some data by the end of the fall of this year. Now if that occurs, I will be -- I will be elated. Because it's -- it's a big research project, and I understand they're going to have to use a large number of people in order to have validity for the testing and just to do a test like that and to do all the calculations necessary for it to meet the modern day parameters of scientific research it's going to take some time. But they are, I understand, working on it full board at this point in time. So I anticipate and I-- it 's my understanding again, although the exact parameters they were using and the exact methodology were also classified, I understood from somebody that was involved since the inception that it's going to look at probably specific issue, one certain thing, and screening.

Because a lot of the -- a lot of the
interest in this was in being able to screen people faster and cheaper particularly in counterintelligence and so forth. That was one of the big interests of the federal government, that and prescreening of employees before -- you know, before the polygraph because it's much quicker, it's much cheaper, you don't have to train someone to the extent because it takes -- you know, it's -- the shortest polygraph schools that we have that the American Polygraph Association accredits is ten weeks. And some of them are 14 weeks. And then you have an internship and everything. So it's a pretty lengthy process to get a polygraph examiner. And then the instrumentation is expensive whereas this the instrumentation is I think leased or rented.

Sort of the take back I understand from this, though, is that you test the person with the ocular motion deception test and you send the results to the company and you pay them a fee and they -- or you send your data, I'm sorry, your data to the company, you pay them a fee, and they send you the result back. Deceptive or non deceptive.

So what it does -- it's not polygraph. Because in polygraph -- and once again I'm a little
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biassed here and I'll admit it. But polygraph you get a lot of information. Polygraph examiners are information gatherers. If many of you have used polygraph or been affiliated with it, like in preemployment screening or whatever, it's more important a lot of times to our client or whoever we're doing the exams for to get the surrounding information, whether it be from a legal perspective, either side, prosecution or defense, or from a -- you know, a potential employee or employer to be able to provide them with information on that subject so they can make a wise and informed decision, whether they should hire them or not, you know, to obviously negate negligent hiring suits and so forth. So it's a totally different thing. But it appears by the research Kircher did to work on this specific issue quite well, just a few points below polygraph average. Because polygraph now we're looking into the mid 80s, upper 80s to the mid 90s, in specific issue testing percentage-wise. And both, of course -- you know, we have to account for people that don't cooperate, people who give wrong answers, people that move or we have artifacts in the testing that won't give it. So, you know, it's -- it's worth looking at obviously to the federal government and others. I
don't think it's -- it does what polygraph does.
Again, l'll tell you, I'm probably biassed toward it with that being my passion. But it is a -- it appears to maybe have some validity and reliability, some viability in some applications, but not in the place of polygraph in my opinion. And that's Steve's opinion, Steve Duncan's opinion, on that.

So once again I have the research paper. If anybody wants to take a look at it --

MR. BAYNE: Is that Kircher's research?
MR. DUNCAN: Yes, sir, it is. And it's published, Detecting Concealed Information Deception Recent Development Cambridge MA, Academic Press, in 2018.

MR. BAYNE: Of course I would be interested.

MR. DUNCAN: I can tell you.
MR. BAYNE: Really, seriously.
MR. DUNCAN: If you're going to read it, allow a little time because some of it is a little dry, as much research is. But, yes, sir, if you want to --

MR. BAYNE: Can we somehow get it available to us?

MS. VEST: Yes, would you like to -- all
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of the board -- commission members, we can make you a copy that.

MR. DUNCAN: I just thought it might be interesting to --

MR. VALENTINE: If we could just get the
website -- just get the website where we can have --
MR. DUNCAN: How about if I just leave
this with you and you can copy this or scan it? Do you want me to just do that?

MS. VEST: I beg your pardon?
MR. DUNCAN: I'm sorry, Director Vest. I
said, do you want me to just -- I'll just give you
this. I don't need it. I've got it on my computer.
MS. VEST: Oh, okay. That will be fine.
I'll take that.
MR. DUNCAN: I'll just leave this, and that way it gives you the site on it and you can -and all the --

MS. VEST: I can e-mail that to everyone.
MR. VALENTINE: Yeah, just send the site to everybody.

MR. DUNCAN: It references some of the other -- a number of the others. It's a good reference reference as well because it references some of the other testing and stuff that was done on
screening and so forth that didn't turn out.
And I will mention one other study that is not published. You won't hear about this because, again, I understand it was classified, not by us but by another country. But a lot of testing was done in Columbia on -- testing what they call assets, people that are providing information and so forth. I wish I had written down exactly what I was told, but it was along these lines. It did not work well in that application. But I think there was some other confounds involved with language and education, educational level of some or their informants, not understanding the instructions and different dialects. And I think there were some other confounds that probably hurt it, but it was so bad that they never published or released the percentage results. And I think -- I think the project just didn't work as they predicted, and it showed worse than it probably performs because of so many -- you know, if you try to do research and it doesn't follow your parameters, then it's pretty worthless to anybody. It's not worth saying we only did 30 percent accuracy when it's -- when that's not accurate.

MR. VALENTINE: The Oak Ridge National
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Lab, is that experimentation or that investigation was ocular only?

MR. DUNCAN: I was told yesterday that there's also another method of detection of deception involved, but they've -- they would not tell me what it is. I guess my clearance is not high enough.

MR. VALENTINE: How will we be able to get the results of that?

MR. DUNCAN: I would anticipate -because there's so much eyes on this -- and the guys that are pushing this ocular motion, they are -- the eye detector people particularly, they're doing a hard sale on a lot of entities worldwide. They're really pushing it. Is his name Cuban that's financing and supporting? They're doing a hard sale all over the world.

MR. VALENTINE: You don't mean Mark Cuban?

MR. DUNCAN: I think, yes, sir. I don't know him, but he's involved with this and they've got a lot of financing and so forth. And they're promoting it actively worldwide for screening stuff.

So the federal government is obviously very interested in it, and I think -- what I would -and this is a prophesy, just based on what l've seen fall, which I would be surprised, let's say maybe by the first of next year, they will release it to the Department of Defense to the National Credibility Assessment Center and then they will probably release it next summer. I would anticipate --

MR. BAYNE: An unclassified version of it?

MR. DUNCAN: Right, right, right. And some of it we may never see because some of the stuff we never see. I've worked on a couple of projects for them, and we're still forbidden from discussing it just from a national security perspective. I hate that, and I know everybody else does, too. But if they tell you they'll put you in jail if you talk about it, I don't talk about it. But I think they will probably -- it wouldn't surprise me if they didn't do a presentation at the American Polygraph Association seminar next year. It would not surprise me if they get the data back by then and are able to -- because what they'll do is they'll take that data and confirm it and so forth. So we're still -it's still too new to really hang your hat on, I think. And this is in Steve Duncan's opinion again. But it may have some promise. I just don't know yet.
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I would like to see that independent research and see what Oak Ridge -- because they'll tell us exactly like it is, and they won't pull any punches.

MR. VALENTINE: Well, thank you very
much. We appreciate you coming here --
MR. DUNCAN: Yes, sir.
MR. VALENTINE: -- and enlightening us on all of this research.

MR. DUNCAN: I appreciate your time. And if anybody has any questions, Director Vest has my personal cell number and my personal e-mail. I meant to bring some business cards here. But after sitting in that traffic over there, I was a bit brain dead when I pulled up out here. But she has both of my personal contacts. And if you have questions on it or something -- if I don't know the answer, I'll sure try to get it for you because I know a lot of people are heavily involved in this. And I'll try to get any answers I can get for you or polygraph as well. I'm always glad to assist the commission in any way I can.

MR. VALENTINE: Director Vest, you'll give us his --

MS. VEST: Oh, yes, I'll send that out to you. Yes.

MR. VALENTINE: -- e-mail as well as the website.

MS. VEST: Yes.
MR. VALENTINE: Okay. Very good. Thank you very much.

MR. DUNCAN: And like I said, I'll be glad to help you folks in any way I can.

MR. BAYNE: Quick question if I may?
MR. DUNCAN: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Is it simply -- is it as simple as pupil size change, or is it pupil size change and the direction.

MR. DUNCAN: What they said in the -they looked at movement as well.

MR. BAYNE: As well as movement.
MR. DUNCAN: They don't want any movement. And I know where you're going with that, NLP, neurolinguistic patterning, I taught that for many years. And now they're telling us it's not accurate even though l've used it in hundreds of cases. But what they found was -- and they looked at the movement, they looked at the size change, they looked at a number of parameters. It mentions several of them in here. But the pupil size, according to Kircher, seemed to be the most
diagnostic of --
MR. BAYNE: Diminishes for deception?
MR. DUNCAN: Getting bigger. I read that again, and I think I understood that right. But if you read it and I'm wrong, tell me because that was kind of my understanding. Now, of course, that wasn't pertinent to my discussion today so I didn't look deeper into it. Change was the effector there. But I guess I can kind of see that from my limited expertise in physiology because when we tend to focus on something or try to collect more information, a fight or flight response, we know we get pupil size change, larger, when we take in more light. Yes, so that would sort of fit with my perception of the physiological --

MR. BAYNE: Yes.
MR. DUNCAN: -- perception of either cognitive or the fight or flight response. Both maybe.

MR. VALENTINE: Thank you again.
MR. DUNCAN: Thank you all. I appreciate
it.
MS. VEST: I appreciate you coming in.
MR. VALENTINE: Director Vest, I think we're ready for administrative matters now.
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MS. VEST: Oh, yes, sir. All right. If you would, we'll look under my section here. It's the monthly reports that we sent out. We do have March, April, May, and June, but l'm going to cut right over to June. And actually it just tells you about the meetings that Jesse and Ashley and I do try to get together and have. And I have a meeting on the finances with the assistant commissioner that you just met. I have other meetings with him with your information as well. But you'll see I still have three commission members that are vacant. We have Alan Rousseau, Rick Jones, and the polygraph where Jerry Richards has left.

Yes, sir? Did you sign in, Mr. Duncan? MR. DUNCAN: I didn't. I guess I need to sign.

MS. VEST: Yes, sir. You don't need continuing education if you're with the polygraph commission.

MR. DUNCAN: But I'm both. I'm a PI as well.

MS. VEST: Oh, okay. If you need continuing education, sign, yeah, sure.

MR. DUNCAN: Sorry to interrupt. MR. VALENTINE: Not a problem.
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MS. VEST: I'm sorry, excuse me. The three vacancies here, the open one for the polygraph, of course, was Jerry's, Jerry Richards' position. We have received numerous names for people who would be interested in serving on the commission, and it's going through the necessary process. Hopefully we'll have new people appointed soon. That takes care of that one.

Now, let's go to the budget review.
Excuse me. At the end of May you were in the red $\$ 5,356$. You do not have your June totals there. You will have -- I will receive the June totals. And now we'll also be receiving the yearend closure totals. As soon as I get this information, I will send it out to the commission members that are waiting for a meeting. I'll send it out. Hopefully June's will show that we can come out of the red. If we do not come out of the red, this is your second year. We will be set for a -- we will have to probably attend a sunset hearing, and it will probably be all board members will be brought in.

MR. VALENTINE: Oh.
MS. VEST: This would be a big one. If you have -- we've previously talked about ways that perhaps we could increase this budget. About the
only way we can is more people coming in, more people renewing. I gave you already a printout of a seven-year spread that we went out and looked. It's not a big decrease, but it's -- as you can see, every year has decreased. And unfortunately what you have there for this year is just for six months. And for us to come out even with last year I think we need at least another 70 people apply. That's probably -probably not going to happen for the rest of the year. I'm not sure, but we've got our fingers crossed. But I wanted you to see that because you had asked me before how the surrounding states, which I am doing that again, another study, a little bit more in depth, and asking about the fees that they are charging, how many people. I'm having to use the resource for our answer because some states you have to do a public records request. It gets a little bit more difficult. We're more open to give information apparently in the State of Tennessee. So I'm trying to do that. I've got two people that are working on that now, so hopefully l'll have that information to you. I'll go ahead and send it out to you in advance before the next board meeting if I get all that information together just so we can see what we're looking at. But all of it is really going to hinge
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on what the budget is going to be, what it's totally going to end up with.

If you don't have any questions about that --

MR. BAYNE: I have two.
MS. VEST: Of course you do, Mr. Bayne. What can I help you with? I could tell by looking at you.

MR. BAYNE: If you were -- if you were to look at this trend line, how does that look in the other professions, the other regulated professions.

MS. VEST: I can only tell you what mine look like.

MR. BAYNE: That would be great. Is it a similar trend line?

MS. VEST: No, sir.
MR. BAYNE: It is not?
MS. VEST: No, it is not. Security guards are quite healthy as could be expected. A lot of them are renewing instead of -- we used to be -our bread and butter used to be the applications, now it's the renewals. More people are keeping the job once they obtain the job, that trend is.

MR. BAYNE: That makes sense.
MS. VEST: Alarms, no, sir, that
profession is very healthy. They have registered employees, and that's really where we would get our money from is the registered employees. A lot of the companies have purchased other companies or they've combined or whatever, and it's quite healthy. This program is the only one that I have that's not self-sustaining.

MR. BAYNE: The second question is: Is this program the only program which has not raised its fees in 13 years?

MS. VEST: Private Protector, which is our security guard, has never raised their fees. And they've been in business since ' 88 , ' 89 , ' 90 . They have never raised fees. I have taken a look -that's why we're doing it to the other states -about raising fees. I didn't mention that because I've mentioned it before. You don't raise the fee to prohibit people coming into the industry. We would raise the renewal fee.

MR. BAYNE: Yes.
MS. VEST: How high would I have to raise the renewal fee is the question.

MR. BAYNE: I see.
MS. VEST: And is that going to take care of the problem two years from now, three years from
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now, four years from now. That's what l'm trying to look at the overall -- maybe in the next ten years is what I'm trying to do, take a look at where we're going to be at then.

MR. BAYNE: I understand. I just remember in an earlier meeting in which we acknowledged that we had not raised renewal fees in a very long time.

MS. VEST: Very long time. And I also remember that meeting to where I don't think all the commission members were in agreement for raising the renewal fee. They talked about the application fee.
But with this administration or perhaps any administration maybe that would be prohibitive of people coming into your industry, making the fees higher. That's why l'm trying to do the fee comparisons with all the other states.

MR. BROWN: Something we talked about before, internet is killing us. You can do so much investigative stuff up there. People are doing it, licensed or not.

MS. VEST: I would like to ask you on the record if you do have any suggestions please send them to me, perhaps how we can get more people in the industry, perhaps by raising the fees if you've done
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your own study or whatever. I would like to see this profession continue. Perhaps it can be placed with another program such as Private Protective Services. That may take a change on the hill because you're a commission and that's a commissioner run program. But that's something that I'm looking into.

Yes, sir?
MR. VALENTINE: Director Vest, I was on the PI commission in Indiana for several years, and their staff director, Amy Hall, was very cooperative. And they only licensed the company, and currently they have 425 companies in Indiana, $\$ 300$ initial fee and every three years another 300 renewal for three years. The company then does their own hiring of applicants and their own backgrounds and submit them to the commission, which I thought was interesting, to hold them accountable. They -- wherever they've lived for the past seven years they have to do an arrest check, the applicant does, and provide that to the company. And then the company then provides it to the commission.

MS. VEST: And they can sustain that?
MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MS. VEST: Okay. That was Indiana, right?
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MR. VALENTINE: Yes, Indiana.
MS. VEST: I wrote down Indiana. We're looking into Indiana.

MR. VALENTINE: And they have no attorneys on staff. They have an attorney general staff person available to them. And if they request, they can attend the meetings.

MS. VEST: (Nods head affirmatively.) MR. VALENTINE: They only have five board members for the private investigators and the private security. Two of each and then one public member.

MS. VEST: All right. That's kind of the stuff we're looking into, Mr. Chairman.

MR. VALENTINE: Yeah.
MS. VEST: Like I said, you're already commissioned. To make any particular changes I'm sure would have to be done on the hill. So we would have to have everybody's input there to make any changes. But I'm just letting you know in the office we're all looking at this. I'm doing my best to make sure that we can have the PI profession regulated.
We may have to do some changes. We may come out and have three or $\$ 4,000$ left or whatever. As you know, I have to start July 1st over with a zero balance anyway. But if it's in the red, we will be subject
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to a sunset hearing and they will want to know what I'm going to do about it.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: So l've got to have your
input. It's your profession.
MR. BAYNE: You'll get it from me.
MS. VEST: All right. Thank you.
All right. Legislative update, I don't
believe we have any legislative update.
Application reviews, we don't have any education reviews.

MR. VALENTINE: You mean application.
MS. VEST: Application, I'm sorry.
So let's go down to correspondence.
Exhibit A is Gary Lamb, L-A-M-B, of Lamb Investigations. This was a question. I have a question that has come up from one of the attorneys I work with. I worked a conspiracy case last year, and my client on a drug conspiracy was able to work out a plea deal. He pled and went on to do his time. I was approached by a different attorney to work a new conspiracy, new docket number, which I have discovered is a later roundup of the same conspiracy. I have spoken with the first client who has no knowledge of this new guy. The question is: If I
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get a letter from the original client stating that fact, is there still a conflict in the new case? The problem is that there are not enough Pls to cover the big conspiracy cases in this area. And he's from Knoxville.

I didn't know how to answer his question.
MR. VALENTINE: Have you talked to our attorney about this?

MS. VEST: Have I talked to you about it?
MS. THOMAS: No.
MS. VEST: I haven't talked to her about
it, no, sir. Excuse me.
MS. THOMAS: So in your rule it does state under 1175-04-.05, subpart one, the licensee shall not accept compensation, financial or otherwise, for more than one party for services on or relating to the same investigation set of circumstances, court case, or issues unless all interested parties consent in writing after full disclosure by the licensee.

If he gets that consenting writing, I think legally I think he's fine. I think he wants the commission's opinion on, I guess, prac --

MR. VALENTINE: So he just needs to get a letter from his client that he -- that it's okay for
him to work on this other.

MS. THOMAS: Right. It would have to be all interested parties, so probably both sides of it.

MR. BROWN: The question l've got is was he hired by this attorney to work on this case. This defendant took a plea deal or --

MS. VEST: You've got all l've got.
MR. BROWN: That's what I'm not clear about, if he hired the attorney -- he's talking about that maybe -- I'm not confusing the -- is he talking about the defendant, is he going to have a conflict? Or is he talking about his attorney because his attorney may have a different opinion than ours to begin with. That may answer his question right there. And I'm thinking if I'm an attorney, the defendant may not want him working.

MS. VEST: That's why he's asking the question, I believe, because now he finds out that it's related back to the first case he was working.

MR. BROWN: And that's quite probably a conflict.

MR. SHANKS: And is he appointed by AOC?
MR. BROWN: That's what we don't know.
MR. SHANKS: So it sounds like conflict to me.

his --

MR. BROWN: Yeah, me too. But without his --

MR. VALENTINE: If he gets a letter from all the parties involved, then that resolves his conflict?

MS. THOMAS: According to our rules, yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: Well, it depends on who hired him. If the attorney hired him, he's going to need a letter from the attorney. He's also going to need a letter from the defendant stating he doesn't have a conflict. Otherwise if he does it with just one, he could be missing the boat here. It could be bad.

MR. VALENTINE: So he needs a letter from the defendant and the attorney in the original case stating it's okay to work the second case.

MS. THOMAS: I think that would cover the all interested parties requirement.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Uh-huh.
MS. VEST: So we don't have a lot of Pls in the Knoxville area that could take on these cases?

MR. BROWN: Oh, I can think of three or
four.
MR. BAYNE: Isn't that interesting?

MS. VEST: Right. That's the point that really interested me.

MR. BAYNE: I hope someone is listening.
MR. BROWN: I can think of one or two right off the top of my head.

MR. JONES: I know Byron is --
MS. VEST: Okay. So what I'm going to do is contact Mr. Lamb and tell him that he would need a letter from the attorney and from the defendant in the first case that says it's okay for him to work the second case.

MR. VALENTINE: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: Not that it's okay but there is no conflict.

MS. VEST: Excuse me. No conflict.
MR. SHANKS: Hey, Cody.
MS. VEST: Yes, sir.
MR. SHANKS: Could you send him a list of all the private investigators in Knoxville?

MS. VEST: Yes, sir, I sure could do that.

MR. SHANKS: I'm just kidding. He can look it up on the website.

MR. BROWN: I can think of a bunch of them.
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MR. BAYNE: The letter could remind the individual that there are resources that can be accessed with a little bit of investigative research.

MS. VEST: I will be talking to Mr. Lamb personally about that.

MR. BAYNE: That would be fine.
MS. VEST: If he has those kind of questions, perhaps there should be someone else that he could go through or his attorney could or whatever. But that's why I brought it to you is because you know more about that than I do.

Okay. The next one here is a little different. This is Exhibit B. Well, I spoke to this gentleman this morning, Mr. Michael Frawley, F-R-A-W-L-E-Y. It's -- he's saying he just got off the phone with Ms. Gabriel (phonetic) and discussed his company, KPMG, being allowed to offer assets location services to our clients. They feel like that they are exempt under 62-26-223(a) because they are a CPA firm. Well, that's what that exemption is, a CPA firm. So I'm going, I don't exactly understand what he was trying to ask me. So when I called him, it kind of stuck in my mind. I had this ready for you today. I said, well, maybe I misunderstood what he said, so I called him. So what they're doing is
they are a CPA firm who will have an employee in their office look up unclaimed property in the State of Tennessee, contact the individual and say we found whatever they found for you and charge a fee. I said, but you're a CPA firm. He said basically that's what they are, they're a CPA firm. So was this a different -- are you branching out into a different area or what are you doing. And he said that's what they're doing, they want to actually offer their services to find unclaimed property in the State of Tennessee.

MR. BROWN: Doesn't he realize that list is public and free? MS. VEST: Well, anybody can do that.
It's free, yes, sir, I got that. But we do work with MS. VEST: Well, anybody can do that.
It's free, yes, sir, I got that. But we do work with the -- our department does work with the unclaimed department with the State of Tennessee, and any time they get these kind of inquiries they say, oh, wait a minute, you might need to meet with a PI. So they always refer them to me.

MR. VALENTINE: We've had this before.
MS. VEST: Yes, sir.
MR. VALENTINE: What did we decide before?

MS. VEST: We decided before if he's

Page 64 doing PI work, even though they are a CPA firm, they need to be -- they need to hire a PI in their CPA firm to do this. Certified public accountant is unclaimed properties getting paid to locate moneys, something a CPA firm does? I don't know. So that's why I brought it to you.

MR. BROWN: I feel like it should be a licensed PI.

MR. JONES: I think so, too. There's going to be certain aspects of that where they're going to cross lines in investigative services.

MR. ROUSSEAU: Do we have an opinion from our attorney?

MS. THOMAS: Well, my honest opinion, if they are exempted and they are operating under their accountancy firm, they are exempt. And at that point if -- if the commission is saying they are exempt, then we can't require them to hold a PI license under that firm. So like when Cody said if they're going to branch out, if they're going to become KPMG Investigations, of course, that would be a different arm of it that would need a license. But if part of their business is to research this unclaimed property, I think that would still fall under the exemption. That's my opinion.

MR. VALENTINE: And locating the people that own it, that would require some research.

MS. THOMAS: Right. That's why I said I guess it matters how they -- how they've structured it. If they're still operating and doing all of that as an accounting firm, statute says that accounting firms are exempt from our regulation. If they've structured it as something separate, if they can contact KPMG solely for investigating this property and recovering this unclaimed property, I think that looks more like investigation.

MR. BAYNE: The TCA has an additional part to that, 223(a), the phrase is -- I'll read the whole thing. Quote, this part does not apply to -exceptions, this part does not apply to a public accountant and a certified public accountant or the agent of either performing duties relating to public accountancy. So it seems to -- the question is: Is this public accountancy?

MS. THOMAS: Is it relating to public accountancy.

MR. BAYNE: Yeah, I see your point.
MS. THOMAS: Uh-huh.
MR. SHANKS: And accountants have to be licensed in Tennessee?

[^0]MR. ROUSSEAU: Mr. Chairman, would it be possible to ask them to give us a more specific understanding of what they mean by researching for unclaimed property and getting paid by a potential client for this service?

MS. VEST: This is a -- they do hold a license, and they are located here in Nashville. Is this something we might want to ask them to come in and talk to us about?

MR. ROUSSEAU: I would think so.
MS. VEST: It's three months down the
road.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Or either to staff.
MR. BROWN: It sounds like -- is the industry trying to do something a little bit different? Because it's going to have to be a contact or a fee agreement. If somebody calls me up and says, Mr. Brown, I found $\$ 10,000$ for you in unclaimed property, what am I going to do? I'm going to go Google it right away to see if I have unclaimed property.

MS. VEST: You probably would, but what they're going to do is call you and say, I found this and you pay me X number of dollars, l'll help you recover it.

MR. JONES: Yeah, but to what lengths will they go to find someone and what methods would they use?

MS. VEST: Now, what we can do since the board meeting will be so far out, I can have an informal, bring in the chair or whoever would volunteer that, and ask them to come in and talk to us about it --

MR. BROWN: That's fine.
MS. VEST: -- one-on-one to get an idea if you feel that's necessary. But that's one step we can take, or we can ask them to wait for an opinion until the next board meeting and come in and address what they do, however you want to handle that. Or we can tell them it's fine.

MR. VALENTINE: Or that it's not fine, they have to have a license.

MR. ROUSSEAU: Maybe we can recommend to the chair that we ask the director to call them and come in, meet with representative of the Board and the director and get some more clarification on their procedure.

MS. VEST: Yes, it could just be myself, the attorney, and one of our board members for the informal to get a better understanding of what they
're doing.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Does that need to be in the form of a motion?

MS. VEST: Yes, sir.
MR. ROUSSEAU: I would like to move that we direct Cody Vest, the director, to contact the company and ask them to come in for some additional information and meet with the executive staffing or representatives.

MR. BROWN: I second that.
MR. VALENTINE: It's been moved and
seconded. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: If none, I'll call for
the vote. All in favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Motion carries.
MS. VEST: All right. I can do that.

I'll get that set up for you.
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MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: All right. Now, we're going
to go to the education report. We had our education
meeting this morning. And I believe all the case --
all the education that we reviewed was approved.
I'll have to spell this again. H-A-N-W-H-A,
T-E-C-H-W-I-N America was approved, that course.
Specialized Investigation Consultants was also approved. Administration Office of the U.S. Courts Defender Services Office Training Division approved for three different individuals.

D-E, V-A-N \& Associates was also
approved.
The Tennessee Division International Association of Identification was approved.

And the Tennessee Association for Professional Process Service was approved.

I talked with the education committee and explained to them that we have the capability now on our computer system to list these courses and give them an actual course number. They were broken down into two categories out on the internet. The private investigator could go out and see who their provider is that's been approved. And then down here at the
bottom, the second part of that, is where we put the individuals that were just approved for their particular course. This will help the PIs for their continuing education credits. Do I have a vote? Can we do a vote, Mr. Chairman?

MR. ROUSSEAU: One addition.
MS. VEST: Yes, sir.
MR. ROUSSEAU: We did pull the Awana (phonetic) Technical Training. That got mixed up. MS. VEST: Yes, that was an alarm course. We did remove that. The education committee did. MR. VALENTINE: We also approved the personal credits for Robert Schoeberl --

MS. VEST: Yes.
MR. VALENTINE: -- for Martin Methodist College and Nova Southeastern University for 12 hours.

MS. VEST: Yes.
MR. SHANKS: I make a motion we accept.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Seconded.
MR. VALENTINE: Moved and seconded. Any discussion on the education committee?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: All in favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
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MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: Motion carries.
MS. VEST: As I told the education
committee, you'll -- as we have these board meetings and more and more of these come up, I'll be bringing them to make sure -- to get your vote on that. And then as we put them on the computer, the office staff will be able to look at the list just like the
private investigator can and we'll them approved as quickly as possible.

MR. VALENTINE: Okay. So you'll approve them and just notify us of which ones you have approved?

MS. VEST: No, sir. What I meant was once you approve these courses and I get them out there if I want -- if I'm a PI and I want to do a renewal, I'm going to go out there and look at this course and I'm going to take it and then I'm going to send the paperwork in to my office and we'll go ahead
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and just approve it because it's an approved course. MR. VALENTINE: Okay.
MS. VEST: If that's all right. Okay. It looks like we have some -MR. VALENTINE: Any unfinished business?
MS. VEST: Yes, let me get to my paperwork here. And we certainly appreciate Mr. Duncan coming in to talk with us. I did have quite a few questions for the gentleman, but I figured I maybe need to read the material before 1 -- one question was: Is there a difference in doing a male versus a female with the polygraph?

MR. BAYNE: Fair question.
MS. VEST: Maybe do our eyes differ? Like he was talking about the size of the eyes. We know gentlemen usually don't tell as much truth as women do, so I was just wondering. I couldn't resist that.

MR. BROWN: Move her out of order.
MS. VEST: I was just wondering.
MR. VALENTINE: Is that from experience?
MS. VEST: No. I always tell the truth. Let me go ahead and let Ashley do -- she has a presentation for you under new business.

MS. THOMAS: So under Governor Lee's

Page 74
executive order number five we are required to do a refresher course on the Sunshine Act for all of our boards and commissions. I believe Cody and her staff sent out to you a PowerPoint presentation to that regard, so I'm just going go over it really quickly.
The biggest thing is everything is an open record.
That includes e-mails, photographs, any documents
that we hold. If I get a public records request,
that is something that can be distributed or provided in response to that.

As far as public meetings, any meeting of a governing body is considered a public meeting. A governing body is defined as two or more members. This is not to say that you all cannot go to lunch together, you won't be at the same association meetings together. But if that chance meeting, if it starts to turn into a discussion or deliberation about board business, that technically is a public meeting. And it would have to be noticed before any of that board business could be discussed. The only meeting that is not considered a public meeting would be an executive session, and that's very specific. That's between the commission members and the attorney. If the commission is under lawsuit or under threat of lawsuit, then that gives the
commission an opportunity to talk to their attorney outside of the public in relation to that lawsuit.

For those public meetings, as we know in this commission, we've done it a couple of times, electronic attendance is allowed. But once that's done all votes must be a roll call vote. I know we've done that a couple of times with this commission. And if we're using electronic attendance to reach a quorum, at that point I would have to file a statement of necessity. And that's something Cody and I would discuss before the commission meeting. The public does have the right to attend all of our public meetings, but there's not necessarily a right to participate. So they can attend all of them, but it's up to the chair and the commission whether or not they can be placed on the agenda to be heard.

The public meeting notice has to pass a three-prong test. The first being that the contents must reasonably describe the purpose of the meeting. I believe Cody does that by publishing the agenda. That agenda must be posted at an adequate time for advanced notice. I know she puts the meeting dates up about a year in advance. The specific agenda for that month meeting is about a week before the meeting. And then it must be posted in a location
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    commission an opportunity to talk to their attorney
        For those public meetings, as we know in
        this commission, we've done it a couple of times,
        ectronic attendance is allowed. But once that's
        done all votes must be a roll call vote. I know
        we've done that a couple of times with this
        commission. And if we're using electronic attendance
        to reach a quorum, at that point I would have to file
        The public does have the right to attend all of our
        public meetings, but there's not necessarily a right
        it's up to the chair and the commission whether or
            The public meeting notice has to pass a
        meeting. And
        where the public can access it. And I know she
        publishes that on the website, like I said, a week
        before. And then the agenda is outside the door on
        meeting days.
            Any violation of the Sunshine Act or the
    Public Meetings Act, it would nullify any decision
that the Board has made. All that commission action,
all those costs would be assessed against the annual
budget, and those decisions would be null and void
and we would have to hold another public meeting and
go over that again.

And the final part that I wanted to point out to the Board refers again to the North Carolina dental case that just sets forth that the Board or Commission could not engage in any anticompetitive practice. And they have to -- any board action must include active state supervision. And the way the Department has implemented that is we do have a policy director who reviews all of our board meetings to determine that the action the Board is taking is not being anticompetitive in any way.

So like I said, we did just have to refresh the Board and Commissions on all of that. So I thank you for your attention.

MS. VEST: Well, thank you, ma'am.
individual, we'll look and see if -- when the
Page 78 individual became licensed, how long they've been licensed, does that individual have complaints. I don't run a background check because that's already done because the individual is going to have to be a PI or a polygraph person, so it's technically already done. So I would get all that information together, I would send it to AC Compton who would send it through the necessary channels, and it would go up to the hill to the actual governor for the appointment or committee or whoever.

As far as Jerry Richards' position is concerned, I do have quite a few polygraph people that are interested. I've already vetted them. The names have already been submitted. Hopefully this new process that we're doing will speed up the appointments. And we -- we appreciate our board members whose terms have expired but then continued to serve.

And actually that's all I've got, Mr.
Chairman.
MR. VALENTINE: Very good. Do we have anyone appearing for the continuing education participation forum?

MS. VEST: Not that I'm aware of.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I am for continuing education.

MS. VEST: Did you sign in?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I did.
MS. VEST: He signed in. He will be given credit for that.

MR. VALENTINE: The last item on our agenda is adjournment.

MR. SHANKS: I make a motion to adjourn.
MS. VEST: Who was that? Mr. Shanks.
MR. JONES: I'll second it.
MR. VALENTINE: Rick seconded. Any discussion about that.
(No response.)
MR. VALENTINE: All in favor say aye.
MR. BAYNE: Aye.
MR. BROWN: Aye.
MS. BREWER-JOHNSTON: Aye.
MR. JONES: Aye.
MR. ROUSSEAU: Aye.
MR. SHANKS: Aye.
MR. VALENTINE: All opposed say nothing. We are adjourned.

MS. VEST: Thank you very much everyone. (WHEREUPON, the foregoing proceedings
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    MS. THOMAS: They do.
    MS. VEST: They are. Their company is
    fine.
    MR. SHANKS: Oh, okay.
    MS. VEST: It's not a problem. I did check that.

    MS. THOMAS: So I think that's probably the more accurate question for the commission is an accountancy firm researching unclaimed property, is that related to public accountancy thereby they would fall under that exemption.

    MR. VALENTINE: What does the Board think?

    MR. BROWN: I think they're reaching out and extending themselves beyond what's normally thought of as a CPA.

    MR. JONES: Well, it's just what I was saying a minute ago, certain aspects say, for example, you know, identifying who someone is one thing, but then the techniques involved in trying to identify them, trying to locate them, for example, you don't just pick up the phone and say, hey, this is your unclaimed property. Sometimes you've got to go through several steps, and that's where you may be crossing the lines of doing investigative work.

